

Rion
Dash Gull
Cone
30 Jul 25

Q: What's WDRs podcast

NOT in

- Worked tangentially with Pasta & Dash cone
- Collagen w/in Dash ecosystem
- Been w/ Dash since 2013 & thought it was cool that there was a DAO
- Started working w/ Andy Frier:
 - Person who submitted "Dash DAO → Incubator" proposal
 - Rion was brought in as 1st manager
 - Now the main guy
 - Has been main guy for longer than anyone
- Lots of dev & \$ to pay them historically
- Now \$ coming in can't even bring the lights on
- Change in Dash price make DAO have less to work with & then so i.e. proposals risk for less.

- When they ask for what they need ("too much")
they risk losing all their ~~is~~ from DAGs
- Better to get some funds than no funds voting ethos
 - ' Can submit a proposal @ any time
 - ' Voting deadline monthly
 - ' Most people submit with advanced notice
 - ' Proposals can be multiple months where
props "valid" for 3 mos or top of
 - Ex: 100 Dash / mo request
can stand for multiple rounds
 - Each masternode (1000 DAG) gets 1 vote
new 4000 DASH node type gets 4 votes
-

2) on getting PASH from Network @ mint block.

Ex: DCG has proposal owner

~~Where
operations
started~~ "Applies"
~~WTF~~ w/ or multsig address

~~Taxer?~~ Encumbator has an Address which Rion controls & he sends payments to devs.
Most proposers use single address w/ one signer

"Funds directly from blockchain": 70%+ to DCG
→ 20% at peak] < 4% to incubator

Given funds out to a lot more devs than DCG
- lower amount - higher quantity

Accounting spreadsheet details all payments to them

~~% fee taken from incubator to Rion?~~ ← ~~Revenue~~ ^{"Straight"}

For DASH mint rewards, u submit tx w/ amount requested & like a URL with info, which then stores NOT ON CHAIN

Incubator Voting

- Used to have a more complex structure on management
- People are assigned tasks & then paid out upon completion
- Past had P.M.s like Ash
- Devs worked with PMs & PM has total discretion over what ppl. work on or succeed
 - Ash decided Hunter didn't do work properly
 - Hunter escalated b/c wanted the \$

Devs do their own projects

- Not sure who could revoke access but ask
- Do not do KYC

20% admin fee
 ↑ used to be 10%
 ↗ charged when they went from big got individual,

admin can do & charge for additional services

/

reason changed:

- 10% = incentive to do a lot more work
 - Need to "spend" a lot of \$ to get income
 - 20% = better incentive to spend less
- ↳ goes only to P.M.s

~~KYC?~~
 Command & control is used for be developers but got shut down

Gr

- just use main Dash record to chat to connect now
- independently maintained & separate from Dash core

Incubator used to have 1 big pot.

All P.M.s had access to one pot

but P.M.s submitted direct proposals to DAO as a manager
blockchain mint

Funds dropped & they stopped finding incentive to go

• Ash had applied to "incubator" reserve to get \$
& have sole discretion

• Success then became on the back of money managers

"Common branding but separate funding."

No funds to work with anymore

more gave a lot independence

Sometime "centralization" is more ideal "

but decentralization has a "grey zone"
(ex. of favoring one discord)

Libes decentral management

picks big

and it links do good for

conflict