

Series 1

- 1. Breakdown-Point:** Let the observations x_1, \dots, x_n be given, where $n = 2k + 1$ is odd. Determine the breakdown points of

- a) the arithmetic mean $\left(\hat{\mu} = \bar{x} = \underset{\mu}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right)$
 b) the median $\left(\hat{\mu} = \operatorname{med}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \underset{\mu}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - \mu| \right).$

- 2. Confidence Intervals:** A new type of wheat was planted on nine plots of land. The harvest of these plots (in dz/ha) is given by

35.6; 34.9; 36.0; 30.2; 36.2; 35.6; 35.8; 35.9; 36.1

The data can be assumed to be a realization of 9 i.i.d. random variables. It can be read in with the following command:

```
> scan(url("http://stat.ethz.ch/Teaching/Datasets/WBL/ertrag.dat"))
```

- a) Estimate the expected value of the data using the M-estimator $\hat{\mu}$ with Huber's ψ function and $c = 1.345$ and compute the 95% confidence interval $\hat{\mu} \pm q_{0.975; n-1}^t se(\hat{\mu})$.

R-Hint: You can use the function `huberM()` of the R-package `robustbase`.

- b) Now compute the classical confidence interval $\bar{x} \pm q_{0.975; n-1}^t se(\bar{x})$ for the expected value (using the arithmetic mean as estimator) and compare it to the robust confidence interval in **a**).

- 3. Different Linear Regressions:** We apply different regression methods on the data available at <http://stat.ethz.ch/Teaching/Datasets/WBL/oatsM16.dat>. Note that the explanatory variables `Block` and `Variety` are factor variables. The data has two response variables: the original variable `ValuesOrg` and a changed variable `Values` (5 values of the original variable have been replaced). You will need the package `MASS` for this exercise.

- a) **Linear Regression with Original Response:** Perform a linear regression analysis for the response variable `ValuesOrg` once using the classical OLS estimator and once using the robust Huber M-estimator, respectively. Compare the two fits in terms of the residual standard error, the normal plot and the L_1 distance between the estimated coefficients. Using the classical approach, are the two factor variables significant on the 5% level?

R-Hint: You can perform regression with the Huber M-estimator with

```
rlm(formula, data = ..., psi = psi.huber, method = "M", maxit = 50)
```

- b) **Linear Regression with Changed Response:** Perform the same analysis as in task **a**) but use the response variable `Values`. In addition, compare the estimated coefficients with the corresponding estimates in **a**).

- 4. Influence Function for a Simple Linear Regression:** The data available at <http://stat.ethz.ch/Teaching/Datasets/WBL/irisset.dat> contains petal length (variable `x`) and petal width (variable `y`) of Iris setosa plants. In the pairs-plot (length as x-axes and width as y-axis), we can see an extreme point, observation 42, with a width of 2.3. One might suspect that a mistake has been made. We would like to investigate the effect of such mistakes in a linear regression analysis:

$$Y_i = \alpha + \beta \cdot x_i + E_i.$$

- a) Make a pairs-plot and identify the outlier in the original data. Determine the estimator $\hat{\beta}$ of the slope for four different values of y_{42} and the corresponding values of the empirical influence function. How does the graph of the empirical influence function SC look like?

Note: Use the slightly modified definition of the empirical influence function:

$$SC(y_0; y_1, \dots, y_n, T_n) = \frac{T_n(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_0) - T_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{1/n},$$

where T_n is the estimator of $\hat{\beta}$.

R-Hint: For y_{42} we have set the values 2.5, 2.9, 3.3 and 4.1.

- b) Determine the shape of the empirical influence function by investigating the dependency of the $\hat{\beta}$ -formula on y_i (for instance on y_{42}).

As you know from regression analysis $\hat{\beta}$ is estimated by

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_i (Y_i - \bar{Y})(x_i - \bar{x})}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

- c) Draw the regression-lines for different y_{42} -values into the scatter-plot .

R-Hint:

```
plot(d.iris)
r.iris <- lm(y ~ x, data = d.iris); abline(r.iris)
## y_42=2.5
d.iris[42, "y"] <- 2.5 ; t.lab <- 2
r.iris <- lm(y ~ x, data = d.iris)
abline(r.iris, lty = t.lab); points(d.iris[42, ], lty = t.lab, pch = t.lab)
```

Exercise hour: Monday, June 10, morning.