MA5233 Computational Mathematics

Lecture 20: Galerkin's Method

Simon Etter



2019/2020

Recap: Weak formulation of Poisson's equation

We have seen in Lecture 19 that Poisson's equation with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions,

$$-u''(x) = f(x),$$
 $u(0) = u(1) = 0,$

is more reasonably interpreted as the following problem.

Given $f \in L^2([0,1])$, find $u \in H^1_0([0,1])$ such that for all $v \in H^1_0([0,1])$ we have

$$\int_0^1 u'(x) \, v'(x) \, dx = \int_0^1 f(x) \, v(x) \, dx. \tag{1}$$

An obvious way to determine approximate numerical solutions $u_n \approx u$ to this problem is as follows.

Galerkin's method

Given *n*-dimensional subspace $V_n \subset H_0^1([0,1])$, find $u_n \in V_n$ such that (1) holds for all $v \in V_n$.

Reducing Galerkin's problem to a linear system

Assume we have a basis $\phi_1(x), \ldots, \phi_n(x)$ for $V_n \subset H_0^1([0,1])$.

Then, we have

$$u_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \, \phi_k(x)$$

and the coefficients $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be determined as the solution to the linear system Ac = b where

$$A_{k\ell} := \int_0^1 \phi_k'(x) \, \phi_\ell'(x) \, dx, \qquad b_k := \int_0^1 f(x) \, \phi_k(x) \, dx.$$

Proof. It follows from the linearity of a(u,v) and f(v) that if a(u,v)=f(v) holds for $v\in\{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n\}$, then it holds for all $v\in V_n$. Furthermore, we have

$$a(u,\phi_k) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n c_\ell a(\phi_k,\phi_\ell)$$

and thus $a(u, \phi_k) = f(\phi_k)$ with $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$ yields n linear equations for the c_ℓ which have a unique solution according to Lax-Milgram theorem.

Example: Galerkin's method with sine functions

Consider the space V_n spanned by the trigonometric polynomials

$$\phi_k(x) := \sqrt{2} \sin(\pi k x).$$

We have $\sin(\pi kx) \in C_0^{\infty}([0,1])$; hence $V_n \subset H_0^1([0,1])$.

The Galerkin matrix A is given by

$$A_{k\ell} = 2 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sin(\pi k x) \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sin(\pi \ell x) \right) dx$$
$$= 2\pi^2 k \ell \int_0^1 \cos(\pi k x) \cos(\pi \ell x) dx = \pi^2 k \ell \delta_{k\ell},$$

i.e. $A_{k\ell}$ is a diagonal matrix with diagonal $A_{kk}=(\pi k)^2$. The formula for A can also be derived from $-\phi_k^{\prime\prime}(x)=(\pi k)^2\,\phi_k(x)$. $\int_0^1\cos(\pi kx)\cos(\pi\ell x)\,dx=\frac{1}{2}\,\delta_{k\ell}$ can be shown by expanding $\cos(x)=\frac{1}{x}\left(e^{\iota x}+e^{-\iota x}\right)$.

Example: Galerkin's method with sine functions (continued)

We compute b using a composite trapezoidal rule approximation,

$$b_k = \sqrt{2} \int_0^1 f(x) \sin(\pi k x) \approx \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\frac{i}{n+1}) \sin(\pi k \frac{i}{n+1}),$$

which can be evaluated using a RODFT00-type sine transform, see http://www.fftw.org/fftw3_doc/1d-Real_002dodd-DFTs-_0028DSTs_0029.html.

Once $c := A^{-1}b$ has been computed, we can evaluate the approximate solution $u_n(x)$ at arbitrary points x using

$$u_n(x) := \sqrt{2} \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \sin(\pi k x).$$

Observation

Finite difference solution was given as vector of point values $\tilde{u}_k \approx u(\frac{k}{n+1})$. Galerkin solution is given as a function $u_n(x) \in V_n$.

