# 3\_0\_Lexical\_Complexity\_Binary\_Classification\_Prediction\_Baseline\_Mode

# April 7, 2025

```
[1]: #@title Install Packages
[2]: !pip install -q transformers
     !pip install -q torchinfo
    !pip install -q datasets
    !pip install -q evaluate
    !pip install -q nltk
     !pip install -q contractions
    !pip install -q hf_xet
     !pip install -q sentencepiece
                              491.2/491.2 kB
    32.9 MB/s eta 0:00:00
                              116.3/116.3 kB
    12.2 MB/s eta 0:00:00
                              183.9/183.9 kB
    19.7 MB/s eta 0:00:00
                              143.5/143.5 kB
    15.0 MB/s eta 0:00:00
                              194.8/194.8 kB
    19.6 MB/s eta 0:00:00
```

```
ERROR: pip's dependency resolver does not currently take into account
all the packages that are installed. This behaviour is the source of the
following dependency conflicts.
torch 2.6.0+cu124 requires nvidia-cublas-cu12==12.4.5.8; platform_system ==
"Linux" and platform machine == "x86 64", but you have nvidia-cublas-cu12
12.5.3.2 which is incompatible.
torch 2.6.0+cu124 requires nvidia-cuda-cupti-cu12==12.4.127; platform_system ==
"Linux" and platform machine == "x86_64", but you have nvidia-cuda-cupti-cu12
12.5.82 which is incompatible.
torch 2.6.0+cu124 requires nvidia-cuda-nvrtc-cu12==12.4.127; platform_system ==
"Linux" and platform_machine == "x86_64", but you have nvidia-cuda-nvrtc-cu12
12.5.82 which is incompatible.
torch 2.6.0+cu124 requires nvidia-cuda-runtime-cu12==12.4.127; platform_system
== "Linux" and platform_machine == "x86_64", but you have nvidia-cuda-runtime-
cu12 12.5.82 which is incompatible.
torch 2.6.0+cu124 requires nvidia-cudnn-cu12==9.1.0.70; platform_system ==
"Linux" and platform_machine == "x86_64", but you have nvidia-cudnn-cu12
9.3.0.75 which is incompatible.
torch 2.6.0+cu124 requires nvidia-cufft-cu12==11.2.1.3; platform_system ==
"Linux" and platform_machine == "x86_64", but you have nvidia-cufft-cu12
11.2.3.61 which is incompatible.
torch 2.6.0+cu124 requires nvidia-curand-cu12==10.3.5.147; platform system ==
"Linux" and platform_machine == "x86_64", but you have nvidia-curand-cu12
10.3.6.82 which is incompatible.
torch 2.6.0+cu124 requires nvidia-cusolver-cu12==11.6.1.9; platform system ==
"Linux" and platform_machine == "x86_64", but you have nvidia-cusolver-cu12
11.6.3.83 which is incompatible.
torch 2.6.0+cu124 requires nvidia-cusparse-cu12==12.3.1.170; platform system ==
"Linux" and platform_machine == "x86_64", but you have nvidia-cusparse-cu12
12.5.1.3 which is incompatible.
torch 2.6.0+cu124 requires nvidia-nvjitlink-cu12==12.4.127; platform_system ==
"Linux" and platform_machine == "x86_64", but you have nvidia-nvjitlink-cu12
12.5.82 which is incompatible.
```

