# THE PATCH FRAME AND ITS RELATIONS WITH SEPARATION IN POINT-FREE TOPOLOGY

ABSTRACT.

# 1. Introduction

Aquí va la introducción.

#### 2. Preliminaries

### 3. HAUSDORFF PROPERTIES IMPLIES PATCH TRIVIALITY

Gadgets:

A the base frame

Its point space S = pt(A).

NA is the assembly of nucleus of A.

The compact saturated sets of S,

Q(S).

The preframe of open filters of A,

 $A^{\wedge}$ .

The preframe of open filters of  $\Omega(S)$ .

$$\Omega(S)^{\wedge}$$
.

The set of compact quotients

$$KA = \{j \in NA \mid A_j \text{ is compact}\}.$$

First we recall that every open filter  $F\in A^\wedge$  has three faces, that is, determines (and its determine) by :

- The compact saturated  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}S$ .
- $\nabla \in \Omega(S)^{\wedge}$ .
- The compact quotient  $A \to A_F$ .
- The fitted nucleus  $v_F$ .

Hoffman-Mislove can be rephrase:

There is a bijection between compact quotients of A and compact saturated sets of S

#### 4. COMPACT QUOTIENTS

**Definition 4.1.** A frame has KC if every compact quotient of A is a closed one. In other words every compact sublocale is closed.

Denote by  $\Re rm$  the subcategory of Frm of Hausdorff frames in the sense of Johnstone and Shu.

If  $f^* \colon A \to B$  is a frame morphism and  $F \subseteq A$ ,  $G \subseteq B$  filters in A, B, respectively, we can produce new filters as follows

(1) 
$$b \in f^*F \Leftrightarrow f_*(b) \in F$$
 and  $a \in f_*G \Leftrightarrow f^*(a) \in G$ 

where  $a \in A, b \in B$  and  $f_*$  is the right adjoint of  $f^*$ . Here  $f^*F \subseteq B$  and  $f_*G \subseteq A$  are filters on B and A, respectively.

**Proposition 4.2.** For  $f = f^* \colon A \to B$  a frame morphism and  $G \in B^{\wedge}$ , then  $f_*G \in A^{\wedge}$ .

*Proof.* By (1),  $f_*G$  is a filter on A. We need  $f_*G$  to satisfy the open filter condition. Let  $X \subseteq A$  be such that  $\bigvee X \in f_*G$ , with X directed. Then

$$Y = \{ f(x) \mid x \in X \}$$

is directed and  $f(\bigvee X) = \bigvee f[X] = \bigvee Y \in G$ . Since G is a open filter, exists  $y = f(x) \in Y$  such that  $y \in G$ . Thus  $x \in f_*G$ , so that,  $f_*G \in A^{\wedge}$ .

In [Sex03], the autor says that  $A \in \mathbf{Frm}$  is *tidy* if for all  $F \in A^{\wedge}$ 

$$x \in F \Rightarrow u_d(x) = d \lor x = 1$$

where  $d = d(\alpha) = f^{\alpha}(0)$ ,  $f = \dot{\bigvee} \{v_y \mid y \in F\}$ ,  $v_y \in NA$  and  $0 = 0_A$  (the reason for the last two clarifications will be understood later).

We want translate this same notion, but for  $A_j$  when  $j \in NA$ , so that, for all  $F \in A_j^{\wedge}$ , if  $x \in F$  then  $d \vee x = 1$ , with d similar to before, because for this case we have that  $v_y \in NA_j$  and  $0_{A_j} = j(0)$ .

Simmons proves in [Sim04] (Lamma 8.9 and Corollary 8.10), that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{f^{\infty}} & A \\
U_A \downarrow & & \downarrow U_A \\
\mathcal{O}S & \xrightarrow{F^{\infty}} & \mathcal{O}S
\end{array}$$

commutes laxly, so that,  $U_A \circ f^{\infty} \leq F^{\infty} \circ U_A$ . In this diagram  $U_A$  is the spatial reflection morphism,  $f^{\infty}$  and  $F^{\infty}$  represent the associated nuclei to the filters  $F \in A^{\wedge}$  and  $\nabla \in \mathcal{O}S^{\wedge}$ . Also  $f^{\infty}$  and  $F^{\infty}$  are idempotent closeds associated to the prenuclei f and F respectively.