Error analysis for Galerkin's method

As usual, we are interested in an estimate $||u - u_n|| = \mathcal{O}(f(n))$.

Such an estimate will follow easily from the following observation.

Galerkin orthogonality

Assume V is a vector space, $V_n \subset V$, and $a: V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$, $b: V \to \mathbb{R}$ are linear in all arguments.

Assume u and u_n satisfy, respecively,

$$a(u, v) = b(v)$$
 for all $v \in V$,
 $a(u_n, v_n) = b(v_n)$ for all $v_n \in V_n$.

Then, we have

$$a(u-u_n,v_n)=0$$
 for all $v_n\in V_n$.

Proof. Note that $v_n \in V_n$ and $V_n \subset V$ implies $v_n \in V$. Therefore,

$$a(u - u_n, v_n) = a(u, v_n) - a(u_n, v_n) = b(v_n) - b(v_n) = 0.$$

Céa's lemma

Assume V, a(u, v) and b(v) are as in Lax-Milgram theorem, i.e.

- ightharpoonup a(u,v) and b(v) are linear in all arguments.
- ▶ a(u, v) is bounded: $\exists A > 0$ such that $|a(u, v)| \leq A ||u||_V ||v||_V$.
- ▶ a(u, v) is coercive: $\exists c > 0$ such that $a(v, v) \ge c ||v||_V^2$.
- ▶ b(v) is bounded: $\exists B > 0$ such that $|b(v)| \leq B ||v||_V$.

Assume $V_n \subset V$ is a linear subspace, and u and u_n satisfy, respectively,

$$a(u, v) = b(v)$$
 for all $v \in V$,
 $a(u_n, v_n) = b(v_n)$ for all $v_n \in V_n$.

Then,

$$||u - u_n||_V \le \frac{A}{c} \inf_{v_n \in V_n} ||u - v_n||_V.$$

Proof.
$$c \|u - u_n\|_V^2 \le a(u - u_n, u - u_n)$$

$$= a(u - u_n, u - v_n) + \underbrace{a(u - u_n, v_n - u_n)}_{=0 \text{ (Galerkin orth.)}}$$

$$\le A \|u - u_n\|_V \|u - v_n\|_V.$$

Consequences of Céa's lemma

- ▶ Galerkin solution u_n is quasi-optimal, i.e. approximation error is within a constant factor of best approximation error for u in V_n .
- Asymptotics of $||u u_n||_V$ can be derived from asymptotics of $\inf_{v_n \in V_n} ||u v_n||_V$.

Céa's lemma applied to Galerkin with sine functions

It can be shown that any $f \in H_0^1([0,1))$ can be expanded into a sine series

$$f(x) = \sqrt{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}_k \, \sin \bigl(\pi \, k x\bigr) \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{f}_k := \sqrt{2} \, \int_0^1 f(x) \, \sin \bigl(\pi \, k x\bigr) \, dx,$$

and

$$||f||_{L^2([0,1])}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}_k^2, \qquad ||f'||_{L^2([0,1])}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\pi k \, \hat{f}_k)^2.$$

Hence, $\|u-u_n\|_{H^1([0,1])}$ with $u_n\in V_n:=\mathrm{span}\big\{\sin(\pi kx)\mid k\in\{1,\ldots,n\}\big\}$ is minimised if we choose $u_n(x)=\sqrt{2}\sum_{k=1}^n\hat{u}_k\sin(\pi kx)$ since then

$$||u-u_n||_{H^1([0,1])}^2 = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (1+\pi^2k^2) \, \hat{u}_k^2.$$

Céa's lemma applied to Galerkin with sine functions (continued)
Comparing coefficients in

$$-u''(x) = \sqrt{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{u}_k (\pi k)^2 \sin(\pi k x) = \sqrt{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}_k \sin(\pi k x) = f(x),$$

we conclude that $\hat{u}_k = \frac{\hat{f}_k}{(\pi k)^2}$. Furthermore, it follows from formulae for A and b from slides 4 and 5 that $(\hat{u}_n)_k = \frac{\hat{f}_k}{(\pi k)^2}$.

