MA5233 Computational Mathematics

Lecture 16: Ordinary Differential Equations

Simon Etter



2019/2020

Ordinary differential equation (ODE)

Given $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, find differentiable $y: [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$y(0) = y_0$$
 and $\dot{y}(t) = f(y(t))$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

ODEs are also called initial value problems.

 $\dot{y}(t) := \frac{dy}{dt}(t)$ is a shorthand for the time derivative.

Example: Newton's law of motion $m\ddot{x} = F(x)$.

This can be written in above form by setting

$$y := \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \dot{x} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f(y) := \begin{pmatrix} y_2 \\ \frac{1}{m} F(y_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

such that

$$\dot{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \ddot{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \frac{1}{m} F(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_2 \\ \frac{1}{m} F(y_1) \end{pmatrix} = f(y).$$

Picard-Lindelöf theorem

Assume $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is Lipschitz continuous, i.e. there exists a constant L > 0 such that for all $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$||f(y_2) - f(y_1)|| \le L ||y_2 - y_1||.$$
 (1)

Then, there exists a unique differentiable $y:[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$y(0) = y_0$$
 and $\dot{y}(t) = f(y(t))$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. (2)

Alternatively, assume f is locally Lipschitz continuous at y_0 , i.e. there exist L>0 and $\varepsilon>0$ such that (1) holds for $y_1,y_2\in\{y\mid \|y-y_0\|\leq\varepsilon\}$. Then, the solution to (2) may be defined only on [0,T) for some $T<\infty$.

Examples

- ► f(y) = y is Lipschitz with L = 1. Solution $y(t) = y_0 \exp(t)$ is defined on $[0, \infty)$.
- ► $f(y) = y^2$ is locally Lipschitz but not globally Lipschitz. Solution $y(t) = \frac{y_0}{1-y_0t}$ is defined only on $[0, \frac{1}{y_0})$.

Conditioning of initial value problems

Assume $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is Lipschitz continuous with constant L, and $(y_i: [0, T) \to \mathbb{R}^n)_{i \in \{1, 2\}}$ satisfy the two ODEs

$$y_i(0) = s_i, \qquad \dot{y}_i = f(y_i).$$

Then,

$$||y_2(t) - y_1(t)|| \le e^{Lt} ||s_2 - s_1||$$
 for all $t \in [0, T)$.

Interpretation

The map $s\mapsto y(t)$ is Lipschitz continuous, but the Lipschitz constant deteriorates exponentially for $t\to\infty$.

Real-world consequences:

- ▶ Weather prediction is difficult for large *t*.
- Shooting a rocket to Mars requires course corrections.

Solving ODEs via quadrature

The solution to the ODE $\dot{y} = f(y)$ is given by

$$y(t) = y(0) + \int_0^t f(y(\tau)) d\tau.$$

Observation: ODEs can be solved via quadrature!

Problem: We don't know $f(y(\tau_k))$ for quadpoints $\tau_k > 0$.

Solution 1: Use left-point rule: (This is known as Euler's method)

$$\tilde{y}(t) := y(0) + f(y(0)) t.$$

Solution 2: Use midpoint rule, and use left-point rule to estimate $y(\frac{t}{2})$:

$$\tilde{y}(t) := y(0) + f(\tilde{y}(\frac{t}{2}))t, \qquad \tilde{y}(\frac{t}{2}) := y(0) + f(y(0))\frac{t}{2}.$$

Solution 3: Use trapezoidal rule, and use left-point rule to estimate y(t):

$$\tilde{y}(t) := y(0) + \left(f(y(0)) + f(\tilde{\tilde{y}}(t))\right) \frac{t}{2}, \qquad \tilde{\tilde{y}}(t) := y(0) + f(y(0)) t.$$

Solving ODEs via quadrature (continued)

Above schemes deliver poor accuracy since they use only few quadpoints. Two ways to improve accuracy:

- ▶ Increase number of quadpoints \rightarrow *s*-stage Runge-Kutta methods.
- ► Use composite quadrature.

There are limits to how far the first approach can be pushed.

All practical schemes use composite quadrature, which in this context amounts to the following.

