## **MA5233 Computational Mathematics**

## **Homework Sheet 5**

Simon Etter, 2019/2020

Final deadline: 11 October 2019, 7pm

## 1 Barycentric interpolation formulae

Lecture 14 introduced Lagrange's interpolation formula

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} f(x_j) \ell_j(x) \quad \text{with} \quad \ell_j(x) = \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{x - x_i}{x_j - x_i}$$
 (1)

and mentioned that one drawback of this formula is that it requires  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  floating-point operations for every evaluation of p(x). An easy solution to this problem is to rewrite (1) in the form

$$p(x) = \ell(x) \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{w_j f(x_j)}{x - x_j}$$
 (2)

where

$$\ell(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n} (x - x_i)$$
 and  $w_j = \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{x_j - x_i}$ .

Equation (2) is known as the first barycentric interpolation formula, and compared to Lagrange's formula (1) it has the advantage that it can be evaluated with  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  floating-point operations once the barycentric weights  $w_j$  are available. Computing these weights still requires  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  operations, but since the  $w_j$  are independent of x these computations have to be done only once rather than for every single evaluation of p(x). Furthermore, for many important sets of interpolation points the  $w_j$  are given by a simple formula. For example, we have for the Chebyshev extreme points (cf. lecture slides) that

$$\left(x_i = \cos\left(\pi \frac{i}{n}\right)\right)_{i=0}^n \implies w_j = \begin{cases} (-1)^j 2^{n-2}/n & \text{if } j = 0 \text{ or } j = n, \\ (-1)^j 2^{n-1}/n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(3)

## **Tasks**

1. Complete the functions baryweights(xx) and barycentric(xx,w,f,x) in the file sheet5.jl which comes along with this exercise sheet. Make sure your implementation passes the provided tests, and please do not modify the tests.

Hint. You may find that your first implementation of barycentric() fails the advanced test set. Investigate why this is the case, and modify your code such that it also passes the advanced test set.

2. We conclude from (3) that at least for some interpolation points  $x_i$ , the barycentric weights  $w_j$  grow exponentially in the number of points n+1. This can be a problem for large n since on a computer we store the  $w_j$  in the form

$$w_j = s \times f \times 2^e$$
 where  $s \in \{-1, 1\}, f \in [1, 2), e \in [-1022, 1023] \cap \mathbb{Z},$  (4)

and  $w_j$  gets "rounded" to Inf if it exceeds the range of numbers of the above form (recall Lecture 1).

Assuming  $w_j = 2^{n-1}$ , determine the largest number  $n_{\text{max}}$  such that  $w_j$  can still be represented in the form (4). Demonstrate numerically that the weights computed by baryweights(xx) indeed round to Inf if xx = @.(cos(pi\*(0:n)/n)) and n is larger than  $n_{\text{max}}$ .

*Remark.* The above derivation of  $n_{\text{max}}$  reflects the actual computations only approximately for two reasons:

- We use  $w_j = 2^{n-1}$  rather than the exact value of  $w_j$  given in (3).
- Computing the  $w_j$  involves computing intermediate numbers which are somewhat larger than  $w_j$ .

You will find that these two effects approximately cancel such that  $n_{max}$  agrees with the behaviour observed in the experiments.

3. The issue described in Task 2 can be avoided by switching to the *second barycentric* formula

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{w_j f(x_j)}{x - x_j} / \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{w_j}{x - x_j}.$$
 (5)

This formula can be obtained in two steps.

• Observe that

$$1 = \ell(x) \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{w_j}{x - x_j} \tag{6}$$

since the right-hand side of (6) describes the polynomial interpolant p(x) through the data points  $f(x_i) = 1$  for which it must hold p(x) = 1.

• Divide (2) by (6) to arrive at (5).

The second barycentric formula has the advantage that we can replace the weights  $w_j$  by  $\hat{w}_j := \alpha w_j$  in (5) without affecting the correctness of the formula since the newly introduced factor  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  cancels. In the case of the Chebyshev points from (3), this allows us to rewrite (5) in the form

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\hat{w}_j f(x_j)}{x - x_j} / \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\hat{w}_j}{x - x_j} \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{w}_j = \begin{cases} (-1)^j / 2 & \text{if } j = 0 \text{ or } j = n, \\ (-1)^j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(7)

Implement (7) in the function chebyshev(f,x) from sheet5.jl. Make sure your implementation passes the provided tests.