

CSC236 Assignment 2

Jiahong Zhai (zhaijia3)

Due Date: 2020.3.12

1(a).

$$\begin{aligned}T(n) &= n + 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \\&= n + 2\left(\frac{n}{2} + 2T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right)\right) \\&= 2n + 4T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) \\&= 2n + 4\left(\frac{n}{4} + 2T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right)\right) \\&= 3n + 2^3 T\left(\frac{n}{2^3}\right) \\&\dots\dots \\&= m \cdot n + 2^m \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2^m}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where m is the smallest int that $\frac{n}{2^m} \leq k$. So, $m = \lceil \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rceil$

$$= \lceil \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rceil \cdot n + C \cdot 2^{\lceil \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rceil}$$

■

1(b).

If $n \leq k$: $T(n) = C \in \Theta(1)$

If $n > k$: $T(n) = \lceil \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rceil \cdot n + C \cdot 2^{\lceil \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rceil}$

$$\in \Theta\left(n \cdot \log_2 n + \frac{n}{k}\right)$$

$$\in \Theta(n \cdot \log n)$$

It depends on the relationship between n and k , so, it depends on k .

■

1(c).

From 1(a) we get

$$T(n) = \lceil \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rceil \cdot n + C \cdot 2^{\lceil \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rceil}$$

$$T'(n) = \lceil \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rceil \cdot n + \frac{n^2}{2^{2\lceil \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rceil}} \cdot 2^{\lceil \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rceil}$$

replace C by $\frac{n^2}{2^{2\lceil \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rceil}}$ which is n^2

■

1(d).

$$\text{If } n \leq k: \quad T(n) = C \in \Theta(1)$$

$$T'(n) = n^2 \leq k^2 \in \Theta(1)$$

$$\text{So, } T'(n) \in \Theta(T(n))$$

$$\text{If } n > k: \quad T(n) = \lceil \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rceil \cdot n + C \cdot 2^{\lceil \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rceil} \in \Theta(n \cdot \log n)$$

$$T'(n) = \lceil \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rceil \cdot n + \frac{n^2}{2^{2\lceil \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rceil}} \cdot 2^{\lceil \log_2 \frac{n}{k} \rceil}$$

$$T'(n) \in \Theta(n \cdot \log_2 \frac{n}{k} + \frac{n}{k} \cdot \frac{n^2}{(\frac{n}{k})^2})$$

$$T'(n) \in \Theta(n \cdot \log n + n)$$

$$T'(n) \in \Theta(n \cdot \log n)$$

$$\text{So, } T'(n) \in \Theta(T(n))$$

$$\text{So, } T'(n) \in \Theta(T(n)).$$

■

2(a).

Pre: Input is an non-empty Array A, All elements in A are positive integers.

Post: $\text{umax}(A) = x$ where:

$$((\exists i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, i \neq j \Rightarrow A[i] > A[j]) \Rightarrow x = A[i]) \wedge$$

$$((\exists i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, i \neq j \wedge i \neq k \Rightarrow (A[i] \geq A[j] \wedge A[i] = A[k])) \Rightarrow x < 0)$$

■

2(b).

Let A be [1,3,3]

It does not have a unique max value. It should return a negative int, but it returns 1.

■

2(c).

The post-condition in 2(a) is too loose, here we need a stricter one.

Post: $\text{umax}(A) = x$ where:

$$((\exists i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, i \neq j \Rightarrow A[i] > A[j]) \Rightarrow x = A[i]) \wedge$$

$$((\exists i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, i \neq j \wedge i \neq k \Rightarrow (A[i] \geq A[j] \wedge A[i] = A[k])) \Rightarrow x = -A[i])$$

the loose one says when there are no unique max in A, return any negative number. The stricter one says in that condition, return the

negative value of the max in A. If we can prove the stricter one, then the loose one is also proved.

The pre-condition stays the same.

$P(n)$: an arbitrary array A, A satisfies the pre-condition $\wedge \text{len}(A)=n \Rightarrow \text{umax}(A)$ satisfies the post-condition.

WTS: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+, P(n)$

Assume A is an arbitrary array, A satisfies pre-condition $\wedge \text{len}(A)=n$

BaseCase: $n = 1$

$\text{len}(A)=1$, reaches line 3, return $A[0]$, which is the only element in A.

Obvious, it's the unique max of A. So, $P(n)$ holds

Inductive: $n \geq 1$

IH: Assume $P(n)$

WTS $P(n+1)$

Let A be an arbitrary array that satisfies pre-condition and $\text{len}(A) = n+1$.

