# THE PATCH FRAME AND ITS RELATIONS WITH SEPARATION IN POINT-FREE TOPOLOGY

ABSTRACT.

### 1. Introduction

Aquí va la introducción.

#### 2. Preliminaries

#### 3. HAUSDORFF PROPERTIES IMPLIES PATCH TRIVIALITY

Gadgets:

A the base frame

Its point space S = pt(A).

NA is the assembly of nucleus of A.

The compact saturated sets of S,

Q(S).

The preframe of open filters of A,

 $A^{\wedge}$ .

The preframe of open filters of  $\Omega(S)$ .

$$\Omega(S)^{\wedge}$$
.

The set of compact quotients

$$KA = \{j \in NA \mid A_j \text{ is compact}\}.$$

First we recall that every open filter  $F\in A^\wedge$  has three faces, that is, determines (and its determine) by :

- The compact saturated  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}S$ .
- $\nabla \in \Omega(S)^{\wedge}$ .
- The compact quotient  $A \to A_F$ .
- The fitted nucleus  $v_F$ .

Hoffman-Mislove can be rephrase:

There is a bijection between compact quotients of A and compact saturated sets of S

#### 4. COMPACT QUOTIENTS

**Definition 4.1.** A frame has KC if every compact quotient of A is a closed one. In other words every compact sublocale is closed.

Denote by  $\mathcal{H}rm$  the subcategory of Frm of Hausdorff frames, that is,  $A \in \mathcal{H}rm$  if and only if:

**Definition 4.2.** A frame is *efficient* if every fitted kq nucleus is closed equivalently the frame is tidy.

If  $f^*: A \to B$  is a frame morphism and  $F \subseteq A$ ,  $G \subseteq B$  filters in A, B, respectively, we can produce new filters as follows

(1) 
$$b \in f^*F \Leftrightarrow f_*(b) \in F$$
 and  $a \in f_*G \Leftrightarrow f^*(a) \in G$ 

where  $a \in A, b \in B$  and  $f_*$  is the right adjoint of  $f^*$ . Here  $f^*F \subseteq B$  and  $f_*G \subseteq A$  are filters on B and A, respectively.

**Proposition 4.3.** For  $f = f^* \colon A \to B$  a frame morphism and  $G \in B^{\wedge}$ , then  $f_*G \in A^{\wedge}$ .

*Proof.* By (1),  $f_*G$  is a filter on A. We need  $f_*G$  to satisfy the open filter condition. Let  $X \subseteq A$  be such that  $\bigvee X \in f_*G$ , with X directed. Then

$$Y = \{ f(x) \mid x \in X \}$$

is directed and  $f(\bigvee X) = \bigvee f[X] = \bigvee Y \in G$ . Since G is a open filter, exists  $y = f(x) \in Y$  such that  $y \in G$ . Thus  $x \in f_*G$ , so that,  $f_*G \in A^{\wedge}$ .

In [Sex03], the autor says that  $A \in \mathbf{Frm}$  is *tidy* if for all  $F \in A^{\wedge}$ 

$$x \in F \Rightarrow u_d(x) = d \lor x = 1$$

where  $d = d(\alpha) = f^{\alpha}(0)$ ,  $f = \bigvee \{v_y \mid y \in F\}$ ,  $v_y \in NA$  and  $0 = 0_A$  (the reason for the last two clarifications will be understood later a que te refieres).

We want translate this same notion, but for  $A_j$  when  $j \in NA$ , so that, for all  $F \in A_j^{\wedge}$ , if  $x \in F$  then  $d \vee x = 1$ , with d similar to before, because for this case we have that  $v_u \in NA_j$  and  $0_{A_j} = j(0)$ .

In [Sim04, Lemma 8.9 and Corollary 8.10] the author shows, that the diagram

$$A \xrightarrow{f^{\infty}} A$$

$$U_A \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow U_A$$

$$\mathcal{O}S \xrightarrow{F^{\infty}} \mathcal{O}S$$

commutes laxly, that is,  $U_A \circ f^{\infty} \leq F^{\infty} \circ U_A$ . In this diagram  $U_A$  is the spatial reflection morphism,  $f^{\infty}$  and  $F^{\infty}$  represent the associated nuclei to the filters  $F \in A^{\wedge}$  and  $\nabla \in \mathcal{O}S^{\wedge}$ . Also  $f^{\infty}$  and  $F^{\infty}$  are idempotent closeds associated to

the prenuclei f and F respectively.