We prove something more general here, since we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}^{\infty}} & A \\
\downarrow j & & \downarrow j \\
A_j & \xrightarrow{f^{\infty}} & A_j
\end{array}$$

where  $\hat{f}^{\infty}$  is the nuclei associated to the filter  $j_*F \in A^{\wedge}$  and  $j \in NA$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** For j, f and  $\hat{f}$  as above, it holds that  $j \circ \hat{f} \leq f \circ j$ .

*Proof.* By (1) is true that

$$\hat{f} = \bigvee \{v_y \mid y \in j_*F\} \quad \text{ and } \quad f = \bigvee \{v_{j(y)} \mid j(y) \in F\}.$$

then, for  $a \in A$  it is hold

$$v_y(a) = (y \succ a) \le \hat{f}(a) \le j(\hat{f}(a)).$$

Also, for all  $a, y \in A$ ,  $(y \succ a) \land y = y \land a$  and

$$j((y \succ a) \land y) \le j(a) \Leftrightarrow j(y \succ a) \land j(y) \le j(a)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow j(y \succ a) \le (j(y) \succ j(a)).$$

Thus

$$v_y(a) \le j(\hat{f}(a)) \le (j(y) \succ j(a)) = v_{j(y)}(j(a)) \le f(j(a)).$$

Therefore  $j \circ \hat{f} \leq f \circ j$ .

Now, we prove the above, but for all  $\alpha$ -ordinals.

**Corollary 4.4.** For j, f and  $\hat{f}$  as before, it is hold that  $j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} < f^{\alpha} \circ j$ 

*Proof.* For an ordinal  $\alpha$  we will check that  $j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} < f^{\alpha} \circ j$ . We will do it by transfinite induction.

If  $\alpha = 0$ , it is trivial.

For the induction step, we assume that for  $\alpha$  it holds. Then

$$j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha+1} = j \circ \hat{f} \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} \le f \circ j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} \le f \circ f^{\alpha} \circ j = f^{\alpha+1} \circ j,$$

where the first inequality is Lemma 4.3 and the second is true by the induction hypothesis.

If  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal, then

$$\hat{f}^{\lambda} = \bigvee \{ \hat{f}^{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \lambda \}, \quad f^{\lambda} = \bigvee \{ f^{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \lambda \}$$

and

$$j\circ \hat{f}^{\lambda}=j\circ\bigvee_{\alpha<\lambda}\hat{f}^{\alpha}\leq\bigvee_{\alpha<\lambda}j\circ \hat{f}^{\alpha}.$$

Thus, by the induction hypothesis, we have that

$$j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} \leq f^{\alpha} \circ j \Rightarrow \bigvee_{\alpha < \lambda} j \circ \hat{f}^{\alpha} \leq \bigvee_{\alpha < \lambda} f^{\alpha} \circ j.$$

Therefore  $j \circ \hat{f}^{\lambda} \leq f^{\lambda} \circ j$ .

By the Corollary 4.4, we have that  $j \circ \hat{f}^{\infty} \leq f^{\infty} \circ j$  is true. Futhermore, by H-M Theorem,  $f^{\infty} = v_F$  and  $\hat{f}^{\infty} = v_{j*F}$ . With this in mind, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{(v_{j*F})^*} & A_{j*F} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
A_j & \xrightarrow{(v_F)_*} & A_F
\end{array}$$

Here,  $A_F$  and  $A_{j_*F}$  are the compact quotients produced by  $v_F$  and  $v_{j_*F}$ , respectively. The morfism  $H:A\to A_F$  is defined by  $H=v_F\circ j$ . Futhermore,  $(v_F)_*$  and  $(v_{j_*F})_*$  are inclusions.