Call $\text{umax}(A)$: $\text{head} = A[0]$, $\text{tail} = A[1:]$, $\text{len}(\text{tail}) = n$, $\text{tmax} = \text{umax}(\text{tail})$

Case1: $t_{\max} > 0$:

by IH and post-condition, t_{\max} is the unique max of tail,

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \exists i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n \wedge A[i] = t_{\max} \wedge i \neq j \Rightarrow A[j] < A[i] = t_{\max}$$

Case1.1: $\text{head} = t_{\max}$:

by $\text{head} = A[0] = t_{\max}$ and $\textcircled{1}$ we can get:

$$\exists i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n \wedge A[i] = t_{\max} \wedge i \neq j \Rightarrow A[j] < A[i] = t_{\max} = A[0]$$

$A[0]$ and t_{\max} are both the max of A , they are not unique, by post, we should return the negative of max, which we actually returns $-1 \cdot \text{head}$.

So, $P(n+1)$ holds.

Case1.2: $\text{head} > \text{abs}(t_{\max})$

$$\text{abs}(t_{\max}) = t_{\max}, \text{head} > t_{\max}$$

by $\text{head} = A[0] > t_{\max}$ and $\textcircled{1}$ we can get:

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq i \leq n \wedge i \neq 0 \Rightarrow A[i] < A[0]$$

So, $A[0]$ is the unique max of A , by post, we should return unique max, which we actually returns head . So, $P(n+1)$ holds.

Case1.3: $\text{head} < t_{\max}$

by $\text{head} = A[0] < t_{\max}$ and $\textcircled{1}$ we can get:

$$\exists i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq i, j \leq n \wedge A[i] = t_{\max} \wedge i \neq j \Rightarrow A[j] < A[i] = t_{\max}$$

t_{\max} is the unique max of A , by post, we should return unique max, which we actually returns t_{\max} . So, $P(n+1)$ holds.

Case2: $t_{\max} < 0$

by IH and post-condition, $-t_{\max}$ is the max but not unique max of tail,

$$\textcircled{2} \exists i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i, j, k \leq n \wedge A[i] = -t_{\max} \wedge i \neq j \wedge i \neq k \Rightarrow A[j] \leq A[i] \\ = A[k]$$

Case2.1: $\text{head} > \text{abs}(t_{\max})$

$\text{abs}(t_{\max}) = -t_{\max}$, by $\text{head} = A[0] > -t_{\max}$ and $\textcircled{2}$ we can get:

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq i \leq n \wedge i \neq 0 \Rightarrow A[i] < A[0]$$

So, $A[0]$ is the unique max of A , by post, we should return unique max, which we actually returns head. So, $P(n+1)$ holds.

Case2.2: $\text{head} < \text{abs}(t_{\max})$

$\text{abs}(t_{\max}) = -t_{\max}$, by $\text{head} = A[0] < -t_{\max}$ and $\textcircled{2}$ we can get:

$$\exists i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq i, j, k \leq n \wedge A[i] = -t_{\max} \wedge i \neq j \wedge i \neq k \Rightarrow A[j] \leq A[i] = \\ A[k]$$

So, $-t_{\max}$ is the max of A , but it's not unique, by post-condition, we should return the negative value of max, which we actually returns t_{\max} . So, $P(n+1)$ holds.

So, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+, P(n)$. Any input A that satisfying pre-condition, $\text{umax}(A)$ will satisfies post-condition. umax is correct.

■

3

Theorem 1.1 (R “correctness”). Given any $A \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $R(A)$ terminates and returns a list of natural numbers B such that $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Count}(B, x) = \text{Pairs}(A, x)$

Assume A satisfies the pre-condition, majority of A is X .

Curr_j : the value of A after j th R operation on A

$\text{Curr}_0 = A$

$\text{Curr}_1 = R(A)$ line 14

After i iterations in while loop, we get Curr_{i+1}

For $\text{Count}(A, X)$ and $\text{Pairs}(A, X)$, here use the same as in problem set.

Loop Invariant: in any Curr_j X is still the majority.

$P(j)$: For any A that satisfies the precondition, and it's majority is X . In $\text{maj}(A)$, Curr_j 's majority is X .

WTS: $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}, P(j)$

Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$

Base Case: $j = 0$. $\text{Curr}_0 = A$, by definition, X is majority. $P(0)$ holds

Inductive Steps:

For $j \geq 0$: Assume $P(j)$ holds.

For $j + 1$:

$\text{Count}(\text{Curr}_j, X) > \text{len}(\text{Curr}_j)/2$ by IH

$\text{Curr}_{j+1} = R(\text{Curr}_j)$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Count}(\text{Curr}_{j+1}, n) = \text{Pairs}(\text{Curr}_j, n)$ Theorem 1.1

So, in Curr_{j+1} , $\#X = \text{Pairs}(\text{Curr}_j, X)$,

$\# \text{other elements} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq X} \text{Pairs}(\text{Curr}_j, n)$.

