Comparison	of th	e consensus	sequence	flanking	translational	start	sites	in	Drosophila	and
vertebrates										

Douglas R.Cavener

Department of Molecular Biology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA

Received January 14, 1987; Accepted January 23, 1987

ABSTRACT

The previously presented consensus sequence for eukaryotic translation initiation sites by Kozak (1) was derived substantially from vertebrate mRNA sequences. Drosophila nuclear genes exhibit a significantly different translation start consensus sequence. These differences probably do not represent mechanistic differences in translation initiation inasmuch as both taxa exhibit identical preferences and restrictions at the crucial -3 position. Using more conservative criteria for the assignment of consensus the following consensus sequences were derived: vertebrate--CANCAUG and Drosophila--CAAAAUG.

INTRODUCTION

Previous analyses of the sequences flanking the translational start (TS) site in 211 eukaryotic mRNAs by Kozak (1) revealed an apparent consensus sequence CCACCAUG(G). Kozak's TS consensus sequence has been widely used to examine newly sequenced genes for the location of translational start sites. Kozak (2) has experimentally demonstrated that certain combinations of nucleotides flanking the start site have potent effects upon translation rates. This was most apparent at the -3 position (i.e. three nucleotides upstream of the start codon) where translation initiation is negatively affected by substitutions of nonconsensus nucleotides. The importance of the other consensus nucleotides is more subtle and they exhibit an interaction effect with the state of the -3 position. Sargan and coworkers (3) have proposed that the recognition of the start site by the ribosome could be mediated through complementary pairing of the mRNA CCACC sequence between -5 and -1 (or at least a similar sequence nearby) and five nucleotides at the base of the highly conserved 18S rRNA stem loop structure. Thus this consensus sequence has considerable practical and theoretical value. An untested assumption of this body of work is that the consensus sequence is valid for all eukaryotic taxa. Over 80% of the sequences analyzed by Kozak were of vertebrate origin and therefore the generality of this consensus sequence was unknown. I have compiled and analyzed the sequences flanking the start codon of Drosophila

Figure 1. Tabulated data and derived translation start consensus sequence.

r I gur	•	abul	accu	uava	and	del. I AG	ı cıa	HOLAU	1011 3	care	COLIDE	115 45	Sequ	ence.
VERTE	BRATES													
	-10	-9	- 8	-7	<u>-6</u>	- 5	-4	-3	-2	-1		+4	+5	+6
G	23	49	28	19	76	29	21	36	21	32		78	27	72
A	58	46	33	62	36	30	40	138	43	31		48	44	21
U	37	30	42	46	42	52	13	1	27	13		25	34	48
С	58	54	74	51	23	68	104	3	88	103		26	73	37
G	13	27	16	11	43	16	12	20	12	18		44	15	40
A	33	26	19	35	20	17	22	78	24	17		27	25	12
U	21	17	24	26	24	29	7	<1	15	7		14	19	27
С	33	30	42	29	13	38	58	2	49	58		15	41	21
	a/c	с	с	a	g	c c	С	A	с	С	AUG	g	e	g
Verte	brate C	onse	nsus				C	A	N	С	AUG			
DROSO	DHTI A													
DINOSO	III													
	-10	- 9	-8	-7	-6	- 5	-4	-3	-2	-1		+4	+5	+6
G	13	16	14	10	19	15	2	10	7	14		18	11	18
A	29	29	23	35	29	21	25	63	43	29		25	23	7
ប	9	19	17	13	14	22	6	1	8	6		15	10	15
С	24	11	21	17	15	19	44	2	19	28		10	24	28
G	17	21	19	13	25	19	3	13	9	18		26	16	26
A	39	39	31	47	38	27	32	82	56	38		37	34	10
ប	12	25	23	17	18		8	1	10	8		22	15	22
С	32	15	28	23	19	25	57	3	25	36		15	35	41
	a	а	a	a	a		C/A	A	A		AUG	a	С	с
Droso	phila C	onser	1sus				C/A	A	A	A/C	AUG			

For reference the ATG (AUG) start codon corresponds to +1 through +3. The vertebrate data was extracted from the compilation of sequences by Kozak (1). The <u>Drosophila</u> data are from the sequences listed in Fig. 2 with the exclusions indicated by asterisks. The first block for each data set contains the actual numerical data. The second block for each data set contains these same data presented as a percentage. Below the second block for each set is the derived consensus nucleotides (upper case letters) and preferred nucleotides (lower case letters) as defined in the text.

nuclear genes. In addition I have extracted the vertebrate data from Kozak's (1) compilation of sequences and analyzed them.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Consensus criteria.

