



Categorical Manipulation Correlation Analysis Report

Generated on 2024-11-26 11:31:13


Contingency Table: Peer Pressure vs Reciprocity Pressure
Cramer's V=0.105 (p=1.996e-02)




Contingency Table: Peer Pressure vs Gaslighting
Cramer's V=0.208 (p=3.051e-09)




Contingency Table: Peer Pressure vs Guilt-Tripping
Cramer's V=0.250 (p=2.068e-13)




Contingency Table: Peer Pressure vs Emotional Blackmail
Cramer's V=0.214 (p=1.027e-09)




Contingency Table: Peer Pressure vs General
Cramer's V=0.292 (p=1.519e-18)




Contingency Table: Peer Pressure vs Fear Enhancement
Cramer's V=0.233 (p=1.113e-11)




Contingency Table: Peer Pressure vs Negging
Cramer's V=0.230 (p=2.427e-11)




Contingency Table: Reciprocity Pressure vs Gaslighting
Cramer's V=0.087 (p=9.203e-02)




Contingency Table: Reciprocity Pressure vs Guilt-Tripping
Cramer's V=0.115 (p=7.414e-03)




Contingency Table: Reciprocity Pressure vs Emotional Blackmail
Cramer's V=0.090 (p=7.567e-02)




Contingency Table: Reciprocity Pressure vs General
Cramer's V=0.145 (p=1.732e-04)




Contingency Table: Reciprocity Pressure vs Fear Enhancement
Cramer's V=0.141 (p=3.159e-04)




Contingency Table: Reciprocity Pressure vs Negging
Cramer's V=0.121 (p=3.790e-03)




Contingency Table: Gaslighting vs Guilt-Tripping
Cramer's V=0.198 (p=2.453e-08)




Contingency Table: Gaslighting vs Emotional Blackmail
Cramer's V=0.180 (p=7.761e-07)




Contingency Table: Gaslighting vs General
Cramer's V=0.364 (p=1.388e-29)




Contingency Table: Gaslighting vs Fear Enhancement
Cramer's V=0.259 (p=1.249e-14)




Contingency Table: Gaslighting vs Negging
Cramer's V=0.282 (p=2.098e-17)




Contingency Table: Guilt-Tripping vs Emotional Blackmail
Cramer's V=0.237 (p=4.920e-12)




Contingency Table: Guilt-Tripping vs General
Cramer's V=0.323 (p=6.827e-23)




Contingency Table: Guilt-Tripping vs Fear Enhancement
Cramer's V=0.280 (p=5.454e-17)




Contingency Table: Guilt-Tripping vs Negging
Cramer's V=0.275 (p=1.919e-16)




Contingency Table: Emotional Blackmail vs General
Cramer's V=0.249 (p=2.195e-13)




Contingency Table: Emotional Blackmail vs Fear Enhancement
Cramer's V=0.205 (p=5.322e-09)




Contingency Table: Emotional Blackmail vs Negging
Cramer's V=0.251 (p=1.547e-13)




Contingency Table: General vs Fear Enhancement
Cramer's V=0.356 (p=3.608e-28)



Contingency Table: General vs Negging
Cramer's V=0.354 (p=6.485e-28)




Contingency Table: Fear Enhancement vs Negging
Cramer's V=0.273 (p=3.412e-16)



Categorical Correlation Matrix (Cramer's V)
with Significance Levels

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$



Summary of Notable Categorical Correlations:

Top 5 Strongest Correlations:

- Gaslighting - General: 0.364***
- General - Fear Enhancement: 0.356***
- General - Negging: 0.354***
- Guilt-Tripping - General: 0.323***
- Peer Pressure - General: 0.292***