



## Additional Materials: Dilemma with Existence & Uniqueness Theorem

### Differential Equations

Fall 2025

Let a first order IVP on  $y := y(t)$  be defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} y' = \frac{2}{t}y, \\ y(1) = 1. \end{cases}$$

- (a) Find the solution to the above initial value problem.
- (b) Recall the theorem on existence and uniqueness, as follows:

For an IVP in simple form:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy}{dt} = a(t)y + b(t), \\ y(t_0) = y_0. \end{cases}$$

For some  $I = (\alpha, \beta) \ni t_0$ , if  $a(t)$  and  $b(t)$  are continuous on the interval  $I$ . Then, there exists a unique solution to the IVP on the interval  $I$ .

Show that the IVP in this problem does not satisfy the condition for the existence and uniqueness theorem for  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (c) Does the above example violates the existence and uniqueness theorem? Why?

#### Solution:

- 1. This problem is clearly separable, we may compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy}{y} &= 2 \frac{dt}{t} \\ \int \frac{dy}{y} &= 2 \int \frac{dt}{t} \\ \log |y| &= 2 \log |t| + C \\ y &= \tilde{C}t^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the initial condition enforces that  $y(1) = 1$ , so the solution is just:

$$y = \boxed{t^2}.$$

- 2. Note that  $a(t) = 2/t$ , which is not continuous over  $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$ , then the theorem does not guarantee the existence and uniqueness of a solution over  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- 3. This is not a violation since the converse of the theorem is not necessarily true. In propositional logic, if  $A$  implies  $B$  (written as  $A \implies B$ ), the converse ( $B$  implies  $A$ , written as  $B \implies A$ ) is not necessarily true. Hence, we can still have a solution that is unique over  $\mathbb{R}$ .