We prove something more general here, since we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}^{\infty}} & A \\
\downarrow j & & \downarrow j \\
A_j & \xrightarrow{f^{\infty}} & A_j
\end{array}$$

where  $\hat{f}^{\infty}$  is the nuclei associated to the filter  $j_*F \in A^{\wedge}$  and  $j \in NA$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** For j, f and  $\hat{f}$  as above, it holds that  $j \circ \hat{f} \leq f \circ j$ .

*Proof.* By (1) is true that

$$\hat{f} = \bigvee \{v_y \mid y \in j_*F\} \quad \text{ and } \quad f = \bigvee \{v_{j(y)} \mid j(y) \in F\}.$$

then, for  $a \in A$  it is hold

$$v_y(a) = (y \succ a) \le \hat{f}(a) \le j(\hat{f}(a)).$$

Also, for all  $a, y \in A$ ,  $(y \succ a) \land y = y \land a$  and

$$j((y \succ a) \land y) \le j(a) \Leftrightarrow j(y \succ a) \land j(y) \le j(a)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow j(y \succ a) \le (j(y) \succ j(a)).$$

Thus

$$v_y(a) \le j(\hat{f}(a)) \le (j(y) > j(a)) = v_{j(y)}(j(a)) \le f(j(a)).$$

Therefore 
$$j \circ \hat{f} \leq f \circ j$$
.

Now, we prove the above, but for all  $\alpha$ -ordinals.

**Corollary 4.5.** For j, f and  $\hat{f}$  as before, it is hold that  $j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} \leq f^{\alpha} \circ j$ 

*Proof.* For an ordinal  $\alpha$  we will check that  $j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} < f^{\alpha} \circ j$ . We will do it by transfinite induction.

If  $\alpha = 0$ , it is trivial.

For the induction step, we assume that for  $\alpha$  it holds. Then

$$j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha+1} = j \circ \hat{f} \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} \le f \circ j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} \le f \circ f^{\alpha} \circ j = f^{\alpha+1} \circ j,$$

where the first inequality is Lemma 4.4 and the second is true by the induction hypothesis.

If  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal, then

$$\hat{f}^{\lambda} = \bigvee \{\hat{f}^{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \lambda\}, \quad f^{\lambda} = \bigvee \{f^{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \lambda\}$$

and

$$j\circ \hat{f}^\lambda=j\circ\bigvee_{\alpha<\lambda}\hat{f}^\alpha\leq\bigvee_{\alpha<\lambda}j\circ\hat{f}^\alpha.$$
 Thus, by the induction hypothesis, we have that

$$j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} \leq f^{\alpha} \circ j \Rightarrow \bigvee_{\alpha < \lambda} j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} \leq \bigvee_{\alpha < \lambda} f^{\alpha} \circ j.$$

Therefore  $j \circ \hat{f}^{\lambda} \leq f^{\lambda} \circ j$ .

By the Corollary 4.5, we have that  $j \circ \hat{f}^{\infty} \leq f^{\infty} \circ j$  is true. Futhermore, by H-M Theorem(preliminares con la idea de la prueba nueva),  $f^{\infty} = v_F$  and  $\hat{f}^{\infty} = v_{i*F}$ . With this in mind, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{(v_{j*F})^*} & A_{j*F} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
A_j & \xrightarrow{(v_F)_*} & A_F
\end{array}$$

ES este diagrama hay que poner punteada la flehca qu eiria en los cocientes Here,  $A_F$  and  $A_{j_*F}$  are the compact quotients produced by  $v_F$  and  $v_{j_*F}$ , respectively. The morfism  $H: A \to A_F$  is defined by  $H = v_F \circ j$ . Futhermore,  $(v_F)_*$  and  $(v_{j*F})_*$  are inclusions.

Let  $h: A_{j_*F} \to A_j$  be such that, for  $x \in A_{j_*F}$ , h(x) = H(x). Therefore, if  $h = H_{|A_{j_*F}}$ , then the above diagram commutes.

We need that h to be a frame morphism. First, by the difinition of h, this is  $\wedge$ -morphism. It remains to be seen that h is  $\vee$ -morphism.

