

# IP<sub>6</sub> Ion Simulation Planning Summary

October 21, 2025

## 1 Methodology

All estimates are derived from the historical IP<sub>6</sub> production runs in `ip6-run/process`. The workflow executed proceeds as follows:

1. **Density benchmark.** For every available reference run we read the solvated coordinate file to obtain the box volume  $V_{\text{ref}}$  and atom count  $N_{\text{ref}}$ . The median atomic number density is

$$\rho_{\text{med}} = \text{median} \left( \frac{N_{\text{ref}}}{V_{\text{ref}}} \right). \quad (1)$$

2. **Performance benchmark.** From each production log we extract the reported performance in ns/day<sup>1</sup> and form the product  $k = (\text{ns/day}) \times N_{\text{ref}}$ . The median of these values,  $k_{\text{med}}$ , captures the empirical throughput per atom.

3. **Ion targets.** The positive ion count  $N_+$  is read from the project configuration of the selected microstate (default: IP\_010101). Neutrality constraints are enforced by adjusting  $N_+$  to the smallest value compatible with the solute charge and anion valence. In practice, this adjustment only increases  $N_+$  when the requested cation has lower valence than the reference (e.g.,  $\text{Ca}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Na}^+$ ); otherwise  $N_+$  is unchanged. The corresponding negative ion count can be computed from charge balance.

4. **Box sizing.** For a desired molar concentration  $c$  (mol L<sup>-1</sup>) we obtain the required solvent volume

$$V = \frac{N_+/N_A}{c} \times 10^{24} \text{ nm}^3, \quad (2)$$

where  $N_A$  is Avogadro’s number. For the cubic simulation cell this converts to an edge length  $L = V^{1/3}$ .

5. **Atom count estimate.** The total number of atoms expected for the target concentration is approximated by

$$N_{\text{atoms}} = \rho_{\text{med}} \times V. \quad (3)$$

6. **Wall-clock time.** The projected throughput is ns/day =  $k_{\text{med}}/N_{\text{atoms}}$ , hence the median wall-clock duration for a production length  $t_{\text{sim}}$  (ns) is

$$T_{\text{wall}} = \frac{t_{\text{sim}}}{\text{ns/day}} = 24 \times \frac{t_{\text{sim}}}{k_{\text{med}}/N_{\text{atoms}}} \text{ hours}. \quad (4)$$

Slow and fast quartiles are generated in the same manner using the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles of  $k$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Typical values are around 150 ns/day for a concentration of 150 mM and a number of positive monovalent cations  $N_+ = 12$ .

7. **Water-model scaling.** All benchmarks were carried out with TIP3P. For TIP4P/2005 we apply a conservative 33% overhead, i.e.,

$$T_{\text{wall}}^{(\text{TIP4P/2005})} = \frac{4}{3} T_{\text{wall}}^{(\text{TIP3P})}. \quad (5)$$

Thus, we use the equality  $N_{\text{atoms}}^{\text{target}} \times (\text{ns/day})^{\text{target}} = N_{\text{atoms}}^{\text{ref}} \times (\text{ns/day})^{\text{ref}} = \text{const.}$  to scale wall-clock times for different system sizes.

## 2 Typical Wall-clock Estimates (default settings)

All simulations listed below assume IP\_010101<sup>2</sup>, a production length of 100.000 ns, temperature 310.000 K, and neutrality relative to the default ion counts. The table reports the median (“typical”) wall-clock time for each ion, concentration, and water model combination.

Ion	Water model	Conc. (mM)	Box edge (nm)	$N_{\text{atoms}}$	Wall time (h)	Wall time (d)
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	TIP3P	1.0	27.1	$1.946 \times 10^6$	$2.4 \times 10^3$	100.8
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	TIP4P/2005	1.0	27.1	$1.946 \times 10^6$	$3.2 \times 10^3$	134.4
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	TIP3P	2.0	21.5	$9.728 \times 10^5$	$1.2 \times 10^3$	50.4
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	TIP4P/2005	2.0	21.5	$9.728 \times 10^5$	$1.6 \times 10^3$	67.2
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	TIP3P	10.0	12.6	$1.946 \times 10^5$	$2.4 \times 10^2$	10.1
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	TIP4P/2005	10.0	12.6	$1.946 \times 10^5$	$3.2 \times 10^2$	13.4
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	TIP3P	0.5	34.2	$3.891 \times 10^6$	$4.8 \times 10^3$	201.6
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	TIP4P/2005	0.5	34.2	$3.891 \times 10^6$	$6.5 \times 10^3$	268.8
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	TIP3P	1.0	27.1	$1.946 \times 10^6$	$2.4 \times 10^3$	100.8
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	TIP4P/2005	1.0	27.1	$1.946 \times 10^6$	$3.2 \times 10^3$	134.4
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	TIP3P	10.0	12.6	$1.946 \times 10^5$	$2.4 \times 10^2$	10.1
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	TIP4P/2005	10.0	12.6	$1.946 \times 10^5$	$3.2 \times 10^2$	13.4
Na <sup>+</sup>	TIP3P	10.0	12.6	$1.946 \times 10^5$	$2.4 \times 10^2$	10.1
Na <sup>+</sup>	TIP4P/2005	10.0	12.6	$1.946 \times 10^5$	$3.2 \times 10^2$	13.4
Na <sup>+</sup>	TIP3P	140.0	5.2	$1.390 \times 10^4$	$1.7 \times 10^1$	0.7
Na <sup>+</sup>	TIP4P/2005	140.0	5.2	$1.390 \times 10^4$	$2.3 \times 10^1$	1.0
Na <sup>+</sup>	TIP3P	300.0	4.1	$6.486 \times 10^3$	8.1	0.3
Na <sup>+</sup>	TIP4P/2005	300.0	4.1	$6.486 \times 10^3$	$1.1 \times 10^1$	0.5

Table 1: Median wall-clock times for the default 18-run matrix (here the cation target was fixed to  $N_+ = 12$ ).

The cumulative median wall-clock demand for the default plan is:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\text{TIP3P}} &= 1.2 \times 10^4 \text{ h } (\approx 4.9 \times 10^2 \text{ d}), \\ T_{\text{TIP4P/2005}} &= 1.6 \times 10^4 \text{ h } (\approx 6.5 \times 10^2 \text{ d}), \\ T_{\text{combined}} &= 2.7 \times 10^4 \text{ h } (\approx 1.1 \times 10^3 \text{ d}). \end{aligned}$$

<sup>2</sup>Note, the selected microstate only supplies the solute charge, default N+, and box type.