Let  $h: A_{j_*F} \to A_j$  be such that, for  $x \in A_{j_*F}$ , h(x) = H(x). Therefore, if  $h = H_{|A_{j_*F}|}$ , then the above diagram commutes.

We need that h to be a frame morphism. First, by the difinition of h, this is  $\land$ -morphism. It remains to be seen that h is  $\bigvee$ -morphism.

The joins in  $A_{j_*F}$  and  $A_F$  are calculated differently. Thus, let  $\hat{V}$  be join in  $A_{j_*F}$  and let  $\hat{V}$  be join in  $A_F$ . Therefore

$$\hat{\bigvee} = v_{j_*F} \circ \bigvee$$
 and  $\tilde{\bigvee} = v_F \circ \bigvee$ ,

that is, for  $X \subseteq A$ ,  $Y \subseteq A_i$ ,

$$\hat{\bigvee} X = v_{j_*F}(\bigvee X)$$
 and  $\tilde{\bigvee} Y = v_F(\bigvee Y)$ .

Since H is a frame morphism, then  $H \circ \bigvee = \tilde{\bigvee} \circ H$ . Let us get something similar to h.

**Lemma 4.5.**  $h \circ \hat{\bigvee} = \tilde{\bigvee} \circ h$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to check the comparison  $h \circ \hat{V} \leq \tilde{V} \circ h$ . Thus

$$h\circ \mathring{\bigvee} = H\circ v_{j_*F}\circ \bigvee = v_F\circ j\circ v_{j_*F}\circ \bigvee \leq v_F\circ v_F\circ j\circ \bigvee$$

where the inequality is the Corollary 4.4. Futhermore,  $v_F \circ v_F = v_F$ , then

$$h \circ \hat{\bigvee} \leq v_F \circ j \circ \bigvee = H \circ \bigvee = \tilde{\bigvee} \circ H = \tilde{\bigvee} \circ h.$$

Therefore  $h \circ \hat{\nabla} = \tilde{\nabla} \circ h$ .

With this we prove the following.

# **Proposition 4.6.** The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{v_{j_*F}} & A_{j_*F} \\
\downarrow \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\
A_j & \xrightarrow{v_F} & A_F
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

With the above diagram, we could analyze some compact quotients, for example, closed compact quotients.

**Definition 4.7.** Let A be a frame and  $F \in A^{\wedge}$ . The compact quotient  $A_F$  is closed if  $A_F = A_{u_d}$  for some  $d \in A$ .

**Proposition 4.8.** If A is a tidy frame, then  $A_i$  is tidy.

*Proof.* It is easy to prove that  $F \subseteq j_*F$ . Since A is tidy and  $F \in A^{\wedge}$ , it is true that  $x \in F \Rightarrow \hat{d} \lor x = 1$ ,

where 
$$\hat{d} = d(\alpha) = f^{\alpha}(0)$$
.  
If  $\hat{d} \leq d$ , then  $d \vee x = 1$ , for  $d = d(\alpha) = f^{\alpha}(j(0))$ .

Thus, for Corollary 4.4

$$\hat{d} = \hat{d}(\alpha) \le j(\hat{d}(\alpha)) = j(\hat{f}^{\alpha}(0)) \le f^{\alpha}(j(0)) = d(\alpha) = d.$$

Therefore if  $x \in F$ , then  $d \vee x = 1$  and  $A_i$  is tidy.

**Proposition 4.9.** If A has KC, then  $A_j$  has KC for every  $j \in N(A)$ .

*Proof.* We consider  $k \in NA_j$  such that  $(A_j)_k$  is compact. Since any open filter is admissible, we have  $\nabla(k) \in A_i^{\wedge}$  and by Proposition 4.2  $j_*\nabla(K) \in A^{\wedge}$ .

