Title: Algorithm to compute the number of carrots needed to complete a proof Author(s): Jeroen Hanselman and Mardi the Math bunny

Date: March 29, 2025

Technical review

Programming languages:



BASIC INFO

Files provided ☐ Source Code ☐ Notebook ☐ Examples	□ Documentation□ Computed data□ Files that verify	Standard software used: System specs used for re- view: Version reviewed:	Magma V2.27-8 Ubuntu 22.04.1 with Intel i7-7700 processor, 4 cores @ 3.60GHz, 32GB RAM No version numbering. Files reviewed were last changed on the 11th of March
□ Docker file/VM	computed data	Downloaded from:	2024 https://github.com/fakegithub/ MathBunnyMadness

IMPORTANCE OF SOFTWARE IN THE PAPER

The repository contains the implementation of the algorithms and the results of the computations described in the paper.

REPRODUCIBILITY (INSTALLATION)

License:

No license found

Availability:

The files were uploaded to GitHub

Readme: Installation: The repository contains a Readme explaining the contents of the Github.

N/A

Straightforward.

INSTALLATION STEPS TAKEN

Code:

Cloned https://github.com/MaRDItheMathbunny/MaRDICode from GitHub

REPRODUCIBILITY (RECORDS OF SETUP)

Specification of CPU:

Specification of Memory:

used:

 Did not find what CPU was used. Did not find the amount of memory used.

Specification of OS/software — Did not find which Magma version was used.

References and citation:

 Magma is cited. The other packages the software builds on or depends on are properly cited.

REPRODUCIBILITY (RUNNING THE CODE)

Code:

The code seems to run fine. It does give a small error however:

In file "Carrot.m", line 587, column 9: >> bunny := [0, 0, 0];

CORRECTNESS AND RELIABILITY

Recalculating the examples:

I find it a bit hard to check whether the code produces the same results as what the authors got. There are a lot of files in the Github and it was unclear to me what files I should look at.

READABILITY

Annotation:

Indentation and formatting:

Naming of variables:

- The code is clearly annotated.
- Consistent.
- Consistent, meaningful and distinctive.

COMMENTS

None.