

CSCI 341: Computer Organization
WS 7: Procedures

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | <p>(a) Which registers do you put values into for a function to get them from (i.e. parameters/arguments)? a0-a7</p> <p>(b) What two actions does JAL perform? JAL saves PC+4 (the address of the next instruction) into the register and changes PC to the address of the label.</p> <p>(c) In which register should you save the return address to when calling a function? ra (x1)</p> <p>(d) Which registers does a function put values in to return them to the caller? a0 and a1</p> <p>(e) jalr zero, ra, 0 jumps to the address in ra. What should ra hold? ra should hold the return value.</p> |
| 2 | <p>List which registers are saved by callers and callees. Which are not saved at all</p> <p>Solution: Caller: ra, t*, a* Callee: s* No-one: zero, gp, tp </p> |
| 3 | <p>What is a leaf procedure? What registers should it use?</p> <p>Solution: a leaf procedure is a procedure that does not call any other procedures. It should use t registers.</p> |
| 4 | <p>Arrays in C and RISC-V may seem different, but under the hood they operate the same. Consider the following C code:</p> <pre>int array[]={0,1,2,3,4,5,9,7,8,6}; printf("%d",array[6]);</pre> <p>array[3] is what programmers call "syntactic sugar", it makes the higher level program</p> |

easier to read. However, when the compiler sees this, it might replace it with this:

```
printf("%d\n", *(array+6));
```

Why? An array is actually a pointer. The above line of code takes the pointer to the first element of the array, adds the size of 6 integers to it, then dereferences the pointer to get the value at that memory location. You might be asking how C knows to add the size of 6 integers to the base address. The answer is because C is (mostly) typesafe. The compiler notices that the array is of type integer, and adjusts the amount added to the array accordingly. This can be done manually, but a couple “hacks” are needed. We need to remove C’s type safety. This is accomplished by casting the int pointer to a void pointer. This removes any notion of the compiler knowing what size the underlying data type is.

Translate the first segment of C code presented in this problem, shown again below for convenience, to RISC-V. Don't worry about formatting the string, just print an integer.

```
int array[]={0,1,2,3,4,5,9,7,8,6};
printf("%d",array[6]);
```

Solution: .data
array: .word 0,1,2,3,4,5,9,7,8,6
.text
main:
la s0, array
lw a0, 24(s0)
addi a7, x0, 1
ecall

5 Write this recursive C function as a recursive RISC-V function (assume no overflow):

```
unsigned int sumseries(unsigned int start, unsigned int end) {
    if (start >= end) return end;
    return start + sumseries(start+1,end);
}
```

Solution:

```
sumseries:
    addi sp, sp, -8
    sw ra, 0(sp)
    sw a0, 4(sp)

    bge a0, a1, base_case    # if start >= end goto base_case
```

```
    addi a0, a0, 1    # start++
    jal ra, sumseries # sumseries(start,end)
    lw t0, 4(sp)      # t0 = original start
    addi a0, a0, t0    # return = start + sumseries(start+1,end)

    jal zero, done    # jump over base-case
base_case:
    add a0, zero, a1  # return = end
done:
    lw ra, 0(sp)
    addi sp, sp, 8
    jalr zero, ra, 0
```