# **GLUEING JACOBIANS**

### JEROEN HANSELMAN, SAM SCHIAVONE, AND JEROEN SIJSLING

### **CONTENTS**

| I. Introduction                           | 1 |
|---|---|
| II. Background                            | 1 |
| II.1. Definitions and conventions         | 1 |
| II.2. Representing divisors               | 2 |
| II.3. Embedding the Kummer variety        | 3 |
| III. Overview of method                   | 4 |
| IV. Comparison with analytic construction | 5 |
| V. Applications                           | 5 |
| VI. Examples                              | 5 |
| References                                | 5 |

### I. Introduction

In [FK91] the authors describe a method for glueing two elliptic curves  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  along their torsion subgroups to produce a genus 2 curve that covers both of them. In this article, we extend this method to genus 3: we glue a genus 1 curve  $X_1$  to the Jacobian variety of a genus 2 curve  $X_2$ . This produces an abelian 3-fold which, since all abelian 3-folds are principally polarized, is the Jacobian variety of a genus 3 curve  $X_3$ . We determine explicit equations for  $X_3$ , given the data of blah. We have implemented this method in Magma, and conclude the paper with several examples.

[Other papers to mention? Howe? Howe, Leprovost, Poonen? Broker, Lauter, Stevenhagen, etc.?]

## II. BACKGROUND

Encoding divisors as polynomials as in Mumford and Cantor. Describe construction of the Kummer as in Mueller.

II.1. **Definitions and conventions.** Throughout, let k be a field of characteristic  $\neq 2$ . A hyperelliptic curve over k is a curve C of genus  $g \geq 2$  with a model of the form  $y^2 = f(x)$ , where  $f \in k[x]$  has distinct roots. Then  $\deg(f)$  is either 2g + 1 or 2g + 2—we call the model *odd* or *even* according to the parity of  $\deg(f)$ . Note that an odd model has the single point  $\infty = (1:0:0)$  at infinity while an even model has two: letting c be the leading

Date: October 22, 2019.

coefficient of f, then the two points at infinity are  $\infty = (1 : \sqrt{c} : 0)$  and  $\infty' = (1 : -\sqrt{c} : 0)$ . Let

$$\widehat{\infty} = \begin{cases} \infty + \infty' & \text{if deg}(f) \text{ is even;} \\ 2\infty & \text{if deg}(f) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

We denote by  $\iota: C \to C$  the hyperelliptic involution that maps  $(x,y) \mapsto (x,-y)$ .

II.2. **Representing divisors.** Let C be a hyperelliptic curve,  $Div_k(C)$  be the group of k-divisors on C [define?],  $Div_k^0(C)$  be the subgroup of divisors of degree 0, and Jac(C) be its Jacobian variety. Denote by  $\equiv$  the equivalence relation of linear equivalence on  $Div_k(C)$ . We describe how points of Jac(C) can be represented as pairs of polynomials, as presented in [Can87] and [Mum07,  $\S 1$ ].

Given a point P = (u, v) on C, then  $\iota(P) = (u, -v)$  also lies on C. Since  $\operatorname{div}(x - u) = P + \iota(P) - \widehat{\infty}$ , then  $-P' \equiv P - \widehat{\infty}$ . Then each divisor  $D \in \operatorname{Div}_k^0(C)$  is linearly equivalent to one the form [TODO: fix this to make it work in the even model case, too]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} P_i - r\widehat{\infty} \tag{2}$$

[Again, Cantor and Mumford only consider odd models, so have  $\infty$ , not  $\widehat{\infty}$ .] satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $P_i \notin \{\infty, \infty'\}$  for all i; and
- (2)  $P_i \neq \iota(P_i)$  for all  $j \neq i$ , i.e., at most one of  $P_i$  and  $\iota(P_i)$  appears.

A divisor of this form is called *semireduced*.

Given a semireduced divisor  $D = \sum_{i=1}^{r} P_i - r \widehat{\infty}$ , we produce a pair (a(x), b(x)) of poly-

nomials. Writing  $P_i = (u_i, v_i)$  for each i, let  $a(x) = \prod_{i=1}^r (x - u_i)$  and b(x) be the unique polynomial of degree at most r-1 such that  $b(u_i) = v_i$  for all i [TODO: add statement about multiplicities here]. In the case where all the  $P_i$  are distinct, we can write b explicitly using Lagrange interpolation as

$$b(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} v_i \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{x - u_j}{u_i - u_j}.$$

[Put some statement about a bijection between pairs of polynomials and semireduced divisors here?] By construction  $b(x)^2 \equiv f(x) \pmod{x - u_i}$  for each i [again, add statement about multiplicities], so  $a(x) \mid (b(x)^2 - f(x))$ .

