Excercise 3

Jessie Munson¹

- ¹ University of Wisconsin Madison
- ² Department of Political Science

5

Excercise 3

Comparative Politics Field Seminar

Hendley (2009) evaluates why in Russia, where "telephone justice" has affected the justice system, use of the country's court system has increased. Through qualitative interviews the author gathers respondents' impressions of and experience with the courts finding though impressions of Russian courts seem dismal at first, average Russians have intuition as to when to pursue litigation. The author concludes that a dualistic system of justice defines the rule of law in Russia wherein matters of no political or monetary importance to the government are handled fairly and those where the government stands to gain may be subject to "telephone justice".

Bendaña and Chopra (2013) discuss obstacles standing in the way of establishing the rule of law (discussed through the lens of women's rights) in Somaliland. Impediments identified include a lack of formal legal expertise, high crime rates, jurisdictional issues, and the societal importance of local clan leaders and communal good over individual rights.

The authors identify the potential importance of allowing change in informal institutions to influence the advancement and legitimization of formal guarantees of individual rights.

Rijpkema (2013) discusses competing definitions for what is considered the rule of
law. One main distinction discussed is between rule of law as the minimum standard at
which a law serves its essential purpose and as an aspirational standard for legal systems.
Rijpkema differentiates between seeing the rule of law as a function or as a normative or
descriptive principle. From these discussions, he synthesizes a single overarching definition
of the rule of law which states: "legal rules must be general, prospective, open and clear,
stable, noncontradictory and enforceable by institutions and procedures that are efficient
and consistent." He concludes human rights are an essential good-making function of the
rule of law.

Kosar and Sipulova (2020) discuss different court packing strategies available to
leaders seeking a more favorable judiciary. They identify three such strategies: expanding,
emptying, and swapping. These in turn refer to the adding of justices, the reduction of
justices, and the altering of the ideological composition of justices without alteration to
court size. The authors differentiate between quantitative strategies (expanding or
emptying) and qualitative strategies (swapping). They also note ways courts themselves
can improve popular support and protect themselves from leaders who seek to alter their
size and composition. Finally, they distinguish between "apex" courts and other regional
and local courts.

Versteeg and Ginsburg (2017) evaluate four prominent indicators for measuring the
rule of law in different countries. Despite differences in conceptualization, the authors
identify methodological commonalities that lead to a correlation between these measures.
Additionally, this correlation can be expanded to include measures of government
corruption. The authors posit this correlation is a result of overlapping measures or the
emergence of an overarching concept influencing both corruption and the rule of law. They
specifically identify the strong role of expert perspectives in the measurement process.
Finding that expert perspectives rarely correlate with public perceptions on the same
issues, the authors attribute experts' past exposure to relevant issues and preferences to

Helmke and Rosenbluth (2009) argue that certain attributes of democracies make
them more hospitable to the establishment of independent courts and the rule of law.

These attributes include institutional fragmentation (such as the separation of powers) and
the prominent role of public opinion under democratic regimes. In societies where
individual rights are valued highly, the authors contend that an independent judiciary is
not necessary as political leverage can be exerted by the populace over politicians who
choose to act against individual and minority rights.

56

Political Science as a Discipline and Profession

Cramer (2016) claims that the socioeconomic and political environment in the US has
fomented increasing polarization. Political policies have generally been those that the
wealthy espouse. Cramer argues the answer is the politics of resentment: a political
understanding rooted in resentment toward fellow citizens, largely on a rural/urban divide,
rather than a partisan or issue-based reasoning where political decisions and preferences
based more on using social categories to understand the political world. The author claims
that a perspective of "rural consciousness": identity as a rural person based on identity
rooted in place and class. This is further characterized by a belief that rural areas are
ignored by decision makers, including policy makers and the perception that rural areas do
not get their fair share of resources. The author takes an ethnographic approach to
understand the meaning people construct of their own lives and the world around them.
The author listened to conversations in over 24 communities throughout Wisconsin.

Abdelal and Herrera (2006) wrote this paper with the goal of solving the
longstanding problem of identity being too analytically loose to be as useful a tool. He
defines collective identity as a social category that varies along two dimensions: content
and contestation. Content is made up of social purposes, relational comparisons, and
cognitive models. Contestation refers to the degree of agreement in each group regarding
the content of the shared category. The author advocates for six well-suited methodological
options including discourse analysis, surveys, content analysis, experiments, agent-based
modeling, and cognitive mapping. A longer-lasting contribution of this work may be our
drawing explicit connections between alternative conceptualizations of the variation in
identities and the methods available to measure them

Wedeen (2002) shows how a critical understanding of culture as practices of
meaning-making facilitates insights about politics, enabling political scientists to produce
sophisticated causal arguments and to treat forms of evidence that, while manifestly

- political, most political science approaches tend to overlook. He does this by evaluating
- 83 semiotic processes through language, symbols, and other political phenomena. He also

 84 discusses the role of culture in political science.

85 Comments

References

- Abdelal, R., & Herrera, Y. M. (2006). Identity as a Variable. *Perspectives on Politics*, 4(4), 18.
- Bendaña, A., & Chopra, T. (2013). Women's Rights, State-Centric Rule of Law, and Legal
 Pluralism in Somaliland. *Haque Journal on the Rule of Law*, 5(01), 44–73.
- 91 https://doi.org/10.1017/S1876404512001029
- ⁹² Cramer, K. (2016). Making Sense of Politics through Resentment. In *The Politics of*⁹³ Resentment: Rural Consciousness in Wisconsin and the Rise of Scott Walker.
- University of Chicago Press.
- 95 Helmke, G., & Rosenbluth, F. (2009). Regimes and the Rule of Law: Judicial
- Independence in Comparative Perspective. Annual Review of Political Science,
- 97 12(1), 345–366. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.12.040907.121521
- Hendley, K. (2009). "Telephone Law" and the "Rule of Law": The Russian Case. *Hague Journal on the Rule of Law*, 1(02), 241.
- https://doi.org/10.1017/S1876404509002413
- Kosar, D., & Sipulova, K. (2020). How To Fight Court-Packing? Constitutional Studies, 6(133), 33.
- Rijpkema, P. (2013). The Rule of Law Beyond Thick and Thin. Law and Philosophy,

 32(6), 793–816. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10982-012-9170-1
- Versteeg, M., & Ginsburg, T. (2017). Measuring the Rule of Law: A Comparison of Indicators. Law & Social Inquiry, 42(01), 100–137.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/lsi.12175
- Wedeen, L. (2002). Conceptualizing Culture: Possibilities for Political Science. American

- Political Science Review, 96(4), 713–728.
- $_{110} \hspace{1.5cm} https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055402000400 \\$