gcsfs 2025.3.2 requires fsspec==2025.3.2, but you have fsspec 2024.12.0 which is

9/ 0/9/ 0 1-D

incompatible.

```
8.5 MB/s eta 0:00:00
                              289.9/289.9 kB
    26.6 MB/s eta 0:00:00
                              118.3/118.3 kB
    12.6 MB/s eta 0:00:00
[3]: sudo apt-get update
     ! sudo apt-get install tree
    Get:1 https://cloud.r-project.org/bin/linux/ubuntu jammy-cran40/ InRelease
    [3,632 B]
    Get:2 https://developer.download.nvidia.com/compute/cuda/repos/ubuntu2204/x86_64
    InRelease [1,581 B]
    Get:3 https://developer.download.nvidia.com/compute/cuda/repos/ubuntu2204/x86 64
    Packages [1,383 kB]
    Get:4 http://security.ubuntu.com/ubuntu jammy-security InRelease [129 kB]
    Hit:5 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu jammy InRelease
    Get:6 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu jammy-updates InRelease [128 kB]
    Hit:7 https://ppa.launchpadcontent.net/deadsnakes/ppa/ubuntu jammy InRelease
    Get:8 https://r2u.stat.illinois.edu/ubuntu jammy InRelease [6,555 B]
    Hit:9 https://ppa.launchpadcontent.net/graphics-drivers/ppa/ubuntu jammy
    InRelease
    Get:10 http://security.ubuntu.com/ubuntu jammy-security/main amd64 Packages
    [2,783 kB]
    Hit:11 https://ppa.launchpadcontent.net/ubuntugis/ppa/ubuntu jammy InRelease
    Get:12 https://r2u.stat.illinois.edu/ubuntu jammy/main all Packages [8,804 kB]
    Get:13 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu jammy-backports InRelease [127 kB]
    Get:14 http://security.ubuntu.com/ubuntu jammy-security/universe amd64 Packages
    [1,243 kB]
    Get:15 http://security.ubuntu.com/ubuntu jammy-security/restricted amd64
    Packages [3,994 kB]
    Get:16 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu jammy-updates/restricted amd64 Packages
    [4.154 kB]
    Get:17 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu jammy-updates/main amd64 Packages [3,097
    Get:18 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu jammy-updates/universe amd64 Packages
    [1,540 kB]
    Get:19 https://r2u.stat.illinois.edu/ubuntu jammy/main amd64 Packages [2,683 kB]
    Fetched 30.1 MB in 4s (6,871 kB/s)
    Reading package lists... Done
    W: Skipping acquire of configured file 'main/source/Sources' as repository
    'https://r2u.stat.illinois.edu/ubuntu jammy InRelease' does not seem to provide
    it (sources.list entry misspelt?)
    Reading package lists... Done
    Building dependency tree... Done
    Reading state information... Done
    The following NEW packages will be installed:
```

```
tree
    O upgraded, 1 newly installed, O to remove and 37 not upgraded.
    Need to get 47.9 kB of archives.
    After this operation, 116 kB of additional disk space will be used.
    Get:1 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu jammy/universe amd64 tree amd64 2.0.2-1
    [47.9 kB]
    Fetched 47.9 kB in 1s (55.0 kB/s)
    debconf: unable to initialize frontend: Dialog
    debconf: (No usable dialog-like program is installed, so the dialog based
    frontend cannot be used. at /usr/share/perl5/Debconf/FrontEnd/Dialog.pm line 78,
    <> line 1.)
    debconf: falling back to frontend: Readline
    debconf: unable to initialize frontend: Readline
    debconf: (This frontend requires a controlling tty.)
    debconf: falling back to frontend: Teletype
    dpkg-preconfigure: unable to re-open stdin:
    Selecting previously unselected package tree.
    (Reading database ... 126213 files and directories currently installed.)
    Preparing to unpack .../tree_2.0.2-1_amd64.deb ...
    Unpacking tree (2.0.2-1) ...
    Setting up tree (2.0.2-1) ...
    Processing triggers for man-db (2.10.2-1) ...
[4]: #@title Imports
     import nltk
     from nltk.tokenize import RegexpTokenizer
     import contractions
     import evaluate
     import transformers
     import torch
     from torchinfo import summary
     from datasets import load_dataset, Dataset, DatasetDict
     from transformers import AutoTokenizer, AutoModel, __
      -AutoModelForSequenceClassification, TrainingArguments, Trainer
     import os
     import pandas as pd
     import numpy as np
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
     import seaborn as sns
     import sklearn
```

```
import spacy
      from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer
      from sklearn.naive_bayes import MultinomialNB
      from sklearn.metrics import classification_report,_
       precision_recall_fscore_support, accuracy_score
      import sentencepiece
 [5]: # @title Mount Google Drive
 [6]: from google.colab import drive
      drive.mount('/content/drive')
     Mounted at /content/drive
 [7]: dir root = '/content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/'
      # dir data = '/content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/'
      # dir_data = '/content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/se21-t1-comp-lex-master/'
      dir_data = '/content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-master'
      dir_models = '/content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/models/'
      dir_results = '/content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/results/'
[33]: wandbai_api_key = "5236444b7e96f5cf74038116d8c1efba161a4310"
 [8]: !tree /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-master/
     /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-master/
        fe-test-labels
           test multi df.csv
           test_single_df.csv
        fe-train
           train_multi_df.csv
           train_single_df.csv
        fe-trial-val
           trial_val_multi_df.csv
           trial_val_single_df.csv
        test-labels
           lcp_multi_test.tsv
           lcp_single_test.tsv
        train
           lcp_multi_train.tsv
           lcp_single_train.tsv
        trial
            lcp_multi_trial.tsv
            lcp_single_trial.tsv
```

```
6 directories, 12 files
 [9]: !ls -R /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-master/
     /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-master/:
     fe-test-labels fe-train fe-trial-val test-labels train trial
     /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-master/fe-test-labels:
     test_multi_df.csv test_single_df.csv
     /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-master/fe-train:
     train_multi_df.csv train_single_df.csv
     /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-master/fe-trial-val:
     trial_val_multi_df.csv trial_val_single_df.csv
     /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-master/test-labels:
     lcp_multi_test.tsv lcp_single_test.tsv
     /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-master/train:
     lcp_multi_train.tsv lcp_single_train.tsv
     /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-master/trial:
     lcp_multi_trial.tsv lcp_single_trial.tsv
[10]: ||tree /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-master/
     /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-master/
        fe-test-labels
           test_multi_df.csv
           test_single_df.csv
        fe-train
           train_multi_df.csv
           train_single_df.csv
        fe-trial-val
           trial_val_multi_df.csv
           trial_val_single_df.csv
        test-labels
           lcp_multi_test.tsv
           lcp_single_test.tsv
           lcp_multi_train.tsv
           lcp_single_train.tsv
        trial
```

