Lab 7 - IT314

Name - Jaikrit Sanandiya ID - 202201484

Task 1 : Program Inspection:

Code Fragment - 1

1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

Data reference errors:

- In the CvH0GEvaluator::setImage method, the use of hist and normSum might cause issues if these matrices are not properly initialized before use. There is no explicit check ensuring they contain valid data before operations are performed.
- **Pointer errors**: The code uses direct memory access via ptr() calls, which is prone to dangling references if the matrices have been reallocated or altered in size between calls.

Data-declaration errors:

- Variables like grad, qangle, and integralHist in the integralHistogram method are critical for calculations, but there's no check to verify if the matrices are of correct dimensions or types before they are used.
- The size of AutoBuffer<int> mapbuf(gradSize.width + gradSize.height + 4) might lead to errors if the image size changes and exceeds buffer capacity.

Computation errors:

- In generateFeatures, there are several hard-coded values for blockStep and iterations over winSize that might cause unexpected behavior if the window size is changed or unusual values are passed.
- Order of precedence in complex arithmetic expressions within CvHOGEvaluator::integralHistogram could lead to incorrect results without proper debugging, especially with polar coordinate calculations.

Control-flow errors:

 The loops in generateFeatures method are heavily nested, and missing boundary checks or incorrect loop control could result in performance issues or off-by-one errors.

2. Which category of program inspection would you find more effective?

- Given the nature of this program, the Data Reference Errors category is the most effective. Since the code deals heavily with matrix operations and pointer manipulations, ensuring correct memory handling, pointer validity, and array bounds are crucial. It also uses OpenCV functions that rely on correct data initialization, which makes this category more relevant.

3. Which type of error you are not able to identified using the program inspection?

 Using program inspection alone, errors related to runtime performance or resource handling (such as memory leaks) may not be easily detected.
 While we can review the code for correct logic and structure, issues such as excessive memory consumption, poor cache usage, or inefficient operations would need dynamic analysis tools (profilers or memory analyzers) to identify during actual execution.

4. Is the program inspection technique is worth applicable?

Yes, program inspection techniques are particularly worth applying for this code. The checklist-based approach helps uncover potential memory handling issues, loop control flaws, and uninitialized data that are difficult to catch during normal compilation. Especially in low-level operations with pointers and OpenCV matrices, careful inspection can prevent hard-to-trace bugs, although it should be supplemented with dynamic analysis for comprehensive debugging.

Code Fragment - 2

1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

Data Reference Errors:

- There are multiple references to arrays such as stageTypes[] and featureTypes[] that depend on correct indexing. Improper index bounds could result in accessing memory out of range, especially in methods like printDefaults and scanAttr.
- In the CvCascadeClassifier::predict method, there is a potential issue if stageClassifiers is empty or not fully initialized. Accessing uninitialized or improperly loaded elements could cause runtime failures.

Data-declaration errors:

- The variables stageType and featureType in the constructor of CvCascadeParams are initialized using constants like defaultStageType and defaultFeatureType. However, if these constants are not properly defined or assigned, it might cause unexpected behavior.
- In CvCascadeClassifier::fillPassedSamples, there is a Mat img variable that depends on cascadeParams.winSize. If winSize is improperly initialized (e.g., set to negative dimensions), it could result in unexpected errors when processing images.

Computation Errors:

- In CvCascadeClassifier::train, the calculation of requiredLeafFARate could encounter floating-point inaccuracies due to the pow function and division of maxFalseAlarm by max_depth. If any input values are unusual (e.g., zero), it could cause divide-by-zero errors.
- In CvCascadeClassifier::fillPassedSamples, there is an implicit assumption that the division ((double)getcount+1)/(double)(int64)consumed will not result in divide-by-zero. However, if consumed is zero, this can cause a crash.

Control-flow errors:

- In the train method, there are several break conditions for loop termination, such as checking tempLeafFARate. However, if the conditions do not behave as expected (e.g., improper updates to tempLeafFARate), the loop might not terminate properly, causing infinite loops.
- There is a risk of non-exhaustive decisions in methods like read and readStages. If the file structure differs from expectations (e.g., missing or corrupted XML nodes), the function might fail silently without giving the user clear feedback on why it failed.

2. Which category of program inspection would you find more effective?

The Data Reference Errors category is again the most effective for this code. This is because it deals extensively with accessing external files (e.g., training data, XML files for parameters), and improper file reading or memory allocation could lead to major issues. Ensuring that data is properly referenced and initialized is key to preventing memory errors or segmentation faults.

