September 30, 2024

Chapter 1: Banach Algebras

1.1: Definitions and Basic Properties

Definition: Banach Space

A Banach space X (over $\mathbb{C}$) is a normed vector space with algebraic operations

$$(x, y) \mapsto x + y \qquad\qquad \text{addition}$$
$$(\lambda, y) \mapsto \lambda y \qquad\qquad \text{scalar multiplication}$$

and a norm

$$x \mapsto ||x||$$

which is complete (i.e. every Cauchy sequence converges).

Definition: (Complex) Banach Algebra

A (complex) Banach algebra B is a Banach space in which there is multiplication

$$B \times B \ni (x, y) \mapsto xy \in B$$

such that

1. $x(yz) = (xy)z$

2. $(x + y)z = xz + yz$ and $x(y + z) = xy + xz$

3. $\lambda(xy) = (\lambda x)y = x(\lambda y)$

4. $||xy|| \le ||x|| \cdot ||y||$

Definition: Unital Banach Algebra

B is called a unital Banach algebra if $\exists e \in B$ such that

$$xe = ex = x \quad \text{and} \quad ||e|| = 1.$$

If e exists, it is unique.

1.2: Examples

Example 1

If X is a Banach space, then $B = \mathcal{L}(X)$ (the set of all bounded inear operators $A : X \to X$) equipped with algebraic operations

$$(A + B)x = Ax + Bx$$
$$(\lambda A)x = \lambda(Ax)$$
$$(AB)x = A(Bx)$$

and the operator norm

$$||A||_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||_X}{||x||_X}.$$

$B = \mathcal{L}(X)$ is complete because X is complete.
The unit element is given by $I_X x = x$.

Example 2

If $X = \mathbb{C}^n$, then $B = \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.

$$A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n \qquad\qquad Ax = y \qquad\qquad \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = y_i.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

The norm in $\mathbb{C}^n$ leadsto a norm in $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$

$$||(x_i)|| = \left(\sum |x_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \qquad\qquad ||A|| =$$
$$||(x_i)|| = \sum |x_i| \qquad\qquad ||A|| = \max_j \sum_i |a_{ij}|$$
$$||(x_i)|| = \max |x_i| \qquad\qquad ||A|| = \max_i \sum_j |a_{ij}|$$

All norms are quivalent.

Example 3

Take $B = C(K)$ with K a compact Hausdorff space, $f : K \to \mathbb{C}$ continuous and $||f|| = \max_{t \in K} |f(t)|$.

Example 4

Take $B = A(K)$, $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ compact with $\mathrm{int}(K) \neq 0$, $f : K \to \mathbb{C}$ continuous where f is holomorphic on $\mathrm{int}(K)$ and

$$||f|| = \max_{t \in K} |f(t)| = \max_{t \in K \setminus \mathrm{int}(K)} |f(t)|$$

e.g. $K = \overline{\mathbb{D}} = \{t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| \leq 1\}$. Then $A(K) \subseteq C(K)$.

Example 5

Take $B = \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N})$ or $B = L^{\infty}(S, \sigma, \mu)$ with (S, σ, μ) a measure space, $f : S \to \mathbb{C}$ essentially bounded functions and

$$||f|| = \text{ess sup}_{t \in S} |f(t)| = \inf_{\substack{N \subseteq S \\ \mu(N)}} \left(\sup_{t \in S \setminus N} |f(t)| \right)$$

Example 6

Take $B = \ell^{1}(\mathbb{Z})$ or $B = L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ with $||\{x_n\}|| = \sum |x_n|$ and $||f|| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(t)| \, dt$ respectively.
Multiplication is given by the convolution. e.g.

$$fg = (f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - t)g(t) \, dt$$

$\ell^{1}(\mathbb{Z})$ is unital, but $L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ is non-unital (since the unit of convolution is the Dirac delta; see Example 7).

Example 7

Take $B = M(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ the complex measures on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with bounded variation.
Then multiplications is given as

$$(\mu * v)(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(A - x) dv(x)$$

and norm

$$||\mu|| = \sup_{\substack{\mathbb{R}^d = \bigcup A_i \\ \text{disjoint}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu(A_i)| < +\infty.$$

Then, $f \, dm = d\mu$ gives $L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \to M(\mathbb{R}^{d})$.

Example 8

Take $B = C^{n \times n}[K]$ with K compcat and Hausdorff, continuous functions $f : K \to \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and norm

$$||f||_B = \max_{t \in k} ||f(t)||_{C^{n \times n}}.$$

Then $B \cong (C(K))^{n \times n}$ the $n \times n$ matrices with entries from $C(K)$.

1.3: Remarks

- If B does not have a unit element, consider $B_1 = B \times \mathbb{C}$ with operations

$$(b_1, \lambda_1) + (b_2, \lambda_2) = (b_1 + b_2, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$$
$$\alpha(b, \lambda) = (\alpha b, \alpha \lambda)$$
$$(b_1, \lambda_1)(b_2, \lambda_2) = b_1 b_2 + \lambda_1 b_2 + \lambda_2 b_1, \lambda_1 \lambda_2)$$

and norm

$$||(b, \lambda)|| = ||b|| + |\lambda|.$$

Then B_1 is a unital Banach algebra with $e = (0, 1)$. One writes $(b, \lambda) = (b, 0) + \lambda(0, 1) = b + \lambda \cdot e$.
In some sense, $B \subseteq B_1$ where $b \in B \mapsto (b, 0) \in B_1$.

1.4: Definitions

Definition: Commutative Banach Algebra

B is called commutative if $xy = yx$.

Definition: Banach Subalgebra

A subset B_0 of a B-algebra is called a subalgebra if it is closed with respect to the algebraic operations

$$x, y \in B_0, \ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \rightsquigarrow x + y, xy, \lambda x \in B$$

Definition: Closed Subalgebra

B_0 is a closed subalgebra or Banach subalgebra if it is norm-closed.

- Proposition: B_0 is a Banach algebra.

Definition: Generated Subalgebra

Let $M \neq \varnothing$ be a subset of a Banach algebra B.
The Banach subalgebra generated by M is the smallest closed subalgebra containing M.

$$\mathrm{alg}\, M = (\mathrm{clos}\, \mathrm{alg}_B M)$$

- Remark

  $\mathrm{alg}\, M$ is the intersection of all closed subalgebras containing M.
  $\mathrm{alg}\, M = \mathrm{clos} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i a_1^{(i)} a_2^{(i)} \cdots a_{n_i}^{(i)} \right\}$ is the norm-closure of finite linear combinations of finite products of $a_j^{(i)} \in M$.

1.5: Examples

Exammple 1

Take B unital, $b \in B$. Then

$$\mathrm{alg}\{e, b\} = \mathrm{clos}_B \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{N} \lambda_i b^i \ : \ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}, \ N \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

where $b^0 = e$.

4

1.6 Definitions

Definition: Banach Algebra Homomorphism

A Banach algebra homomorphism is a map $\phi : B_1 \to B_2$ between Banach algebras B_1 and B_2 such that

- ϕ is linear

- ϕ is bounded (continuous)

- ϕ is multiplicative

  $\phi(b_1 b_2) = \phi(b_1) \cdot \phi(b_2)$

- ϕ is unital if both B_1, B_2 have units and $\phi(e_{B_1}) = e_{B_2}$.

Definition: Banach Algebra Isomorphism

A Banach algebra homomorphism which is bijective is called a Banach algebra isomorphism.
Then $\phi^{-1} : B_2 \to B_1$ is an isomorphism as well.

Definition: Banach Algebra Isometry

ϕ is an isometry if $||\phi(x)|| = ||x||$.

October 2, 2024

Recall

Given $M \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ with X a Banach space (and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ itself a Banach algebra), we may construct $B = \mathrm{alg}_{\mathcal{L}(X)} M$.