6

lcp\_multi\_trial.tsv lcp\_single\_trial.tsv

6 directories, 12 files

```
[11]: #@title Import Data
[12]: df_names = [
          "train_single_df",
          "train_multi_df",
          "trial_val_single_df",
          "trial_val_multi_df",
          "test_single_df",
          "test multi df"
      ]
      loaded_dataframes = {}
      for df_name in df_names:
          if "train" in df_name:
              subdir = "fe-train"
          elif "trial_val" in df_name:
              subdir = "fe-trial-val"
          elif "test" in df_name:
              subdir = "fe-test-labels"
          else:
              subdir = None
          if subdir:
              read_path = os.path.join(dir_data, subdir, f"{df_name}.csv")
              loaded_df = pd.read_csv(read_path)
              loaded_dataframes[df_name] = loaded_df
              print(f"Loaded {df_name} from {read_path}")
      # for df_name, df in loaded_dataframes.items():
            print(f"\n>>> \{df\_name\} shape: \{df.shape\}")
            if 'binary_complexity' in df.columns:
                print(df['binary_complexity'].value_counts())
      #
                print(df.info())
                print(df.head())
      for df_name, df in loaded_dataframes.items():
          globals()[df_name] = df
          print(f"{df_name} loaded into global namespace.")
```

Loaded train\_single\_df from /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-master/fe-train/train\_single\_df.csv
Loaded train\_multi\_df from /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-

master/fe-train/train\_multi\_df.csv
Loaded trial\_val\_single\_df from /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-complex-master/fe-trial-val/trial\_val\_single\_df.csv

Loaded trial\_val\_multi\_df from /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-complex-master/fe-trial-val/trial\_val\_multi\_df.csv

Loaded test\_single\_df from /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-master/fe-test-labels/test\_single\_df.csv
Loaded test\_multi\_df from /content/drive/MyDrive/266-final/data/266-comp-lex-master/fe-test-labels/test\_multi\_df.csv
train\_single\_df loaded into global namespace.
train\_multi\_df loaded into global namespace.
trial\_val\_single\_df loaded into global namespace.
trial\_val\_multi\_df loaded into global namespace.
test\_single\_df loaded into global namespace.
test\_multi\_df loaded into global namespace.

