## THE PATCH FRAME AND ITS RELATIONS WITH SEPARATION IN POINT-FREE TOPOLOGY

ABSTRACT.

## 1. Introduction

Aquí va la introducción.

## 2. Preliminaries

## 3. Hausdorff Properties implies patch triviality

Gadgets:

A the base frame

Its point space S = pt(A).

The compact saturated sets of S,

 $\mathcal{Q}(S)$ .

The preframe of open filters of A,

 $A^{\wedge}$ .

The preframe of open filters of  $\Omega(S)$ .

$$\Omega(S)^{\wedge}$$
.

First we recall that every open filter  $F \in A^{\wedge}$  has three faces, that is, determines (and its determine) by :

- The compact saturated  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}S$ .
- $\nabla \in \Omega(S)^{\wedge}$ .
- The compact quotient  $A \to A_F$ .
- The fitted nucleus  $v_F$ .

Hoffman-Mislove can be rephrase:

There is a bijection between compact quotients of A and compact saturated sets of S

**Definition 3.1.** A frame has KC if every compact quotient of A is a closed one. In other words every compact sublocale is close.

Denote by  $\Re rm$  the subcategory of Frm of Hausdorff frames in the sense of Johnstone and Shu.

The block structure on a frame is an important problem and its related with some separation properties of frames.

1

**Proposition 3.2.** For every  $A \in \mathcal{H}rm$  the interval corresponding to the block determined by a open filter  $F \in A^{\wedge}$  is trivial, that is,

$$[v_F, w_F] = \{*\}$$

*Proof.* We know that for all  $F \in A^{\wedge}$  the following holds:  $v_F \leq w_F$ . As a contradition, suppose that exists  $F \in A^{\wedge}$  such that  $w_F \nleq v_F$ , that is, exists  $a \in A$  such that  $w_F(a) \nleq v_F(a)$ .

Note that  $w_F(a) \neq 1$ , otherwise

$$1 = w_F(a) = \bigwedge \{ p \in M \mid a \le p \} \le p$$

and this is a contradition because  $p \neq 1$ .

Then  $1 \neq w_F(a) \nleq v_F(a)$  and for the property (H), exists  $u \in A$  such that

(1) 
$$u \nleq w_F(a) \quad \mathbf{y} \quad \neg u \nleq v_F(a)$$

Note that  $0 \le a$ , then  $w_F(0) \le w_F(a)$  and  $v_F(0) \le v_F(a)$ . Thus, for 1 we have that

(2) 
$$i) u \nleq w_F(0) \quad \text{y} \quad ii) \neg u \nleq v_F(0).$$

For 2-(i) is true that  $u \nleq \bigwedge M$ , in particular,  $u \nleq p$  for all  $p \in M$ . Therefore,  $\neg u \leq p$  and  $\neg u \leq w_F(0)$ . If 2-(ii) is true, then  $u \notin F$ , in otherwise

$$u \in F \Rightarrow v_u \le f \Rightarrow v_u(0) = \neg u \le f(0)$$

and this is a contradition. Thus, for the Birkhoff's separation lemma, exists a completely prime filter G such that  $u \notin G \supseteq F$ . We take

$$q = \bigvee \{y \in A \mid y \notin G\}$$

the point corresponding to G. Thus,  $u \notin G$ ,  $u \leq q$ . If  $q \notin F$ , then exists  $m \in M$  such that  $q \leq m$ . Sinse q is maximum, q = m or m = 1, but  $m \neq 1$  ( $1 \in F$  and  $M = A \setminus F$ ), then  $m = q \in M$ . Hence  $u \nleq q$  and this is a contradition. Therefore  $v_F = w_F$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** C. Hausdorff If  $A \in \mathcal{H}rm$ . then every compact quotient is isomrphic to a closed quotient of the topology of a Hausdorff space.

**Proposition 3.4.** Every Hausdorff frame A (in the sense of Johnstone and Shou) is tidy, that is, A is patch trivial.

Proof.  $\Box$ 

REFERENCES