1.7 Proposition

Let B be a unital Banach algebra. Then the map

$$\phi : B \ni x \to L_x \in \mathcal{L}(B)$$

is an isometric isomorphism onto a closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(B)$ where

$$L_x : B \ni z \mapsto xz \in B$$

is the left-representation of x.

Proof

L_x is in $\mathcal{L}(B)$ since $L_x z = xz$

- is linear in z and

- $||L_x z|| = ||xz|| \leq ||x|| \cdot ||z||$ implies $||L_x|| \leq ||x||$ (i.e. L_x is a bounded).

The map $\phi : x \mapsto L_x$ is linear

$$L_{x_1 + x_2} z = (x_1 + x_2)z = x_1 z + x_2 z = L_{x_1} z + L_{x_2} z = (L_{x_1} + L_{x_2})z$$

ϕ is multiplicative

$$L_{x_1 x_2} z = (x_1 x_2)z = x_1(x_2 z) = L_{x_1}(L_{x_2} z)$$

From the above, we conclude that ϕ is a homomorphism.
To show that ϕ is an isometry,

$$||L_x|| = \sup_{z \neq 0} \frac{||L_x z||}{||z||} \geq \frac{||L_x e||}{||e||} = \frac{||x||}{1} = ||x||.$$

Then also ϕ is injective and $\operatorname{im}\phi$ is closed. Since $\operatorname{im}\phi$ is a Banach algebra, it is therefore a closed subalgebra.

1.7 Remark: Right-Regular Representation

Every unital Banach algebra is isometrically isomorphic to a Banach algebra of operators.
Right-regular representation:

$$R_x = z \mapsto zx$$

Chapter 2: Group of Invertible Elements in a Banach Algebra

2.1 Definition: Invertible Element

Let B be a unital Banach algebra. An element $x \in B$ (in B) if there exists $y \in B$ such that $xy = yx = e$.
Note that $y = x^{-1}$ is uniquely determined.
Write GB for the set of all invertible elements of B.

Remark

GB is a (multiplicative group).

- $x, y \in GB \implies xy \in GB$ and $(xy)^{-1} = y^{-1} x^{-1}$,

- $x \in GB \implies x^{-1} \in GB$ and $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x$, and

- $e \in GB$.

2.2 Lemma

If $x \in B$ and $||x|| < 1$, then $e - x \in GB$.

Proof

Take the Neumann series

$$e + x + x^2 + x^3 + \cdots$$

which converges to some $s \in B$

$$s_n = e + x + \cdots + x^n$$

where s_n are Cauchy:

$$||s_{n+k} - s_n|| = ||x^{n+1} + \cdots x^{n+k}|| \le ||x||^{n+1} + ||x||^{n+2} + \cdots = \frac{||x||^{n+1}}{1 - ||x||}.$$

So $s_n \to S$,

$$(e - x)s_n = s_n(e - x)e - x^{n+1}.$$

Taking $n \to \infty$

$$(e - x)s = s(e - x) = e.$$

2.3 Proposition

The group GB is open in B and the map $\Lambda : GB \ni x \mapsto x^{-1} \in GB$ is continuous (in the norm).

Proof

Take $x \in GB$ and consider $y \in B$ with $||y|| < \frac{1}{||x^{-1}||} = \varepsilon$.
Then $x + y \in B_\varepsilon(x)$ is invertible,

$$x + y = x(e + x^{-1}y),$$

and

$$||x^{-1}y|| \le ||x^{-1}|| \cdot ||x|| < 1.$$

Therefore GB is open, since $B_\varepsilon(X) \subseteq GB$. The inverse

$$(x + y)^{-1} = (e + x^{-1}y)^{-1}x^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-x^{-1}y)^n x^{-1} = x^{-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-x^{-1}y)^n x^{-1}$$

so

$$||(x + y)^{-1} - x^{-1}|| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||x^{-1}||^{n+1} ||y||^n = \frac{||x^{-1}||^2 ||y||}{1 - ||x^{-1}|| \cdot ||y||}.$$

This converges to zero as $||y|| \to 0$.

2.4 Examples

Example 1

$B = C(K)$, K compact Hausdroff, $f : K \to \mathbb{C}$ continuous.
$GB = \{f \in C(K) : f(t) \ne 0, \forall t \in K\}$.

Example 2

$B = C^{n \times n}$.
$GB = \{A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} : \det A \neq 0\}$.

2.5 Definition:

Let $G_0 B$ stand for the connected componet of GB containing e.

Remarks

- the ε-neighborhoods $B_\varepsilon(x) \subseteq B$ are (path-)connected.

$$B_\varepsilon(x) = \{y \in B : ||x - y|| < \varepsilon\}$$

  For $y_1, y_2 \in B_\varepsilon(x)$, there is a continuous path

$$\sigma : [0,1] \ni \lambda \mapsto y_1 \lambda + y_2(1 - \lambda) \in B_\varepsilon(x)$$

- Because GB is open and $B_\varepsilon(x)$ is path-connected, GB is locally (path-)connected (i.e. every $x \in GB$ has a (path-)connected open neighborhood in GB).

- In this context, connectedness and path-connectedness are equivalent. Therefore the components of GB are the path-components of GB.

- GB is the union of disjoint (path-)components where each component is both open and closed in GB.

- $x, y \in GB$ belong to the same path-component if there exists a continuous path $\gamma : [0,1] \to GB$ such that $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(1) = y$. Here, $x \sim y$ is an equivalence relation.

- $G_0 B = \{x \in GB : \exists$ a path in GB connecting e and $x\}$.

2.6 Examples

Example 1

Take $B = C(\mathbb{T})$ with $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ and continuous functions $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$.
GB is the non-vanishing continuous functions $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$ ($f(t) \neq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{T}$).
For $f \in GB$ one can define a winding number.

We have $\frac{1}{2\pi} \arg f(e^{ix})$ a continuous function with

$$\text{wind}(t) = \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \arg f(e^{ix}) \right]_{x=0}^{2\pi} = \phi(2\pi) - \phi(0)$$

and wind$(t) \in \mathbb{Z}$.
The map $GB \ni f \mapsto \text{wind}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is continuous, hence locally constant (i.e. constant on each connected component).
Therefore $G_0 C(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq \{f \in GC(\mathbb{T}) : \text{wind}(f) = 0\}$. In fact, we will see that we have equality.
That is, f can be contracted (in GB) to the constant function $e(t) = 1$.

2.7 Proposition

$G_0 B$ is a normal subgroup of GB.

Proof

- $G_0 B$ is a group.

  For any $x, y \in G_0 B$, there exist paths $\gamma_1 : [0,1] \to GB$ and $\gamma_2 : [0,1] \to GB$ with $\gamma_1(0) = \gamma_2(0) = e$, $\gamma_1(1) = x$ and $\gamma_2(1) = y$.
  Define $\gamma(t) = \gamma_1(t)\gamma_2(t)$ a path in GB such that $\gamma(0) = e$ and $\gamma(1) = xy$. Then $xy \in G_0 B$.
  Following from Lemma 2.2, $\hat{\gamma} = (\gamma_1(t))^{-1}$ is a continuous path with $\hat{\gamma}_1(0) = e$, $\hat{\gamma}_1(1) = x^{-1}$ and $x^{-1} \in GB$.

- $G_0 B$ is a normal subgroup of GB.

  For every $y \in GB$, $y G_0 B y^{-1} \subseteq G_0 B$ if and only if $y G_0 B = G_0 B y$.
  Take $x \in G_0 B$ with path γ, then

$$\delta(t) = y\gamma(t)y^{-1}, \quad \delta(0) = yey^{-1} = e, \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(1) yxy^{-1} \in G_0 B.$$

2.8 Definition: Abstract Index Group

The quotient group $GB/G_0 B$ is called the abstract index group of B.