• Functional tests pass, we can proceed with Baseline Modeling

## [13]: #@title Experiment 1: Baseline Modeling

#### 0.0.1 Reminders:

• Precision

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

• Recall

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

Accuracy

$$\label{eq:accuracy} \text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$

• F1 Score

$$F1 = 2 \times \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}$$

• Cosine Similarity

Cosine Similarity = 
$$\frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{\|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{B}\|}$$

• Jaccard Similarity

$$\text{Jaccard Similarity} = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$$

• Overlap Similarity (Overlap Coefficient)

Overlap Similarity = 
$$\frac{|A \cap B|}{\min(|A|, |B|)}$$

• Dice Coefficient

$$\text{Dice Coefficient} = \frac{2|A \cap B|}{|A| + |B|}$$

### 0.1 Naive Bayes

#### 0.1.1 X = Sentence: contractions and no contractions

• sentence no contractions

```
[14]: train_df = train_single_df
    val_df = trial_val_single_df

    vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer()  # just on 'sentence_no_contractions'
    X_train = vectorizer.fit_transform(train_df['sentence_no_contractions'])
    y_train = train_df['binary_complexity']

    X_val = vectorizer.transform(val_df['sentence_no_contractions'])
    y_val = val_df['binary_complexity']

    clf = MultinomialNB()
    clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
    preds = clf.predict(X_val)
    print(classification_report(y_val, preds))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.58	0.74	0.65	229
O	0.50	0.14	0.05	223
1	0.55	0.38	0.44	192
accuracy			0.57	421
macro avg	0.57	0.56	0.55	421
weighted avg	0.57	0.57	0.56	421

• sentence with contractions

```
[15]: train_df = train_single_df
    val_df = trial_val_single_df

    vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer()  # just on 'sentence'
    X_train = vectorizer.fit_transform(train_df['sentence'])
    y_train = train_df['binary_complexity']

    X_val = vectorizer.transform(val_df['sentence'])
    y_val = val_df['binary_complexity']

    clf = MultinomialNB()
    clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
    preds = clf.predict(X_val)
    print(classification_report(y_val, preds))
```

precision recall f1-score support

```
0.74
                                       0.65
           0
                   0.58
                                                   229
                   0.55
                             0.38
                                       0.44
                                                   192
           1
                                       0.57
                                                   421
   accuracy
                   0.57
                             0.56
                                       0.55
                                                   421
  macro avg
weighted avg
                   0.57
                             0.57
                                       0.56
                                                   421
```

• sentence no contractions

```
[16]: train_df = train_multi_df
    val_df = trial_val_multi_df

    vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer()  # just on 'sentence_no_contractions'
    X_train = vectorizer.fit_transform(train_df['sentence_no_contractions'])
    y_train = train_df['binary_complexity']

    X_val = vectorizer.transform(val_df['sentence_no_contractions'])
    y_val = val_df['binary_complexity']

    clf = MultinomialNB()
    clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
    preds = clf.predict(X_val)
    print(classification_report(y_val, preds))
```

support	f1-score	recall	precision	
48	0.58	0.67	0.52	0
40	0.56	0.07	0.52	U
51	0.48	0.41	0.57	1
99	0.54			accuracy
99	0.53	0.54	0.54	macro avg
99	0.53	0.54	0.54	weighted avg

• sentence with contractions

```
[17]: train_df = train_multi_df
    val_df = trial_val_multi_df

    vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer()  # just on 'sentence'
    X_train = vectorizer.fit_transform(train_df['sentence'])
    y_train = train_df['binary_complexity']

    X_val = vectorizer.transform(val_df['sentence'])
    y_val = val_df['binary_complexity']

    clf = MultinomialNB()
    clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
preds = clf.predict(X_val)
print(classification_report(y_val, preds))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.52	0.67	0.58	48
1	0.57	0.41	0.48	51
accuracy			0.54	99
macro avg	0.54	0.54	0.53	99
weighted avg	0.54	0.54	0.53	99