The above observation allows us to construct an affine open patch of the Jacobian by giving explicit equations. As in the discussion following [Mum07, Proposition 1.2], let  $k[a_1, \ldots, a_g, b_1, \ldots, b_g]$  be the polynomial ring in 2g indeterminates, and define polynomials  $a(x), b(x) \in k[a_1, \ldots, a_g, b_1, \ldots, b_g][x]$ 

$$a(x) = x^g + a_1 x^{g-1} + \dots + a_g$$
  
 $b(x) = b_1 x^{g-1} + \dots + b_g$ .

As above, we must have  $b(x)^2 - f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{a(x)}$ . To ensure this, we divide  $b(x)^2 - f(x)$  by a(x), and then insist that the remainder be 0 by setting all its coefficients = 0. This realizes an affine open patch of  $Jac(X_2)$  as a subvariety of  $\mathbb{A}^4$ . We illustrate this with an example.

# **Example 1.** Consider the genus 2 hyperelliptic curve

$$X_2: y^2 = f(x)$$

where

$$f(x) = x(x-5)(x+2)(x-31)(x+15)(x-4) = x^6 - 23x^5 - 351x^4 + 3263x^3 - 1570x^2 - 18600x$$

Then

$$a(x) = x^2 + a_1 x + a_0$$
 and  $b(x) = b_1 x + b_0$ .

By long division with remainder, we find that

$$b(x)^2 - f(x) \equiv (-a_1^5 - 23a_1^4 + 4a_1^3a_2 + 351a_1^3 + 69a_1^2a_2 + 3263a_1^2 - 3a_1a_2^2 - 702a_1a_2 + a_1b_1^2 + 1570a_1 - 23a_1^2a_2 - a_1^2a_2 - 23a_1^3a_2 + 3a_1^2a_2^2 + 351a_1^2a_2 + 46a_1a_2^2 + 3263a_1a_2 - a_2^3 - 351a_2^2 + a_2b_1^2 + 1570a_2 - a_1^3a_1a_2 + a_1b_1^2 +$$

Thus an affine patch of the  $Jac(X_2)$  is the surface of  $\mathbb{A}^4$  defined by

$$0 = -a_1^5 - 23a_1^4 + 4a_1^3a_2 + 351a_1^3 + 69a_1^2a_2 + 3263a_1^2 - 3a_1a_2^2 - 702a_1a_2 + a_1b_1^2 + 1570a_1 - 23a_2^2 - 3263a_2 - 60a_1a_2 - a_1^2a_2 - 23a_1^3a_2 + 3a_1^2a_2^2 + 351a_1^2a_2 + 46a_1a_2^2 + 3263a_1a_2 - a_2^3 - 351a_2^2 + a_2b_1^2 + 1570a_2 - b_2^2.$$

II.3. **Embedding the Kummer variety.** Let X be a curve of genus g and let  $\operatorname{Sym}^g(X) = X^g/S_g$  be the  $g^{\text{th}}$  symmetric power of X. Fixing a divisor  $D_0 \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$  of degree g, recall that the map

$$\operatorname{Sym}^{g}(X) \to \operatorname{Jac}(X)$$
  
$$\{P_{1}, \dots, P_{g}\} \mapsto [P_{1}] + \dots + [P_{g}] - D_{0}$$

is surjective.

Let

$$f(x) = f_6 x^6 + f_5 x^5 + \dots + f_1 x + f_0 \in k[x]$$

be a polynomial with no repeated roots (in the algebraic closure  $k^{al}$ ). Then

$$X_2: y^2 = f(x)$$

is a genus 2 hyperelliptic curve over k.

