## Documentation

P. Goulart and A. Wynn

June 25, 2012

The function ode.m provides an implementation of the Optimal Mode Decomposition (OMD) algorithm developed in [1, 2]. For comparison, the function will also implement the Dynamic Mode Decomposition (DMD) algorithm described in [3, 4].

Usage: [L,M] = (A,B,r,L0,method) solves the rank-constrained optimization problem

min 
$$\|A - LML^{\top}B\|^2$$
  
s.t.  $L^{\top}L = I$  (1)  
 $M \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}, L \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times r}$ 

Inputs:

- A,B: data matrices of size  $p \times n$
- r: integer specifying the desired output size
- L0: initial condition for L. If L0 is not specified, then the initial iterate will be based on the first k singular vectors of the matrix [A B]
- method: Specifies which optimization method to use. Must be one of 'alternating' (the default), 'conjgrad' or 'gradient' which are implementations of the OMD algorithm or 'dmd' which implements the DMD algorithm.

## Examples

Example code is provided to show how the OMD algorithm can be used to extract eigenvalue information from experimental data. We consider the sinosoidal flow

$$f(x,t) := \sin(kx - \omega t)e^{\gamma t} \tag{2}$$

studied in [3, 2]. Data matrices A, B are selected to contain snapshots of the flow corrupted with Gaussian noise, as explained in [2, Section 4]. The aim is to identify the true eigenvalues  $\lambda_i^{\text{true}} = \gamma \pm i\omega$  of the flow using only the noisy snapshot data contained in matrices A, B.

The OMD algorithm may be used to estimate  $\lambda_{\text{true}}$  by the following proceedure:

- (i) run [L,M] = omd(A,B,2,[], 'conjgrad')
- (ii) calculate the OMD eigenvalues

$$\lambda_i^{\text{OMD}} := \frac{\log \lambda_i(M)}{\Delta t}$$

where  $\lambda_i(M)$  are the eigenvalues of M and  $\Delta t$  is the timestep between successive snapshots.

(iii) The OMD eigenvalues then provide an approximation

$$\lambda_i^{ ext{OMD}} pprox \lambda_i^{ ext{true}}$$

to the true system eigenvalues.

Quality of the eigenvalue approximation is quantified by the fractional growth rate error statistic  $\epsilon_{\text{OMD}}$  [3] given by

$$\epsilon_{\text{OMD}} := \min_{i=1,2} \frac{\left| \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i^{\text{OMD}}) - \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i^{\text{true}}) \right|}{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i^{\text{true}})}.$$

example1.m: calculates the OMD eigenvalues  $\lambda_i^{\text{OMD}}$  for snapshot data taken from the sinosoidal flow (2) with parameters

$$k=1, \quad \omega=2, \quad \gamma=1$$

and data corrupted with Gaussian noise with a range of covariances  $\sigma \in [0, 1]$ . For comparison, the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i^{\text{DMD}}$  calculated using Dynamic Mode Decomposition (DMD) [3, 4] are also calculated.

example2.m: displays the fractional growth rate errors of the DMD and OMD eigenvalues when applied to the sinosoidal flow for the range of frequency and covariance pairs  $(\omega, \sigma)$  studied in [2, Section 4].

## References

- [1] Goulart, P. J., Wynn, A., and Pearson, D., 'Optimal mode decomposition for high-dimensional systems. In 51st IEEE Conference on Decision and Control. Maui, Hawaii, Dec. 2012. Available at http:\\control.ee.ethz.ch\~goularpa\
- [2] Wynn, A., Pearson, D., Ganapathisubramani, B., and Goulart, P. J., 'Optimal mode decomposition for unsteady and turbulent flows', June 2012. Submitted to Journal of Fluid Mechanics. Available at http:\\control.ee.ethz.ch\~goularpa\
- [3] Duke, D., Soria, J., and Honnery, D., 'An error analysis of the dynamic mode decomposition', 2012 Exps. Fluids **52** (2), 529–542.
- [4] Schmid, P. J., 'Dynamic mode decomposition of numerical and experimental data', 2010 J. Fluid Mech. 656 (5-28).