- Score is higher than expected for a Naive Bayes model
- There is no difference in performance when using the input sequence of the sentence with and without contractions

### $0.1.2 X = pos_s$ sequence: Part-of-Speech Tags

• POS Tags: Extracts the part-of-speech (POS) tags for each token (e.g., "DET", "NOUN", "VERB").

```
[18]: train_df = train_single_df
    val_df = trial_val_single_df

    vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer()
    X_train = vectorizer.fit_transform(train_df['pos_sequence'])
    y_train = train_df['binary_complexity']

    X_val = vectorizer.transform(val_df['pos_sequence'])
    y_val = val_df['binary_complexity']

    clf = MultinomialNB()
    clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
    preds = clf.predict(X_val)
    print(classification_report(y_val, preds))
```

```
precision
                            recall f1-score
                                                support
           0
                   0.60
                              0.67
                                        0.63
                                                    229
                   0.54
           1
                              0.46
                                        0.50
                                                    192
                                        0.57
                                                    421
    accuracy
   macro avg
                   0.57
                              0.57
                                        0.56
                                                    421
weighted avg
                   0.57
                              0.57
                                        0.57
                                                    421
```

```
[19]: train_df = train_multi_df
val_df = trial_val_multi_df
```

```
vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer()
X_train = vectorizer.fit_transform(train_df['pos_sequence'])
y_train = train_df['binary_complexity']

X_val = vectorizer.transform(val_df['pos_sequence'])
y_val = val_df['binary_complexity']

clf = MultinomialNB()
clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
preds = clf.predict(X_val)
print(classification_report(y_val, preds))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.58	0.54	0.56	48
1	0.59	0.63	0.61	51
accuracy			0.59	99
macro avg	0.59	0.58	0.58	99
weighted avg	0.59	0.59	0.59	99

• Part of Speech tags outperform raw input sequence

# $0.1.3 X = dep\_sequence: Dependency Tags$

• Dependency Tags: Extracts the syntactic dependency labels for each token (e.g., "det", "nsubj", "ROOT").

```
[20]: train_df = train_single_df
    val_df = trial_val_single_df

    vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer()
    X_train = vectorizer.fit_transform(train_df['dep_sequence'])
    y_train = train_df['binary_complexity']

    X_val = vectorizer.transform(val_df['dep_sequence'])
    y_val = val_df['binary_complexity']

    clf = MultinomialNB()
    clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
    preds = clf.predict(X_val)
    print(classification_report(y_val, preds))
```

precision		recall	f1-score	support
0	0.61	0.60	0.60	229
1	0.53	0.54	0.54	192

```
      accuracy
      0.57
      421

      macro avg
      0.57
      0.57
      0.57
      421

      weighted avg
      0.57
      0.57
      0.57
      421
```

```
[21]: train_df = train_multi_df
    val_df = trial_val_multi_df

    vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer()
    X_train = vectorizer.fit_transform(train_df['dep_sequence'])
    y_train = train_df['binary_complexity']

    X_val = vectorizer.transform(val_df['dep_sequence'])
    y_val = val_df['binary_complexity']

    clf = MultinomialNB()
    clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
    preds = clf.predict(X_val)
    print(classification_report(y_val, preds))
```

support	f1-score	recall	precision	
48	0.48	0.46	0.51	0
51	0.56	0.59	0.54	1
99	0.53			accuracy
99	0.52	0.52	0.52	macro avg
99	0.52	0.53	0.52	weighted avg

#### 0.1.4 X = morph\_sequence: Morphological Features

• For each token, the morphological attributes have been retrieved for each token

```
[22]: train_df = train_single_df
    val_df = trial_val_single_df

    vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer()
    X_train = vectorizer.fit_transform(train_df['morph_sequence'])
    y_train = train_df['binary_complexity']