An important issue germane to the analysis of nucleic acid sequences is the criteria used for consensus assignments. In its common usage consensus means general agreement, quantitatively implying at least a majority. Thus it seems inappropriate to assign the status of consensus on the basis of a plurality of cases. With these considerations in mind I have chosen the following criteria for the assignment of consensus sequences. If the frequency of a single nucleotide at a specific position is greater than 50% and greater than twice the number of the second most frequent nucleotide it is assigned as the consensus nucleotide. If the sum of the frequencies of two nucleotides is greater than 75% (but neither meet the criteria for a single nucleotide assignment) they are assigned as co-consensus nucleotides. If no single nucleotide or pair of nucleotides meet the criteria of consensus nucleotide(s) the letter N is assigned to that position. (In such cases the most frequent nucleotide is denoted by a lower case letter in Figure 1).

The <u>in vivo</u> utilization of only a few of the start codons in the vertebrate and <u>Drosophila</u> data sets have been directly confirmed.

Nonetheless, virtually all of the start codons in these two data sets have considerable indirect evidence supporting their identity. The type of evidence for the identity of the <u>Drosophila</u> start codons is indicated next to the sequences. I have not included several sequences for which an ambiguity occurs regarding the identification of the start codon. It is conceivable that a few of the start codons reported herein will eventually prove to be erroneous. However, the goal of this study was to obtain reliable consensus data which would not be significantly affected by a few errors.

Vertebrate TS consensus

The sequence data for all of the vertebrates were extracted from Kozak's compilation and analyzed (Figure 1). Not surprisingly, the consensus derived from these data generally agrees with the consensus derived by Kozak for the total data set (i.e. vertebrates and other higher eukaryotes). However, inspection of the numerical data indicate that there is no compelling consensus at the -5, -2, and +4 positions for vertebrates contrary to Kozak's

Figure 2 Sequences flanking Drosophila translation start codons.

					Start
	-10-9-8-7-6-5	5-4-3-2-1	123 456	Ref.	Data
Acetylcholinesterase	CATCCG			001	3
achaete-scute T5	ATCTCT	TAAA	ATG GCT	002	2,3
Actin 79B	CTAACC	CAAAC	ATG TGT	003	4
Actin 88F**	AACTGC	CAAG	ATG TGT	004	4
Alcohol dehydrogenase	AGAAGT	CACC	ATG TCG	005	1,4,
Alcohol dehydrogenase (s)*	AGAAGT	CACC	ATG GCG	006	6
Alcohol dehydrogenase (m)*	AGAAGT	CACC	ATG GCG	007	6
Alcohol dehydrogenase (o)*	CTAAAG			008	6
Alcohol dehydrogenase (p)*	AAAAGA			009	6
Alcohol dehydrogenase (a)*	TCGCTG		ATG GTT	010	6
Alcohol dehydrogenase (h)*	CACAGA			011	6
Alcohol dehydrogenase-1 (mu)*	GTCCAA			012	6
Alcohol dehydrogenase-2 (mu)*	CTCCAT			013	6
3' gene to Adh	GATATA			014	2
3' gene to Adh (s)*	GATAGA	AAGA	ATG TTC	015	2,6
3' gene to Adh (m)*	GATAGA			016	2,6
3' gene to Adh (p)*	AGCCAA			017	2,6
Amylase	TGGAAT			018	4
Amylase (p)*	CTAGCA			019	6
Antennapedia	AGCTGC			020	4,5
Aprt	AAGTAG			021	3
bithoraxiod	ACTTGA			022	2,4
bsg 25D	GTTACG			023	4
Calmodulin	ACCTAC			024	1
Chorion s15-1	AGCACT			025	4
Chorion s18-1	CAGCCT			026	4
Chorion s38-1	GGGAGA			027	4
Chorion s36-1	AAACGG			028	3
Copia polyprotein	TGAGTG			029	2
Cuticle protein I	GTCAGC			030	2,6
Cuticle protein II*	ATCAGO			031	2,6
Cuticle protein III**		CAAA		032	2,6
Cuticle protein IV*	CCAAGT			033	2,6
Dopa decarboxylase CNS	AATCTC			034	4
Dopa decarboxylase epidermal	CAAGAT			035	4
Dras 1	CCACAG			036	2,6
Dras 2	CAGTCT			037	3
Darc		AGCC		038	2,6
Dare 28C	CATTGG			039	4,5
E74	CCTATO			040	4,5
EGF receptor homolog	TGAGCA			040	2
engrailed	GTCGAA			042	4,5
engrailed (v)*	AAGTGA			043	3,6
Esterase-6	GAGGAG			044	3,0
even-skipped	CATACC			045	4
Gart	CAGCGG			046	4
Glucose dehydrogenase		CAAC		047	4
Hsp-70	CTCACA			048	4
Hsp-22		CTACA		049	4
Hsp-23				050	4
Hsp-26	AAAAGT			051	4
Hsp-27**	AAAATC			052	4
Hsp-82	TACATA			053	4
Hsp-82 (s)*	TAAATA			054	4.6
Hsp-82 (p)*	CACATA			055	4,6