Remark

$GB/G_0 B$ is in 1-to-1 correspondence with the set of connected components of GB.
Indeed, the (path-)connected components of GB are given by $y G_0 B = G_0 B y$ (for $y \in GB$).

$$y_1 G_0 B = y_2 G_0 B \iff y_2^{-1} y_1 G_0 B = G_0 B \iff y_2^{-1} y_1 \in G_0 B \iff [y_2] = [y_1] \text{ in } GB/G_0 B.$$

2.9 Definition: Exponential Map

For $x \in B$, we define the exponential map $B \ni x \mapsto \exp(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$.

2.10 Lemma

The exponential map $B \ni x \mapsto \exp(x) \in GB$ is well-defined and continuous.
For $xy = yx$, we have $\exp(x + y) = \exp(x)\exp(y)$.
In particular, $(\exp(x))^{-1} = \exp(-x)$.

Proof

$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$ is absolutely convergent.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{||x||^n}{n!} < +\infty.$$

9

It follows that $s_n = \sum_{n=0}^{k} \frac{x^k}{k!}$ is a Cauchy sequence and therefore converges.
Continuity left as an exercise. Need to show:

$$\left\| \sum \frac{x^n}{n!} - \sum \frac{y^n}{n!} \right\| \leq ||x - y|| \cdot M_{x,y}$$

The fact that $\exp(x + y) = \exp(x)\exp(y)$ follows from multiplying terms and the binomial formula.

October 7, 2024

Recall

$GB \ e + x$.
$G_0 B$ connected component of GB containing e.
$GB / G_0 B$ is the abstract index group.
$B = C(\mathbb{T}) \rightsquigarrow f \in GC(\mathbb{T}) \rightsquigarrow \text{ind}(f)$.

Definition: Exponential Map

$$\exp(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \in GB$$

Lemma:

For $y \in B$, $||y|| < 1$, there exists $x \in B$ such that $\exp(x) = e + y$.

Proof

Define

$$\log(e + y) = y - \frac{y^2}{2} + \frac{y^3}{3} - \cdots \in B.$$

This converges absolutely ($||y|| < 1$), therefore it converges in B by completeness.

Identities

$$\exp(\log(e + y)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\sum_k \frac{y^k}{k} (-1)^{k-1} \right)^n}{n!} = e + y$$

Proof

$G_0 B$ is equal to the set of all finite products of exponentials of elements in B.

$$G_0 B = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_n = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \{\exp(a_1)\exp(a_2)\cdots\exp_{a_n} \in B\}$$

**Proof**

Call $\Gamma = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma^n$.

Then observe that each Γ_n is path-connected and contains e.

For $b = \exp(a_1)\cdots\exp(a_n) \in \Gamma_n$, define a path

- $\sigma : [0,1] \to \Gamma_n$

- $\sigma(t) = \exp(ta_1)\cdots\exp(ta_n)$ is continuous with $\sigma(0) = e$ and $\sigma(1) = b$.

Therefore, Γ is path-connected and contains e. It follows that $\Gamma \subseteq G_0 B$.

To prove that $G_0 B \subseteq \Gamma$, take $b \in G_0 B$ and show that there exists a path in GB $\gamma : [0,1] \to GB$ continuous with $\gamma(0) = e$ and $\gamma(1) = b$.

We have that $(\gamma(t))^{-1}$ is continuous and bounded in the norm. Then $\gamma(t)$ is uniformly continuous.

$||\gamma^{-1}(t)|| \leq M$.

$(\exists N) : |t - s| \leq \frac{1}{N} \implies ||\gamma(t) - \gamma(b)|| \leq \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$. Write

$$b = \gamma(1) \cdot \gamma^{-1}(0) = \gamma(1)\gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)\gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)\gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)\cdots\gamma\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\gamma(0) = \prod_{k=1}^{N}\gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)\gamma\left(\frac{k-1}{N}\right).$$

Therefore, with $s_k = \gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)\gamma\left(\frac{k-1}{N}\right)$, $b = \prod_{k=1}^{N}\exp(\log(s_k))$.

$$||s_k - e|| \leq ||\gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)|| \cdot ||\gamma\left(\frac{k-1}{N}\right) - \gamma\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)|| \leq M \cdot \frac{1}{2M} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Corollary

If B is commutative, $G_0 B = \{\exp(a) : a \in B\}$.

**Remark**

Special case: $B = C(K)$ (K compact Hausdorff space).

$G_0 B = \{\exp(a) : a \in C(K)\}$.

$GB/G_0 B$ is an equivalence class of functions $f : K \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with respect to path-connectedness.

That is, $f_1 \sim f_2$ if and only if there exists continuous $F(t, x) : [0,1] \times K \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with $F(0, x) = f_1(x)$ and $F(1, x) = f_2(x)$.

These are the homotopy classes of continuous functions $f : K \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

This corresponds to homotopy classes of continuous functions $f : K \to \mathbb{T}$ (with $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$) called the 1st co-homotopy group of K $\pi^1(K)$.

$f : K \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\frac{f}{|f|} : K \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ are path-connected by $\sigma(s) = \frac{f}{|f|^s}$, $s \in [0,1]$.
$f_1 \sim f_2$ in $K \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ implies that $\frac{f_1}{||f_1||} \sim \frac{f_2}{||f_2||}$ in $K \to \mathbb{T}$ by $F(s,x)$ and $\frac{F(s,x)}{|F(s,x)|}$.
We conclude that $\pi^1(K) \cong GC(K)/G_0C(K)$.

Example

Let $B = C(\mathbb{T})$.

$$G_0B = \{\exp(a) \; : \; a \in C(\mathbb{T}) = \{f \in GC(\mathbb{T}) \; : \; \mathrm{wind}(f) = 0\}$$

For $f \in GC(\mathbb{T})$, $\mathrm{wind}(f) = 0$ implies that $f = \exp(a)$ has a logarithm.
This implies that $f \in G_0B$ which itself implies that $\mathrm{wind}(f) = 0$, since $\mathrm{wind}(f)$ is continuous on $GC(\mathbb{T})$ and therefore constant on the component.
Therefore, $GB/G_0B \cong \mathbb{Z}$ via the winding number.
For connected components of GB, define $\chi_n(t) = t^n$, $|t| = 1$, where $\mathrm{wind}(\chi_n) = n$.

Remark: Closed Subalgebras and Invertibility

Let A be a closed subalgebra of B (both being unital, $e \in A$, $e \in B$).
Obviously, if $a \in A$ is invertible in A (i.e. $a^{-1} \in A$) then a is invertible in B. Then $GA \subseteq GB \cap A \subseteq GB$.

Example

Take $B = C(\mathbb{T})$ and $A = \{f \in C(\mathbb{T}) \; : \; f_n = 0, \; \forall n < 0\} = C_+(\mathbb{T})$ where $f_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{ix}) e^{-inx} \, dx$ is the nth Fourier coefficient.
Formally: $f(t) \cong \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_n t^n$ in $B = C(\mathbb{T})$, $|t| = 1$.
$f \in A : f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n t^n$, $|t| = 1$ has an analytic extension into the unit disk $|t| < 1$.
More precisely, $\phi : A(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \to C_+(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq C(\mathbb{T})$ by $f \mapsto f|_{\mathbb{T}}$.
Where $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \{f \in \overline{D} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ continuous, holomorphic on } \mathbb{D}\}$ and $\mathbb{D} = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \; : \; |t| \le 1\}$.
Then, for $f \in A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ with $n \in \{-1, -2, -3, \ldots\}$,

$$f_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{ix}) e^{-inx} \, dx = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}} \, dz = \lim_{r \to 1^-} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(rz)}{z^{n+1}} \, dz = 0$$

- In fact, ϕ is an isometry.

$$||f||_{A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})} = \sup_{|z| \le 1} |f(z)| = \max_{|z|=1} |f(z)| = ||f|_{\mathbb{T}}||_{C(\mathbb{T})}$$

By maximum modulus principle of holomorphic functions, since ϕ is not constant.