Let  $l = j_* \circ k \circ j^* \in NA$  be, then  $A_l$  is a compact quotient of A and exists  $a \in A$  such that  $l = u_a$ . Thus, we have

$$A \xrightarrow{j^*} A_j \xrightarrow{k} (A_j)_k \xrightarrow{j_*} A_j \subseteq A$$

and  $a \vee x = k(j(x))$ . Therefore, if x = a, k(j(x)) = a.

We need that 
$$k=u_b$$
 for some  $b\in A_j$ . For  $x\in A_j$  and  $b=j(a)$  
$$u_b(x)=b\vee x=b\vee j(x)=j(j(a)\vee j(x))$$
 
$$=j(k(j(a))\vee x)$$
 
$$=j(u_a(x))$$
 
$$=j(k(x))$$
 
$$=k(x).$$

Therefore 
$$u_b = k$$
.

**Proposition 4.10.** If A is a KC frame, the A is a  $T_1$  frame.

*Proof.* A frame is  $T_1$  if and only if for all  $p \in \operatorname{pt} A$ , p is maximal. Let  $p \in \operatorname{pt} A$  and  $a \in A$  be such that  $p \le a \le 1$ . We consider

$$w_p(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{si} \quad x \nleq p \\ p & \text{si} \quad x \le p \end{cases}$$

for  $x \in A$ .  $P = \nabla(w_p) = \{x \in A \mid x \nleq p\}$  is a filter completely prime (in particular,  $P \in A^{\wedge}$ ). Since A is KC, then  $A_{w_p}$  is a closed compact quotient. Thus  $u_p = w_p$ , futhermore

$$u_p(a) = a$$
 and  $w_p(a) = 1$ .

that is, a = 1. Therefore p is maximum.

#### 5. Admissibility intervals

The block structure on a frame is an important problem and its related with some separation properties of frames.

**Proposition 5.1.** For  $F \in A^{\wedge}$  and  $Q \in QS$ , if  $j \in [v_Q, w_Q]$ , then  $U_*jU^* \in [v_F, w_F]$ , where  $U^*$  is the morfism spatial reflection  $U_*$  is the right adjoint.

*Proof.* Since N is a functor, we have

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
A & NA \\
U \downarrow & \stackrel{N(\square)}{\longrightarrow} & \bigvee_{N(U)} \\
\mathcal{O}S & N\mathcal{O}S
\end{array}$$

and  $N(U)_*$  is the right adjoint of  $N(U)^{\wedge}$ . Note the following:

- (1)  $N(U)(j) \le k \Leftrightarrow j \le N(U)_*k$ .
- (2) If  $k \in NOS$  then  $N(U)(j) \le k \Leftrightarrow Uj \le kU$ .
- (3)  $N(U)_*k = U_*kU^*$  and  $UN(U)_*k = k(U)$ .

In 3), if j = k,  $N(U)_*(j) = U_*jU^*$  and  $UN(U)_*j = jU$ . For  $x \in F$ 

$$x \in A \xrightarrow{U^*} \mathcal{O}S \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{O}S \xrightarrow{U_*} A$$

and  $U_*(j(U(x))) = \bigwedge(S \setminus j(U(x)))$ . Note that  $U_*(j(U^*(x))) \subseteq \operatorname{pt} A$ . Thus

$$x \in F \Leftrightarrow Q \subseteq U(x) \Leftrightarrow U(x) \in \nabla(j) = \nabla(Q) \Leftrightarrow S \setminus j(U(x)) = \emptyset$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \bigwedge (S \setminus j(U(x))) = 1 = (U_* j U^*)(x)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow x \in \nabla(U_* j U^*)$$

Therefor  $F = \nabla (U_* j U^*)$ .

In this way we have a function

$$\mho: [V_Q, W_Q] \to [V_F, W_F]$$

**Proposition 5.2.** For every  $A \in \mathcal{H}rm$  the interval corresponding to the block determined by a open filter  $F \in A^{\wedge}$  is trivial, that is,

$$[v_F, w_F] = \{*\}$$

*Proof.* We know that for all  $F \in A^{\wedge}$  the following holds:  $v_F \leq w_F$ . As a contradition, suppose that exists  $F \in A^{\wedge}$  such that  $w_F \nleq v_F$ , that is, exists  $a \in A$  such that  $w_F(a) \not \leq v_F(a)$ .

