


Background

All animals are colonised by microbes soon after birth. In recent years, these “microbiomes” have been implicated in driving a wide range of host-responses relevant to homeostasis, or alternatively, its disruption that manifests in a wide range of immune-mediated and/or metabolic related diseases (Catinean, Neag, Mitre, Bocsan, & Buzoianu, 2019; Schoeler & Caesar, 2019). The gut (stool) microbiome has been the most intensively studied and has advanced the concept of “community-based” interactions that trigger conditions including atopic dermatitis, obesity, and mood disorders (Forsythe, Sudo, Dinan, Taylor, & Bienenstock, 2010; Kobylak, Virchenko, & Falalyeyeva, 2016; Pessi, Sütas, Hurme, & Isolauri, 2000). Although less studied, variations in the skin microbiome have been associated with episodes of atopic dermatitis (Kong et al., 2012), and affecting the healing rate of leg ulcers (e.g. (Min et al., 2020; Wolcott, Gontcharova, Sun, & Dowd, 2009). The skin microbiome has been shown to vary according to patient age and body site (Grice et al., 2009) and environmental cues such as humidity and/or temperature, may explain the inter-individual variation (Grice & Segre, 2011). In that context, diet is also now considered to exert a strong effect on the microbiome, which can be linked to host response and health status (Schoeler & Caesar, 2019).

In contrast, there are relatively few studies that provide a systematic assessment of how host genotype affects microbiome composition. Broader genome-wide studies utilising a BXD inter-cross mouse model have identified many host-specific quantitative trait loci (QTL), with candidate genes involving cytokines and toll-like receptor signalling that associate with specific bacterial compositions (McKnite et al., 2012). In addition, investigation of the gut (stool) microbiota of mice from an advanced inter-cross model found 18 separate QTL associated with the relative abundance of one or more bacterial taxonomies (Benson et al., 2010). Regarding skin, most studies to date have been limited to candidate approaches, such as how matriptase mutations can lead to a shift in the skin microbiota composition (Scharschmidt et al., 2009), or have described the skin microbial populations more generally (Byrd et al., 2017; Grice et al., 2008; Oh et al., 2016).

Cross-breeding program design for the Collaborative Cross. Resulting recombinant inbred strains possess over 90% homozygosity.



Specialized cross-breeding programs that make use of recombinant-inbreeding can greatly assist in the analysis of complex genotype-environment interactions and gene pleiotropies (Churchill et al., 2004; Threadgill & Churchill, 2012). The Collaborative Cross (CC) is a breeding program that made use of 8 founding strains of mice to produce many hundreds of recombinant mouse strains (Churchill et al., 2004). These recombinant strains were inbred over multiple generations, ultimately creating unique strains of mice whose genome's possess > 90% homozygosity (Morgan & Welsh, 2015).


This high rate of homozygosity within recombinant-inbred (RI) strains ensures that each region of the genome is defined by the genetic contribution from a single founder strain (haplotype). However, the large heterogeneity in haplotypes between different strains can result in markedly different phenotypes. Greatly reduced costs and complexities associated with the sequencing and modelling of RI strain genotypes can be achieved with CC mice, due to the free availability of previous sequencing results and modelling resources (Churchill et al., 2004). The large genetic scope of the CC RI strains, when combined with the aforementioned positives, provides an excellent resource to investigate murine genetics of complex biological problems.

In this study, we propose that the host genome is a major determinant of skin microbiota composition. Using 16S rRNA gene amplicon profiling of the skin microbiota from 30 strains of mice from the Collaborative Cross, we show that the strain of mouse significantly affects overall skin microbiota composition. We successfully replicated a previously identified QTL at the suggestive level and identified a new QTL at the genome wide significance level. The variability of health mouse skin microbiota between different strains has implications for any research work investigating the skin microbiota and mouse host phenotype interactions such as wound healing and skin disease experiments.

Results

Microbiome diversity varies across mouse strains with Staphylococcus and Aerococcus differentially abundant in high and low diversity mice

The diversity of all mice was calculated using Shannon's diversity index from the 'vegan' package. While overall most mice lay in a relatively small range of diversity values, some mice clearly showed much lower diversity. Additionally, most strains showed relatively little intra-strain variation compared to inter-strain variation. To investigate if any microbes associated with lower or higher diversity compositions we used the Aldex2 package to identify




differentially abundant microbial genus'. This identified *Staphylococcus* and *Aerococcus* as differentially abundant across the highest and lowest quartiles respectively. Both microbe abundances were associated with a lower diversity of the host mouse.


We performed GWAS using the CLR abundances of *Staphylococcus* and *Aerococcus* across the mouse strains using the GeneMiner software to identify potential QTL.

Staphylococcus showed a significant peak on Chr4 for the

Staphylococcus
haplotype
diagram at Chr4
129-132 Mbps.





region 129-132 Mbps. From the haplotype diagram the peak appears to be a result of segregation of the PWK, NZO and WSB founder contributions.



Staphylococcus GWAS showing a significant peak on Chr4 at ~130Mbps


Aerococcus shows a suggestive peak on Chr13, and while not reaching significance this peak possesses very good segregation of the haplotype diagram. With that said, the lower significance combined with good segregation of genotypes indicates a likely smaller effect size for *Aerococcus* vs *Staphylococcus*.

