

ELE 364: Assignment #4 Solutions

1. (10 pts)

(a) The prediction is as follows:

ID	$\mathbf{d}[1]$	$\mathbf{d}[2]$	$\mathbf{d}[3]$	Target	Predict
1	1	2	3	27	14
2	2	3	4	39	20
3	3	4	5	51	26
4	4	5	6	63	32

As a result: $L_2 = 1/2 \times (169 + 361 + 625 + 961) = 1058$.

(b) The prediction is as follows (ED = ErrorDelta):

ID	$\mathbf{d}[1]$	$\mathbf{d}[2]$	$\mathbf{d}[3]$	Target	Predict	Error	ED $\mathbf{w}[0]$	ED $\mathbf{w}[1]$	ED $\mathbf{w}[2]$	ED $\mathbf{w}[3]$
1	1	2	3	27	14	13	13	13	26	39
2	2	3	4	39	20	19	19	38	57	76
3	3	4	5	51	26	25	25	75	100	125
4	4	5	6	64	32	31	31	124	155	186
				Sum			88	250	338	426

Thus, the new values after one iteration are:

- $\mathbf{w}[0] = 0.0088$.
- $\mathbf{w}[1] = 1.0250$.
- $\mathbf{w}[2] = 2.0338$.
- $\mathbf{w}[3] = 3.0426$.

2. (10 pts) The first step is to handle the missing values. The mean for the Age feature is 32.7 and the mode for the socio-economic band feature is a . Hence, the question marks in the query instances are changed to reflect these values. Each continuous feature should then be range-normalized to $[-1, 1]$. These normalized values are shown in the following table.

ID	Age	Soc.-econ. band	Shop value	Shop freq.
1	-0.347	b	0.769	-0.565
2	0.956	a	-0.065	-0.750

With this information, we can calculate the prediction by plugging these values into the regression equation as follows.

- $\text{Logistic}(0.668 + (-0.580 \times -0.347) + (-0.198 \times 1) + 3.409 \times 0.769 + (2.050 \times -0.565))$
 $= 0.894$. Hence, yes.
- $\text{Logistic}(0.668 + (-0.580 \times 0.956) + (-0.160 \times 1) + (3.409 \times -0.065) + (2.050 \times -0.750))$
 $= 0.141$ Hence, no.

3. (10 pts) The output for the SVM model is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1 \times 1.6811 \times (\langle -0.4549, 0.0095, 0.2203 \rangle \cdot \langle -0.8945, -0.3459, 0.5520 \rangle) - 0.0216) \\
& + (-1 \times 0.2384 \times (\langle -0.2843, -0.5253, 0.3668 \rangle \cdot \langle -0.8945, -0.3459, 0.5520 \rangle) - 0.0216) \\
& + (-1 \times 0.2055 \times (\langle 0.3729, 0.0904, -1.0836 \rangle \cdot \langle -0.8945, -0.3459, 0.5520 \rangle) - 0.0216) \\
& + (-1 \times 1.7139 \times (\langle 0.5580, 0.2217, 0.2115 \rangle \cdot \langle -0.8945, -0.3459, 0.5520 \rangle) - 0.0216) \\
& = -0.9045 - 0.1737 - 0.2195 - 0.8083 = -2.1061
\end{aligned}$$

Because the output is less than -1 , the model predicts the negative level: low risk.

4. (10 pts)

(a) $\phi(v_1) = \langle 0.25, 0.64, 0.566, 0.707, 1.131, 1 \rangle$ and $\phi(v_2) = \langle 1, 0.49, 0.99, 1.414, 0.99, 1 \rangle$.

(b) $\phi(v_1) \cdot \phi(v_2) = 0.25 \times 1 + 0.64 \times 0.49 + 0.566 \times 0.99 + 0.707 \times 1.414 + 1.131 \times 0.99 + 1 \times 1 = 4.244$.

(c) $kernel(v_1, v_2) = (0.5 \times 1 + 0.8 \times 0.7 + 1)^2 = 4.244$. This matches the result in (b).

(d) $kernel(v_1, v_2) = 4.244$, $kernel(v_0, v_2) = (0.9 \times 1 + 0.7 \times 0.6 + 1)^2 = 5.382$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1 \times 0.71 \times kernel(v_0, v_2) + 0.21) + (+1 \times 0.98 \times kernel(v_1, v_2) + 0.21) \\
& = (-1 \times 0.71 \times 5.382 + 0.21) + (+1 \times 0.98 \times 4.244 + 0.21) = -3.611 + 4.369 = 0.758
\end{aligned}$$

Even though this value is less than 1 and, hence, within the margin, since it is greater than 0, the class of v_2 is predicted as 1.