Applied Number Theory: Homework 1

Joshua Dong

September 9, 2016

1.14

a)

b is positive and non-zero. If a is positive, we can choose q=0, and a will be in the set and we are done.

If a is 0, we can choose q = -1, and b = 0 - b(-1) which will be in the set and we are done.

If a is negative, then we can choose q = a + 1. Then a - b(a - 1) = a - ab + b = a(1 - b) + b. b > 0 implies b - 1 > -1, 1 - b < 1. If 1 - b is zero, a(1 - b) + b = a(0) + b = b, which is positive. If 1 - b is negative (which is the only other case, since b is an integer), a(1 - b) is positive since the product of two negative numbers is positive. The sum of two positive numbers is positive, so a(1 - b) + b would be positive.

In all cases, there must be a positive element of the set.

b)

Consider the set of integer multiples of b less than or equal to a. Denote this new set A. By the well-ordering principle, there is a greatest element of the set. Let this element be xb, where x is an integer.

a = xb + y for some $y \ge 0$. (x+1)b is not in the set A but is a multiple, so (x+1)b > a. (x+1)b = xb + b > a = xb + y. xb + b > xb + y. b > y. Then there exists $0 \le y < b$ for any integer choice of a and b.

\mathbf{c}

We already showed this in b) with the set of integer multiples. Simply take q = x, r = y.

d)

```
\begin{aligned} a &= bq_1 + r_1 = bq_2 + r_2, \\ bq_1 + r_1 &= bq_2 + r_2 \\ bq_1 - bq_2 &= r_2 - r_1 \\ b(q_1 - q_2) &= r_2 - r_1. \end{aligned}
```

But this means the difference between r_1 and r_2 is divisible by b. We know the two remainders are greater than 0. If their difference was greater than 0, then their difference would be at least b (by the definition of divisibility, and since q is integral). This is a contradiction with the assumption that $r_{1,2} < b$.

Then their difference must be 0, the only remaining possibility. If the remainders are the same, then q_1 and q_2 must be the same by the assertion of their relation to a.

1.23

m is odd. a is an integer. First we show that squares of even integers are equal to 0 modulo 4, and squares of odd integers are equal to 1 modulo 4.

Let 2k be an even integer, for some integer k, by definition of even. Then $(2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 4(k^2)$ is equivalent to 0 modulo 4 by definition of modulo. Let 2k + 1 be an even integer, for some integer k, by definition of odd. Then $(2k+1)^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 4(k^2 + k) + 1$ is equivalent to 1 modulo 4 by definition of modulo.

Since m is odd, by definition there exists some integer x such that m=2x+1. Then $2m+a^2\equiv 2(2x+1)+a^2\equiv 4x+2+a^2\equiv 2+a^2\mod 4$.

If a is even, we showed its square is equivalent to 0 modulo 4. Then $2 + a^2 \equiv 2 \mod 4$. This cannot be a square, as shown previously.

If a is odd, we showed its square is equivalent to 1 modulo 4. Then $2 + a^2 \equiv 3 \mod 4$. This cannot be a square, as shown previously.

Then any number in the form of $2m + a^2$ where m is odd can never be a perfect square.

1.25

This is the same algorithem I implemented for fast modular exponentiation. It works since we take the binary representation and multiply the exponents of those bases. The floor function is simply a bit shift, taking the next least significant binary digit. This loop uses b to save the value of $g^{2^{loopiteration}}$.

sorry I typed these in a hurry!