We now show how to realize the Kummer surface of  $X_2$  as a quartic surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , as described in [Mül10] and [CF96]. Suppose  $P_1 = (x, y)$  and  $P_2 = (u, v)$  are affine points on  $X_2$ . Let

$$\kappa_{1} = 1$$

$$\kappa_{2} = x + u$$

$$\kappa_{3} = xu$$

$$\kappa_{4} = \frac{F_{0}(x, u) - 2yv}{(x - u)^{2}}$$

where

$$F_0(x,u) = 2f_0 + f_1(x+u) + 2f_2xu + f_3(x+u)xu + 2f_4(xu)^2 + f_5(x+u)xu + 2f_6(xu)^3.$$

The image of  $\kappa$  is a quartic surface given by

$$K_2(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3)\kappa_4^2 + K_1(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3)\kappa_4 + K_0(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3),$$
 (3)

where

$$\begin{split} K_2(\kappa_1,\kappa_2,\kappa_3) &= \kappa_2^2 - 4\kappa_1\kappa_3 \\ K_1(\kappa_1,\kappa_2,\kappa_3) &= -4\kappa_1^3 f_0 - 2\kappa_1^2 \kappa_2 f_1 - 4\kappa_1^2 \kappa_3 f_2 - 2\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 f_3 - 4\kappa_1 \kappa_3^2 f_4 - 2\kappa_2 \kappa_3^2 f_5 - 4\kappa_3^3 f_6 \\ K_0(\kappa_1,\kappa_2,\kappa_3) &= -4\kappa_1^4 f_0 f_2 + \kappa_1^4 f_1^2 - 4\kappa_1^3 \kappa_2 f_0 f_3 - 2\kappa_1^3 \kappa_3 f_1 f_3 - 4\kappa_1^2 \kappa_2^2 f_0 f_4 + 4\kappa_1^2 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 f_0 f_5 \\ &- 4\kappa_1^2 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 f_1 f_4 - 4\kappa_1^2 \kappa_3^2 f_0 f_6 + 2\kappa_1^2 \kappa_3^2 f_1 f_5 - 4\kappa_1^2 \kappa_3^2 f_2 f_4 + \kappa_1^2 \kappa_3^2 f_3^2 \\ &- 4\kappa_1 \kappa_2^3 f_0 f_5 + 8\kappa_1 \kappa_2^2 \kappa_3 f_0 f_6 - 4\kappa_1 \kappa_2^2 \kappa_3 f_1 f_5 + 4\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3^2 f_1 f_6 - 4\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3^2 f_2 f_5 \\ &- 2\kappa_1 \kappa_3^3 f_3 f_5 - 4\kappa_2^4 f_0 f_6 - 4\kappa_2^3 \kappa_3 f_1 f_6 - 4\kappa_2^2 \kappa_3^2 f_2 f_6 - 4\kappa_2 \kappa_3^3 f_3 f_6 - 4\kappa_3^4 f_4 f_6 \\ &+ \kappa_3^4 f_5^2 \, . \end{split}$$

Then the Kummer surface K is given by equation (3) and the map  $\kappa = [\kappa_1 : \kappa_2 : \kappa_3 : \kappa_4]$  is the desired map  $Jac(X_2) \to K$ .

### III. OVERVIEW OF METHOD

Our construction proceeds as follows. We take as input an elliptic curve  $X_1$  and a genus 2 curve  $X_2$  over a number field k [or more genenerally, any field? characteristic  $\neq$  2?] given in Weierstrass form

$$X_1: y^2 + u(x)y = v(x)$$
  $X_2: y^2 + h(x)y = f(x)$ .

Letting  $J_2$  be the Jacobian variety of  $X_2$ , then  $J_2$  is an abelian surface with 16 2-torsion points. The Kummer variety  $K_2$  of  $X_2$  is obtained by forming the quotient of  $J_2$  by the negation map [-1]. This quotient map  $\pi: J_2 \to K_2$  is injective on the 2-torsion points of  $J_2$ , whose images are the singular points of  $K_2$ . [Nodes, I guess?] Using the explicit embedding given in [Mül10] (which in turn is a generalization of [CF96]), we can realize  $K_2$  as a quartic surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ .

Fix two nodes  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  of  $K_2$ . Consider the pencil of planes  $\mathcal{H} = \{H_{\mu} : \mu \in \mathbb{P}^1\}$  passing through  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . The intersection of a plane  $H_{\mu} \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $K_2$  is a quartic plane curve  $C_{\mu}$  with two nodes. By the usual degree-genus formula for plane curves,  $C_{\mu}$  has genus 1 for each  $\mu \in \mathbb{P}^1$ . We will endow  $C_{\mu}$  with the structure of an elliptic curve and compute its j-invariant as a function of  $\mu$ .