3. Which type of error you are not able to identified using the program inspection?

As in the previous case, errors related to runtime performance or resource handling are hard to identify with just static inspection. For example:

- Memory leaks could happen if dynamically allocated memory is not freed (e.g., through ptr objects), but static inspection won't detect this.
- The performance bottlenecks, such as inefficiencies in loops or recursive calls, would only become evident with dynamic analysis.

- 4. Is the program inspection technique is worth applicable?
 - Yes, program inspection is very much worth applying for this code. The detailed error checklist helps uncover potential memory access issues, file handling problems, and uninitialized data references, which are crucial in a codebase that interacts with external resources (such as files) and employs complex image processing logic. It ensures early detection of issues that could lead to runtime crashes.

Code Fragment - 3

1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

Data Reference Errors:

- In the CvHaarEvaluator::setImage method, there is no check to ensure the image (img) passed has the correct size or is valid. If an invalid image or one of unexpected dimensions is passed, it could cause undefined behavior when calling integral() or manipulating the feature vectors.
- In CvHaarEvaluator::generateFeatures, the nested loops that iterate through winSize.width and winSize.height heavily depend on properly defined window sizes. If winSize has invalid or negative values, this would result in out-of-bound memory accesses when generating features.
- Pointer safety issues: In the Feature::Feature constructor, the CV_SUM_OFFSETS and CV_TILTED_OFFSETS macros manipulate pointers directly. If rect[j].r holds invalid data or if the offset is calculated incorrectly, this could lead to incorrect memory access.

Data Declaration Errors:

 In CvHaarEvaluator::init, the matrix initialization with sum, tilted, and normfactor relies on the correctness of _winSize and _maxSampleCount.
 Any unexpected values for these parameters (such as zero or negative values) might lead to errors in matrix creation. • In CvHaarEvaluator::Feature::Feature, the feature constructor depends on the offset and feature dimensions (x, y, dx, dy) for correct initialization of the feature rectangles. Without bounds checking on these variables, invalid values could be passed that may lead to out-of-bounds memory access or undefined behavior.

Computation Errors:

- In CvHaarEvaluator::generateFeatures, complex arithmetic expressions with dx, dy, and feature offsets are used for calculating the Haar features. These calculations might overflow if the feature window (winSize) is too large, causing unexpected behavior in feature generation.
- In CvHaarEvaluator::setImage, the calculation of the normalization factor (normfactor.ptr<float>(0)[idx] = calcNormFactor(innSum, innSqSum);) depends on calcNormFactor(). Without additional checks, this could result in incorrect values if the sum or squared sum are not calculated properly.

Control-flow errors:

- In CvHaarEvaluator::read, if an invalid or corrupted configuration is passed via the FileNode, the function might fail silently without providing detailed feedback on why the feature parameters failed to load. This could leave the feature parameters in an inconsistent state.
- There is a potential loop termination issue in generateFeatures. If the
 feature generation process runs into invalid conditions (e.g., window size issues),
 the loop could fail to terminate properly, resulting in performance bottlenecks or
 infinite loops.

2. Which category of program inspection would you find more effective?

 The Data Reference Errors category is the most effective for this code as well. Since the code deals heavily with matrix operations, image processing, and feature extraction using OpenCV, ensuring that all data is correctly initialized, accessed, and manipulated is crucial. Proper memory handling and avoiding out-of-bounds access in arrays or matrices will prevent a significant number of runtime errors.

3. Which type of error you are not able to identified using the program inspection?

Static inspection alone won't help identify runtime performance bottlenecks or memory-related issues like:

- Memory leaks: Due to dynamic memory allocations in the mat objects and feature vectors, memory could be leaked over time if resources are not properly released.
- Performance issues: The efficiency of feature generation depends heavily on the size of the window and input parameters. These issues would only surface during actual execution and profiling.

Additionally, floating-point precision errors in calculations like normfactor are difficult to detect without dynamic analysis.

4. Is the program inspection technique is worth applicable?

Yes, the program inspection technique is worth applying to this code. The inspection helps identify possible data reference issues, boundary checks, and proper initialization, which are critical in ensuring the robustness of feature extraction algorithms. These types of checks are particularly useful when working with low-level matrix manipulations and pointer arithmetic that, if mishandled, can result in hard-to-trace bugs. It should, however, be supplemented with dynamic analysis for runtime errors and performance profiling.