- ϕ is linear and multiplicative.

- $C_+(\mathbb{T})$ is a closed subset of $C(\mathbb{T})$.

$$\Lambda_n : C(\mathbb{T}) \ni f \mapsto f_n \in \mathbb{C}$$

is a continuous linear functional.

$$C_+(\mathbb{T}) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \ker \Lambda_n$$

- Less trivaially, ϕ is surjective and $C_+(\mathbb{T})$ is an algebra.

Example

$\chi_1(t) = t$ is invertible in $C(\mathbb{T}) = B$.
$x_1^{-1}(t) = \frac{1}{t} = x_{-1}(t) \notin C_+(\mathbb{T})$ while $\chi_1(t) \in C_+(\mathbb{T})$.
Therefore $GA \subseteq GB \cap A$ may not be equal.

Definition: Boundary

The boundary of a subset U of a topological space X is $\partial U = \overline{U} \setminus \mathrm{int}(U)$.

Remark

For $U \subseteq X$, $X = \mathrm{int}(U) \cup \partial U \cup \mathrm{int}(X \setminus U)$ a union of disjoint sets.

Lemma:

1. if $a \in \partial GA$, then $a \notin GA$ and there exists a sequence $a_n \in GA$ such that $a_n \to a$.

2. if $a \in \partial a$ and $a_n \in GA$ such that $a_n \to a$, then $||a_n^{-1}|| \to +\infty$.

Proof of 1

$a \in GA$ would imply $a \in \mathrm{int}(GA)$ and not a boundary point.

Proof of 2

Otherwise, there would exist a bounded subsequence $||a_{n_i}^{-1}|| \leq M$.

$$||a_{n_i}^{-1} - a_{n_j}^{-1}|| \leq ||a_{n_i}^{-1}|| \cdot ||a_{n_j} - a_{n_i}|| \cdot ||a_{n_j}^{-1}|| \leq M^2 ||a_{n_i} - a_{n_j}||$$

Since a_n converges, $\{a_n\}$ is Cauchy which implies $a_{n_i}^{-1}$ is Cauchy.
Then $a_{n_i}^{-1} \to b \in A$. $e = a_{n_i} a_{n_i}^{-1} \to ab$ implies $a^{-1} = b$ and $a \in GA$. However $a \notin GA$.

Proposition

Let A be a closed subalgebra of B ($e \in A$, $e \in B$). Then $\partial GA \subseteq \partial(A \cap GB)$ (both boundaries are considered in A).

Remark

Both GA and $A \cap GB$ are open subsets of A.

Proof

Take $a \in \partial GA$ and suppose $a \notin \partial(A \cap GB)$.
Take $a \in \partial GA$: $a_n \in GA$, $a \notin GA$, $a_n \to a$, $||a_n^{-1}|| \to +\infty$.

October 9, 2024

Recall

$A \subseteq B$, $GA \subseteq A \cap GB$.
If $A = C_+(\mathbb{T}) \cong A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ and $B = C(\mathbb{T})$.

Recall: Theorem

For GA, $A \cap GB$ open sets in A, $U \subseteq X$, $\partial U = \overline{U} \setminus \operatorname{int} U$, we have that $\partial GA \subseteq \partial(A \cap GB)$.

Proof

Take $a \in \partial GA$, $a_n \to a$, $a \notin GA$, $a \in A$.
Since $a_n \in GA$, $||a_n^{-1}|| \to +\infty$.
However, $a \notin GB$ otherwise $a \in GB$, $a_n \to a$ implies $a_n^{-1} \to a^{-1}$ (in GB) and, consequently, $\sup ||a_n^{-1}|| < +\infty$, a contradiction.
Therefore $a \notin A \cap GB$ and, consequently, $a \in \partial(A \cap GB) = \overline{(A \cap GB} \setminus (A \cap GB)$.

## Theorem

Let A be a closed subalgebra of B.
GA is equal to the union of some components of $A \cap GB$.

Proof

Let U be a component of $A \cap GB$.
We want to show that either $U \cap GA \neq \emptyset$ or $U \subseteq GA$.

The above cannot occur since, by path-connectedness, for $x, y \in U$, $x \in GA$, $y \neq \in GA$, there would need to be some $z \in \partial GA$ with $z \notin A \cap GB$ a contradiction.
Alternatively, take $A \cap GB$ open in A.
Then $A \cap GB \cap \partial(A \cap GB) = \emptyset$ and $(A \cap GB) \cap \partial GA = \emptyset$ by the previous theorem.
Write $A = GA \cup \partial GA \cup \mathrm{int}(A \setminus GA)$. Then

$$A \cap GB = GA \cup \emptyset \cup \mathrm{int}(A \setminus GA) \cap (A \cap GB)$$

and $U = (GA \cap U) \cup \mathrm{int}(A \setminus GB) \cap U$ where $(GA \cap U) \cap \mathrm{int}(A \setminus GA) = \emptyset$ and open in U.
Therefore either $GA \cap U = \emptyset$ or $GA \cap U = U$ which implies that $U \subseteq GA$.

Example

Take $B(\mathbb{T})$ and $A = C_+(\mathbb{T}) \cong A(\overline{D})$.
Then $GB = \{f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C} : f(t) \neq 0$.

Then take

$$A \cap GB = \{f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ continuous}, f(t) \neq 0, |t| = 1 \text{ with analytic continuation into } |t| < 1\}$$

such that $f \in A \cap GB$ which implies $\mathrm{wind}(f) \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$ gives the number of zeroes of f inside $\mathbb{D}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{wind}(f) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[\log f(e^{ix}) \right]_{x=0}^{2\pi} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{r \to 1^-} \left[\log f(re^{ix}) \right]_{x=0}^{2\pi} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{r \to 1} \int_0^\pi \frac{f'(re^{ix})}{f(re^{ix})} ire^{ix} \, dx \\
&\underset{z=re^{ix}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{r \to 0} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \, dz
\end{aligned}$$

Which gives the number of zeros of $f(z)$ inside $|z| < 1$

Chapter 3: Holomorphic Vector-Valued Functions

Goal

Define the notion of holomorphic/analytic functions $f : \Omega \to X$ where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ open and X a (complex) Banach space.

Sumary

- Basically all classical results remain true.

- There is a strong and a weak version of holomorphy, but they are equivalent.

Theorem

For a function $f : \Omega \to X$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ open and X Banach, the following are equivalent

1. f is differentiable at every $z_0 \in \Omega$, i.e. there exists $f'(z_0) \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} \left\| \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} - f'(z_0) \right\|_X = 0$$

2. f is analytic at each point $z_0 \in \Omega$, i.e. f has a convergent power series at z_0 with radius of convergence $R_{z_0} > 0$.

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n (z - z_0)^n, \ |z - z_0| < R_{z_0}, \ a_n \in X$$

which converges in the norm of X.

3. $f : \Omega \to X$ is continuous (in the norm) and for every piecewise smooth closed contour Γ contained in a disk D ($\Gamma \subseteq D \subseteq \Omega$).

$$\int_\Gamma f(z)\,dz = 0$$

Definition: (Strongly) Holomorphic Function

If (1)-(3) hold, then f is (strongly)-holomorphic.

