THE PATCH FRAME AND ITS RELATIONS WITH SEPARATION IN POINT-FREE TOPOLOGY

ABSTRACT.

1. Introduction

Aquí va la introducción.

2. Preliminaries

3. HAUSDORFF PROPERTIES IMPLIES PATCH TRIVIALITY

Gadgets:

A the base frame

Its point space S = pt(A).

NA is the assembly of nucleus of A.

The compact saturated sets of S,

Q(S).

The preframe of open filters of A,

 A^{\wedge} .

The preframe of open filters of $\Omega(S)$.

$$\Omega(S)^{\wedge}$$
.

The set of compact quotients

$$KA = \{j \in NA \mid A_j \text{ is compact}\}.$$

First we recall that every open filter $F\in A^\wedge$ has three faces, that is, determines (and its determine) by :

- The compact saturated $Q \in \mathcal{Q}S$.
- $\nabla \in \Omega(S)^{\wedge}$.
- The compact quotient $A \to A_F$.
- The fitted nucleus v_F .

Hoffman-Mislove can be rephrase:

There is a bijection between compact quotients of A and compact saturated sets of S

4. COMPACT QUOTIENTS

Definition 4.1. A frame has KC if every compact quotient of A is a closed one. In other words every compact sublocale is closed.

Denote by $\mathcal{H}rm$ the subcategory of Frm of Hausdorff frames, that is, $A \in \mathcal{H}rm$ if and only if:

If $f^*: A \to B$ is a frame morphism and $F \subseteq A$, $G \subseteq B$ filters in A, B, respectively, we can produce new filters as follows

(1)
$$b \in f^*F \Leftrightarrow f_*(b) \in F$$
 and $a \in f_*G \Leftrightarrow f^*(a) \in G$

where $a \in A, b \in B$ and f_* is the right adjoint of f^* . Here $f^*F \subseteq B$ and $f_*G \subseteq A$ are filters on B and A, respectively.

Proposition 4.2. For $f = f^* \colon A \to B$ a frame morphism and $G \in B^{\wedge}$, then $f_*G \in A^{\wedge}$.

Proof. By (1), f_*G is a filter on A. We need f_*G to satisfy the open filter condition. Let $X \subseteq A$ be such that $\bigvee X \in f_*G$, with X directed. Then

$$Y = \{ f(x) \mid x \in X \}$$

is directed and $f(\bigvee X) = \bigvee f[X] = \bigvee Y \in G$. Since G is a open filter, exists $y = f(x) \in Y$ such that $y \in G$. Thus $x \in f_*G$, so that, $f_*G \in A^{\wedge}$.

In [Sex03], the autor says that $A \in \mathbf{Frm}$ is *tidy* if for all $F \in A^{\wedge}$

$$x \in F \Rightarrow u_d(x) = d \lor x = 1$$

where $d = d(\alpha) = f^{\alpha}(0)$, $f = \dot{\bigvee}\{v_y \mid y \in F\}$, $v_y \in NA$ and $0 = 0_A$ (the reason for the last two clarifications will be understood later a que te refieres).

We want translate this same notion, but for A_j when $j \in NA$, so that, for all $F \in A_j^{\wedge}$, if $x \in F$ then $d \vee x = 1$, with d similar to before, because for this case we have that $v_y \in NA_j$ and $0_{A_j} = j(0)$.

In [Sim04, Lemma 8.9 and Corollary 8.10] the author shows, that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{f^{\infty}} & A \\
U_A \downarrow & & \downarrow U_A \\
\mathcal{O}S & \xrightarrow{F^{\infty}} & \mathcal{O}S
\end{array}$$

commutes laxly, that is, $U_A \circ f^\infty \leq F^\infty \circ U_A$. In this diagram U_A is the spatial reflection morphism, f^∞ and F^∞ represent the associated nuclei to the filters $F \in A^\wedge$ and $\nabla \in \mathcal{O}S^\wedge$. Also f^∞ and F^∞ are idempotent closeds associated to the prenuclei f and F respectively.

We prove something more general here, since we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}^{\infty}} & A \\
\downarrow j & & \downarrow j \\
A_j & \xrightarrow{f^{\infty}} & A_j
\end{array}$$

where \hat{f}^{∞} is the nuclei associated to the filter $j_*F \in A^{\wedge}$ and $j \in NA$.

