

1. (a)

I did this homework with Luning Zhao.

We work on our homework separately, but we discuss when meeting problems.  
Homework is fine.

(b) I certify that all solutions are entirely in my words. and that I have not  
looked at another student's solutions. I have credited all external  
sources in this write up.

HWO Siyao Jia

1/17/2018

### 3. Linear Algebra

$$(a) M = UV^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

eigenvalues  $\lambda$  and eigenvectors  $x$  should satisfy:

$$Mx = \lambda x$$

$$(M - \lambda I)x = 0$$

In order to have non-zero  $x$ ,  $|M - \lambda I| = 0$

$$\begin{vmatrix} M - \lambda & 3 \\ 4 & 6 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$$(2 - \lambda)(6 - \lambda) - 12 = 0$$

$$12 - 8\lambda + \lambda^2 - 12 = 0$$

$$\lambda^2 - 8\lambda = 0$$

$$\text{so } \lambda_1 = 8, \lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \lambda_1 = 8 \quad \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 3 \\ 4 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} -6x_1 + 3x_2 = 0 \\ 4x_1 - 2x_2 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 = 1 \\ x_2 = 2 \end{cases}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \lambda_2 = 0 \quad \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 2x_1 + 3x_2 = 0 \\ 4x_1 + 6x_2 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 = 3 \\ x_2 = -2 \end{cases}$$

so, when  $\lambda=8$ ,  $x=\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ; when  $\lambda=0$ ,  $x=\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$

(b)  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ : the 1st row  $x_2 =$  2nd row,  
so the first and 2nd row are linear dependent.

$$\text{so } R=1$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \times 6 - 4 \times 3 = 0.$$

The null space dimension = rank( $M$ ) = 1

(c) To compute eigenvalues and eigenvectors:

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 \\ \vdots \\ P_d \end{bmatrix} [q_1 \dots q_d] = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 q_1 \dots P_1 q_d \\ \vdots \\ P_d q_1 \dots P_d q_d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(N - \lambda I) x = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_1 q_1 & P_1 q_2 & \dots & P_1 q_d \\ P_2 q_1 & P_2 q_2 & \dots & P_2 q_d \\ \vdots & & & \\ P_d q_1 & P_d q_2 & \dots & P_d q_d \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{all rows are linear dependent}} \begin{bmatrix} P_1 q_1 & P_1 q_2 & \dots & P_1 q_d \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

exp. row  $n \times$  row  $1 \times \frac{P_n}{P_1}$

So,  $R=1$ , and  $|N|=0$ . (it's true for all not full rank Matrix)  
the dimension of nullspace of  $M = d-1$ .

To compute eigenvalues and eigenvectors

$$\textcircled{1} \quad (N - \lambda I) x = 0 \quad \text{and only } 110 \text{ elements in}$$

To have non-zero solution for  $x$ ,  $|N - \lambda I| = 0$

so when  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $|N| = 0$ ,  $(N - \lambda I) = 0$

In this case,  $Nx = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 q_1 & p_1 q_2 & \cdots & p_1 q_d \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{bmatrix} = 0$

$x_1 + q_1 x_1 + q_2 x_2 + \cdots + q_d x_d = 0$   $\Rightarrow$   $x_1 = -q_1^{-1} (q_2 x_2 + \cdots + q_d x_d)$

Solution is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -\frac{q_1}{q_2} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -\frac{q_1}{q_3} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ -\frac{q_1}{q_d} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad N = P \cdot q^T \Rightarrow Np = (P \cdot q^T) p = P(q^T \cdot p)$$

Since  $q^T \cdot p = q_1 p_1 + q_2 p_2 + \cdots + q_n p_n = \text{scalar}$

$$Np = (q^T \cdot p) p \Rightarrow Np = \text{scalar} \cdot p$$

$$\text{so } \lambda = q_1 p_1 + q_2 p_2 + \cdots + q_n p_n$$

$$\text{eigenvector } = p = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{bmatrix}$$

#### 4. Linear Regression and Adversarial Noise.

(a) from simple OLS, we know:

$$w_1 = \frac{\sum x_i y_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i \sum y_i}{\sum x_i^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum x_i)^2} \quad [\text{cite: OLS in wiki}]$$

$$w_2 = \bar{y} - w_1 \bar{x}$$

so given  $w_1$ , only one  $w_2$  will be calculated.

