# MA5233 Computational Mathematics

Lecture 22: Time-dependent PDEs

Simon Etter



2019/2020

#### Introduction

Last two topics:

- ▶ ODEs  $\approx$  differential equations in time.
- ▶ PDEs  $\approx$  differential equations in space.

New topic: differential equations in both space and time.

### Heat equation

Given  $u_0:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$ , find  $u:[0,1]\times[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $x\in[0,1]$  and  $t\in[0,T]$  it holds

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x,t), & \text{(PDE)} \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), & \text{(initial conditions)} \\ u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0. & \text{(boundary conditions)} \end{cases}$$

Heat equation is the simplest time-dependent PDE and serves as a role model for more complicated equations.

See Lecture 5 for physical interpretation of this equation.

### Discretisation of time-dependent PDEs

Most numerical methods for time-dependent PDEs are derived according to the following scheme.

0. Original PDE:

Find 
$$u:[0,1]\times[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}$$
 such that  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t)=\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x,t).$  (1)

1. Discretise in space using finite differences / Galerkin method. This replaces (1) with

Find 
$$u:[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}^n$$
 such that  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t)=Au(t)$ . (2)

where  $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the vector of point values for finite differences or vector of expansion coefficients for Galerkin's method.

2. Discretise in time using Runge-Kutta / multistep method. This replaces (2) with

Find 
$$(u_k : \mathbb{R}^n)_{k=0}^m$$
 such that  $u_{k+1} = u_k + B u_k \frac{T}{m}$ .

where  $u_k \approx u(T\frac{k}{m})$ .

The next slides demonstrate this procedure using FD and explicit Euler.

## Finite difference and explicit Euler discretisation of heat equation

- 0. Original PDE:  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x,t)$
- 1. Finite difference discretisation (assuming  $(x_k := \frac{k}{n+1})_{k=0}^{n+1}$ ):

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x_k,t) \approx (n+1)^2 \left( u(x_{k-1},t) - 2 u(x_k,t) + u(x_{k+1},t) \right).$$

Let us write this as  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) = Au(t)$ .

2. Explicit Euler time-stepping (assuming  $(t_{\ell} := T \frac{\ell}{m})_{\ell=0}^{m})$ :

$$u(t_{\ell+1}) \approx u(t_{\ell}) + Au(t_{\ell}) \frac{T}{m}$$
.

See example() in 22\_time\_dependent\_pdes.jl.

### **Error** analysis

Same setup as for Runge-Kutta methods:

- ▶ Exact time propagator  $\Phi_t : u(x,0) \mapsto u(x,t)$ .
- Numerical time propagator  $\tilde{\Phi}_t : u(x_k, 0) \mapsto \tilde{u}(x_k, t)$ .

Note that  $\Phi_t$  maps functions to functions, while  $\tilde{\Phi}_t$  maps point-values to point-values. As before, we assume that functions are implicitly converted to point-values if this is required by context.

Assumptions on numerical propagator:

- ► Consistency:  $\|\tilde{\Phi}_t(u) \Phi_t(u)\| = \mathcal{O}(t(t^p + n^{-q}))$  for some p, q > 0.
- ▶ Stability:  $\|\tilde{\Phi}_t(u_2) \tilde{\Phi}_t(u_1)\| \le (1 + \tilde{L}\,t) \|u_2 u_1\|$  for some  $\tilde{L} > 0$ .

### Main new ingredient:

- ▶ space-discretised ODE  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = Au(t)$  is only an approximation to the exact equation  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(t)$ .
- ▶ Hence, consistency error involves a spatial component  $\mathcal{O}(t \, n^{-q})$  and a temporal component  $\mathcal{O}(t^{-p-1})$ .

#### Theorem

Under the assumptions on previous slide, we have

$$\|\tilde{u}(T) - u(T)\| = \mathcal{O}(m^{-p} + n^{-q})$$

where u(T) denotes the vector of point-values of the exact solution and  $\tilde{u}(T)$  is the numerically computed approximation using m equidistant steps in time and n equispaced grid points in space.

*Proof.* The proof is a minor modification of what we have done for the Runge-Kutta schemes in Lecture 16. As before, let us introduce the shorthand notation

$$\Phi(u) := \Phi_{T/m}(u), \quad \tilde{\Phi}(u) := \tilde{\Phi}_{T/m}(u), \quad u_k := u(\tfrac{Tk}{m}), \quad \tilde{u}_k := \tilde{u}(\tfrac{Tk}{m}).$$

Both  $u_k$  and  $\tilde{u}_k$  are vectors of point values.

