

# THE PATCH FRAME AND ITS RELATIONS WITH SEPARATION IN POINT-FREE TOPOLOGY

ABSTRACT.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Aquí va la introducción.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

### 3. HAUSDORFF PROPERTIES IMPLIES PATCH TRIVIALITY

Gadgets:

$A$  the base frame

Its point space  $S = \text{pt}(A)$ .

$NA$  is the assembly of nucleus of  $A$ .

The compact saturated sets of  $S$ ,

$$\mathcal{Q}(S).$$

The preframe of open filters of  $A$ ,

$$A^\wedge.$$

The preframe of open filters of  $\Omega(S)$ .

$$\Omega(S)^\wedge.$$

The set of compact quotients

$$KA = \{j \in NA \mid A_j \text{ is compact}\}.$$

First we recall that every open filter  $F \in A^\wedge$  has three faces, that is, determines (and its determine) by :

- The compact saturated  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}S$ .
- $\nabla \in \Omega(S)^\wedge$ .
- The compact quotient  $A \rightarrow A_F$ .
- The fitted nucleus  $v_F$ .

Hoffman-Mislove can be rephrase:

There is a bijection between compact quotients of  $A$  and compact saturated sets of  $S$

**Definition 3.1.** A frame has KC if every compact quotient of  $A$  is a closed one. In other words every compact sublocale is close.

Denote by  $\mathcal{Hrm}$  the subcategory of  $Frm$  of Hausdorff frames in the sense of Johnstone and Shu.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $A \in Frm$  and  $j, k \in NA$  be. We consider  $F \in A_j^\wedge$  y  $g = j_*kj^*$  where  $F = \nabla(k)$ . Then  $\hat{F} = \nabla(g) \in A^\wedge$ .*

*Proof.*  $\hat{F}$  is a filter, because  $g$  is a nucleus. Let us consider  $X \subseteq A$  such that  $\bigvee X \in \hat{F}$ . We must prove that  $X \cap \hat{F} \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $\bigvee X \in \hat{F}$ , then  $g(\bigvee X) = (j_*kj^*)(\bigvee X) = 1$ . Thus

$$j^*(\bigvee X) \leq j(\bigvee \{j(x) \mid x \in X\}) = j(\bigvee j[X]) = \bigvee_j X$$

and

$$1 = (j_*k)(j^*(\bigvee X)) \leq (j_*k)(\bigvee_j X).$$

$\{j(x) \mid x \in X\}$  is a directed set because  $X$  is directed. Then  $j(j[X]) \subseteq A_j$ ,  $\bigvee_j X \in F$  and  $F \in A_j^\wedge$ , so that, exists  $x \in X$  such that  $x = j(x) \in F$ . Therefore  $k(j(x)) = 1$  and  $(j_*kj^*)(x) = 1$ , so that,  $x \in \nabla(g) = \hat{F}$ . Thus  $X \cap \hat{F} \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *For  $F \in A_j^\wedge$  and  $\hat{F} \in A^\wedge$  as above, then  $F \subseteq \hat{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in F$  be, then  $j(x) = x$  and  $k(x) = 1$ . Thus

$$g(x) = (j_*kj^*)(x) = (j_*k)(j(x)) = j_*(k(x)) = j_*(1) = 1.$$

Therefore  $x \in \hat{F}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $A \in Frm$  and  $j \in NA$  be. If  $A$  is tidy then  $A_j$  is tidy.*

The block structure on a frame is an important problem and its related with some separation properties of frames.

**Proposition 3.5.** *For every  $A \in \mathcal{Hrm}$  the interval corresponding to the block determined by a open filter  $F \in A^\wedge$  is trivial, that is,*

$$[v_F, w_F] = \{*\}$$

*Proof.* We know that for all  $F \in A^\wedge$  the following holds:  $v_F \leq w_F$ . As a contradiction, suppose that exists  $F \in A^\wedge$  such that  $w_F \not\leq v_F$ , that is, exists  $a \in A$  such that  $w_F(a) \not\leq v_F(a)$ .

Note that  $w_F(a) \neq 1$ , otherwise

$$1 = w_F(a) = \bigwedge \{p \in M \mid a \leq p\} \leq p$$

and this is a contradiction because  $p \neq 1$ .

Then  $1 \neq w_F(a) \not\leq v_F(a)$  and for the property **(H)**, exists  $u \in A$  such that

$$(1) \quad u \not\leq w_F(a) \quad \text{y} \quad \neg u \not\leq v_F(a)$$

Note that  $0 \leq a$ , then  $w_F(0) \leq w_F(a)$  and  $v_F(0) \leq v_F(a)$ . Thus, for **1** we have that

$$(2) \quad i) u \not\leq w_F(0) \quad \text{y} \quad ii) \neg u \not\leq v_F(0).$$

For **2**-(i) is true that  $u \not\leq \bigwedge M$ , in particular,  $u \not\leq p$  for all  $p \in M$ . Therefore,  $\neg u \leq p$  and  $\neg u \leq w_F(0)$ . If **2**-(ii) is true, then  $u \notin F$ , in otherwise

$$u \in F \Rightarrow v_u \leq f \Rightarrow v_u(0) = \neg u \leq f(0)$$

and this is a contradiction. Thus, for the Birkhoff's separation lemma, exists a completely prime filter  $G$  such that  $u \notin G \supseteq F$ . We take

$$q = \bigvee \{y \in A \mid y \notin G\}$$

the point corresponding to  $G$ . Thus,  $u \notin G$ ,  $u \leq q$ . If  $q \notin F$ , then exists  $m \in M$  such that  $q \leq m$ . Since  $q$  is maximum,  $q = m$  or  $m = 1$ , but  $m \neq 1$  ( $1 \in F$  and  $M = A \setminus F$ ), then  $m = q \in M$ . Hence  $u \not\leq q$  and this is a contradiction. Therefore  $v_F = w_F$ .  $\square$

A consequence of the Proposition **3.5** is that  $v_F = w_F$ , so that,  $A_{v_F} = A_{w_F}$  and  $A_{w_F} \simeq \mathcal{O}M \simeq \mathcal{O}Q$ . Thus, for all  $j \in KA$  we have that  $j = v_F$ . Then in the Hausdorff case

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A_F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ \mathcal{O}S & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}S_{\nabla} \end{array}$$

where  $g$  is an isomorphism and  $A_F \simeq \mathcal{O}Q \simeq \mathcal{O}S_{\nabla}$ .

On the other hand,  $U_* u_Q U^* = v_F$  if and only if  $u_Q U^* = U^* v_F$ , for the adjunction properties and  $U^*$  the spatial reflection morphism. Therefore

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{v_F} & A \\ U_* \uparrow & \downarrow U & \downarrow U \\ \mathcal{O}S & \xrightarrow{v_{\nabla}} & \mathcal{O}S \end{array}$$

so that, if  $A \in \mathcal{H}rm$  then patch trivial implies  $KC$ .

The above is the proof of the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.6.** *If  $A \in \mathcal{H}rm$ , then every compact quotient is isomorphic to a closed quotient of the topology of a Hausdorff space.*

**Proposition 3.7.** *Every Hausdorff frame  $A$  (in the sense of Johnstone and Shou) is tidy, that is,  $A$  is patch trivial.*

*Proof.*

$\square$

## REFERENCES