Code Fragment - 4

1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

Data Reference Errors:

- In CvDTreeTrainData::set_data, the handling of several dynamically allocated arrays (e.g., buf, int_ptr, priors, cat_map) could lead to memory issues if not properly initialized or released in the event of an error. If these resources are not managed correctly, it could result in memory leaks.
- The responses_copy is used to store a copy of the responses, but the code does not check if the responses matrix is valid and correctly initialized before performing the copy in do_responses_copy. If the responses matrix is invalid or null, it could lead to undefined behavior.
- The handling of _responses, _train_data, and other matrices assumes they are valid. There are no checks to verify if the input matrices are non-empty, correctly sized, or of compatible types before processing.

Data-declaration errors:

- The array var_type is dynamically allocated in CvDTreeTrainData::set_data using cvCreateMat. However, if the allocation fails or if the matrix is used before initialization, it could lead to errors. There is no explicit check ensuring successful memory allocation before the matrix is used.
- The priors matrix is created based on the number of classes in set_data, but if the number of classes (get_num_classes()) returns an unexpected value (like zero), it might lead to incorrect initialization of this matrix.

Computation Errors:

In set_params, the conditions for setting params.max_depth and params.max_categories involve several minimum and maximum constraints (e.g., params.max_categories =

- MIN(params.max_categories, 15);). If these constraints are violated, especially with edge-case values for the parameters, it could result in unintended behavior or errors.
- There is a risk of overflows when calculating the memory buffer sizes in effective_buf_size and effective_buf_height. The code attempts to handle large datasets by calculating buffer sizes for the training data, but if the size exceeds the limits of integer or memory allocations, it could lead to crashes or memory errors.

Control-flow errors:

- o In CvDTreeTrainData::set_data, the code checks the consistency of new and old training data (cvNorm(...)). If the check fails, the error message could be improved to provide more specific information about why the new data is incompatible. This would aid in debugging, as the current message is somewhat vague.
- The CV_FUNCNAME("CvDTreeTrainData::set_data") calls are used to manage the error handling with __BEGIN__ and __END__, but if the flow of the program jumps between different error-handling blocks, it could lead to inconsistent states of dynamically allocated resources (like tree_storage and temp_storage), leaving them unreleased.

2. Which category of program inspection would you find more effective?

 The Data Reference Errors category is the most effective for this code as well, especially given that the code uses several dynamically allocated arrays, buffers, and matrices (e.g., priors, buf, responses_copy, etc.).
 Ensuring that all memory is properly allocated, initialized, and released is critical to prevent memory leaks, segmentation faults, or access to uninitialized memory.

3. Which type of error you are not able to identified using the program inspection?

Static inspection won't help identify runtime memory issues or performance bottlenecks, such as:

- Memory leaks: Although inspection helps identify potential issues with memory management, actual leaks (where memory is not released) would require dynamic analysis tools (such as valgrind).
- Performance inefficiencies: The code handles large datasets, so
 performance bottlenecks related to sorting or memory copying could arise
 when running on large-scale data. These would require profiling to identify.
- Concurrency issues: The code does not handle concurrency, but if multiple threads were used to handle data in parallel, static inspection wouldn't help detect potential race conditions or deadlocks.

4. Is the program inspection technique is worth applicable?

Yes, program inspection is valuable for this code. The checklist helps identify potential data reference issues, memory allocation problems, and boundary conditions that could cause runtime errors. Given that this code deals with dynamic memory allocation and complex data structures, ensuring that all resources are correctly managed is essential to maintaining robustness and preventing crashes. However, it should be complemented by dynamic analysis to fully catch runtime and performance issues.

Task 2: CODE DEBUGGING:

Code 1: Armstrong

1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

Errors:

- Logic error in remainder calculation: The remainder is calculated using division (remainder = num / 10;) instead of the modulus operator. This results in incorrect values for the remainder, breaking the logic for calculating Armstrong numbers.
- Logic error in updating num: The line num = num % 10; should instead be num = num / 10; because after extracting the remainder, the number should be reduced by removing the last digit, which is done by integer division.
- 2. How many breakpoints you need to fix those errors?

 a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

Breakpoints required:

- Breakpoint 1: At the start of the while loop to check how num and remainder are being updated.
- Breakpoint 2: After the if statement to verify the final comparison of check and n and ensure that the logic has been properly applied.