Lemma 4.3. For j, f and \hat{f} as above, it holds that $j \circ \hat{f} \leq f \circ j$.

Proof. By (1) is true that

$$\hat{f} = \bigvee \{v_y \mid y \in j_*F\} \quad \text{ and } \quad f = \bigvee \{v_{j(y)} \mid j(y) \in F\}.$$

then, for $a \in A$ it is hold

$$v_y(a) = (y \succ a) \le \hat{f}(a) \le j(\hat{f}(a)).$$

Also, for all $a, y \in A$, $(y \succ a) \land y = y \land a$ and

$$j((y \succ a) \land y) \le j(a) \Leftrightarrow j(y \succ a) \land j(y) \le j(a)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow j(y \succ a) \le (j(y) \succ j(a)).$$

Thus

$$v_y(a) \le j(\hat{f}(a)) \le (j(y) \succ j(a)) = v_{j(y)}(j(a)) \le f(j(a)).$$

Therefore $j \circ \hat{f} \leq f \circ j$.

Now, we prove the above, but for all α -ordinals.

Corollary 4.4. For j, f and \hat{f} as before, it is hold that $j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} < f^{\alpha} \circ j$

Proof. For an ordinal α we will check that $j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} < f^{\alpha} \circ j$. We will do it by transfinite induction.

If $\alpha = 0$, it is trivial.

For the induction step, we assume that for α it holds. Then

$$j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha+1} = j \circ \hat{f} \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} \le f \circ j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} \le f \circ f^{\alpha} \circ j = f^{\alpha+1} \circ j,$$

where the first inequality is Lemma 4.3 and the second is true by the induction hypothesis.

If λ is a limit ordinal, then

$$\hat{f}^{\lambda} = \bigvee \{ \hat{f}^{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \lambda \}, \quad f^{\lambda} = \bigvee \{ f^{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \lambda \}$$

and

$$j\circ \hat{f}^{\lambda}=j\circ\bigvee_{\alpha<\lambda}\hat{f}^{\alpha}\leq\bigvee_{\alpha<\lambda}j\circ \hat{f}^{\alpha}.$$

Thus, by the induction hypothesis, we have that

$$j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} \leq f^{\alpha} \circ j \Rightarrow \bigvee_{\alpha < \lambda} j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} \leq \bigvee_{\alpha < \lambda} f^{\alpha} \circ j.$$

Therefore $j \circ \hat{f}^{\lambda} \leq f^{\lambda} \circ j$.

By the Corollary 4.4, we have that $j \circ \hat{f}^{\infty} \leq f^{\infty} \circ j$ is true. Futhermore, by H-M Theorem(preliminares con la idea de la prueba nueva), $f^{\infty} = v_F$ and $\hat{f}^{\infty} = v_{j_*F}$. With this in mind, we have the following diagram

$$A \xrightarrow{(v_{j*F})^*} A_{j*F}$$

$$\downarrow \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad$$

ES este diagrama hay que poner punteada la flehca que iria en los cocientes Here, A_F and A_{j_*F} are the compact quotients produced by v_F and v_{j_*F} , respectively. The morfism $H:A\to A_F$ is defined by $H=v_F\circ j$. Futhermore, $(v_F)_*$ and $(v_{j_*F})_*$ are inclusions.

Let $h: A_{j_*F} \to A_j$ be such that, for $x \in A_{j_*F}$, h(x) = H(x). Therefore, if $h = H_{|A_{j_*F}}$, then the above diagram commutes.

We need that h to be a frame morphism. First, by the difinition of h, this is \land -morphism. It remains to be seen that h is \bigvee -morphism.

The joins in A_{j_*F} and A_F are calculated differently. Thus, let \hat{V} be join in A_{j_*F} and let \hat{V} be join in A_F . Therefore

$$\hat{\bigvee} = v_{j_*F} \circ \bigvee$$
 and $\tilde{\bigvee} = v_F \circ \bigvee$,

that is, for $X \subseteq A$, $Y \subseteq A_i$,

$$\hat{\bigvee} X = v_{j_*F}(\bigvee X)$$
 and $\tilde{\bigvee} Y = v_F(\bigvee Y)$

Since H is a frame morphism, then $H \circ \bigvee = \tilde{\bigvee} \circ H$. Let us get something similar to h.

