



## Additional Materials: Differential Forms

### Differential Equations

Fall 2025

This brief digression to “differential forms” aims for the following goals:

- Legitimize  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{f(x)}{g(y)} \iff g(y)dy = f(x)dx$  via the differential operator  $d$ .
- Get the foundation of *exactness* for certain differential equation relationship.

First, consider variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , we may defined the wedge product ( $\wedge$ ) to connect any two variables satisfying that:

$$x_i \wedge x_j = -x_j \wedge x_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

- (a) Show that  $x_i \wedge x_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Now, given any smooth function  $f$ , we defined the differential operator ( $d$ ) as:

$$df = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i.$$

- (b) Suppose  $y(x) = e^x$ , find  $dy$ .

- (c) Now, suppose that  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{f(x)}{g(y)}$ , can you express  $dy$  in terms of the differential form of  $x$ .

*Note:* Since we have just one variable, we have  $dy/dx = \partial y/\partial x$ , leading to our first goal.

Furthermore, we can apply the differential operator over differential forms with wedge products already. Suppose:

$$\omega = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} f_{i_1, \dots, i_k} dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k},$$

we may have the differential of  $\omega$  as:

$$d\omega = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} (df_{i_1, \dots, i_k}) dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}.$$

- (d) Suppose  $x, y$  are the variables, and  $\omega = 2xy^2dx + 2x^2ydy$ , show that  $d\omega = 0$ .

This then relates to a concept called *exactness* in differential equations. Consider the equation:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} + \frac{F(x, y)}{G(x, y)} = 0,$$

we can rewrite it as  $F(x, y)dx + G(x, y)dy = 0$ . Exactness enforces that:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}.$$

Similarly, exactness is considering finding a solution  $f(x, y) = c$  such that  $F = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$  and  $G = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ .

- (e) Show that  $df = F(x, y)dx + G(x, y)dy$  and exactness is equivalently  $d(df) = 0$ .

*Note:* This implies that the differential equation in part (d) satisfies *exactness*.

**Solution:**

(a) *Proof.* Since we have:

$$x_i \wedge x_i = -x_i \wedge x_i,$$

we must have  $x_i \wedge x_i = 0$ . □

(b) By the given differential operator:

$$dy = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} dx = \boxed{e^x} dx.$$

(c) Then, we have:

$$dy = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} dx = \boxed{\frac{f(x)}{g(y)} dx}.$$

Hence, we justify the separation of the variables as  $g(y)dy = f(x)dx$ .

(d) *Proof.* As instructed, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(2xy^2)dx \wedge dx + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(2xy^2)dy \wedge dx + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(2x^2y)dx \wedge dy + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(2x^2y)dy \wedge dy \\ &= 0 + 4xydy \wedge dx + 4xydx \wedge dy + 0 = -4xydx \wedge dy + 4xydx \wedge dy = 0, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

(e) *Proof.* First, we have that:

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy = Fdx + Gdy.$$

Then, in terms of the exactness relationship, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} &\iff \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} dy \wedge dx = -\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} dx \wedge dy \\ &\iff \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} dy \wedge dx + \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} dx \wedge dy = 0 \\ &\iff \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} dx \wedge dx + \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} dy \wedge dx + \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} dx \wedge dy + \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} dy \wedge dy = 0 \\ &\iff d(df) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have shown that the exactness is exactly that the differential form satisfies that  $d(df) = 0$ . □

In fact, for any smooth function  $f$ , we have  $d(df) = 0$ , which is the equivalent of the conclusion such that mixed partials are equal. We invite capable readers to investigate that  $d^2 := d \circ d = 0$  for all smooth function  $f$ . Additionally, people with experiences in vector calculus could investigate the following *commutative diagram*.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Omega^0(\mathbb{R}^3) & \xrightarrow{d(-)} & \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^3) & \xrightarrow{d(-)} & \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^3) & \xrightarrow{d(-)} & \Omega^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\nabla(-)} & \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3) & \xrightarrow{\nabla \times (-)} & \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3) & \xrightarrow{\nabla \cdot (-)} & \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

The above are respectively 0-form, 1-form, 2-form, and 3-form (with 0, 1, 2, or 3  $\wedge$ 's in the differential form) and the below are smooth functions mapping in respective Euclidean spaces.