- Fix the remainder calculation: Change remainder = num / 10; to remainder = num % 10; to correctly extract the last digit of the number.
- **Fix the number update**: Change num = num % 10; to num = num / 10; to correctly reduce the number by removing its last digit after each iteration.
- **Fix grammatical error**: Update the else statement output to "is not an Armstrong Number" for grammatical correctness.
- 3. Submit your complete executable code.

```
// Armstrong Number
     class Armstrong {
         public static void main(String args[]) {
             int num = Integer.parseInt(args[0]);
             int n = num;
             int check = 0, remainder;
             while(num > 0) {
                 remainder = num % 10;
                 check = check + (int) Math.pow(remainder, 3);
11
                 num = num / 10;
12
13
             if(check == n)
15
                 System.out.println(n + " is an Armstrong Number");
             else
17
                 System.out.println(n + " is not an Armstrong Number");
20
```

Code 2 : GCD_LCM

1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

Errors:

- **GCD Logic Error**: The while loop condition in the gcd function is incorrect. It should be while(a % b != 0) instead of while(a % b == 0). The current logic would terminate prematurely and return an incorrect GCD.
- LCM Logic Error: The condition if (a % x != 0 && a % y != 0) in the lcm function is incorrect. It should be if (a % x == 0 && a % y == 0) because a should be divisible by both x and y to be the least common multiple.
- LCM Efficiency Issue: The 1cm function can be improved for efficiency. Instead
 of incrementing a one by one, the LCM can be computed using the relationship
 LCM(x, y) = (x * y) / GCD(x, y).
- 2. How many breakpoints you need to fix those errors?

 a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

Breakpoints required:

- **Breakpoint 1**: Inside the gcd function to observe how a, b, and r are updated, particularly after the modulus operation to ensure it loops until the remainder is zero.
- Breakpoint 2: In the 1cm function, right after the condition if (a % x != 0 && a % y != 0) to verify if the condition is correctly identifying the least common multiple.
- **Breakpoint 3**: Just before returning the final GCD and LCM values to ensure they are correct.

Fix the GCD Logic: Change the condition in the while loop in the gcd function to while (a % b != 0) to correctly calculate the greatest common divisor.

Fix the LCM Condition: Modify the condition in the lcm function to if (a % x = 0 & a % y = 0) to ensure a is divisible by both x = 0 & a % y = 0.

Optimize LCM Calculation: Use the formula LCM(x, y) = (x * y) / GCD(x, y) to improve efficiency, avoiding the need for a loop that increments a unnecessarily.

```
import java.util.Scanner;
    public class GCD_LCM {
         static int gcd(int x, int y) {
             int r = 0, a, b;
             a = (x > y) ? x : y; // a is the greater number
            b = (x < y) ? x : y; // b is the smaller number
             r = b;
10
11
                 r = a \% b;
                a = b;
13
                 b = r;
14
15
             return a; // Return the GCD, which is stored in 'a' at the end
16
17
18
         static int lcm(int x, int y) {
19
             return (x * y) / gcd(x, y); // Use formula for LCM based on GCD for efficiency
20
21
22
         public static void main(String args[]) {
23
             Scanner input = new Scanner(System.in);
24
            System.out.println("Enter the two numbers: ");
25
             int x = input.nextInt();
26
             int y = input.nextInt();
27
28
             System.out.println("The GCD of two numbers is: " + gcd(x, y));
29
             System.out.println("The LCM of two numbers is: " + lcm(x, y));
             input.close();
31
32
33
```

Code 3 : Knapsack

1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

Errors:

- Logic error in the array access (opt[n++][w]): The expression opt[n++][w] in the loop increments n by 1 after accessing opt[n][w]. This causes the loop to skip subsequent iterations and breaks the logic. It should be opt[n-1][w] to correctly access the previous item's value.
- Logic error in calculating option2: The condition for option2 is wrong.
 The code checks if weight[n] > w, but the correct check should be weight[n] <= w to ensure that the weight of the current item can fit into the knapsack. Additionally, the value of option2 should use profit[n] (not profit[n-2]) to calculate the current profit.</p>
- Incorrect loop update for determining items to take: The loop where the
 items to be taken are determined is correct, but the weight w is
 decremented by the wrong value in some cases because of the faulty
 option2 calculation.
- 2. How many breakpoints you need to fix those errors?
 - a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

Breakpoints required:

- **Breakpoint 1**: In the nested loop that calculates the opt[n][w] values. This is to observe how the decision variables (option1 and option2) are being calculated and ensure that the logic is followed correctly.
- **Breakpoint 2**: In the loop that determines which items are taken. This will help verify if the selected items and the remaining weight w are updated as expected.