Remarks: Integration of Vector-Valued Functions

A piecewise smooth contour Γ can be parameterized by $\sigma : [0,1] \to \Omega$.

$$\int_\Gamma f(z)\,dz = \int_0^1 \underbrace{f(\sigma(t))\sigma'(t)}_{h(t)\text{ continuous}}\,dt$$

This is independent of the choice of parameterization.
Now $I = \int_0^1 h(t)\,dt$ can be defined via Riemann sums. Given a partition P, $h : [0,1] \to X$ continuous.

$$\lim_{\text{mesh}(P)\to 0} ||S(h,P,\xi) - I||_X = 0$$

where $S(h,P,\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i)(x_i - x_{i-1})$, $P = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, $\xi_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$.
Note that h is uniformly continuous and $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that $\text{mesh}(P_1) < \delta$, $\text{mesh}(P_2) < \delta$ implies

$$\left|\left|S(f,P_1,\xi^{(1)}) - S(f,P_2,\xi^{(2)})\right|\right| < \varepsilon$$

All usual properties of integrals hold.

- linear in integrand

- $||\int_\Gamma f(z)\,dz|| \le \int_\Gamma ||f(z)||\,|dz| \le (\text{length}(\Gamma))\sup_{z\in\Gamma} ||f(z)||$.

Sketch of Proof (1) to (3)

To show: $\int_\Delta f(z)\,dz = x_0 = 0$ by contradiction that $x_0 \neq 0$.

We have $\left\|\int_{\Delta_1} f\,dz\right\| \geq \frac{||x_0||}{4}$, $\left\|\int_{\Delta_n} f\,dz\right\| \geq \frac{||x_0||}{4^n}$.

Sketch of Proof (3) to (2)

$\int_\Gamma f\,dz = 0$ implies the Cauchy integral formula. Take

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \frac{f(\omega)}{\omega - z}\,d\omega$$

$$\frac{1}{\omega - z} = \frac{1}{(\omega - z_0) - (z - z_0)} = \frac{1}{w - z_0}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{z - z_0}{w - t}\right)^n$$

Therefore

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma f(\omega)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(z - z_0)^n}{(\omega - z)^{n\pi}}\,d\omega = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(z - z_0)^n\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \frac{f(\omega)}{(\omega - z)^{n\pi}}\,d\omega = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(z - z_0)^n a_n$$

with the sequence converging (in X) on $|z - z_0| < |\omega - z_0|$.

- Radius of Convergence

$$R^{-1} = \limsup_{n\to\infty}||a_n||^{1/n}$$

18

(Root Test: $|z - z_0| < R$ convergence; $|z - z_0| > R$ divergence)

Sketch of Proof (2) to (1)

One can show that a function defined by convergent power series is differentiable, $f(z) = \sum a_n(z - z_0)^n$, then $f'(z) = \sum a_n \cdot n(z - z_0)^{n-1}$.
The radius of convergence is the same. This also implies that f is infinitely differentiable.

Take $z - z_0 = (z - z_1) + (z_1 - z_0)$ and, by the binomial theorem,

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (z - z_1)^k \left(\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_n \binom{n}{k} (z_1 - z_0) \right)$$

which converges for at least $|z - z_1| < R - |z_1 - z_0|$.

October 14, 2024

Theorem

Let $f : D_\varepsilon(z_0) \to X$ $(D_\varepsilon(z_0) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < \varepsilon\})$ be holomorphic.
Then $R = S$ where

1. R is the radius of convergence of $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ $(R^{-1} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} ||a_n||^{\frac{1}{n}})$.

2. S is the radius of the largest open disk $D_S(z_0)$ such that there exists an analytic extension of f from $D_\varepsilon(z_0)$ to $D_S(z_0)$.

Proof

By definition, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-z_0)^n$ converges for $|z-z_0| < R$. Then $|z-z_0| < R$ if and only if $\limsup_{n\to\infty} ||a_n(z-z_0)^n||^{\frac{1}{n}} < 1$ if and only if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-z_0)^n$ converges. Therefore, it converges to a holomorphic function on $R \leq S$.

If $f(z)$ has an analytic extension to $D_S(z_0)$, see step (3) $\implies$ (2) of previous theorem.

Then $f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{f(\omega)}{\omega \cdot z} \, d\omega = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-z_0)^n$ converges for $|z-z_0| < r < S$ with $a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{f(\omega)}{(z-\omega)^{n+1}} \, d\omega$.

From this, we conclude $R \geq S$.

Definition: (Weakly) Holomorphic Function

A function $f: \Omega \to X$ ($\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ open, X Banach) is called weakly holomorphic if $\phi \circ f: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, $\forall \phi \in X^* = \mathcal{L}(X; \mathbb{C})$ bounded linear functionals.

A function $f: \Omega \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ (X, Y Banach) is weakly-operator holomorphic if $h_{\phi, X}: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic for all $\phi \in Y^*$, $x \in X$ where $h_{\phi, X}(z) = \phi(f(z)x)$.

Remarks

Obviously: f strongly holomorphic $\implies$ f weakly holomorphic.

$$\left\|\frac{\phi(f(z+h))-\phi(f(z))}{h}-\phi(f'(z))\right\| \leq ||\phi|| \cdot \left\|\frac{f(z+h)-f(z)}{h}-f'(z)\right\|$$

For $f: \Omega \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$: f strongly holomorphic $\implies$ f weakly holomorphic $\implies$ f weakly operator holomorphic.
For $x \in X$, $\phi \in Y^*$, $\Lambda_{x,\phi}: \mathcal{L}(X,y) \ni A \mapsto \phi(Ax) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\Lambda_{x,\phi} \in (\mathcal{L}(X,y))^*$.
All the converses are also true.

Theorem (Dunford)

Take X Banach, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ open.
If $f: \Omega \to X$ is weakly holomorphic, then it is strongly holomorphic.

Proof

We want to show that for any $z_0 \in \Omega$, $\lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{f(z)-f(z_0)}{z-z_0}$ exists in X.
Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the disk $D_\varepsilon(z_0)$ and circle $C_{2\varepsilon}(z_0) = \Gamma$ are in Ω.

For $\phi \in X^*$, $\phi(f(z))$ is holomorphic in Ω.

$$\phi(f(z)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{\phi(f(\omega))}{z-\omega}\, d\omega, \; z \in D$$

Apply this to $z = z_0$, $z = z_0 + h_1$ and $z = z_0 + h_2$ with $0 < |h_1| < \varepsilon$, $0 < |h_2| < \varepsilon$, $h_1 \neq h_2$.

$$A_{h_1,h_2} = \frac{1}{h_1 - h_2} \left\{ \frac{f(z_0 + h_1) - f(z_0)}{h_1} - \frac{f(z_{0+h_2}) - f(\omega)}{h_2} \right\}$$

$$\phi(A_{h_1,h_2}) = \frac{1}{h_1 - h_2} \left\{ \frac{\phi(f(z_0 + h_1)) - \phi(f(z_0))}{h_1} - \frac{\phi(f(z_0 + h_2)) - \phi(f(\omega))}{h_2} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \phi(f(\omega)) \frac{1}{h_1 - h_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{h_1} \left(\frac{1}{z_0 + h_1 - \omega} - \frac{1}{z_0 - \omega} \right) - \frac{1}{h_2} \left(\frac{1}{z_0 + h_2 - \omega} - \frac{1}{z_0 - \omega} \right) \right\} \, d\omega$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \phi(f(\omega)) \frac{1}{h_1 - h_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{(z + h_1 - \omega)(z_0 - \omega)} - \frac{1}{(z + h_2 - \omega)(z_0 - \omega)} \right\} \, d\omega$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \phi(f(\omega)) \frac{1}{(z_0 + h_1 - \omega)(z_0 + h_2 - \omega)(z_0 - \omega)} \, d\omega$$

