

RESEARCH PESENTATION


Investigating the optimisation of traffic flow using reinforcement learning

Name: Lebajoa Mphaloane

Student number: 2057198

Branch: Industrial Engineering

Supervisor: Bevan Smith DATE: 12/November/2024



- Rapid urbanization and increasing vehicle ownership are putting pressure on existing transportation systems.
- Inefficient traffic management leads to gridlocks, economic losses, and environmental degradation.
- Traditional traffic control systems (e.g., pre-timed or actuated signals)
 struggle to adapt to fluctuating traffic conditions, especially during peak
 hours or disruptions.
- Recent studies have focused on overcoming these limitations by using machine learning techniques, especially reinforcement learning (RL), to optimise traffic signals







Reinforcement learning

- An Al agent learns by interacting with an environment
- Like a child learning through trial and error
- Core elements:
 - Agent: The learner/decision maker
 - Environment: The world the agent interacts with
 - State: Current situation
 - Action: What the agent can do
 - Reward: Feedback signal (+/-) for each action
- •Key principle: Agent learns to maximize long-term rewards
- •Real-world examples:
 - Traffic light system learning to control traffic efficiently





Problem

Pre-made RL environments often fail to capture real-world traffic complexities, such as fluctuating patterns and disruptions. This research aims to create a custom RL environment to simulate these dynamics, enabling effective agent training for dynamic traffic control.


CRQ

How can a custom reinforcement learning (RL) environment simulate real-world traffic flow, and how does a Deep Q-Network (DQN) agent optimize traffic signal control to reduce congestion and improve efficiency?

Objectives

- Develop a SUMO-based simulation for realistic urban traffic flow.
- Design a reward function with key metrics (waiting time, queue length, speed, throughput).
- Train and evaluate a DQN agent for traffic signal optimization.
- Test performance on real-world networks (e.g., Braamfontein, Johannesburg CBD).
- 5. Analyse agent performance and identify DQN limitations.







Version: 1.95

• Code development • Debugging • Version control • Project management

Matplotlib

Version: 3.8.3

• Results visualization • Performance plotting • Data analysis • Graph generation






Traffic Custom Environment

Traffic Entry Points:

- North Entry
- West Entry
- Lower-West Entry
- Each entry point has a flow rate of 500 vehicles per hour

Intersection Control:

- 4 controlled intersections with 2 traffic lights per intersection
- Actuated traffic light control to prevent crosstraffic collisions



Traffic Flow Design:

- Multiple route options from each entry point
- Left and right turn capabilities at each intersection
- Strategically distributed traffic flow to mimic realistic urban patterns

Exit Points:


- End_South1: Exit for North and Westbound traffic
- End_South2: Exit for Lower East-bound traffic
- End_East1: Exit for East and South2bound traffic
- End_East2: Exit for Lower West-bound traffic
- North2: Additional exit point

Traffic Light Phases:

- •Phase 0 (Green): GGGrrr 42
- seconds
- •Phase 1 (Yellow): Yyyrrr 4 seconds
- •Phase 2 (Red): rrrGGG 42 seconds
- •Phase 3 (Yellow): Rrryyy 4 seconds



States and Action spaces



- For 4 intersections, the total possible actions = 16
- **DQN AGENT**

- Binary Control per Intersection:
 - 0: Maintain current phase
 - 1: Switch to next phase

Example: Action 6 (0110) means: - Junction 1: Keep current phase - Junction 2: Switch phase - Junction 3: Switch phase - Junction 4: Keep current phase



Rewards

State and reward

Waiting Time Component:

- Measures change in total waiting time before and after action
- Normalized by dividing by 60 (seconds)
- Positive reward for decreased waiting time

Speed Component


- Measures the average speed in the network
- Higher speeds yield better rewards

Queue Length Component

- Tracks change in number of halting vehicles
- Normalized by dividing by 10 (vehicles)
- Positive reward for decreased queue length

Throughput Component

- Measures vehicles successfully exiting the network
- Encourages efficient network clearance
- More cars leaving the network more positive rewards





Training


Duration & Phases: The training lasts 100,000 timesteps, divided into four phases:

- 1. Initialization (0-10,000 steps): The agent explores randomly to gather experience without updates.
- **2.** Early Learning (10,000-40,000 steps): Exploration is high, and the agent starts updating its policy.
- **3. Refinement (40,000-70,000 steps):** Exploration decreases, and the agent fine-tunes its policy.
- **4. Final Phase (70,000-100,000 steps):** Minimal exploration (5%), focusing on policy optimization and performance validation.

Learning Configuration:


- The agent uses a learning rate of 0.001, large experience buffer (100,000), and batch size of 256.
- Exploration gradually reduces from 100% to 5%, with a discount factor (γ) of 0.99 to prioritize long-term rewards.





Metrics Tracked:

- Episode rewards, waiting times, queue lengths, average speeds, network throughput, and training loss.
- These metrics help monitor performance, congestion reduction, traffic flow, and learning progress.