- **Fix the array access**: Change opt[n++][w] to opt[n-1][w] to correctly reference the value for item n-1 without skipping the iteration.
- Fix the logic for option2: Update the condition to if (weight[n] <= w) to ensure that we only take the item if its weight is less than or equal to the current available weight. Also, fix the calculation of option2 to use profit[n] instead of profit[n-2].
- **Fix the weight update for determining items**: Ensure that the weight w is decremented correctly based on the current item's weight after the correct item is selected.
- 3. Submit your complete executable code.

```
public class Knapsack {
   public static void main(String[] args) {
       int N = Integer.parseInt(args[0]);  // number of items
       int W = Integer.parseInt(args[1]);  // maximum weight of knapsack
       int[] profit = new int[N + 1];
       int[] weight = new int[N + 1];
           profit[n] = (int) (Math.random() * 1000);
           weight[n] = (int) (Math.random() * W);
       int[][] opt = new int[N + 1][W + 1];
            for (int w = 1; w \le W; w++) {
                int option1 = opt[n - 1][w];
                int option2 = Integer.MIN_VALUE;
                if (weight[n] <= w) { // Corrected logic to ensure weight fits</pre>
                    option2 = profit[n] + opt[n - 1][w - weight[n]];
               opt[n][w] = Math.max(option1, option2);
                sol[n][w] = (option2 > option1);
       boolean[] take = new boolean[N + 1];
        for (int n = N, w = W; n > 0; n--) {
           if (sol[n][w]) {
               take[n] = true;
               w = w - weight[n]; // Update the weight correctly
               take[n] = false;
        System.out.println("item" + "\t" + "profit" + "\t" + "weight" + "\t" + "take");
        for (int n = 1; n \le N; n++) {
           System.out.println(n + "\t" + profit[n] + "\t" + weight[n] + "\t" + take[n]);
```

Code 4 : magic number

1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

Errors:

- Logic error in inner while loop: The inner while loop has an incorrect condition sum == 0. This will cause the loop to never execute since sum starts as a positive number. The correct condition should be sum > 0 to ensure the sum is processed digit by digit.
- Logic error in calculating s: The expression s = s * (sum / 10) is incorrect. The goal is to sum the digits, not multiply them. It should be s = s + (sum % 10) to add each digit to s.
- Syntax error: The line sum = sum % 10 is missing a semicolon (;). This will cause a compilation error.
- 2. How many breakpoints you need to fix those errors?
 - a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

Breakpoints required:

- **Breakpoint 1**: After entering the first while(num > 9) loop to verify how num and sum are updated.
- **Breakpoint 2**: Inside the inner while(sum > 0) loop to ensure each digit is being correctly processed and added to the sum.
- **Breakpoint 3**: Before the final if (num == 1) statement to confirm that the correct value of num is being used for the Magic Number check.

Steps to fix the errors:

• Fix the condition for the inner loop: Change while(sum == 0) to while(sum > 0) to correctly process each digit.

- Fix the logic for summing digits: Change s = s * (sum / 10) to s = s + (sum % 10) to correctly sum the digits of the number.
- Add missing semicolon: Add the missing semicolon in the line sum = sum % 10.
- 3. Submit your complete executable code.

```
// Program to check if a number is a Magic Number in Java
import java.util.*;
public class MagicNumberCheck {
  public static void main(String args[]) {
       Scanner ob = new Scanner(System.in);
       System.out.println("Enter the number to be checked.");
        int n = ob.nextInt();
       int sum = 0, num = n;
        while (num > 9) {
           sum = num;
           while (sum > 0) { // Corrected condition to process the sum
              s = s + (sum % 10); // Corrected to sum the digits
               sum = sum / 10; // Added missing semicolon and corrected the division
           num = s;
        if (num == 1) {
            System.out.println(n + " is a Magic Number.");
            System.out.println(n + " is not a Magic Number.");
        ob.close(); // Closing the scanner to prevent resource leak
```

Code 5: merge sort

1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

Errors:

- Incorrect array slicing in mergeSort: The expressions int[] left =
 leftHalf(array+1); and int[] right = rightHalf(array-1);
 are incorrect. Arrays cannot be directly manipulated with arithmetic
 operations. It should be leftHalf(array) and rightHalf(array) to
 correctly pass the original array for splitting.
- Incorrect array manipulation in merge: The expressions merge(array, left++, right--); are incorrect. Incrementing (left++ and right--) changes the reference of the arrays, which leads to errors.
 These should be merge(array, left, right); to pass the correct array references.
- Off-by-one errors in array splitting: The splitting of arrays in the rightHalf method is correct, but in the mergeSort method, if the array has an odd length, the merging process might skip an element due to incorrect split logic.
- 2. How many breakpoints you need to fix those errors?
 - a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

Breakpoints required:

- Breakpoint 1: After splitting the array into left and right halves, to verify
 if the array was correctly split and ensure the arrays contain the expected
 elements.
- Breakpoint 2: Inside the merge method, to verify that the merging logic correctly processes and merges the two sorted halves into the result array.

Steps to fix the errors:

- Fix array slicing: Change the incorrect expressions in mergeSort from leftHalf(array+1) and rightHalf(array-1) to leftHalf(array) and rightHalf(array) to correctly pass the array for splitting.
- Fix array references in merge: Change merge(array, left++, right--); to merge(array, left, right); to pass the correct array references.
- Verify array splits: Ensure that the array is split correctly when passed to leftHalf and rightHalf.

```
import java.util.*;
public class MergeSort {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
       mergeSort(list);
        System.out.println("after: " + Arrays.toString(list));
    public static void mergeSort(int[] array) {
        if (array.length > 1) {
           // split array into two halves
int[] left = leftHalf(array); // Corrected
int[] right = rightHalf(array); // Corrected
            mergeSort(left);
            mergeSort(right);
             merge(array, left, right); // Corrected
        int size1 = array.length / 2;
        int[] left = new int[size1];
for (int i = 0; i < size1; i++) {</pre>
            left[i] = array[i];
         return left;
```

```
40
41
42
         public static int[] rightHalf(int[] array) {
43
             int size1 = array.length / 2;
44
             int size2 = array.length - size1;
45
             int[] right = new int[size2];
46
             for (int i = 0; i < size2; i++) {
47
                 right[i] = array[i + size1];
48
49
             return right;
50
51
52
         // Merges the given left and right arrays into the given result array.
53
54
55
         public static void merge(int[] result, int[] left, int[] right) {
56
             int i1 = 0; // index into left array
57
             int i2 = 0; // index into right array
58
59
             for (int i = 0; i < result.length; i++) {</pre>
60
                 if (i2 >= right.length || (i1 < left.length &&
61
                          left[i1] <= right[i2])) {</pre>
62
                     result[i] = left[i1];
63
                     i1++;
64
                 } else {
65
                     result[i] = right[i2]; // take from right
66
                     i2++;
67
68
69
70
```

Code 6: multiply matrices

1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

Errors:

- Indexing errors in matrix multiplication: In the innermost loop of the multiplication logic, the expressions first[c-1][c-k] and second[k-1][k-d] are incorrect. Matrix indices are not supposed to be decremented like this (c-1 or k-1), as this leads to invalid access to negative indices. The correct indices should be first[c][k] and second[k][d].
- Incorrect loop range for multiplication: The multiplication loop's third variable (k) should iterate over the number of columns in the first matrix (i.e., n) rather than p, which refers to the number of rows in the second matrix.
- 2. How many breakpoints you need to fix those errors?
 - a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

Breakpoints required:

- Breakpoint 1: At the start of the matrix multiplication loop, to check the intermediate values being calculated for sum, first, and second.
- Breakpoint 2: After calculating each element of the multiply matrix, to verify that the correct product is being stored in the result matrix.