- Assume we want to compute $\tilde{y}(T) \approx y(T)$.
- Introduce partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_m = 1$.
- Use any of the schemes on previous slide to iteratively compute

$$y(0) = \tilde{y}(t_0) \rightarrow \tilde{y}(t_1) \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \tilde{y}(t_m) = \tilde{y}(T).$$

See 16_ordinary_differential_equations.jl.

Abstract time-stepping scheme

A single step of Euler's / midpoint / trapezoidal rule can be interpreted as a function $\tilde{\Phi}_t: y(0) \to \tilde{y}(t)$.

Composite scheme is then given by

$$\tilde{y}(T) = \tilde{\Phi}_{t_m - t_{m-1}} \big(\dots \tilde{\Phi}_{t_2 - t_1} \big(\tilde{\Phi}_{t_1 - t_0} \big(y(0) \big) \big) \big).$$

 $ilde{\Phi}_t$ is an approximation to $\Phi_t: y(0) \mapsto y(t)$.

Terminology:

- $ightharpoonup \tilde{\Phi}_t(y)$: numerical propagator.
- $\blacktriangleright \Phi_T(y)$: exact propagator.

Goal: error estimate

$$\|\tilde{y}(T) - y(T)\| = \mathcal{O}(f(m))$$

assuming equispaced partition $\left(t_k := T \frac{k}{m}\right)_{k=0}^m$.

Error analysis for abstract time-stepping scheme

Assumptions on numerical propagator:

- ► Consistency: $\|\tilde{\Phi}_t(y) \Phi_t(y)\| = \mathcal{O}(t^{p+1})$ for some p > 0.
- $\qquad \qquad \textbf{Stability: } \|\tilde{\Phi}_t(y_2) \tilde{\Phi}_t(y_1)\| \leq (1 + \tilde{L}\,t)\,\|y_2 y_1\| \text{ for some } \tilde{L} > 0.$

Then,

$$\|\tilde{y}(T) - y(T)\| = \mathcal{O}\Big(m^{-\rho}\Big)$$
 for $m \to \infty$.

Observe: consistency & stability \implies convergence.

Proof. For notational convenience, we set $\Delta t := \frac{T}{m}$ and

$$\Phi(y) := \Phi_{\Delta t}(y), \quad \tilde{\Phi}(y) := \tilde{\Phi}_{\Delta t}(y), \quad y_k := y(k \Delta t), \quad \tilde{y}_k := \tilde{y}(k \Delta t).$$

Proof (continued). We compute

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{y}(T) - y(T)\| &= \|\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{y}_{m-1}) - \Phi(y_{m-1})\| \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{y}_{m-1}) - \tilde{\Phi}(y_{m-1})\| + \|\tilde{\Phi}(y_{m-1}) - \Phi(y_{m-1})\| \\ &\leq (1 + \tilde{L}\Delta t) \|\tilde{y}_{m-1} - y_{m-1}\| + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^{p+1}) \\ &\leq (1 + \tilde{L}\Delta t)^2 \|\tilde{y}_{m-2} - y_{m-2}\| + (1 + (1 + \tilde{L}\Delta t)) \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^{p+1}) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq (1 + \tilde{L}\Delta t)^m \|\tilde{y}_0 - y_0\| + \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (1 + \tilde{L}\Delta t)^k\right) \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^{p+1}) \\ &\leq 0 + (1 + \tilde{L}\Delta t)^{m-1} \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^p) \end{split}$$

Claim follows after observing that since $\Delta t = \frac{T}{m}$, we have

$$\mathcal{O}(\Delta t^p) = \mathcal{O}(m^{-p}), \qquad (1 + \tilde{L} \Delta t)^{m-1} \le \exp(\tilde{L} T \frac{m-1}{m}) \le \exp(\tilde{L} T).$$

Consistency of Euler's, midpoint and trapezoidal method

Assuming y (and equivalently f) has sufficiently many derivatives, the consistency error $\tilde{y}(t) - y(t)$ can be estimated using Taylor series.

Euler's method:

$$\tilde{y}(t) = y(0) + f(y(0)) t$$

$$y(t) = y(0) + \dot{y}(0) t + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$$

Since $\dot{y}(0) = f(y(0))$, we have $\tilde{y}(t) - y(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^2)$.