Suppose the data that is changed is  $\tilde{y}_a = y_a + \epsilon_a$ .

$$\text{then } \hat{w}_1 = \frac{\sum x_i y_i + \epsilon_a x_a - \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i \sum y_i - \frac{\epsilon_a}{n} \sum x_i}{\sum x_i^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum x_i)^2}$$

$$= w_1 + \frac{\epsilon_a x_a - \epsilon_a \bar{x}}{\sum x_i^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum x_i)^2}$$

If the adversary wants  $\hat{w}_1 = w_1^*$ ,

$$\epsilon_a = \frac{(w_1^* - w_1) [\sum x_i^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum x_i)^2]}{x_a - \bar{x}}$$

When  $x_a = \bar{x}$ ,  $\epsilon_a = \infty$ , so no solution for  $\epsilon_a$ .

So the adversary can never always fool us by setting one  $\epsilon_i$ .

(b) Suppose the data that is changed is  $\tilde{y}_a = y_a + \epsilon_a$

$$\tilde{y}_b = y_b + \epsilon_b.$$

$$\text{then } \hat{w}_1 = \frac{\sum x_i y_i + \epsilon_a x_a + \epsilon_b x_b - \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i \sum y_i - \frac{\epsilon_a + \epsilon_b}{n} \bar{x}}{\sum x_i^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum x_i)^2}$$

$$= w_1 + \frac{\epsilon_a x_a + \epsilon_b x_b - (\epsilon_a + \epsilon_b) \bar{x}}{\sum x_i^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum x_i)^2} = w_1^*$$

$$(x_a - \bar{x}) \epsilon_a + (x_b - \bar{x}) \epsilon_b = (w_1^* - w_1) (\sum x_i^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum x_i)^2)$$

Because  $x_a \neq x_b$ , one of them can't be zero.

Let's assume  $x_a - \bar{x} \neq 0$ .

$$\text{Then we can get: } \epsilon_a = \frac{(w_1^* - w_1) (\sum x_i^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum x_i)^2) - (x_b - \bar{x}) \epsilon_b}{x_a - \bar{x}}.$$

~~If  $x_b \neq \bar{x}$~~ , given any  $\epsilon_b$ , we will an  $\epsilon_a$ .

So, the adversary can always fool us by setting two  $\epsilon_a, \epsilon_b$ .

(c) If you want to change a model with two parameters, you need to modify at least two ~~parameters~~ data points.

Similarly, if you want to change a model with  $n$  parameters, you need to modify at least  $n$  data points.

5. (a) I've taken Linear Algebra in undergrad.

(b) No

(c) I've taken Probability theory.

(d) I've learned vector calculation in Linear Algebra.

6. Under what circumstances, does  $e^{A+B} = e^A \cdot e^B$ ?

( $A, B$  are matrices)

$$e^{A+B} = 1 + (A+B) + \frac{1}{2!} (A+B)^2 + \dots$$

$$= 1 + A + B + \frac{1}{2} (A^2 + AB + BA + B^2) + \dots \quad (1)$$

$$e^A \cdot e^B = (1 + A + \frac{A^2}{2!}) (1 + B + \frac{B^2}{2!})$$

$$= 1 + A + B + AB + \frac{A^2}{2} + \frac{B^2}{2} \quad (2)$$

Compare (1) and (2),  $AB = \frac{1}{2} AB + \frac{1}{2} BA$

$$\text{so } \frac{1}{2} AB = \frac{1}{2} BA$$

$$\text{so } AB = BA,$$