Aerococcus GWAS
showing a
suggested peak at
Chr13 at
~110Mbps




Microbiome composition at the family-level is significantly associated with mouse strain, with PCA based GWAS replicating the significant QTL on Chr4, 129-132 Mbps.

Using the CLR's across all mice we created a hierarchical clustering heatmap which shows patterns of microbiome composition across the mice. From the diagram we can see certain mice associating with higher relative abundances of *Corynebacteriaceae* than other strains. Additionally the LOT strain possess a much higher abundance of *Moraxellaceae* than other strains. Permanova analysis of the microbiome composition using the strain of mouse for grouping indicates a significant strain effect on microbiome composition (p -value=0.001).



Hierarchical Clustering Heatmap of Centred Log-Ratio Abundances. *Staphylococcaceae* is the most abundant family of bacteria, while *Corynebacteriaceae* appears as the most differentially abundant across strains. The LOT mouse strain shows particularly high levels of *Moraxellaceae* (though it is not clear if this is due to varying microbiome composition or purely an infection, given how markedly different this strain is to the others).

We also performed principle component analysis from which we can see LOT strain clustering on its' own, across PC1 and PC2, while the high *Corynebacteriaceae* cluster (dark grey) also appears on its own. Whilst strains such as LOT and LUG cluster nicely together



showing limited variability across PC1 and PC2, other strains such as JUNIOR show much greater variability. It's possible that this is due to higher heritability of microbiome composition in some strains than others, indicating a likely host genetic component in skin microbiome composition.

We used the principle components as inputs to the GeneMiner software to create a PCA GWAS that again identified Chr4 ~130Mbps as a significant QTL, and replicated Chr12 as a suggested QTL (identified by previous authors as significant in the gut microbiome).

Methods

Mice

All mice were housed in the UQ Centre for Clinical Research Animal Facility. All animal experimentation was conducted in accordance with institutional ethical requirements and approved by the University of Queensland Animal Ethics Committee. Only female mice were used with the number of mice per strain varying due to availability. Two mice from the strains XAV, GIT, LEM and POH were used whereas, all other strains had 3 or more mice.

Collection

Mice were anaesthetized with 2% isoflurane and a sterile rayon swab moistened with TE buffer was used to collect a microbiota sample from the dorsal skin. Samples were stored in 2ml of TE buffer at -80°C for later sequencing.

Microbiota community profiling and data analyses

Microbial DNA was extracted from swab samples of dorsal skin using the Maxwell 16 LEV Buccal Swab DNA kit according to manufacturer's recommendations. The resulting DNA samples were then used to produce bar-coded PCR amplicon libraries of the V6-V8 hypervariable region of the 16s rRNA gene using the universal microbial primers with Illumina primer overhang adapters as follows:

- Forward Primer – 926F:

5' - TCG TCG GCA GCG TCA GAT GTG TAT AAG AGA CAG AAA CTY AAA KGA ATT GRC GG – 3'

- Reverse Primer – 1392R:

5' - GTC TCG TGG GCT CGG AGA TGT GTA TAA GAG ACA GAC GGG CGG TGW GTR C – 3'

Sequencing used the Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform and protocols developed by the UQ-Australian Centre for Ecogenomics (www.ecogenomic.org). A sequence Phred quality threshold of 20 was used and sequences checked for chimeras using USEARCH version 6.1.544 (Edgar, 2010). Mapping and clustering of reads into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with 97% identity threshold against Greengenes core set database 13.8 (DeSantis et al., 2006), was performed using Quantitative insight into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) version 1.9.1 (Caporaso, Kuczynski, et al., 2010) and PyNast (Caporaso, Bittinger, et al., 2010). OTUs were then compiled into an OUT table for further analysis.

Data Analysis

Shannon and Pielou measures of alpha and beta diversities were calculated using the 'Vegan' package with functions 'diversity' and 'specnumber'. The percentage abundance for each bacterial family was calculated and those bacterial families failing a threshold of 0.01% abundance in 50% or more mice were removed, leaving a total of 13 'Core' bacterial families remaining in the dataset. Centred log-ratios (CLR) were calculated for all mice using the 13 Core bacterial families as a sub-composition. Comparisons of murine skin microbiotas similarities were then performed using the Adonis function with Aitchison distance (Euclidean distance after CLR).

QTL Analysis

Significantly differentially abundant genus' based on the upper and lower quartiles of diversity were regressed against mouse genotypes imputed to the GeneMiner software (www.sysgen.org/GeneMiner). We assumed that correlations between samples and negative bias due to the compositional nature of the data was minimal, considering the aforementioned significant result. Two separate styles of GWAS were utilised, one based on SNP segregation, the other based on haplotype reconstructions.

We also performed principle component analysis on the centred log-ratios of abundances and regressed the principle components against mouse genotypes. This style of PCA based GWAS has been suggested as a way of identifying pleiotropic QTL due to each component representing a multivariate vector consisting of all phenotypes of interest (in this case all Core microbiota families). Again both styles of GWAS were utilised.

Candidate Gene Shortlisting

We used haplotype diagrams for the QTL on Chr4 and Ch12, as these were significant and replicated respectively, to identify the founder strains' which contributed most to the microbial compositions. We searched Sanger UK mouse genomes project for SNPs specific to the previously identified haplotypes that result in stop lost/gained, missense variants, and frameshift variants. From these results we created a list of candidate genes.