

# Unseen 6D Pose Competition Proposal

EPFL CVLab and ESA Advanced Concept Team

Scientific Objective: Provide a platform to demonstrate the 6D pose estimation algorithms for unseen objects. The competition will take place in a spacecraft context but will remain applicable for non-space domains.

---

## Dataset Characteristics:

- 100+ spacecraft models of varying textures and fidelity
- Earth albedo and direct lighting
- Earth rendered and real earth image backgrounds
- 30,000+ rendered images with annotations
- Two test tracks
  - **Test Track A:** ~5 - 10 unique models  
Non-annotated images with target models included  
Object is unseen but known
  - **Test Track B:** ~5 - 10 unique models  
Non-annotated images with no target models included  
Object is unseen and unknown  
Test Track B render models cannot be publicly available  
Test Track B images should not be released (otherwise participants can recreate the Track B models)

## Evaluation Criteria:

Evaluation on the test tracks will be based on relative pose. As test targets are different from the training targets, and a model is not provided, each participant is likely to have a unique canonical frame for their pose estimations. For a fair evaluation, the relative pose to a baseline image will be evaluated. ~5 baseline (non annotated) images will be shared for each target model in Track A and Track B.

---

## Challenges:

Can the competition be formatted in such a way that the test images for Track B are NOT released? Is it possible to do this without having the evaluators implement the participant's code?

Do we have access to 5+ non publicly available spacecraft models? Do we need to create the models ourselves?

## CVLab Team Action Items:

1. Construct the dataset
  - a. Obtain 100+ spacecraft models (5+ must not be publicly available)
  - b. Render images of 100+ models (can this be automated?)
  - c. Explore and modify lighting conditions
  - d. Explore and modify target locations (current dataset has out of Field of View renders)
2. Finalize Evaluation Criteria
  - a. Are training image annotations absolute or relative?
  - b. Is ADD (Average Distance of Model Points) appropriate when no model is being shared?
3. Produce a baseline solution
  - a. Literature search (template matching may not be serviceable for Test Track B)
  - b. Implement the architecture
  - c. Train/test on our dataset