Choosing an open source license doesn't need to be scary

Which of the following best describes your situation?



The MIT License is a permissive license that is short and to the point. It lets people do anything they want with your code as long as they provide attribution back to you and don't hold you liable.

jQuery, **Rails** and **.NET Core** use the MIT License.



I'm concerned about patents.

The **Apache License 2.0** is a permissive license similar to the MIT License, but also provides an express grant of patent rights from contributors to users.

Apache, **Swift**, and **Android** use the Apache License 2.0.



I care about sharing improvements.

The GNU GPL (V2 or V3) is a copyleft license that requires anyone who distributes your code or a derivative work to make the source available under the same terms. V3 is similar to V2, but further restricts use in hardware that forbids software alterations.

WordPress, Linux, and Bash use the GNU GPI

What if none of these work for me?

My project isn't software.

There are licenses for that.

I want more choices.

More licenses are available.

I don't want to choose a license.

You don't have to.

If you have questions or issues, it is always best to consult a legal professional.

The content of this site is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License.

About Terms of Service Demystified with <3 by GitHub, Inc.