Verification and Validation

Cross-Environment Validation

- •Test Environments:
 - Training environment (4 intersections)
 - Braamfontein network
 - Johannesburg network (23 intersections)

Baseline Performance Comparison

- Pre-Learning Metrics: Total Rewards: 42-65
- Average Speed: 3.4-4.4 m/s
- Waiting Time: 250-500 seconds
- Network Throughput: 1891-2096 cars/hour
- Queue Length: 36-40 vehicles



Statistical Validation

- •Performance Metrics:
 - Mean values with standard deviations
 - Confidence intervals
 - Effect sizes (Cohen's d)
- •Stability Measures:
 - Consistent performance across episodes
 - Reliable response to traffic variations
 - Robust handling of edge cases






1. Episode Rewards

- Initial Phase: Fluctuated between 40-60 during early exploration (first 10,000 timesteps).
- **Breakthrough:** Around episode 25, rewards rose significantly to ~80.
- **Stabilization:** Final rewards stabilized at 96-100, reflecting optimal traffic management.
- Insight: Improved rewards correlate with better traffic efficiency, validating the reward structure

3. Average Waiting Time

- Initial Peaks: High waiting times of 400-500 units.
- Improvement: Stabilized at 150-200 units (~60% reduction).
- Insight: Significant reduction in delays, showing effective signal timing optimization.



2. Average Speed

- Initial Volatility: Ranged between 3.4 and 4.2 units.
- **Stabilization:** Settled at 3.8-4.0 units post-learning, with minor fluctuations.
- Insight: The agent balanced traffic flow, avoiding congestion and maintaining safe speeds.

4. Average Queue Length

- Initial Fluctuations: Queue lengths peaked at 28-30 vehicles.
- **Stabilization:** Decreased and stabilized around 22 vehicles (~25% reduction).
- Insight: Improved congestion management, leading to better traffic flow and reduced delays.

6. Training Loss

- Initial Phase: Started at ~0.20, showing rapid decline early on.
- **Final Phase:** Converged below 0.05, indicating effective learning and model fine-tuning.
- Insight: Low final loss values demonstrate a stable and reliable traffic management policy.

5. Network Throughput

- Initial Throughput: ~1897 units.
- Improvement: Stabilized above 2460 units (~30% increase).
- **Insight:** Enhanced system capacity, with more vehicles efficiently processed through intersections.



Lebajoa Mphaloane Presenter

Braamfontein Testing

Episode Rewards


- Fluctuation: Varied between 15.0 and 22.5.
- Observation: Oscillations with occasional peaks (~22.5) and troughs (~15.0).
- **Insight:** Reflects the agent's adaptive response to dynamic real-world traffic conditions.

Average Waiting Time


- Normal Range: 200-400 units.
- Spike: Reached ~1000 units around episode 50.
- **Stabilization:** Returned to 200-250 units after the peak.
- **Insight:** Indicates the agent's capability to recover from temporary congestion.

Network Throughput

- Stable Range: 525-625 units.
- Observation: Maintained consistent throughput despite variations in other metrics.
- Insight: Demonstrates effective traffic flow management even under challenging conditions.



Trained DQN agent



Average Speed

- Stable Range: 7-8 units.
- **Disruption:** Temporary drop to ~4 units around episode 60.
- Recovery: Quickly returned to normal levels.
- **Insight:** Demonstrates resilience to temporary traffic disturbances.

Average Queue Length

- Stable Range: 20-30 vehicles.
- **Spike:** Brief increase to ~60 vehicles around episode 60.
- **Recovery:** Returned to 20-25 vehicles post-disruption.
- Insight: Effective congestion management with quick recovery from anomalies

Large Johannesburg Testing




Operational Metrics

- Average Speed: Stable at 5.5-6.0 units, with occasional dips, ensuring smooth traffic flow.
- Average Waiting Times: Typically, around 100 units, with two anomalies showing negative spikes around episodes 100 and 120.
- Queue Lengths: Controlled within 24-26 vehicles, with minor fluctuations and occasional brief spikes.

Episode Rewards and Network Performance

- **Episode Rewards:** Stable range of 15-20 units, with occasional peaks up to 25.
- Network Throughput: Consistent performance between 3100-3500 vehicles, indicating robust handling of higher traffic volumes.
- Observation: The system maintained effective traffic management across the expanded network despite reduced architecture.







Objective Achievement:

• The research successfully developed a custom SUMO-based simulation environment and trained a DQN agent to optimize traffic signal control effectively.

Traffic Efficiency Improvement:

• The DQN agent significantly reduced waiting times, queue lengths, and overall congestion, enhancing traffic flow in both simulated and real-world urban networks.

Reward Structure Effectiveness:

• A comprehensive and well-designed reward structure was crucial in guiding the DQN agent's learning and promoting efficient traffic management strategies.

Generalization Capability:

• The trained agent demonstrated strong adaptability across different traffic patterns and congestion levels, performing effectively in the Braamfontein and Johannesburg networks.

Performance Variability:

• Some inconsistencies in performance were observed, especially in larger networks, indicating room for further improvement in model robustness and reliability.

Scalability Limitations:

• The DQN model faced computational and memory challenges when applied to larger, multi-intersection networks, highlighting scalability as a key area for future work.

Potential for Real-World Application:

• Despite limitations, the system shows promise for deployment in urban traffic management, provided further refinements are made.

Need for Broader Testing:

• The current testing scope lacked real-world complexities such as pedestrian crossings, weather variations, and emergency scenarios, limiting the model's robustness.