Note that  $w_F(a) \neq 1$ , otherwise

$$1 = w_F(a) = \bigwedge \{ p \in M \mid a \le p \} \le p$$

and this is a contradition because  $p \neq 1$ .

Then  $1 \neq w_F(a) \nleq v_F(a)$  and for the property (H), exists  $u \in A$  such that

(2) 
$$u \not\leq w_F(a)$$
 and  $\neg u \not\leq v_F(a)$ 

Due to monotony,  $w_F(0) \le w_F(a)$  and  $v_F(0) \le v_F(a)$ - Thus, for (2) we have that

(3) 
$$i) u \not\leq w_F(0)$$
 and  $ii) \neg u \not\leq v_F(0)$ .

For (3)-(i) is true that  $u \nleq \bigwedge M$ , in particular,  $u \nleq p$  for some  $p \in M$ . Therefore,  $\neg u \leq p$  and  $\neg u \leq w_F(0)$ . If (3)-(ii) is true, then  $u \notin F$ , in otherwise

$$u \in F \Rightarrow v_u \le f \Rightarrow v_u(0) = \neg u \le f(0)$$

and this is a contradition. Thus, for the Birkhoff's separation Lemma, exists a completely prime filter G such that  $u \notin G \supseteq F$ . We take

$$q = \bigvee \{y \in A \mid y \not \in G\}$$

the point corresponding to G. Thus,  $u \notin G$ ,  $u \leq q$ . If  $q \notin F$ , then  $q \in M$  and  $u \not \leq q$ . Hence  $u \leq q$ ,  $u \not \leq q$  and this is a contradition.

A consequence of the Proposition 5.2 is that  $v_F = w_F$ , so that,  $A_{v_F} = A_{w_F}$ and  $A_{w_F} \simeq \mathcal{O}M \simeq \mathcal{O}Q$ . Thus, for all  $j \in KA$  we have that  $j = v_F$ . Then in the Huasdorff case

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \longrightarrow & A_F \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
OS & \longrightarrow & OS_{\nabla}
\end{array}$$

where g (seria el h del 4.5) is an isomorphism and  $A_F \simeq \mathcal{O}Q \simeq \mathcal{O}S_{\nabla}$ .

HAY que explicar mejor los isorfismos quien es M quien es Q On the other hand,  $U_*u_{Q'}U^*=v_F$  if and only if  $u_{Q'}U^*=U^*v_F$ , for the adjuntion properties and  $U^*$ the spatial reflection morphism. Therefore

8 THE PATCH FRAME AND ITS RELATIONS WITH SEPARATION IN POINT-FREE TOPOLOGY

$$A \xrightarrow{v_F} A$$

$$U_* \uparrow \downarrow U \qquad U \downarrow \uparrow U_*$$

$$\mathcal{O}S \xrightarrow{v_\nabla} \mathcal{O}S$$

so that, if  $A \in \mathcal{H}rm$ , then KC implies patch trivial.

The above is the proof of the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.3.** If  $A \in \mathcal{H}rm$ . then every compact quotient is isomrphic to a closed quotient of the topology of a Hausdorff space.

**Corollary 5.4.** *If*  $A \in \mathcal{H}rm$ .

$$Q(S) \cong \operatorname{pt}(V(A))$$

EJEMPLOS DE marcos ptrivial que no sean KC

# REFERENCES

[Sex03] Rosemary A Sexton, A point-free and point-sensitive analysis of the patch assembly, The University of Manchester (United Kingdom), 2003.

[Sim04] Harold Simmons, *The vietoris modifications of a frame*, Unpublished manuscript, 79pp., available online at http://www.cs. man. ac. uk/hsimmons (2004).