The joins in  $A_{j_*F}$  and  $A_F$  are calculated differently. Thus, let  $\hat{V}$  be join in  $A_{j_*F}$ and let  $\bigvee$  be join in  $A_F$ . Therefore

$$\hat{\bigvee} = v_{j_*F} \circ \bigvee$$
 and  $\tilde{\bigvee} = v_F \circ \bigvee$ ,

that is, for  $X \subseteq A, Y \subseteq A_i$ ,

$$A, Y \subseteq A_j,$$
 
$$\hat{\bigvee} X = v_{j*F}(\bigvee X) \quad \text{ and } \quad \tilde{\bigvee} Y = v_F(\bigvee Y).$$

Since H is a frame morphism, then  $H \circ \bigvee = \tilde{\bigvee} \circ H$ . Let us get something similar to h.

**Lemma 4.6.**  $h \circ \hat{V} = \tilde{V} \circ h$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to check the comparison  $h \circ \hat{V} \leq \tilde{V} \circ h$ . Thus

$$h\circ \mathring{\bigvee} = H\circ v_{j_*F}\circ \bigvee = v_F\circ j\circ v_{j_*F}\circ \bigvee \leq v_F\circ v_F\circ j\circ \bigvee$$

where the inequality is the Corollary 4.5. Futhermore,  $v_F \circ v_F = v_F$ , then

$$h \circ \mathring{\bigvee} \leq v_F \circ j \circ \bigvee = H \circ \bigvee = \mathring{\bigvee} \circ H = \mathring{\bigvee} \circ h.$$

Therefore  $h \circ \hat{V} = \tilde{V} \circ h$ .

With this we prove the following.

## **Proposition 4.7.** The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{v_{j*F}} A_{j*F} \\
\downarrow \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\
A_j & \xrightarrow{v_F} A_F
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

HAY QUE PONER LA PRUEBA With the above diagram, we could analyze some compact quotients, for example, closed compact quotients.

**Definition 4.8.** Let A be a frame and  $F \in A^{\wedge}$ . The compact quotient  $A_F$  is closed if  $A_F = A_{u_d}$  for some  $d \in A$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** If A is a tidy frame, then  $A_j$  is tidy.

*Proof.* It is easy to prove that  $F \subseteq j_*F$ . Since A is tidy and  $F \in A^{\wedge}$ , it is true that

$$x \in F \Rightarrow \hat{d} \lor x = 1,$$

where  $\hat{d} = d(\alpha) = f^{\alpha}(0)$ . If  $\hat{d} \leq d$ , then  $d \vee x = 1$ , for  $d = d(\alpha) = f^{\alpha}(j(0))$ .

Thus, for Corollary 4.5

$$\hat{d} = \hat{d}(\alpha) \le j(\hat{d}(\alpha)) = j(\hat{f}^{\alpha}(0)) \le f^{\alpha}(j(0)) = d(\alpha) = d.$$

Therefore if  $x \in F$ , then  $d \vee x = 1$  and  $A_i$  is tidy.

**Proposition 4.10.** *If* A *has* KC, then  $A_j$  has KC for every  $j \in N(A)$ .

*Proof.* We consider  $k \in NA_j$  such that  $(A_j)_k$  is compact. Since any open filter is admissible, we have  $\nabla(k) \in A_j^{\wedge}$  and by Proposition 4.3  $j_*\nabla(K) \in A^{\wedge}$ .

Let  $l = j_* \circ k \circ j^* \in NA$  be, then  $A_l$  is a compact quotient of A and exists  $a \in A$  such that  $l = u_a$ . Thus, we have

$$A \xrightarrow{j^*} A_j \xrightarrow{k} (A_j)_k \xrightarrow{j_*} A_j \subseteq A$$

and  $a \vee x = k(j(x))$ . Therefore, if x = a, k(j(x)) = a.

We need that  $k = u_b$  for some  $b \in A_j$ . For  $x \in A_j$  and b = j(a)

$$u_b(x) = b \lor x = b \lor j(x) = j(j(a) \lor j(x))$$

$$= j(k(j(a)) \lor x)$$

$$= j(u_a(x))$$

$$= j(k(x))$$

$$= k(x).$$

Therefore  $u_b = k$ .