Lemma 4.5. $h \circ \hat{\bigvee} = \tilde{\bigvee} \circ h$.

Proof. It is enough to check the comparison $h \circ \hat{V} \leq \tilde{V} \circ h$. Thus

$$h \circ \hat{\bigvee} = H \circ v_{j_*F} \circ \bigvee = v_F \circ j \circ v_{j_*F} \circ \bigvee \leq v_F \circ v_F \circ j \circ \bigvee$$

where the inequality is the Corollary 4.4. Futhermore, $v_F \circ v_F = v_F$, then

$$h \circ \hat{\bigvee} \leq v_F \circ j \circ \bigvee = H \circ \bigvee = \tilde{\bigvee} \circ H = \tilde{\bigvee} \circ h.$$

Therefore
$$h \circ \hat{V} = \tilde{V} \circ h$$
.

With this we prove the following.

Proposition 4.6. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{v_{j*F}} & A_{j*F} \\
\downarrow \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\
A_j & \xrightarrow{v_F} & A_F
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

HAY QUE PONER LA PRUEBA With the above diagram, we could analyze some compact quotients, for example, closed compact quotients.

Definition 4.7. Let A be a frame and $F \in A^{\wedge}$. The compact quotient A_F is closed if $A_F = A_{u,d}$ for some $d \in A$.

Proposition 4.8. If A is a tidy frame, then A_i is tidy.

Proof. It is easy to prove that $F \subseteq j_*F$. Since A is tidy and $F \in A^{\wedge}$, it is true that

$$x \in F \Rightarrow \hat{d} \lor x = 1,$$

where $\hat{d} = d(\alpha) = f^{\alpha}(0)$. If $\hat{d} \leq d$, then $d \vee x = 1$, for $d = d(\alpha) = f^{\alpha}(j(0))$.

Thus, for Corollary 4.4

$$\hat{d} = \hat{d}(\alpha) \le j(\hat{d}(\alpha)) = j(\hat{f}^{\alpha}(0)) \le f^{\alpha}(j(0)) = d(\alpha) = d.$$

Therefore if $x \in F$, then $d \lor x = 1$ and A_j is tidy.

Proposition 4.9. If A has KC, then A_j has KC for every $j \in N(A)$.

Proof. We consider $k \in NA_j$ such that $(A_j)_k$ is compact. Since any open filter is admissible, we have $\nabla(k) \in A_j^{\wedge}$ and by Proposition 4.2 $j_*\nabla(K) \in A^{\wedge}$.

Let $l = j_* \circ k \circ j^* \in NA$ be, then A_l is a compact quotient of A and exists $a \in A$ such that $l = u_a$. Thus, we have

$$A \xrightarrow{j^*} A_j \xrightarrow{k} (A_j)_k \xrightarrow{j_*} A_j \subseteq A$$

and $a \vee x = k(j(x))$. Therefore, if x = a, k(j(x)) = a.

We need that $k = u_b$ for some $b \in A_j$. For $x \in A_j$ and b = j(a)

$$u_b(x) = b \lor x = b \lor j(x) = j(j(a) \lor j(x))$$

$$= j(k(j(a)) \lor x)$$

$$= j(u_a(x))$$

$$= j(k(x))$$

$$= k(x).$$

Therefore $u_b = k$.

Proposition 4.10. If A is a KC frame, the A is a T_1 frame.

Proof. Let $p \in \operatorname{pt} A$ and $a \in A$ be such that $p \leq a \leq 1$. We consider

$$w_p(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{si} \quad x \nleq p \\ p & \text{si} \quad x \le p \end{cases}$$

for $x\in A$. $P=\nabla(w_p)=\{x\in A\mid x\nleq p\}$ is a filter completely prime (in particular, $P\in A^\wedge$). Since A is KC, then A_{w_p} is a closed compact quotient. Thus $u_p=w_p$, futhermore

$$u_p(a) = a$$
 and $w_p(a) = 1$.

that is, a = 1. Therefore p is maximal.

5. Admissibility intervals

The block structure on a frame is an important problem and its related with some separation properties of frames.

Proposition 5.1. For $F \in A^{\wedge}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}S$, if $j \in [v_Q, w_Q]$, then $U_*jU^* \in [v_F, w_F]$, where U^* is the morfism spatial reflection U_* is the right adjoint.