    X_val = vectorizer.transform(val_df['morph_sequence'])
    y_val = val_df['binary_complexity']

    clf = MultinomialNB()
    clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
    preds = clf.predict(X_val)
```

<pre>print(classification_report(y_val, preds))</pre>					
		precision	recall	f1-score	support
	0	0.62	0.59	0.60	229
	1	0.53	0.57	0.55	192
accurac	у			0.58	421
macro av	g	0.58	0.58	0.58	421
weighted av	g	0.58	0.58	0.58	421

```
[23]: train_df = train_multi_df
    val_df = trial_val_multi_df

    vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer()
    X_train = vectorizer.fit_transform(train_df['morph_sequence'])
    y_train = train_df['binary_complexity']

    X_val = vectorizer.transform(val_df['morph_sequence'])
    y_val = val_df['binary_complexity']

    clf = MultinomialNB()
    clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
    preds = clf.predict(X_val)
    print(classification_report(y_val, preds))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.62	0.52	0.57	48
1	0.61	0.71	0.65	51
accuracy			0.62	99
macro avg	0.62	0.61	0.61	99
weighted avg	0.62	0.62	0.61	99

## 0.1.5 Baseline Experiment Results

The table below summarizes the evaluation metrics for our Naive Bayes experiments. We report results for both sentence inputs (with and without contractions) as well as for the linguistic feature representations: Part-of-Speech tags (POS), Dependency tags, and Morphological features. Results are provided separately for the *Single* and *Multi* datasets. **Our Preferred Evaluation Metric of Interest is F1 Score**.

Input Type	Dataset	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1 Score
Sentence (with contractions)	Single	57%	57%	57%	57%

Input Type	Dataset	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1 Score
Sentence (without contractions)	Single	57%	57%	57%	57%
Sentence (with contractions)	Multi	54%	54%	54%	54%
Sentence (without contractions)	Multi	54%	54%	54%	54%
POS Tags (pos_sequence)	Single	57%	57%	57%	57%
POS Tags (pos_sequence)	Multi	59%	59%	59%	59%
Dependency Tags (dep sequence)	Single	57%	57%	57%	57%
Dependency Tags (dep sequence)	Multi	52%	52%	52%	52%
Morphological Features (morph_sequence)	Single	58%	58%	58%	58%
Morphological Features (morph_sequence)	Multi	62%	62%	62%	62%

*Note:* The metrics shown above are the weighted averages derived from Trial Val.

#### **Evaluation**

- Raw Sentence Input: Both with and without contractions, the single-dataset experiment shows a macro F1-score of 0.57, while the multi-dataset experiment yields a lower F1-score (0.54). This suggests that for raw text, model performance degrades on the multi-label version. While there is no contextual difference between in the contexts between the single and multi versions, the binary\_complexity is different, as the complexity scores derived from the 'complex unigram and bigram tokens' in both the single and multi splits of the datasets achieved different scores, and thus different medians (from which we derived our binarized value).
- POS Tags: Using part-of-speech tag sequences produces results similar to raw text on the single dataset (F1 = 0.57) and even slightly better performance on the multi dataset (F1 = 0.59).
- Dependency Tags: Dependency label sequences perform on par with the other features in the single-dataset setting (F1 = 0.57) but drop to an F1-score of 0.52 on the multi dataset, indicating less robustness for this representation in that setting.
- Morphological Features: On the single dataset, morphological features give a modest improvement (F1 = 0.58) over raw text. Notably, on the multi dataset, they yield the highest performance (F1 = 0.62), suggesting that despite there being no contextual difference between the two, Naive Bayes' capacity to split the complexity of the input sequence is more aligned with the median threshold of the multi-version split of the data. However, it should be noted that the multi-split for trial\_val is literally only 99 records, so I expect that these performance metrics will drop substantially on the test set
- **Hyperparameter Tuning:** Naive Bayes was used in a fairly vanilla manner, not reflected in this notebook were some experiments done with varying alphas (i.e. Laplace Smoothing Values)—these led to effectively no difference in average F1 Score results.

Overall, these results indicate that while raw text and simple POS tags are competitive, the morphological feature representation provides an edge—especially in the multi dataset scenario. This indicates keeping these additional features on-hand for transformers-based ablations may be a good call.