$\text{PAIRS}(\text{Curr}_j, X) > \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq X} \text{Pairs}(\text{Curr}_j, n)$ by Lamma1.

So, X is still the majority in Curr_{j+1} , $P(j+1)$ holds.

Partial Correctness: Assume loop terminates after j itera.

We get $\text{len}(\text{Curr}_{j+1}) = \text{len}(\text{prev}) = \text{len}(\text{Curr}_j)$. $\text{len}(\text{Curr}_j) = \text{len}(\text{R}(\text{Curr}_j))$

By loop inviriante: X is majority of Curr_{j+1} and Curr_j .

By Lamma2: there is only 1 identical element in Curr_{j+1} and Curr_j .

In conclusion: X is the only thing in Curr_{j+1} .

So, $\text{Curr}_{j+1}[0] = X$. Program returns $\text{Curr}_{j+1}[0]$, satisfies postcondition.

Termination: Let m_j be # non-majority elements in Curr_j .

For example $\text{Curr}_j = [1,1,1,1,1,2,2,3,3]$ $m_j = 4$

$m_j \in \mathbb{N}$, and the list of m_j is dereasing($m_{j+1} < m_j$) by Lamma3.

m_j will derease to 0, by Well Ordering. When it's 0, there are no non-majority elements in Curr_j , the majority is still X, by loop invariant. At this time Curr_j is a array of X's, Curr_j is identical.

In the next iteration:

$\text{prev} = \text{Curr}_j$, $\text{Curr}_{j+1} = \text{R}(\text{Curr}_j)$, $\text{len}(\text{prev}) = \text{len}(\text{Curr}_j) = \text{len}(\text{Curr}_{j+1})$

By Lamma2. This violates loop condition, loop terminates, program terminates.

Lamma1:

A satisfies precondition, A's majority is X

$$\Rightarrow \text{Pairs}(A, X) > \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq X} \text{Pairs}(A, n)$$

Proof:

Assume : A satisfies precondition, A's majority is X.

Set $m = \text{len}(A)$, $k = \#X$ in A.

Think A as a circular, since first and last element may form a pair.

X can be put in A adjacently or not. So let's say we have p groups of X's in A, groups are not adjacent with each other, inside a group, there are adjacent X's, or just 1 X. $p < k$ by Lamma4. If there is 1 X in a group, then no pairs in this group, and at least 1 X in a group, otherwise, it's not a group. So we get p X's in p groups, with $k-p$ X's left. Put any one of these $k-p$ X's in any group of X will form a new pair of X, which is made of itself and another X already in the group. So, we have $k-p$ pairs of X in A. There are $m - k$ other elements in A, with p gaps between p groups of X(A is circular). To maximize the number of non-X pairs, we assume any two non-X elements can form a pair, if they are adjacent. So, now we have p groups of non-X elements. By the same way we count # X pairs, we have $m-k-p$ non-X pairs.

$$(k-p) - (m-k-p) = 2k - m > 0 \text{ By } k > m/2, X \text{ is majority of A.}$$

So, $\text{Pairs}(A, X) > \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq X} \text{Pairs}(A, n)$, Lamma1 proved!

Lamma2:

A is a non-empty array of natural number,

$\text{len}(A) = \text{len}(R(A)) \Leftrightarrow A$ is identical(only 1 kind of element in A)

Proof:

Assume A is a non-empty array of natural number

WTS: $\text{len}(A) = \text{len}(R(A)) \Rightarrow A$ is identical

Assume for contradiction: $\exists a, b, a \neq b, a, b \in A, \text{len}(A) = \text{len}(R(A))$.

By Lamma6 and Theorem 1.1 , $\forall i \in A$, we need $\text{Count}(A, i) = \text{Pairs}(A, i)$ to satisfy our assumption for contradiction. By Lamma5, A must be identical. So, $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$, there can't be $a \neq b$.

So, $\text{len}(A) = \text{len}(R(A)) \Rightarrow A$ is identical proved!

WTS: A is identical $\Rightarrow \text{len}(A) = \text{len}(R(A))$

Lets say the only element in A is X, there are n X in A. Each X form a pair with X right adjacent to it. We get n pairs of X(A is circular).

$\text{len}(A) = n, \text{len}(R(A)) = n$, by Theorem 1.1.

So, A is identical $\Rightarrow \text{len}(A) = \text{len}(R(A))$ is proved!

Lamma2 is proved!

Lamma3:

A satisfies the precondition, A's majority is X, elements in A is not identical \Rightarrow # non-X elements in A > # non-X elements in R(A)

Proof:

Assume A satisfies the precondition, A's majority is X, elements in A is not identical.

Set $m = \text{len}(A)$, $k = \#X$ in A.