Observe that the denominator is at least ε^3, therefore $|\phi(A_{h_1,h_2})| \leq \frac{\varepsilon^3}{2\pi} \sup_{\omega \in \Gamma} ||f(\omega)|| \cdot ||\phi||$ (so long as f continuous, which will be proven).
Therefore $\forall \phi \in X^*$,

$$\sup_{\substack{0 < |h_1| < \varepsilon \\ 0 < |h_2| < \varepsilon \\ h_1 \neq h_2}} |\phi(A_{h_1,h_2})| < +\infty.$$

By the uniform boundedness principle, identify $A_{h_1,h_2} \in X$ with $X^{**} = \mathcal{L}(X^*, \mathbb{C})$.
Then $\sup_{h_1,h_2} ||A_{h_1,h_2} < +\infty$ and

$$\left\| \frac{f(z_0 + h_1) - f(z_0)}{h_1} - \frac{f(z_0 - f(z))}{h_2} \right\| \leq C \cdot |h_1 - h_2|.$$

Now, for any sequnce $\{h_n\}_{n=3}^\infty$, $0 < |h_n| < \varepsilon$, $h_n \to 0$,

$$\frac{f(z_0 + h_n) - f(z_0)}{h_n}$$

is a cauchy sequence. Therefore $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(z_0 + h_n) - f(z_0)}{h_n}$ exists in X independent of choice of $\{h_n\}$. That is

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h}$$

exists in X.

Chapter 4: Spectrum and Resolvent

Consider a unital Banach algebra B.

Definition: Spectrum

For $b \in B$, the spectrum of b in B $\sigma_B(b) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda e - b \text{ is not invertible in } B\}$.

Definition: Resolvent

The resolvent is a function $R(b; \lambda) = (\lambda e - b)^{-1}$. $R(b, \cdot) : \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_B(b) \to B$.
$\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_B(b)$ is the resolvent set.

Theorem

1. The spectrum $\sigma_B(b)$ is a non-empty, compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$.

2. The resolvent $R(b, \lambda)$ is an analytic, Banach valued function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_B(b)$.

Proof of (a)

$\sigma_B(b)$ is bounded, because $\lambda e - b$ is invertible for $|\lambda| > ||b||$.

$$\lambda e - b = \lambda \left(e - \frac{1}{\lambda} b \right)$$

has $\left|\left| \frac{1}{\lambda} b \right|\right| < 1$ for sufficiently large λ. Therefore, $\sigma_B(b) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq ||b||\}$.
To show that $\sigma_B(b)$ is closed, if $\lambda \notin \sigma_B(b)$ then $\forall \mu$ such that $||\lambda - \mu|| < \varepsilon$ we have that $\mu \notin \sigma_B(b)$.

$$\mu e - b = \lambda e - b + (\mu - \lambda)e = (\lambda e - b) \left\{ e + \underbrace{(\mu - \lambda)(\lambda e - b)^{-1}}_{||\cdot|| < 1} \right\}$$

when $|\mu - \lambda| < \frac{1}{||(\lambda e - b)^{-1}||}$.
Therefore $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_B(b)$ is open.

Proof of (b)

Take $\lambda \notin \sigma_B(b)$

$$\frac{R(b,\mu) - R(b,\lambda)}{\mu - \lambda} = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda}\left((\mu e - b)^{-1} - (\lambda e - b)^{-1}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{-\mu - \lambda}(\mu e - b)^{-1}\{(\lambda e - b) - (\mu e - b)\}(\lambda e - b)^{-1}$$

$$= -(\mu e - b)^{-1})(\lambda e - b)^{-1}$$

Using continuity with $GB \ni a \mapsto a^{-1} \in GB$ in the norm, $-(\mu e - b)^{-1})(\lambda e - b)^{-1} \to -\left((\lambda e - b)^{-1}\right)^2$ as $\mu \to \lambda$.
Therefore $R^1(b,\lambda) = -(R(b,\lambda))^2$ and $R(b,\lambda)$ is analytic.

Proof of non-empty in (a)

Take $\sigma_B(b) \neq 0$, otherwise $R(b,\lambda)$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C}$ and bounded

$$(\lambda e - b)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(e - \frac{1}{\lambda}b\right)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\lambda^{n+1}} b^n$$

We can estimate

$$||\cdot|| \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda|\left(1 - \frac{||b||}{|\lambda|}\right)} = \frac{1}{|\lambda| - ||b||}$$

so $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} ||(\lambda e - b)^{-1}|| = 0$.
By Liouville's theorem, bounded and entire functions are constant. But we may also proceed by weak analyticity.
If $\phi(R(b,\lambda))$ is analytic and bounded on $\mathbb{C}$, $\forall \phi \in B^*$, it follows that $\phi(R(b,\lambda)) \equiv 0$, $\forall \lambda$, $\forall \phi \in B^*$ and that $R(b,\lambda) \equiv 0$ for any λ a contradiction.

Definition: Spectral Radius

For $b \in B$, the spectral radius $r(b) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma_B(b)\}$.

Remark

Write $\frac{1}{r(b)} = \min\{|\lambda|^{-1} : \lambda e - b \text{ is not invertible}\} = \min\{|\mu| : e - \mu b \text{ is not invertible}\}$ with $\mu = \frac{1}{\lambda}$.

$$\underbrace{(e - \mu b)^{-1}}_{\text{analytic in } |\mu| < \frac{1}{||b||}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu^n b^n$$

converges for $|\mu| < \frac{1}{||b||}$.

Then the radius of convergence $R^{-1} = \limsup_{n\to\infty} ||b^n||^{\frac{1}{n}}$ gives us that R is equal to the largest disk where $(e - \mu b)^{-1}$ has an analytic extension. Therefore $S = \frac{1}{r(b)}$.

Suppose we have an analytic extension $f(\mu)$ beyond S.

$$f(\mu)(e - \mu b) = (e - \mu b)f(\mu) = e$$

implies that and, if $(e - \mu_0 b)$ not invertible, $f(\mu_0)(e - \mu_0 b) = \cdots = e$ a contradiction.

Theorem

$$r(b) = \lim_{n\to\infty} ||b^n||^{\frac{1}{n}} = \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} ||b^n||^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

25

Proof

To demonstrate existence, fix $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $n = q \cdot n_0 + r$, $0 \le r < n_0$.

$$||b^n|| \le ||b^{n_0}||^q \cdot ||b||^r$$
$$||b^n||^{\frac{1}{n}} \le ||b^{n_0}||^{\frac{q}{n}} \cdot ||b||^{\frac{r}{n}}$$
$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} ||b^n||^{\frac{1}{n}} \le ||b^{n_0}||^{\frac{1}{n_0}} \cdot 1$$

Since $1 = \frac{q}{n} \cdot n_0 + \frac{r}{n}$. Take $n \to \infty$. Write

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} ||b^n||^{\frac{1}{n}} \le \inf_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}} ||b^{n_0}||^{\frac{1}{n_0}} \le \liminf_{n\to\infty} ||b^n||^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

October 16, 2024

Note: Closed Subalgebras

Assume A is a closed subalgebra of B ($e \in A \subseteq B$).
Take $b \in A \subseteq B$.
Obviously, $b - \lambda e$ being invertible in A implies $b - \lambda e$ is invertible in B. We also have

$$\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathrm{sp}_A(b) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathrm{sp}_B(b)$$

(confer. $GA \subseteq GB$ with $\partial GA = \partial(A \cap GB)$) and, equivalently,

$$\mathrm{sp}_B(b) \subseteq \mathrm{sp}_A(b).$$

One can show similarly that

$$\partial(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathrm{sp}_A(b)) \subseteq \partial(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathrm{sp}_B(b))$$
$$= \qquad\qquad =$$
$$\partial \mathrm{sp}_A(b) \subseteq \partial \mathrm{sp}_B(b)$$

Proposition

1. $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathrm{sp}_A(b)$ is the union of some components of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathrm{sp}_B(b)$.