		Start
	-10-9-8-7-6-5-4-3-2-1 123 456 Ref.	Data
Hsp-82 (v)*	GACATACAAGATGCCT 056	4,6
LSP1 a	A G T T T C C A G G ATG AAG 057	4,6
LSP1 β**	ATCCGTCAACATGAAG 058	4,6
LSP1 Y**	AGGACCAAGGATGAAG 059	4,6
Mariner transposon ORF (m)	T G C A G T C A A C ATG TCG 060	2
Metallothionein	CTCAATCAAGATGCCT 061	4
Myosin light chain	AACAGACAAATG GCT 062	3
NHCP gene	A A A A C A A A A ATG GGC 063	3
Opsin Rh2	GTAGCTGAGCATGGAG 064	4
Opsin, ninaE**	CCAAAACACAATG GAG 065	4
P-transposase	ATAAAAAAAATGAAA 066	4
paired	T C C A G A A A C T ATG ACC 067	2,3
period	CAGCAGCGACATGATC 068	4
Polycomb	TTAATTAAAAATG ACT 069	3
Pupal cuticle gene	ACGCGACACCATG TAT 070	2
Ribosomal protein A1	AGACTTAAAC ATG CGT 071	3,4
Ribosomal protein 49	TTCAAGATGACC 072	4
RNA polymerase II, large sub.	GACGACCAGGATGAGC 073	4
rosy, xanthine dehydrogenase	GCACTTCACGATGTCT 074	3,5
rudimentary	CTCGTCCAATATGGCC 075	2,4,6
S60, 46C	CAGAAAAAT ATG TCA 076	4
S72, 84B	CATACCAAACATGCAC 077	14
Sgs-3, glue protein	AGTAAAAACATGAAG 078	- 4
Sgs-3 (s)*	AGTAACAAACATGAAG 079	6
Sgs-3 (e)*	AGTAACAAACATGAAG 080	6
Sgs-3 (y)*	AGTAACAAACATGAAG 081	6
Sgs-4	CAAAGTCAAGATGCGC 082	4
Sgs-5	CTTTTACGACATGTTC 083	4
Sgs-7	A G A T A G A A C C ATG AAA 084	4
Sgs-8*	AGCAACAACCATGAAG 085	4
Stellate	GTTCAACCAGATGGGC 086	2
sny β	CGGCGACTAGATGAGC 087	4
sry a	ATAGAACAGCATGGAA 088	4
sry Y	CGTCGGCGCAATGGAT 089	4
Tropomyosin	CACAAACACCATG GAC 090	2
Tubulin, a1	AAAACTCAATATG GTG 091	4,6
Tubulin, α2**	TTTGATCATCATGGTA 092	4,6
Tubulin, α3*	A A A A A T C A A T ATG GCG 093	4,6
Tubulin, α4**	AACTAATAAAATG GTG 094	4,6
Ultrabithorax	CAGCAGCGCAATGAAC 095	4,5
Vitelline	ACCAATCAACATGAAG 096	2,3
yellow	GCTAAGTGCAATGTTC 097	4,5
Yolk protein-1	A A T C C G A A C C ATG AAC 098	4
Yolk protein-2**	G G A A G C C A C A ATG AAT 099	4
Yolk protein-3	TTGCACCAAAATGATG 100	4