**Proposition 4.11.** If A is a KC frame, the A is a  $T_1$  frame.

*Proof.* Let  $p \in \operatorname{pt} A$  and  $a \in A$  be such that  $p \leq a \leq 1$ . We consider

$$w_p(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{si} \quad x \nleq p \\ p & \text{si} \quad x \le p \end{cases}$$

for  $x \in A$ .  $P = \nabla(w_p) = \{x \in A \mid x \nleq p\}$  is a filter completely prime (in particular,  $P \in A^{\wedge}$ ). Since A is KC, then  $A_{w_p}$  is a closed compact quotient. Thus  $u_p = w_p$ , futhermore

$$u_p(a) = a$$
 and  $w_p(a) = 1$ .

that is, a = 1. Therefore p is maximal.

**Proposition 4.12.** *The following holds:* 

- (1) The class of tidy frames is closed under coproducts.
- (2) The class of KC frames is closed under coprodcuts.

 $\square$ 

### 5. Admissibility intervals

The block structure on a frame is an important problem and its related with some separation properties of frames.

**Proposition 5.1.** For  $F \in A^{\wedge}$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}S$ , if  $j \in [v_Q, w_Q]$ , then  $U_*jU^* \in [v_F, w_F]$ , where  $U^*$  is the morfism spatial reflection  $U_*$  is the right adjoint.

*Proof.* Since N is a functor, we have

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
A & NA \\
U & & N(-) \\
\hline
OS & NOS
\end{array}$$

and  $N(U)_*$  is the right adjoint of  $N(U)^{\wedge}$ . Note the following:

- (1)  $N(U)(j) \le k \Leftrightarrow j \le N(U)_*k$ .
- (2) If  $k \in NOS$  then  $N(U)(j) \le k \Leftrightarrow Uj \le kU$ .
- (3)  $N(U)_*k = U_*kU^*$  and  $UN(U)_*k = k(U)$ .

In 3), if 
$$j = k$$
,  $N(U)_*(j) = U_*jU^*$  and  $UN(U)_*j = jU$ . For  $x \in F$ 

$$x \in A \xrightarrow{U^*} \mathcal{O}S \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{O}S \xrightarrow{U_*} A$$

and  $U_*(j(U(x))) = \bigwedge(S \setminus j(U(x)))$ . Note that  $U_*(j(U^*(x))) \subseteq \operatorname{pt} A$ . Thus

$$x \in F \Leftrightarrow Q \subseteq U(x) \Leftrightarrow U(x) \in \nabla(j) = \nabla(Q) \Leftrightarrow S \setminus j(U(x)) = \emptyset$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \bigwedge (S \setminus j(U(x))) = 1 = (U_*jU^*)(x)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow x \in \nabla(U_*jU^*)$$

Therefor  $F = \nabla (U_* j U^*)$ .

In this way we have a function

$$\mho: [V_Q, W_Q] \to [V_F, W_F]$$

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{H}rm$  then for every  $F \in A^{\wedge}$  with corresponding  $\mathcal{Q}$  compact saturated we have

$$\mathcal{OQ} \cong \uparrow \mathcal{Q}'$$

, that is, the frame of opens of the point space of  $A_F$  is isomorphic to a compact closed quotient of a Hausdorff space.

Proof. 
$$\Box$$

EJEMPLOS DE marcos pt que no sean KC

HAY que COMENTAR LAS COSAS QUE ESTAN MAL comentar me refiero a ponerlas entre

Trivially KC implies patch trivial (or equivalently tidy) we want some converse of this fact.

Following articulo de igor.,

**Definition 5.3.** A frame A has *fitted points* (p-fit for short) if for every point  $p \in$ pt(A) the nucleus

$$\mathbf{w}_n$$
 is fitted

that is, to said for every point p the nucleus  $w_p$  is alone in its block.

In general for each  $p \in pt(A)$ , the nucleus  $w_p$  is the largest member of his block, that is,

$$[v_{\mathcal{P}}, \mathbf{w}_p]$$

the corresponding block, here  $\mathcal{P} = \{x \in A \mid x \nleq p\}$  in this case we know how to calculate

$$v_{\mathcal{P}}$$
.

using the prenucleus  $f_{\mathcal{P}}$  we know that

$$v_{\mathcal{P}} = f_{\mathcal{P}}^{\infty} = (\dot{\bigvee} \{ v_x \mid x \in \mathcal{P} \})^{\infty}$$

moreover:

$$f_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{si} \quad x \nleq p \\ \\ \leq p & \text{si} \quad x \leq p \end{cases}$$

for  $x \in A$ .

