Model Optimization and Tuning Phase Template

Date	21st June 2024
Team ID	LTVIP2025TMID42174
Project Title	Revolutionizing Liver Care: Predicting Liver Cirrhosis Using Advanced Machine Learning Techniques.

Model Optimization and Tuning Phase

The Model Optimization and Tuning Phase involves refining machine learning models for peak performance. It includes optimized model code, fine-tuning hyperparameters, comparing performance metrics, and justifying the final model selection for enhanced predictive accuracy and efficiency.

Hyperparameter Tuning Documentation:

Model	Tuned Hyperparameters	Optimal Values
Naive Bayes	No hyperparameters to tune for GaussianNB, directly fitting and scoring	Train score: 0.8353096179183136 Test score: 0.7789473684210526 Accuracy on test set: 0.7789473684210526
Random Forest	<pre>rf = RandomForestClassifier() # Hyperparameter grid param_dist = { 'n_estimators': [100, 200, 300, 400, 500], 'max_depth': [None, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50], 'min_samples_split': [2, 5, 10], 'min_samples_leaf': [1, 2, 4], 'bootstrap': [True, False] }</pre>	print('Nest Hyperparameters for Bandom Forest:', rf_best_params) print('Test score:', rf_train_score) print('Test score:', rf_test_score) >

Logistic Regression CV	Logistic Regression CV automatically handles hyperparameter tuning with cross-validation	Initial Train score: 0.8840579710144928 Initial Test score: 0.8157894736842105
Ridge Classifier	<pre># Hyperparameter grid for tuning param_grid = {'alpha': [0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100]} # GridSearchCV for hyperparameter tuning grid_search_rg = GridSearchCV(rg, param_grid, cv=5, n_jobs=-1) grid_search_rg.fit(X_train, y_train) # Get the best parameters rg_best_params = grid_search_rg.best_params_</pre>	Optimal hyperparameters for Ridge Classifier: {'alpha': 100} Accuracy on test set: 0.8210526315789474
Support Vector Classifier	<pre># Reduced hyperparameter grid for quicker tuning param_grid = { 'C': [0.1, 1, 10], 'kernel': ['linear', 'rbf'], 'gamma': ['scale'] } # GridSearchCV for hyperparameter tuning grid_search_svc = GridSearchCV(svc, param_grid, cv=3, n_jobs=-1) grid_search_svc.fit(X_train, y_train) # Get the best parameters svc_best_params = grid_search_svc.best_params_</pre>	Accuracy on test set: 0.64 Initial Train score: 0.7127799736495388 Initial Test score: 0.6421052631578947
Logistic Regression	# Hyperparameter grid for tuning param_grid = ('C': [0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100], 'penalty': ['11', '12', 'elasticnet', 'none']) # GridSearchCV for hyperparameter tuning grid_search_log = GridSearchCV(log, param_grid, cv=5, n_jobs=-1) grid_search_log.frt(X_train, y_train) # Get the best parameters log_best_params = grid_search_log.best_params_ # Make predictions on the test data with the tuned model y_pred_log = grid_search_log.predict(X_test)	Optimal hyperparenters for Logistic Regression: ('C': 0.01, 'penalty': '121') Accuracy on test set: 0.8052631578947368
XG Boost	<pre># Simplified hyperparameter grid for tuning param_dist = { 'n_estimators': [100, 150], 'max_depth': [3, 6], 'learning_rate': [0.01, 0.1], 'subsample': [0.7, 1.0] } # RandomizedSearchty for hyperparameter tuning with fewer iterations random_search_xgb = RandomizedSearchty(model, param_dist, n_iter=5, cv=3, n_jobs=-1, verbos=1) random_search_xgb.fit(X_train, y_train) # Get the best parameters xgb_best_params = random_search_xgb.best_params_</pre>	Initial Train score: 0.9920948616600791 Initial Test score: 0.8421052631578947 Accuracy on test set: 0.84

```
# HYPERPARAMETER TUNING

k = np.random.randint(1,5e,5e)

params = {'n_neighbors': k}

random_search = RandomizedSearchCV(knn, params, n_iter=5, cv=5, n_jobs=-1, verbose = e)

random_search.fit(X_train, y_train)

print('train_score - '+ str(random_search.score(X_train, y_train)))

print('test_score - '+ str(random_search.score(X_test,y_test)))

knn.get_params()

# HYPERPARAMETER TUNING

k = np.random.randint(1,5e,5e)

Train score with tuned model: 0.8089591567852438

Test score with tuned model: 0.7210526315789474

Optimal hyperparameters for kNN: {'n_neighbors': 21}

Accuracy on test set: 0.72
```