*Data not used for consensus analysis in Fig. 1. **Data used for analysis of positions -10 through -1 but not used for consensus analysis of positions +4 through +6. Above data is from <u>D. melanogaster</u> unless otherwise indicated by a letter abbreviation in parentheses. s = <u>D. simulans</u>, m = <u>D. maritiana</u>, o = <u>D. orena</u>, y = <u>D. yakuba</u>, e = <u>D. erecta</u>, p = <u>D. pseudoobscura</u>, v = <u>D. virilis</u>, mu = <u>D. mulleri</u>, a = <u>D. affinidisjuncta</u>, and h = <u>D. hawaiiensis</u>. Information used to identify the start codons is given in the Start Data column where 1 = Comparison of DNA sequence with amino acid sequence (independently determined), 2 = Open reading frame analysis of genomic DNA, 3 = Open reading frame analysis of cDNA, 4 = 5' transcript mapping data plus DNA sequence analysis, 5 = Analysis of in vitro transcription/translation products compared with DNA sequence, and 6 = Comparative analysis (interspecific or intraspecific) of homologous genes.

```
Bibliography for Figure 2. The citations are given in a condensed form.
Personal communications are indicated by pc following the names.
001 Hall (1986) EMBO 5, 2949.
                                          041 Livneh (1985) Cell 40,599.
002 R. Villares & C. Cabrera, pc.
                                          042 Poole (1985) Cell 40,37.
003 Fyrberg (1981) Cell 24, 107.
                                          043 J. Kassis, D. Wright,
    Sanchez (1983) JMB 163,533.
                                              & P. O'Farrell, pc.
004 Fyrberg, op. cit.
                                          044 Nielsen, PNAS, in press.
    Sanchez, op. cit.
                                          045 Macdonald (1986) Cell 47, 721.
005 Goldberg (1980) PNAS 77.5794.
                                          046 Henikoff (1986) Cell 44,33.
    Benyajati (1983) Cell 33,125.
                                          047 D. Cox, R. Whetten,
006 Bodmer (1984) Nature 309,425
                                              & D. Cavener, pc.
007 Bodmer, op. cit.
                                          048 Torok (1980) NAR 8,3105.
008 Bodmer, op. cit.
                                              Ingolia (1980) Cell 21,669.
009 S. Schaeffer & C. Aquadro, pc.
                                          049 Ingolia (1981) NAR 9,1627.
010 R. Rowan & W. Dickinson, pc.
                                              Southgate (1983) JMB 165,35.
011 R. Rowan & W. Dickinson, pc.
                                          050 Ingolia, op. cit.
012 Fischer (1985) NAR 13,6899.
                                              Southgate, op. cit.
013 Fischer, op. cit.
                                          051 Ingolia, op. cit.
014 Cohn (1985) Ph.D. Thesis,
                                              Southgate, op. cit.
    U. Michigan.
                                          052 Ingolia, op. cit.
015 Cohn (1985) Ph.D. Thesis,
                                              Southgate, op. cit.
    U. Michigan.
                                          053 Blackman (1986) JMB 188,499.
                                          054 Blackman, op. cit.
016 Cohn (1985) Ph.D. Thesis,
                                          055 Blackman, op. cit.
    U. Michigan.
017 S. Schaeffer & C. Aquadro, pc.
                                          056 Blackman. op. cit.
018 Boer (1986) NAR 14, 8399.
                                          057 Delaney (1986) JMB 189,1.
019 C. Brown, pc.
                                              Jowett (1986) EMBO 4,3789.
020 Schneuwly (1986) EMBO 5,733.
                                          058 Delaney, op. cit.
    Laughon (1986) MCB 6, 4676.
                                          059 Delaney, op. cit.
    Stroeher (1986) MCB 6, 4667.
                                          060 Jacobson (1986) PNAS 83,8684.
021 D. Johnson, pc.
                                          061 Maroni (1986) Genetics 112,493.
022 H. Lipshitz, D. Peattie, & D.
                                          062 Falkenthal (1984) MCB 4,956.
                                          063 James (1986) MCB 6, 3862.
    Hogness, pc.
023 Boyer (1986) Ph.D. Thesis, UCLA
                                          064 Cowman (1986) Cell 44,705.
024 V. Smith, K. Doyle, J. Maune,
                                          065 O'Tousa (1985) Cell 40,839.
    R.Munjaal & K. Beckingham, pc.
                                              Zuker (1985) Cell 40, 851.
025 Levine (1985) Chromosoma
                                          066 Rio (1986) Cell 44,21.
    92,136.
                                              O'Hare (1983) Cell 34,25.
026 Levine, op. cit.
                                          067 Frigerio (1986) Cell 47, 735.
027 B. Wakimoto, J. Levine, &
                                          068 Jackson (1986) Nature 320,185.
                                          069 R. Paro, pc.
    A. Spradling, pc.
028 J. Levine & A. Spradling, pc.
                                          070 Henikoff (1986) Cell 44,33.
029 Mount (1985) MCB 5,1630.
                                          071 S. Qian, pc.
030 Snyder (1982) Cell 29,1027.
                                          072 O'Connell (1984) NAR 12,5495.
031 Snyder, op. cit.
032 Snyder, op. cit.
                                          073 Biggs (1985) Cell 42,611.
                                          074 C. Lee, D. Curtis, W. Bender,
033 Snyder, op. cit.
                                              M. McCarron, C. Love, &
034 Morgan (1986) EMBO 5,3335.
                                              A. Chovnick, pc.
                                          075 Freund (1986) JMB 189, 25.
    Eveleth (1986) EMBO 5,2663.
035 Morgan, op. cit.
                                          076 T. Hoey, pc.
036 Neuman-Silberberg (1984)
                                          077 T. Hoey, pc.
   Cell 37,1027.
                                          078 Garfinkel (1983) JMB 165,765.
037 Neuman-Silberg, op. cit.
                                          079 C. Martin & E. Meyerowitz, pc.
                                          080 C. Martin & E. Meyerowitz, pc.
    H. Brock, pc.
                                          081 C. Martin & E. Meyerowitz, pc.
038 Simon (1985) Cell 42,831.
039 S. Wadsworth, pc.
                                         082 Muskavitch (1982) Cell 29,1041.
040 C. Thummel, K. Burtis,
                                         083 Shore (1986) JMB 189,
```