2. $\mathrm{sp}_A(b) = \mathrm{sp}_B(b) \cup \bigcup_\omega H_\omega$ where H_ω are some components of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathrm{sp}_B(b)$.

Example 1

Suppose $\mathrm{sp}_B(b)$ looks like

IMAGE 1

Now $\mathrm{sp}_A(b)$ can only be one of the 4 possibilities.

IMAGE 2

Example 2

$B = C(\mathbb{T})$, $A = C_+(\mathbb{T}) \simeq A(\mathbb{D})$, $\chi_1(t) = t$, $\mathrm{sp}_B \chi_1 = \mathbb{T}$.

IMAGE 3

Theorem: Spectral Mapping Theorem (Simple Version)

For a polynomial $p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^N p_n t^n$ we define $p(b) = \sum_{n=0}^N p_n b^n$ for $b \in B$ where $b^0 = e$.
Let p be a polynomial and $b \in B$ with B a unital Banach algebra, then $\mathrm{sp}(p(b)) = p(\mathrm{sp}(b)) := \{p(z) : z \in \mathrm{sp}(b)\}$.

Proof

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, consider $q(z) = p(z) - \lambda = c\prod_{i=1}^N (z - \gamma_i)$.
Now, $q(b) = p(b) - \lambda e = c\prod_{i=1}^n (b - \gamma_i e)$. It follows that

$$\lambda \notin \mathrm{sp}(p(b)) \iff p(b) - \lambda e \text{ is invertible.}$$

$$\iff \overbrace{\prod_{i=1}^N (b - \gamma_i e)}^{\text{a commuting product}} \text{ is invertible.}$$

$$\iff \forall i,\ b - \gamma_i e \text{ is invertible.}$$
$$\iff \forall i,\ \gamma_i \notin \mathrm{sp}(b)$$
$$\iff \forall z \in \mathrm{sp}(b),\ q(z) = c\prod_{i=1}^N (z - \gamma_i) \neq 0$$
$$\iff \forall z \in \mathrm{sp}(b),\ p(z) \neq \lambda$$
$$\iff \lambda \notin p(\mathrm{sp}(b))$$

Applications

If $p(b) = 0$, then $\mathrm{sp}(b) \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : p(z) = 0\}$, because

$$\{0\} = \mathrm{sp}\, 0 = \mathrm{sp}\, p(b) \overset{\text{SMT}}{=} p(\mathrm{sp}\, b).$$

It follows that if b is nilpotent, such that $b^n = 0$ for some n ($p(z) = z^2$), then $\mathrm{sp}(b) = \{0\}$.
If b is idempotent, such that $b^2 = b$ ($p(z) = z^2 - z$), then $\mathrm{sp}(b) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$.
If b is unipotent (or flip), such that $b^2 = e$, then $\mathrm{sp}(b) = \{\pm 1\}$.

Chapter 5: Riesz Functional Calculus

Question:

Can one define $f(b)$ for $b \in B$ a unital Banach algebra for more general functions f?

Definition: Set of Functions Holomorphic on the Spectrum

For a unital Banach algebra B and $b \in B$, let $A[\mathrm{sp}(b)]$ stand for the set of all functions f which are holomorphic on some open neighborhood U of $\mathrm{sp}(b)$.

IMAGE 4

Lemma

Let $f \in A[\mathrm{sp}(b)]$, i.e. $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic. Then there exists an open set W with (piece-)smooth boundary such that

$$\mathrm{sp}(f) \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq U$$

(i.e. $\partial \overline{W} \subseteq U \setminus \mathrm{sp}(b)$) and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial W} \frac{d\omega}{\omega - z} = \begin{cases} 1 & z \in \mathrm{sp}(b) \\ 0 & z \notin U \end{cases}.$$

Example

IMAGE 5

IMAGE 6

- Proof

IMAGE 7

SQUARES

Definition:

Using the lemma, we define for $f \in A[\mathrm{sp}(b)]$

$$f(b) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial W} f(\lambda)(\lambda e - b)^{-1} \, d\lambda$$

(where $\mathrm{sp}(b) \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq U$).
One can show that this is independent of choice of W (and also of U).
Note $f(\lambda)(\lambda e - b)^{-1}$ is holomorphic on $U \setminus \mathrm{sp}(b)$.

IMAGE 8

Remark

$f_1, f_2 \in A[\mathrm{sp}(b)]$ implies $f_1 + f_2 \in A[\mathrm{sp}(b)]$ and $(f_1 + f_2)(b) = f_1(b) + f_2(b)$.

Proposition

For a polynomial $f(z) = p(z) = \sum p_i z^i$, we get $f(b) = p(b) = \sum p_i b^i$.

Proof

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial W} (\lambda e - b)^{-1} \, d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=R} (\lambda e - b)^{-1} \, d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=R} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^n}{\lambda^{n+1}} \, d\lambda = e$$

IMAGE 9

28

Therefore, $p(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial W} p(b)(\lambda e - b)^{-1}\, d\lambda$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(b) - p(b) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial W} (f(\lambda)e - p(b))(\lambda e - b)^{-1}\, d\lambda \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial W} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \underbrace{(\lambda^n e - b^n)}_{(\lambda^{n-1}e + \cdots + b^{n-1})(\lambda e - b)} (\lambda e - b)^{-1}\, d\lambda \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial W} \text{"polynomial in } \lambda, b\text{"}\, d\lambda = 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition

If $f_1, f_2 \in A[\mathrm{sp}(b)]$, then $f_1 f_2 \in A[\mathrm{sp}(b)]$.

$$
(f_1 f_2)(b) = f_1(b) \cdot f_2(b)
$$

Proof

IMAGE 10

We assume ∂V is inside ∂W.

$$
f_1(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial W} f_1(\lambda)(\lambda e - b)^{-1}\, d\lambda
$$
$$
f_2(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial V} f_2(\xi)(\xi e - b)^{-1}\, d\xi
$$

Then

$$
f_1(b) f_2(b) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\partial W} \int_{\partial V} f_1(\lambda) f_2(\xi)(\lambda e - b)^{-1}(\xi e - b)^{-1}\, d\xi d\lambda
$$

Recall that

$$
(\lambda e - b)^{-1}(\xi e - b)^{-1} = (\lambda e - b)^{-1}\left[\frac{(\lambda e - b) - (\xi e - b)}{\lambda - \xi}\right](\xi e - b)^{-1} = \frac{(\xi e - b)^{-1} - (\lambda e - b)^{-1}}{\lambda - \xi}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_1(b) f_2(b) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\partial V} \int_{\partial W} f_1(\lambda) f_2(\xi)(\xi e - b)^{-1}\frac{1}{\lambda - \xi}\, d\lambda d\xi - \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\partial W} \int_{\partial V} f_1(\lambda) f_2(\xi)(\lambda e - b)^{-1}\frac{1}{\lambda - \xi}\, d\xi d\lambda \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\partial V} f_2(\xi)(\xi e - b)^{-1} \underbrace{\int_{\partial W} \frac{f_1(\lambda)}{\lambda - \xi}\, d\lambda}_{\equiv f_2(\xi)}\, d\xi - \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\partial W} f_1(\lambda)(\lambda e - b)^{-1} \underbrace{\int_{\partial V} \frac{f_2(\xi)}{\lambda - \xi}\, d\xi}_{\equiv 0}\, d\lambda \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial V} f_2(\xi) f_1(\xi)(\xi e - b)^{-1}\, d\xi \\
&= (f_1 f_2)(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

October 21, 2024

Recall

$f \in A[\operatorname{sp} b]$, $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{sp}(b) \subseteq U$ open. Define

$$(1) \quad f(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial W} \underbrace{f(\lambda)(\lambda e - b)^{-1}}_{\text{analytic in } U \backslash \operatorname{sp} b} \, dz$$

IMAGE 1

with $\operatorname{sp} b \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq U$ and ∂W piecewise smooth.
From the above lemma, applied to W, we get W_0 such that $\operatorname{sp} b \subseteq W_0 \subseteq \overline{W}_0 \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq U$. Then

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial W_0} f(\lambda)(\lambda e - b)^{-1} \, dz$$

IMAGE 2

with $V = W \setminus W_0$, $\partial V = \partial W \cup \partial W_0$.

$$(1) - (2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial V} \underbrace{f(\lambda)(\lambda e - b))^{-1}}_{\text{holomorphic on } V} \, dz = 0$$

and $V \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq U \setminus \operatorname{sp}(b)$.