Proof. Since N is a functor, we have

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
A & NA \\
U & & \downarrow \\
OS & NOS
\end{array}$$

and $N(U)_*$ is the right adjoint of $N(U)^{\wedge}$. Note the following:

- (1) $N(U)(j) \le k \Leftrightarrow j \le N(U)_*k$.
- (2) If $k \in NOS$ then $N(U)(j) \le k \Leftrightarrow Uj \le kU$.
- (3) $N(U)_*k = U_*kU^*$ and $UN(U)_*k = k(U)$.

In 3), if j = k, $N(U)_*(j) = U_*jU^*$ and $UN(U)_*j = jU$. For $x \in F$

$$x \in A \xrightarrow{U^*} \mathcal{O}S \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{O}S \xrightarrow{U_*} A$$

and $U_*(j(U(x))) = \bigwedge(S \setminus j(U(x)))$. Note that $U_*(j(U^*(x))) \subseteq \operatorname{pt} A$. Thus

$$x \in F \Leftrightarrow Q \subseteq U(x) \Leftrightarrow U(x) \in \nabla(j) = \nabla(Q) \Leftrightarrow S \setminus j(U(x)) = \emptyset$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \bigwedge (S \setminus j(U(x))) = 1 = (U_*jU^*)(x)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow x \in \nabla(U_*jU^*)$$

Therefor $F = \nabla (U_* j U^*)$.

In this way we have a function

$$\mho \colon [V_Q, W_Q] \to [V_F, W_F]$$

Lo que sigue es lo que se debe comentar.

Theorem 5.2. Let $A \in \mathcal{H}rm$ then for every $F \in A^{\wedge}$ with corresponding \mathcal{Q} compact saturated we have

$$\mathcal{OQ}\cong\uparrow\mathcal{Q}'$$

, that is, the frame of opens of the point space of A_F is isomorphic to a compact closed quotient of a Hausdorff space.

Proof.
$$\Box$$

EJEMPLOS DE marcos pt que no sean KC

HAY que COMENTAR LAS COSAS QUE ESTAN MAL comentar me refiero a ponerlas entre

Trivially KC implies patch trivial (or equivalently tidy) we want some converse of this fact.

Following articulo de igor.,

Definition 5.3. A frame A has *fitted points* (p-fit for short) if for every point $p \in$ pt(A) the nucleus

$$\mathbf{w}_{n}$$
 is fitted

that is to said for every point p the nucleus w_p is alone in its block.

Proposition 5.4. *Let* A *be a frame*

- (i)
- (ii)
- (iii)

Proposition 5.5. In a p-fit frame the nucleus w_p is a maximal element in pA for every $p \in pt(A)$.

A frame A is *tame* if A does not have wild points.

Proposition 5.6. In a tame p-fit frame the patch frame pA is T_1 .

since every hausdorff frame is tame and p-fit we have:

Corollary 5.7. If $A \in \mathcal{H}rm$ then, the patch frame pA is T_1 .

Definition 5.8. Let A be a frame a nucleus k on A it said to be kq if A_i is a compact frame.

Denote by

$$\mathfrak{K}A = \{ j \in NA \mid j \text{ is } kq \}.$$

Definition 5.9. A frame A is *compact closed Hausdorff* (KCH for short) is every compact quotient of A is closed and Hausdorff.

```
Denote by \mathfrak{Q}A = \{kq \text{ fitted nuclei }\} = \{v_F \mid F \in A^{\wedge}\} [Esc01] [Esc06] [SS06]
```

REFERENCES

- [Esc01] Martin Hötzel Escardó, *The regular-locally compact coreflection of a stably locally compact locale*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **157** (2001), no. 1, 41–55.
- [Esc06] Martín H Escardó, *Compactly generated hausdorff locales*, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic **137** (2006), no. 1-3, 147–163.
- [Sex03] Rosemary A Sexton, *A point-free and point-sensitive analysis of the patch assembly*, The University of Manchester (United Kingdom), 2003.
- [Sim04] Harold Simmons, *The vietoris modifications of a frame*, Unpublished manuscript, 79pp., available online at http://www.cs. man. ac. uk/hsimmons (2004).
- [SS06] RA Sexton and H Simmons, *Point-sensitive and point-free patch constructions*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **207** (2006), no. 2, 433–468.