084 Garfinkel (1983) JMB 165,765.

& D. Hogness, pc.

```
085 Garfinkel, op. cit.
                                         094 Theurkauf, op. cit.
086 K. Livak, pc.
                                         095 R. Saint & H. Lipshitz, pc.
087 Vincent (1985) JMB 186,149.
                                             W. Petri & L. Scherer, pc.
088 Vincent, op. cit.
                                         096 W. Petri, p.c.
089 Vincent, op. cit.
                                         097 H. Biessmann, pc.
090 Karlik (1985) Cell 37,469.
                                             Chia (1986) EMBO 13,3597.
091 Theurkauf (1986) PNAS 83,8477.
                                         098 Hung (1981) NAR 9,6407.
                                         099 Hung (1983) JMB 164,481.
092 Theurkauf, op. cit.
093 Theurkauf, op. cit.
                                         100 Y. Yan, C. Kunert, & J.
                                             Postlethwait, pc.
```

consensus. Thus, the derived vertebrate consensus is ${\tt CANC\underline{AUG}}$ using the consensus determination rules stated above.

Drosophila TS consensus

The sequence data for Drosophila were derived from published sequences and from unpublished reports sent to me (Figure 2). Data for the following six Drosophila genes were not included because of uncertainty of which start codon among multiple possibilities is actually used: white (4), zeste (V. Pirrotta, personal communication), <u>fushi</u> <u>tarazu</u> (5), a Hobo TE gene (R. Streck and S. Beckendorf, personal communication), Notch (6,7), caudal (P. Macdonald, personal communication and W. Gehring, personal communication) and Kruppel (8). The Drosophila data set contain a number of closely related genes. Gene sequences which were closely related to other genes in the data set were excluded from the consensus analysis and are not tabulated in Figure 1. The derived consensus for Drosophila is SAAAAUG. The average fit to the four consensus positions immediately upstream of the start codon is 3.1 nucleotides. Like vertebrates, Drosophila exhibits a strong consensus for A at the -3 position with a secondary preference for G. The major difference between the Drosophila and vertebrate consensus is that the Drosophila sequence is A biased as opposed to a C bias. Indeed, A is the most frequent nucleotide in 8 of 10 positions upstream of the start codon. This A bias yields differences between the Drosophila and vertebrate consensus at positions -4, -2, and -1. The G bias at the +4 position previously noted by Kozak (1) is not observed in Drosophila genes.

