STAT5002 Weekly Independent Exercises

Sheet 6 - Week 10

STAT5002

The author of White (2005) suspected that ravens (intelligent birds whose diet includes carrion) are attracted by the sound of gunshots, presumably in the hope of finding a dead animal to feed on. They conducted an experiment whereby they went to 12 locations in an established "hunting zone", counted how many ravens were visible in the vicinity 10 minutes before and 10 minutes after firing a rifle. The raw data is made available in the *ecostats* R package.

```
require(ecostats)
```

Loading required package: ecostats

Loading required package: mvabund

data(ravens)
ravens

	${\tt Before}$	${\tt After}$	${\tt delta}$	site	${\tt treatment}$	trees
1	0	2	2	pilgrim	1	1
2	0	1	1	pacific	1	1
3	0	4	4	uhl hil	1	1
4	0	1	1	${\tt wolff}\ {\tt r}$	1	1
5	0	0	0	teton p	1	1
6	2	5	3	glacier	1	1
7	1	0	-1	ant fla	1	0
8	0	1	1	btb n	1	1
9	0	0	0	btb s	1	1
10	3	3	0	hay e	1	0
11	5	5	0	hay s	1	0
12	0	2	2	grove	1	1

13	0	0	0	pilgrim	2	1
14	1	0	-1	pacific	2	1
15	1	1	0	uhl hil	2	1
16	0	0	0	wolff r	2	1
17	0	1	1	teton p	2	1
18	1	1	0	glacier	2	1
19	6	3	-3	ant fla	2	0
20	3	2	-1	btb n	2	1
21	0	0	0	btb s	2	1
22	0	0	0	hay e	2	0
23	1	0	-1	hay s	2	0
24	0	0	0	grove	2	1
25	2	1	-1	pilgrim	3	1
26	1	0	-1	pacific	3	1
27	0	1	1	uhl hil	3	1
28	0	0	0	wolff r	3	1
29	3	6	3	teton p	3	1
30	1	1	0	glacier	3	1
31	1	1	0	ant fla	3	0
32	0	1	1	btb n	3	1
33	0	0	0	btb s	3	1
34	3	1	-2	hay e	3	0
35	3	4	1	hay s	3	0
36	1	0	-1	grove	3	1
37	0	0	0	pilgrim	4	1
38	1	0	-1	pacific	4	1
39	1	2	1	uhl hil	4	1
40	2	3	1	wolff r	4	1
41	4	5	1	teton p	4	1
42	1	0	-1	glacier	4	1
43	0	0	0	ant fla	4	0
44	0	0	0	btb n	4	1
45	0	1	1	btb s	4	1
46	2	0	-2	hay e	4	0
47	2	1	-1	hay s	4	0
48	0	0	0	grove	4	1

The author of the study also tried using other sounds (use ?ravens to see all the details). The factor treatment indicates which sound: the value 1 corresponds to gunshot. We thus extract the first 12 rows.

```
gunshot = ravens[1:12, ]
gunshot
```

	${\tt Before}$	After	${\tt delta}$	site	${\tt treatment}$	trees
1	0	2	2	pilgrim	1	1
2	0	1	1	pacific	1	1
3	0	4	4	uhl hil	1	1
4	0	1	1	wolff r	1	1
5	0	0	0	teton p	1	1
6	2	5	3	glacier	1	1
7	1	0	-1	ant fla	1	0
8	0	1	1	btb n	1	1
9	0	0	0	btb s	1	1
10	3	3	0	hay e	1	0
11	5	5	0	hay s	1	0
12	0	2	2	grove	1	1

The column headed delta gives After-Before differences.

1

If we were to use a T-test to analyse these observations, what kind would that be, and why?

2

Describe an appropriate statistical model for the data, including assumptions appropriate for the kind of T-test given in the previous part. Do the assumptions seem reasonable? Explain.

3

The T-statistic is the ratio of the mean difference and an estimate of the standard error of the mean difference. Determine the estimated standard error. Round to 3 decimal places if necessary. The R output below may be helpful.

```
apply(gunshot[, 1:3], 2, sd)
```

```
Before After delta
1.621354 1.858641 1.443376
```

4

Formally state the appropriate null and alternative hypotheses for this analysis.

5

Describe the test statistic and state its distribution when the null hypothesis is true (and the assumptions underlying the procedure are reasonable).

6

Using the R output below, state what kind of value the test statistic should take so that we reject the null hypothesis at

- the 5% level of significance;
- the 1% level of significance.

Note: the R function outer(u, v, fun) returns a matrix whose (i,j-th element is fun(u[i],v[j])).

```
qt.values = outer(c(0.95, 0.975, 0.99, 0.995), c(11, 12, 22, 24), qt)
rownames(qt.values) = c("95%", "97.5%", "99%", "99.5%")
colnames(qt.values) = c("11 df", "12 df", "22 df", "24 df")
qt.values
```

```
11 df 12 df 22 df 24 df
95% 1.795885 1.782288 1.717144 1.710882
97.5% 2.200985 2.178813 2.073873 2.063899
99% 2.718079 2.680998 2.508325 2.492159
99.5% 3.105807 3.054540 2.818756 2.796940
```

7

Determine the value taken by the test statistic. Is the result significant at the 5% level? What about at the 1% level?

8

Consider the simulation code and output given below.

```
set.seed(23)
  dif = gunshot$delta
  dif
 [1] 2 1 4 1 0 3 -1 1 0 0 0 2
  t.test(dif)
    One Sample t-test
data: dif
t = 2.6, df = 11, p-value = 0.02469
alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to {\tt 0}
95 percent confidence interval:
0.1662562 2.0004105
sample estimates:
mean of x
 1.083333
  stat = t.test(dif)$stat
  stat
 t
2.6
```

```
sim.stat = 0
n = length(dif)
for (i in 1:10000) {
    samp = sample(dif, size = n, replace = T)
        sim.stat[i] = t.test(samp, mu = mean(dif))$stat
}
sum(abs(sim.stat) >= abs(stat))

[1] 352

sum(sim.stat >= stat)
```

Using the simulation output instead of appealing to Student's theory, is the result significant at the 5% level? What about the 1% level?

References

Crow White. Hunters ring dinner bell for ravens: Experimental evidence of a unique foraging strategy. Ecology (Durham), 86(4):1057–1060, 2005.