Proof (continued). We compute

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{u}(T) - u(T)\| &= \|\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{u}_{m-1}) - \Phi(u_{m-1})\| \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{u}_{m-1}) - \tilde{\Phi}(u_{m-1})\| + \|\tilde{\Phi}(u_{m-1}) - \Phi(u_{m-1})\| \\ &\leq (1 + \frac{\tilde{L}T}{m}) \|\tilde{u}_{m-1} - u_{m-1}\| + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1} (m^{-p} + n^{-q})) \\ &\leq \cdots \\ &\leq 0 + \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (1 + \frac{\tilde{L}T}{m})^k\right) \mathcal{O}(m^{-1} (m^{-p} + n^{-q})) \\ &\leq (1 + \frac{\tilde{L}T}{m})^{m-1} \mathcal{O}(m^{-p} + n^{-q}) \end{split}$$

Claim follows after observing that since  $1 + x \le \exp(x)$ , we have

$$(1+\frac{\tilde{L}T}{m})^{m-1} \leq \exp\bigl(\tilde{L}\;T\,\tfrac{m-1}{m}\bigr) \leq \exp\bigl(\tilde{L}\;T\bigr).$$

### Consistency of FD + explicit Euler

$$\tilde{u}(t) = u(0) + Au(0) t$$
  
$$u(t) = u(0) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0) t + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$$

Since

$$\tfrac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x_k,0) = \tfrac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x_k,0) = (Au)_k + \mathcal{O}\big(n^{-2}\big),$$

we have  $\tilde{u}(t) - u(t) = \mathcal{O}(t(t + n^{-2}))$ .

### Stability of FD + explicit Euler

$$\|\tilde{\Phi}_t(u_2) - \tilde{\Phi}_t(u_1)\| \le \|u_2 - u_1\| + t \|Au_2 - Au_1\|$$
  
  $\le (1 + \|A\|t) \|u_2 - u_1\|.$ 

### Conclusion

Error for FD+EE discretisation with m equidistant time-steps and n mesh points is given by

$$error = \mathcal{O}(m^{-1} + n^{-2}).$$

### Discussion

- ▶ Solving time-dependent PDEs involves two limits  $m, n \to \infty$ .
- Analysis shows that error can effectively be decomposed into temporal and spatial components.
- ▶ Optimal efficiency is achieved if these two components are of equal magnitude, i.e. if  $m^{-p} \approx n^{-q}$ .

Concrete examples (assuming finite difference discretisation in space):

- ► For explicit or implicit Euler, choose  $m \propto n^2$ .
- ► For explicit or implicit midpoint, choose  $m \propto n$ .

See convergence().

#### Observation

For explicit methods, solution blows up if m is too small compared to n.

## Asymptotic behaviour of heat equation

Physical intuition:  $u(x,t) \to 0$  for  $t \to \infty$  due to boundary conditions. Mathematical analysis:

- ▶ If  $u_0(x) = \sin(\pi kx)$ , then  $u(x, t) = \sin(\pi kx) \exp(-(\pi k)^2 x)$ .
- ▶ Heat equation is linear: if  $u_k(x,t)$  solves heat equation with initial conditions  $u_{0,k}(x)$  for  $k \in \{1,2\}$ , then  $u_1(x,t) + u_2(x,t)$  solves heat equation for initial conditions  $u_{0,1}(x) + u_{0,2}(x)$ .
- ▶ Fourier theory: any (reasonable)  $u_0(x)$  can be written as

$$u_0(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{u}_k \sin(\pi kx).$$

Conclusion:

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{u}_k \sin(\pi kx) \exp(-(\pi k)^2 t),$$

i.e. solutions decay exponentially in time.

### Asymptotic behaviour of Runge-Kutta methods

Runge-Kutta with time-step  $\Delta t$  applied to the ODE  $\dot{u}=Au$  produces solutions  $\tilde{u}_k$  which go to zero for  $k\to\infty$  if and only if the stability function R(z) satisfies  $|R(\lambda \Delta t)|<1$  for all eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of A.

### Time step constraint for FD + explicit Runge-Kutta method

- ▶ We showed in Lecture 5 that the eigenvalues of the finite difference matrix A satisfy  $\lambda_k \in (-4(n+1)^2, 0)$ .
- ▶ We showed in Lecture 17 that stability functions R(z) for explicit Euler and midpoint rule satisfy  $|R(z)| \ge 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-2,0)$ .

Conclusion: stability constraint  $|R(\lambda \Delta t)| < 1$  is satisfied if and only if

$$4(n+1)^2 \Delta t \leq 2 \quad \iff \quad \Delta t \leq \frac{1}{2}(n+1)^{-2}.$$

### Discussion

- ► Time step constraint is acceptable for explicit Euler method since convergence anyway requires  $\Delta t \propto n^{-2}$ .
- ► Time step constraint means explicit midpoint offers no advantage over explicit Euler: for convergence,  $\Delta t \propto n^{-1}$  would be enough, but stability imposes  $\Delta t \propto n^{-2}$ .