► Midpoint method: $\tilde{y}(t) = y(0) + f(y(0) + f(y(0))\frac{t}{2})t$

$$\tilde{y}(t) = y(0) + f(y(0)) t + f'(y(0)) f(y(0)) \frac{t^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(t^3)
y(t) = y(0) + \dot{y}(0) t + \ddot{y}(0) \frac{t^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(t^3)$$

Since
$$\dot{y}(0) = f(y(0))$$
 and $\ddot{y}(0) = f'(y(0)) \dot{y}(0) = f'(y(0)) f(y(0))$, we have $\tilde{y}(t) - y(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^3)$.

▶ Trapezoidal method: analogous. Result is $\tilde{y}(t) - y(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^3)$.

Stability of Euler's, midpoint and trapezoidal method

Assuming f(y) is Lipschitz continuous, $||f(y_2) - f(y_1)|| \le L ||y_2 - y_1||$, we can estimate the stability as follows.

• Euler's method: $\tilde{\Phi}_t(y) = y + f(y) t$.

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{\Phi}_t(y_2) - \tilde{\Phi}_t(y_1)\| &\leq \|y_2 - y_1\| + t \|f(y_2) - f(y_1)\| \\ &\leq (1 + tL) \|y_2 - y_1\|. \end{split}$$

▶ Midpoint method: $\tilde{\Phi}_t(y) = y + f(y + f(y) \frac{t}{2}) t$.

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{\Phi}_{t}(y_{2}) - \tilde{\Phi}_{t}(y_{1})\| &\leq \|y_{2} - y_{1}\| + t \|f(y_{2} + f(y_{2})\frac{t}{2}) - f(y_{1} + f(y_{1})\frac{t}{2})\| \\ &\leq (1 + tL) \|y_{2} - y_{1}\| + \frac{t^{2}}{2} L \|f(y_{2}) - f(y_{1})\| \\ &\leq (1 + tL + (tL)^{2}) \|y_{2} - y_{1}\|. \end{split}$$

► Trapezoidal method: analogous.

Conclusion

Euler: error = $\mathcal{O}(m^{-1})$, midpoint & trapezoidal: error = $\mathcal{O}(m^{-2})$.

Runge-Kutta methods

Assume we have quadrature points x_i and a sequence of quadrature weights w_{ij} with $i \in \{0, ..., s\}$ and $j \in \{0, ..., i-1\}$ such that

$$x_0=0, \qquad x_s=1,$$

and

$$\int_0^{x_i} f(x) dx \approx \sum_{i=0}^i w_{ij} f(x_j) \quad \text{for all } i \in \{0, \dots, s\}.$$

Then, we can compute an approximate solution to $\dot{y} = f(y)$ through

$$\widetilde{y}(t) := y(0) + t \sum_{i=0}^{s} w_{sj} f_j \approx y(t)$$

where

$$f_i := f\left(y(0) + t\sum_{i=0}^i w_{ij}f_j\right) \approx f\left(y(tx_i)\right)$$

Algorithms of this form are known as s-stage Runge-Kutta methods.

Butcher's tableau

The parameters x_i and w_{ij} of Runge-Kutta methods can be conveniently represented in a *Butcher's tableau*:

0					
x_1	w_{10}				
<i>x</i> ₂	<i>W</i> ₂₀	W_{21}			
:	:	:	٠.		
x_{s-1}	$W_{s-1,0}$	$w_{s-1,1}$		$W_{s-1,s-2}$	
	$w_{s,0}$	$w_{s,1}$	• • •	$W_{s,s-2}$	$w_{s,s-1}$

Examples

Euler	Midpoint	Trapezoidal
0	0	0
<u> </u>	$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	1 1
1	0 1	$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

Error analysis for Runge-Kutta schemes

The analysis from slides 8-11 works for arbitrary Runge-Kutta method.

In particular, it can be used to determine x_i , w_{ij} such that consistency error is $\mathcal{O}(t^{p+1})$ with p as large as possible.

Bad: calculations get tedious very quickly for increasing s.

Good: others have done the work for us:

 $\verb|https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_Runge-Kutta_methods|.$

Interesting observation: minimal number of stages s to achieve error $\mathcal{O}(m^{-p})$ grows faster than p.

References and further reading

► E. Suli and D. F. Mayers. *An Introduction to Numerical Analysis*. Cambridge University Press (2003),

doi:10.1017/CB09780511801181

Can be accessed online for free via the library website!