Hence, Galerkin solution u_n is exactly the best approximation of u in V_n .

Further observation

If $\hat{u}_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-p})$ with $p > \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$||u - u_n||_{L^2([0,1])} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \hat{u}_k^2} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p})$$

but

$$||u' - u'_n||_{L^2([0,1])} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (\pi k)^2 \, \hat{u}_k^2} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p+1}),$$

i.e. L^2 -norm of error converges faster than H^1 -norm by one factor of n. This observation is typical and can be explained by Aubin-Nitsche lemma.

Aubin-Nitsche lemma

Introduction:

- Céa's lemma applied to Poisson's equation yields error estimates in the H¹-norm.
- Many applications require error estimates in L^2 -norm.
- ightharpoonup Aubin-Nitsche lemma allows us to derive L^2 from H^1 estimates.
- ▶ We follow notation from Céa's lemma in the following.

Definitions:

- ► L^2 functional: $b^*(v) := \int_0^1 (u(x) u_n(x)) v(x) dx$. Note that $b^*(u - u_n) = ||u - u_n||_{L^2([0,1])}^2$.
- ▶ Dual problem: find $g \in H_0^1([0,1])$ such that

$$a(v,g) = b^*(v)$$
 for all $v \in H_0^1([0,1])$.

Result:

$$||u-u_n||_{L^2([0,1])}^2 \le A ||u-u_n||_{H^1([0,1])} \inf_{v \in V_n} ||g-v_n||_{H^1([0,1])}.$$

Proof of Aubin-Nitsche. We have for any $v_n \in V_n$ that

$$||u - u_n||_{L^2([0,1])}^2 = b^*(u - u_n) = a(u - u_n, g) = a(u - u_n, g - v_n)$$

$$\leq A ||u - u_n||_{H^1([0,1])} ||g - v_n||_{H^1([0,1])}.$$

where in the third step we used Galerkin orthogonality $a(u - u_n, v_n) = 0$.

Aubin-Nitsche lemma applied to sine functions example Analogously as on slide 9, we conclude

$$\hat{g}_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \leq n \\ \frac{\hat{u}_k}{(\pi k)^2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $\hat{u}_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-\rho})$, Aubin-Nitsche estimate thus boils down to

$$\|u-u_n\|_{L^2}^2 = \mathcal{O}\big(n^{-2p}\big) \leq \mathcal{O}\big(n^{-p+1}\big)\,\mathcal{O}\big(n^{-p-1}\big) = \|u-u_n\|_{H^1}\,\|g-v_n\|_{H^1}.$$

Discussion of Aubin-Nitsche lemma

For sine functions example, Aubin-Nitsche is just a complicated way of rederiving the observation from slide 9.

The value of Aubin-Nitsche lemma is that it also works in other settings. (We will see an example in the next lecture.)

Aubin-Nitsche lemma in words:

 L^2 error converges faster than H^1 error if dual solution g can be well approximated in V_n .

Variational crimes

Computing $A_{k\ell} := \int_0^1 \phi_k'(x) \, \phi_\ell'(x) \, dx$ and $b_k := \int_0^1 f(x) \, \phi_k(x) \, dx$ will almost always require quadrature; hence the numerically computed u_n will involve further approximations than just replacing $V \to V_n$.

These extra approximations are known as variational crimes.

Rule of thumb: variational crimes do not affect speed of convergence if variational crime errors converge at least as fast as Galerkin error.

A more precise version of this rule is known as *Strang's lemma*.

Example

Galerkin error is
$$||u - u_n|| = \mathcal{O}(n^{-p})$$

When increasing n, increase number of quadrature points such that quadrature error is also $\mathcal{O}(n^{-p})$ (or better).