To prove Lamma3, we only need to prove for any arbitaray non-X element Y, $\#Y$ in A > $\#Y$ in R(A), by Theorem 1.1.

Let Y be such.

By Lamma5 and the fact that A is not identical, $\text{Count}(A,Y) \neq \text{Pairs}(A,Y)$.

By Lamma6 $\text{Count}(A,Y) \geq \text{Pairs}(A,Y)$.

In conclusion: $\text{Count}(A,Y) > \text{Pairs}(A,Y) = \text{Count}(R(A),Y)$, Theorem 1.1

$$\# \text{ non-X elements in A} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq X} \text{Count}(A, n)$$

$$\# \text{ non-X elements in R(A)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq X} \text{Count}(R(A), n)$$

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq X} \text{Count}(R(A), n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq X} \text{Pairs}(A, n), \text{ Theorem 1.1}$$

So, # non-X elements in A > # non-X elements in R(A)

Lamma3 proved!

Lamma4:

A satisfies the precondition, A's majority is X

\Rightarrow # group of X < # X (we use the same definition of group in Lamma1)

Proof:

Assume A satisfies the precondition, A's majority is X.

Set $m = \text{len}(A)$, $k = \#X \text{ in } A$, $p = \# \text{group of } X$.

Assume for contradiction: $p \geq k$.

Think A as a circular, since first and last element may form a pair.

So we get p gaps among p groups of X. There are at least 1 non-X element in a gap, otherwise, to groups of X are adjacent, then they are 1 group.

So, there are at least p non-X elements in A.

By $p \geq k$, # non-X elements \geq # X. This contradict to the fact that X is majority of A. So, $p < k$.

Lamma4 is proved!

Lamma5:

A is a non-empty array of natural number, $X \in A$

$\Rightarrow \text{Count}(A,X) = \text{Pairs}(A,X) \Leftrightarrow A$ is identical of X.

Proof:

Assume A is a non-empty array of natural number, $X \in A$

Think A as a circular, since first and last element may form a pair.

WTS: $\text{Count}(A,X) = \text{Pairs}(A,X) \Rightarrow A$ is identical of X.

Assume for contradiction:

$\text{Count}(A,X) = \text{Pairs}(A,X) \wedge \exists b \in A \wedge b \neq X$

Set $\text{Count}(A,X) = n$, $\text{len}(A) = m$.

Each X form a pair with X right adjacent to it. There are at least one X, whose right element is b. So, at most we can get $n - 1$ pairs of X.

$\text{Pairs}(A,X) = n - 1$, this violate the fact that $\text{Count}(A,X) = \text{Pairs}(A,X)$.

So, there can be such a b in A. So, $\text{Count}(A,X) = \text{Pairs}(A,X) \Rightarrow A$ is identical of X.

WTS: A is identical of X $\Rightarrow \text{Count}(A,X) = \text{Pairs}(A,X)$

Assume A is identical of X.

Set $\text{len}(A) = \text{Count}(A,X) = n$ by assumption.

There are n X in A. Each X form a pair with X right adjacent to it. We get n pairs of X(A is circular). $\text{Pairs}(A,X) = n$. So, $\text{Count}(A,X) = \text{Pairs}(A,X)$

Lamma5 is proved!

Lamma6:

A is a non-empty array of natural number, $X \in A \Rightarrow \text{Count}(A,X) \geq \text{Pairs}(A,X)$

Proof:

Assume A is a non-empty array of natural number, $X \in A$.

Think A as a circular, since first and last element may form a pair.

WTS: $\text{Count}(A,X) \geq \text{Pairs}(A,X)$

Set $k = \text{Count}(A,X)$, $m = \text{len}(A)$, $p = \# \text{ groups of } X \text{ in } A$

$k \geq 1$, since $X \in A$

Case1: for all groups of X, there is only 1 X in the group.

We get 0 pairs of X.

$\text{Pairs}(A,X)=0 \leq 1 \leq k=\text{Count}(A,X)$, Lamma6 holds.

Case2: exist at lest 1 group of X contains more than 1 X, A is not identical.

$p > 1$ (A is not identical)

Set there are g groups that contains more than 1 X, $g \geq 1$.

For any group that contains more than 1 X:

If it contains h X's, $h > 1$. It has $h - 1$ pairs in the group.

So, in total, we get $k - g$ pairs of X in A.

$\text{Pairs}(A,X) = k - g$, $\text{Count}(A,X) = k$

$\text{Count}(A,X) \geq \text{Pairs}(A,X)$, Lamma6 holds.

Case3: A is identical of X.

Each X can form a pair with the X right adjacent to it. We get k pairs of X in A(A is circular).

$\text{Pairs}(A,X) = k, \text{Count}(A,X) = k$

$\text{Count}(A,X) \geq \text{Pairs}(A,X)$, Lamma6 holds.

■