and in fact  $\mathbf{w_p} = u_p \lor v_{\mathcal{P}} = f_{\mathcal{P}} \circ u_p$ . If  $\mathbf{w_p}$  is fitted, that is,

$$w_{\rm p} = v_{\mathcal{P}}$$

then one need to have  $u_p \leq v_{\mathcal{P}}$  then

$$p \leq v_{\mathcal{P}}(0)$$

by the equation of  $f_{\mathcal{P}}$  we have

$$0 \leq \cdots \leq f_{\mathcal{P}}^{\alpha}(0) \leq \cdots \leq$$

**Proposition 5.4.** Let A be a frame for each  $p \in pt(A)$  the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $w_p$  is fitted.
- (ii)  $w_p$  is alone in its block.
- (iii)  $u_p \leq v_{\mathcal{P}}$ .
- (iv)  $u_p \leq f_{\mathcal{P}}$ .
- (v)  $f_{\mathcal{P}} \circ u_p = v_{\mathcal{P}}$ .
- (vi) aqui debe de ir una formula de primer de orden.

**Proposition 5.5.** In a p-fit frame for each  $p \in pt(A)$  the nucleus  $w_p$  is a maximal element in pA.

*Proof.* First we dealing with the basics  $v_F$  for  $F \in A^{\wedge}$  of the patch frame, given any  $w_p$  suppose that  $w_p \leq v_F$  then by (propiedades generales de los w)  $v_F = w_b$  where  $b = v_F(0)$  thus

$$w_p \le w_b \Leftrightarrow w_p(b) = b$$

since  $w_p$  is two valuated we have b=1 or b=p if the first case occur then we are done, for the case b=p we have  $v_f(p)=p$  that is, to say,  $p \notin F$ , then by the Birkhoff's separation lemma we can find a completely prime filter D such that

$$F \subseteq G \not\ni p$$

let q the corresponding point associated to G, then  $p \leq q$  since A is p-fit  $v_G = \mathbf{w}_q$  and thus  $\mathbf{w}_p \leq \mathbf{w}_q$  wich is equivalent to  $\mathbf{w}_p(q) = q$  again since we are dealing with points one necessary has p = q.

Now consider any closed  $\mathbf{u}_c$  such that,  $\mathbf{w}_p \leq \mathbf{u}_c$  then  $\mathbf{w}_p(c) = 1$  and thus 1 = c. Therefore in basics of the patch the nuclei  $\mathbf{w}_p$  are maximal, now consider any  $k \in \mathbf{p}A$  such that  $k \in \mathfrak{K}A$ 

**Proposition 5.6.** Let A be a frame then if

$$v_F \neq v_G$$

**Definition 5.7.** A frame A is *tame* if does not have wild points.

**Proposition 5.8.** In a tame p-fit frame the patch frame pA is  $T_1$ .

Since every hausdorff frame is tame and p-fit we have:

**Corollary 5.9.** If  $A \in \mathcal{H}rm$  then, the patch frame pA is  $T_1$ .

**Definition 5.10.** Let A be a frame a nucleus k on A it said to be kq if  $A_i$  is a compact frame.

Denote by

$$\mathfrak{K}A = \{ j \in NA \mid j \text{ is } kq \}.$$

**Definition 5.11.** A frame A is compact closed Hausdorff (KCH for short) if every compact quotient of A is closed and Hausdorff.

```
Denote by \mathfrak{Q}A = \{kq \text{ fitted nuclei }\} = \{v_F \mid F \in A^{\wedge}\}
denote by \mathfrak{C}A = \{ a \in A \mid \mathbf{u}_a \in \mathfrak{K}A \}
[Esc01] [Esc06]
[SS06]
```

#### REFERENCES

- [Esc01] Martin Hötzel Escardó, The regular-locally compact coreflection of a stably locally compact locale, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 157 (2001), no. 1, 41-55.
- [Esc06] Martín H Escardó, Compactly generated hausdorff locales, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 137 (2006), no. 1-3, 147-163.
- [Sex03] Rosemary A Sexton, A point-free and point-sensitive analysis of the patch assembly, The University of Manchester (United Kingdom), 2003.
- [Sim04] Harold Simmons, The vietoris modifications of a frame, Unpublished manuscript, 79pp., available online at http://www.cs. man. ac. uk/hsimmons (2004).
- [SS06] RA Sexton and H Simmons, Point-sensitive and point-free patch constructions, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 207 (2006), no. 2, 433-468.