To a point  $Q \in C_{\mu}$  we associate the line  $\ell_Q$  passing through  $T_1$  and Q. The association  $Q \mapsto \ell_Q$  defines a degree 2 map  $C_{\mu} \to \mathbb{P}^1$  ramified at 4 points. Computing the cross-ratio of these 4 points yields the  $\lambda$ -invariant of  $C_{\mu}$ , allowing us to find a Legendre model  $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$  for  $C_{\mu}$ . We can then compute the j-invariant of  $C_{\mu}$  using the standard formula

$$j = 2^8 \frac{(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)^3}{\lambda^2 (\lambda - 1)^2}.$$

Note that computing the  $\lambda$ -invariant of  $C_{\mu}$  not only endows  $C_{\mu}$  with the structure of an elliptic curve, but also with level 2 structure: the Legendre model  $E_{\text{Leg}}: y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$  comes equipped with the basis  $\{(0,0),(1,0)\}$  for  $E_{\text{Leg}}[2]$ , and we may pull back this basis along the isomorphism  $C_{\mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{\text{Leg}}$  to obtain a basis for  $C_{\mu}[2]$ .

**Lemma 1.** The composite map

$$\varphi: \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow X(2) \longrightarrow X(1)$$

$$\mu \longmapsto C_{\mu} \longmapsto \lambda(C_{\mu}) \longmapsto j(\lambda(C_{\mu}))$$

has degree 12.

*Proof.* By the classical theory of modular functions, the map  $X(2) \to X(1)$ ,  $\lambda \mapsto j(\lambda)$  has degree 6, corresponding to the 6 permutations of 0,1, $\infty$  acted on by  $S_3$ . As the map  $\mu \mapsto C_{\mu}$  has degree 1, it suffices to show that the map  $\mathcal{M}_1 \to X(2)$  has degree 2. [I think this just follows from the fact that we could've chosen to the other node and taken lines through  $T_2$  and Q to obtain a map to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . I guess we have to show that this would produce the same  $\lambda$ ...]

Thus the composite map in the above lemma is a rational function of degree 12 in  $\mu$ . Let  $j_1 = j(X_1)$ . In order to find a value of  $\mu$  that yields an elliptic curve  $C_{\mu}$  isomorphic to our original curve  $X_1$ , we solve the equation  $\varphi(\mu) = j_1$ . The solutions  $\mu$  to this equation may not lie in the ground field, so it may be necessary to base change our curve to an algebraic extension. [I think in all the examples so far we've only needed quadratic extensions of the base field...] [One more interesting note: I think in all the examples we've done so far,  $\varphi(\mu) - j_1$  has an interesting factorization. The numerator is a product of quadratics, and the denominator is a product of linear factors squared. Is this expected?]

## IV. COMPARISON WITH ANALYTIC CONSTRUCTION

The first author has also described a complex analytic method for glueing a genus 1 and a genus 2 curve along their 2-torsion using period matrices. In this section we compare these two methods of glueing.

#### V. APPLICATIONS

Constructing abelian three-folds with interesting torsion?

## VI. EXAMPLES

#### REFERENCES

- [Can87] David G. Cantor. Computing in the Jacobian of a hyperelliptic curve. *Math. Comp.*, 48(177):95–101, 1987.
- [CF96] J. W. S. Cassels and E. V. Flynn. *Prolegomena to a middlebrow arithmetic of curves of genus* 2, volume 230 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [FK91] Gerhard Frey and Ernst Kani. Curves of genus 2 covering elliptic curves and an arithmetical application. In *Arithmetic algebraic geometry (Texel, 1989)*, volume 89 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 153–176. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1991.

- [Mül10] Jan Steffen Müller. Explicit Kummer surface formulas for arbitrary characteristic. *LMS J. Comput. Math.*, 13:47–64, 2010.
- [Mum07] David Mumford. *Tata lectures on theta. II.* Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2007. Jacobian theta functions and differential equations, With the collaboration of C. Musili, M. Nori, E. Previato, M. Stillman and H. Umemura, Reprint of the 1984 original.