The differences between the vertebrate and <u>Drosophila</u> TS consensus sequences indicate that it is inappropriate to use the Kozak consensus as a general eukaryotic consensus sequence. These differences probably reflect taxonomic biases as opposed to qualitatively different mechanisms. Certainly one feature which may prove to be highly conserved in all higher eukaryotes is the strong preference for a purine at the -3 position. In addition C or A at positions -4, -2, and -1 may be a general preference. Finally the joint

occurrence of pyrimidines at the -3 and +4 positions is not observed in either data set. With the exception of this latter restriction, a wide range of sequence combinations is observed. Thus these consensus sequences cannot be used by themselves to discriminate between alternative start codons. However, the following summary of TS sequence frequencies for vertebrates and Drosophila may prove useful for the identification of putative start codons: RNNAUG 95-98%; YNNAUGR 2-5%; and YNNAUGY 0% (where R = purines and Y = pyrimidines).

Theoretical considerations.

Kozak (2) has provided compelling evidence in support of her scanning model of translation initiation. The scanning model proposes that ribosomes bind at the 5' cap of mRNAs and then scan (in a 5'-3' direction) for the first AUG in a good translation initiation context. An unresolved complication is that many mRNAs contain multiple AUGs in the "leader sequence" upstream of the start codon which initiates translation of the major coding region. In most cases these upstream AUGs are closely followed by stop codons. Kozak has demonstrated that such AUGs may be ignored by the ribosomes if they contain an exceptionally poor context (e.g. pyrimidines at -3 and +4). Although some of these upstream AUGs have a poor context others clearly have an adequate context as defined by the vertebrate and Drosophila consensus sequences defined herein. For example the Drosophila acetylcholinesterase (Ace) mRNA contains five upstream AUGs (9). Two of these are flanked by pyrimidines at -3 and +4. However the context of the other three AUGs fit the Drosophila consensus sequence just as well as the context of the start codon at the beginning of the 1,950 bp Ace coding region. Either these three short ORFs are translated as predicted by their context or they are ignored for some other reason (e.g. secondary structure exclusion). The Kruppel gene presents another type of complex sequence germane to translation initiation. The 5' end of the Kruppel mRNA contains four AUGs, all of which are flanked by -3/+4pyrimidines (8). In contrast to Ace, these AUGs are not proceeded by stop codons and are in frame with the major reading frame. It is not known whether one of these AUGs serves as the start codon or whether the fifth AUG (which is in a good context) is the start codon.

The taxonomic differences reported herein are also relevant to molecular models which propose that the mRNA translation start site is recognized by the 18S ribosomal RNA (2,3). A highly conserved stem-loop structure exists at the 3' end of the 18S RNA (10). At the base of the stem is the sequence GGUGG which might base pair with the CCACC (-5 to -1) mRNA consensus sequence

proposed by Kozak. The former sequence is perfectly conserved in <u>Drosophila</u>, barley, and several vertebrates examined as well as several other eukaryotes. The data presented herein on the <u>Drosophila</u> TS consensus clearly present a difficult challenge to this model. The mean number of nucleotides in the <u>Drosophila</u> mRNA between -5 and -1 which are complementary to the 18S RNA GGUGG sequence is only 2.3 (+/- 1.0). It is possible that the GGUGG 18S sequence interacts with some other segment of the mRNA leader (3). However, it seems equally likely that interactions between the other elements of the ribosome and the sequences flanking the start codon are responsible for the proper localization of the start codon by the ribosome.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank the <u>Drosophila</u> workers who shared unpublished sequences with me. I thank Diana Cox, Chris Schonbaum, Ross Whetten, Mike Murtha, Phil Krasney, Brian Foster, and Susan Schlitz for stimulating discussions concerning these data and for useful comments on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kozak, M. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 857.
- Kozak, M. (1986) Cell 44, 283-292.
- 3. Sargan, D.R., Gregory, S.P. and Butterworth, P.H.W. (1982) FEBS Letters 147, 133-136.
- 4. O'Hare, K., Murphy, C., Levis, R., and Rubin, G. (1984) J. Mol. Biol. 180, 437-455.
- 5. Laughon, A. and Scott, M. (1984) Nature 310, 25-31.
- 6. Wharton, K., Johansen, K., Xu, T. and Artavanis-Tsakonas, S. (1985) Cell 43, 567-581.
- 7. Kidd, S., Kelley, M. and Young, M. (1986) Mol. Cell. Biol. 6, 3094-3108.
- 8. Rosenberg, U., Schroder, C., Preiss, A., Cote, S., Riede, I., and Jackle, H. (1986) Nature 319, 336-339.
- 9. Hall, L. and Spierer, P. (1986) EMBO J. 5, 2949-2954.
- Van Charldorp, R. and Van Knippenberg, P. (1982) Nucleic Acids Res. 10, 1149-1158.