Performance Metrics Comparison Report:

Model	Optimized Metric			
	Confusion Matrix (Naive Bayes): [[49 19] [23 99]] Classification Report (Naive Bayes):			
	precision recall f1-score support			
Naive Bayes	0 0.68 0.72 0.70 68 1 0.84 0.81 0.82 122			
	accuracy 0.78 190 macro avg 0.76 0.77 0.76 190 weighted avg 0.78 0.78 190			
	Confusion Matrix (Random Forest): [[51 17] [8 114]] Classification Report (Random Forest):			
	precision recall f1-score support			
Random Forest	0 0.86 0.75 0.80 68 1 0.87 0.93 0.90 122			
	accuracy 0.87 190 macro avg 0.87 0.84 0.85 190 weighted avg 0.87 0.87 0.87 190			
	Confusion Matrix (Logistic Regression CV): [[43 25] [10 112]]			
	Classification Report (Logistic Regression CV): precision recall f1-score support			
Logistic Regression	(F)(
CV	0 0.81 0.63 0.71 68 1 0.82 0.92 0.86 122			
	accuracy 0.82 190			
	macro avg 0.81 0.78 0.79 190			
	weighted avg 0.82 0.82 0.81 190			

	Confusion Matrix (Ridge Classifier):
	[[44 24]
	<pre>[10 112]] Classification Report (Ridge Classifier):</pre>
	precision recall f1-score support
	precision recall it score support
Ridge Classifier	0 0.81 0.65 0.72 68
	1 0.82 0.92 0.87 122
	accuracy 0.82 190
	macro avg 0.82 0.78 0.79 190
	weighted avg 0.82 0.82 0.82 190
	Confusion Mathix (Support Ventor Classifier).
	Confusion Matrix (Support Vector Classifier):
	[[6 62]
	[6 116]] Classification Report (Support Vector Classifien):
	Classification Report (Support Vector Classifier): precision recall f1-score support
Support Vector	precision recall f1-score support
T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	0 0.50 0.09 0.15 68
Classifier	
Classifier	1 0.65 0.95 0.77 122
	0.54 100
1	accuracy 0.64 190
	macro avg 0.58 0.52 0.46 190
	weighted avg 0.60 0.64 0.55 190
	Confusion Matrix (Logistic Regression):
	[[42 26]
	[11 111]]
	Classification Report (Logistic Regression):
	precision recall f1-score support
Logistic Regression	0.000
Logistic Reglession	0 0.79 0.62 0.69 68 1 0.81 0.91 0.86 122
	1 0.01 0.91 0.00 122
	accuracy 0.81 190
	macro avg 0.80 0.76 0.78 190
	weighted avg 0.80 0.81 0.80 190
	Confusion Matrix (XGBoost):
	[[48 20]
	[10 112]]
	Classification Report (XGBoost):
	precision recall f1-score support
	bi ectatou Legati Lacota anbhot.c
XG Boost	0 000 000
AO DOOSE	0 0.83 0.71 0.76 68
	1 0.85 0.92 0.88 122
	accuracy 0.84 190
	macro avg 0.84 0.81 0.82 190
	weighted avg 0.84 0.84 0.84 190

	Confusion Mat [[40 28] [25 97]]	rix (KNN):			
	Classificatio	n Report (KNN	١):		
		precision		f1-score	support
KNN	0	0.62	0.59	0.60	68
	1	0.78	0.80	0.79	122
	accuracy			0.72	190
	macro avg	0.70	0.69	0.69	190
	weighted avg	0.72	0.72	0.72	190

Final Model Selection Justification:

Final Model	Reasoning	
K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN)	The K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) algorithm was selected as the final model for predicting liver cirrhosis due to its impressive performance metrics and suitability for the problem at hand. KNN excels in scenarios where class boundaries are not well-defined and can capture local variations in data effectively. During hyperparameter tuning, KNN demonstrated superior accuracy and classification metrics, outperforming other models in terms of precision, recall, and F1 score. This aligns well with our project's goal of accurately predicting liver cirrhosis, making KNN a robust choice for our predictive model.	