Results

$f_1, f_2 \in A[\operatorname{sp} b] \implies f_1 + f_2 \in A[\operatorname{sp} b]$
$f_1(b) + f_2(b) = (f_1 + f_2)(b)$.
For f polynomial, $\sum_{n=0}^{N} f_n t^n$, $f(b) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} f_n b^n$.

Proposition

$f_1(b) f_2(b) = (f_1 f_2)(b)$.

Theorem: Spectral Mapping Theorem

Let $b \in B$ and $f \in A[\operatorname{sp} b]$. Then $\operatorname{sp}(f(b)) = f(\operatorname{sp} b) := \{f(z) : z \in \operatorname{sp} b\}$.

Proof

1. take $\mu \notin f(\operatorname{sp} b)$.

   Then $\mu \notin f(z)$, $\forall z \in \operatorname{sp} b$ and $\mu - f(z) \neq 0$.

IMAGE 3

   Therefore, there exist an open $U_1 \supseteq \operatorname{sp}(b)$, $U_1 \subseteq U$, such taht $\mu - f(z) \neq 0$, $\forall z \in U_1$.
   Define $g(z) = \frac{1}{u - f(z)}$ holomorphic on U_1, and

$$g(z) \cdot (\mu - f(z)) = 1 \implies g(b) \cdot (\mu e - f(b)) = e$$

by the previous proposition and the polynomial result. So $\mu e - f(b)$ is invertible, and $\mu \notin \mathrm{sp}(f(b))$.

- Remark

$$(\mu e - f(b))^{-1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial W_1} \frac{1}{\mu - f(z)} (ze - b)^{-1} \, dz$$

for $\mathrm{sp}\, b \subseteq W_1 \subseteq \overline{W}_1 \subseteq U_1$.

- take $\mu \notin \mathrm{sp}(f(b))$ and, for contradiction, assume $\mu \in f(\mathrm{sp}\, b)$.

  Then $\mu e - f(b)$ is invertible, $\mu = f(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathrm{sp}\, b$.

- Idea

$$\mu e - f(b) = f(\lambda) e - f(b) = (\lambda e - b) \cdot g_\lambda(b)$$

We define

$$g_\lambda(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\lambda)e - f(z)}{\lambda - z} & z \in U \supseteq \mathrm{sp}(b) \\ f'(\lambda) & z = \lambda \end{cases}$$

such that $g_\lambda(z)$ is holomorphic on U. Therefore $g_\lambda(b) \in B$,

$$(\lambda - z)g_\lambda(z) = f(\lambda) - f(z), \quad \forall z \in U$$

and $(\lambda e - b)g_\lambda(b) = f(\lambda)e - f(b) = g_\lambda(b)(\lambda e - b)$. Since this is invertible, $(\lambda e - b)$ is left and right invertible.

Remark

$$g_\lambda(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial W} \frac{f(\lambda) - f(z)}{\lambda - z} (ze - b)^{-1} \, dz$$

Theorem: Composition of Functions

Let $b \in B$ unital, $f \in A[\mathrm{sp}\, b]$, and $g \in A[\mathrm{sp}(f(b))] = A[f(\mathrm{sp}\, b)]$.
Then $h = g \circ f \in A[\mathrm{sp}\, b]$ and $h(b) = g(f(b))$.

Remark

IMAGE 4

f is an open mapping and maps U to the open set $V \supseteq \mathrm{sp}(f(b))$.

Applications

- Exponentials

$$\exp(b) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=R} e^z (ze - b)^{-1} \, dz$$

31

- Logarithms

  $\log b$, $b \in B$ under the assumption that

  - $0 \notin \operatorname{sp} b$

  - There exists a path connecting 0 to ∞ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{sp} b$.

  This gives us that $\log z$ is analytic on $U \supseteq \operatorname{sp} b$.

IMAGE 5

  $\mathbb{C} \setminus$ path is simply connected, so there exists an analytic $\log z$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus$ path.

- if $\log b$ is well-defined, then $\exp(\log b)) = b$ (via composition)

- likewise, one can define powers $f(z) = z^\alpha$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$)

Application: Spectral Idempotents (Riesz Idempotents)

p is idempotent if $p^2 = p$.
Assume that $b \in B$ and that $\operatorname{sp} b$ is not connected.

$$\operatorname{sp} b = \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2 \cup \cdots \cup \sigma_N$$

with σ_i closed and disjoint subsets of $\operatorname{sp} b$.

IMAGE 6

Now let $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ be open neighborhoods of $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ which are themselves disjoint.

IMAGE 7

Write $U = U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_n \supseteq \operatorname{sp} b$, and consider

$$\chi_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in U_i \\ 0 & x \in U_j, \ j \neq i \end{cases}$$

Then χ_i is analytic on $U \supseteq \operatorname{sp}(b)$.
Put $p_i = \chi_i(b)$ the spectral or Riesz idepotents.

Properties / Remarks

- $p_i^2 = p_i$ because $(\chi_i)^2 = \chi_i$.

- $e = p_1 + \cdots p_N$, mutually orthogonal such that $p_i p_j = 0$, $\forall i \neq j$, because $\chi_1 + \cdots + \chi_N = 1$ and $\chi_i \chi_j = 0$.

- $p_i b = b p_i$, because $\chi_i f = f \chi_i$ for $f(z) = z$.

- $p_i = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial W} \chi_i(z)(ze - b)^{-1}\, dz$ where $\operatorname{sp} b \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq U$.

IMAGE 8

$W_i = W \cap U_i$, $W_1 \cup \cdots W_N = W$. Therefore

$$p_i = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j \neq 1}^{N} \int_{\partial W_j} \chi_i(z)(ze - b)^{-1}\, dz = 0, \quad i \neq j$$

Then $p_i = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial W_i}(ze - b)^{-1}\, dz$.

IMAGE 9

- Write

$$b = (p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_N)b(p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_N) = p_1 b p_1 + p_2 b p_2 + \cdots + p_N b p_N$$

since $p_i b p_j = b p_i p_j = 0$.

- For an idempotent $p \neq 0$,

$$B_p = \{pap \ : \ a \in B\}$$

and, therefore, B_p has a unit element p.

Lemma

Assume $b \in B$ with Riesz idempotents $p_1, \ldots, p_N \neq 0$.
Then b is invertible if and only if $p_i b p_i$ is invertible in B_{p_i} for all i.

Proof

$b^{-1} = c$, $bc = e$, then

$$(p_1 + \cdots + p_N)b(p_1 + \cdots + p_N)c = e$$
$$\sum p_i b(p_i p_i)c = e$$
$$(p_i b_i)(p_i c p_i) = p_i$$

Suppose $p_i b p_i$ invertible in B_{p_i}. Then $p_i b p_i c = p_i$, $c_i = p_i c p_i$ and $b^{-1} = c = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i c_i p_i$.

Remark

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & & & 0 \\ & B_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & B_N \end{pmatrix} \quad P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & I & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore B invertible if and only if B_i are invertible. $B_i \cong P_i B P_i$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & B_i & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$