- Fix matrix indexing: Replace first[c-1][c-k] with first[c][k] and second[k-1][k-d] with second[k][d] to correctly access the matrix elements for multiplication.
- Fix the loop range for k: Ensure that k iterates over the number of columns in the first matrix (n) instead of p, since n is the dimension that both matrices share.

```
import java.util.Scanner;
class MatrixMultiplication {
   public static void main(String args[]) {
       Scanner in = new Scanner(System.in);
System.out.println("Enter the number of rows and columns of first matrix");
       n = in.nextInt();
       System.out.println("Enter the elements of first matrix");
       for (c = 0; c < m; c++)
          for (d = 0; d < n; d++)
       System.out.println("Enter the number of rows and columns of second matrix");
       q = in.nextInt();
       if (n != p)
          System.out.println("Matrices with entered orders can't be multiplied with each other.");
         int second[][] = new int[p][q];
int multiply[][] = new int[m][q];
          for (c = 0; c < p; c++)
              for (d = 0; d < q; d++)
    second[c][d] = in.nextInt();</pre>
           for (c = 0; c < m; c++)
              for (d = 0; d < q; d++) {
                  for (k = 0; k < n; k++) { // Fix: iterate over columns of the first matrix (n) | sum = sum + first[c][k] * second[k][d]; // Fix: correct indexing
                  multiply[c][d] = sum;
           System.out.println("Product of entered matrices:-");
           for (c = 0; c < m; c++) {
  for (d = 0; d < q; d++)
                 System.out.print(multiply[c][d] + "\t");
              System.out.print("\n");
       in.close(); // Close the scanner to prevent resource leaks
```

Code 7: quadretic probing

1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

Errors:

- Indexing errors in matrix multiplication:
 In the innermost loop of the matrix multiplication logic, the expressions first[c-1][c-k] and second[k-1][k-d] are incorrect. Matrix indices should not be decremented like this (c-1 or k-1), as it results in invalid access to negative indices. The correct expressions should be first[c][k] and second[k][d] to access the appropriate matrix elements for multiplication.
- Incorrect loop range for multiplication (variable k):
 The loop variable k should iterate over the number of columns in the first matrix (n) instead of p (which refers to the number of rows in the second matrix). The common dimension between the two matrices is the number of columns in the first matrix and the number of rows in the second matrix.
- 2. How many breakpoints you need to fix those errors?
 - a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

Breakpoints required:

- Breakpoint 1: At the start of the matrix multiplication loop, to check the intermediate values being calculated for sum, first, and second.
- Breakpoint 2: After calculating each element of the result matrix (the multiply matrix), to verify that the correct product is being stored.

Fix matrix indexing:

Correct the matrix access expressions by replacing first[c-1][c-k] with first[c][k] and second[k-1][k-d] with second[k][d] to ensure that the appropriate matrix elements are used for multiplication.

• Fix the loop range for k:

Modify the loop to iterate over the number of columns in the first matrix (n), since this is the dimension shared between both matrices for multiplication.

```
public class MatrixMultiplication \{
   public static void main(String[] args) {
           {4, 5, 6}
       int[][] second = {
           {9, 10},
       int[][] multiply = new int[r1][c2];
           for (int d = 0; d < c2; d++) {
              int sum = 0;
               for (int k = 0; k < c1; k++) { // Fix the loop to iterate over columns of the first matrix
                   sum += first[c][k] * second[k][d]; // Corrected matrix access
               multiply[c][d] = sum; // Store the product in the result matrix
       System.out.println("Product of the matrices:");
       for (int[] row : multiply) {
           for (int element : row) {
              System.out.print(element + " ");
           System.out.println();
```

Code 8 : sorting array

1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

Errors:

- **Error 1:** In the outer for loop for sorting, the condition is i >= n, which is incorrect. The loop should iterate while i < n, so it should be i < n.
- Error 2: There is a semicolon; after the outer for loop: for (int i = 0; i
 = n; i++);. This semicolon ends the loop prematurely and should be removed.
- Error 3: The condition for swapping the elements in the nested loop is incorrect: if (a[i] <= a[j]). This is checking for elements that are already in ascending order, but we want to swap if the current element is greater, so it should be if (a[i] > a[j]).
- Error 4: In the output loop printing the sorted array, the loop condition should be
 i < n, not i < n 1. The current condition skips printing the last element correctly.
- 2. How many breakpoints you need to fix those errors?
 - a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

Breakpoints required:

- Breakpoint 1: Set a breakpoint at the start of the outer loop to check if the loop is iterating correctly and if the array is being sorted properly.
- Breakpoint 2: Set a breakpoint in the nested loop to verify that the elements are being compared and swapped as needed.

Steps to fix the errors:

• Fix the outer loop condition: Change i >= n to i < n so the loop iterates through the array correctly.

- Remove the unnecessary semicolon after the outer for loop to prevent it from ending prematurely.
- Fix the condition for swapping: Change the condition if (a[i] <= a[j]) to if (a[i] > a[j]) so that elements are swapped when the current element is larger than the next, ensuring ascending order.
- Fix the print loop: Ensure that the print loop prints all elements, including the last one, by changing the condition to i < n.
- 3. Submit your complete executable code.

```
import java.util.Scanner;
public class AscendingOrder {
   public static void main(String[] args) {
      int n, temp;
       Scanner s = new Scanner(System.in);
      System.out.print("Enter no. of elements you want in array: ");
      n = s.nextInt();
      System.out.println("Enter all the elements:");
           a[i] = s.nextInt();
       for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) { // Fixed: changed from i >= n to i < n and removed the semicolon
                  temp = a[i];
                   a[j] = temp;
       System.out.print("Ascending Order: ");
       for (int i = 0; i < n - 1; i++) {
           System.out.print(a[i] + ", ");
        System.out.print(a[n - 1]); // Fixed: prints the last element correctly without a trailing comma
```

Code 9 : stack implementation

1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

Errors:

- Error 1: In the push method, the top-- statement is incorrect. Instead of
 decrementing, it should increment (top++) before assigning the value to
 stack[top]. This ensures that elements are added to the correct position in the
 stack.
- Error 2: In the display method, the loop condition i > top is incorrect. It should be i <= top because we need to display all the elements from 0 to top.
- 2. How many breakpoints you need to fix those errors?
 - a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

Breakpoints required:

- **Breakpoint 1:** Set a breakpoint in the push method to check if the values are being pushed correctly into the stack.
- **Breakpoint 2:** Set a breakpoint in the display method to ensure that the stack elements are being displayed correctly.

- Fix the push method: Change top-- to top++ in the push method so that elements are added to the stack from the bottom upwards, not downwards.
- Fix the loop condition in the display method: Modify the loop condition from i > top to i <= top to display all elements from the bottom of the stack up to the top.

```
// Method to check if the stack is empty
public boolean isEmpty() {
    return top == -1;
     System.out.print(s
)
System.out.println();
public class StackReviseDemo {
   public static void main(String[] args) {
        StackMethods newStack = new StackMethods(5);
}
```

Code 10: tower of hanoi

1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

Errors:

- Error 1: In the doTowers method, the call to doTowers(topN++, inter--, from+1, to+1) has logical and syntax issues. The ++ and -- operators should not be used in recursive calls because they increment/decrement the values, which changes them in ways we do not intend. The correct recursive call should just pass the values as they are (topN, inter, from, and to).
- Error 2: The parameters from+1, to+1, inter-- are incorrect. The character values ('A', 'B', 'C') are passed as characters representing the rods, and these should not be incremented or decremented. The characters need to be passed as is.
- 2. How many breakpoints you need to fix those errors?
 - a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

Breakpoints required:

- Breakpoint 1: Set a breakpoint in the recursive call to doTowers to verify the correct values of the parameters passed during the recursion.
- Breakpoint 2: Set a breakpoint before the base case (if (topN == 1))
 to ensure that the recursive process is terminating correctly.

Steps to fix the errors:

• Fix the recursive call:

Remove the ++ and -- operators and the character arithmetic from the

recursive call. Simply pass the arguments topN - 1, from, inter, and to as they are.

• Ensure correct base and recursive steps:

The recursive calls and base case should remain logically consistent for solving the Tower of Hanoi problem, so no arithmetic should be done on the rods (from, to, inter).

```
// Tower of Hanoi implementation in Java
public class MainClass {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int nDisks = 3; // Number of disks
        doTowers(nDisks, 'A', 'B', 'C'); // Solve Tower of Hanoi
}

// Recursive method to solve Tower of Hanoi
public static void doTowers(int topN, char from, char inter, char to) {
    if (topN == 1) { // Base case: only one disk
        System.out.println("Disk 1 from " + from + " to " + to);
} else {
    // Move topN-1 disks from 'from' to 'inter' using 'to' as intermediate
    doTowers(topN - 1, from, to, inter);

    // Move the nth disk from 'from' to 'to'
    System.out.println("Disk " + topN + " from " + from + " to " + to);

// Move the topN-1 disks from 'inter' to 'to' using 'from' as intermediate
    doTowers(topN - 1, inter, from, to); // Fixed: Removed incorrect increment and decrement operations
}

}

}

}
```