Algebraic Number Theory Notes - Spring 2022

JJ Hoo

January 2022

Contents

1	\mathbf{Alg}	ebraic Numbers and Algebraic Integers	2		
	1.1	August 26, 2022	2		
		August 29, 2022			
	1.3	September 2, 2022	E		
	1.4	September 7, 2022	7		
		September 9, 2022			
	1.6	September 12, 2022	6		
2	Inte	Integral Bases			
	2.1	September 14, 2022	2		
	2.2	Quadratic Fields	.6		

1 Algebraic Numbers and Algebraic Integers

1.1 August 26, 2022

Definition 1. A number $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is called <u>algebraic number</u> if there exists $0 \neq f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$. In other words, α is algebraic over \mathbb{Q} .

Note that if α is an algebraic number, then there exists $0 \neq g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $g(\alpha) = 0^1$.

Definition 2. α is an algebraic integer if there exists $0 \neq f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ where f is monic, such that $f(\alpha) = 0$.

Proposition 1. Every algebraic integer is an algebraic number. On the other hand, the converse ise false.

Example 1. Let $\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}$. This is an algebraic number, but NOT an algebraic integer.

Consider $f(x) = 9x^2 - 2 \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then, $f(\alpha) = 0$, so α is an algebraic number.

Now, let $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a monic polynomial such that $g(\alpha) = 0$. Then, $g(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-2} + \cdots + a_1x + a_0$, where $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$g(\alpha) = 0 \implies \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\right)^n + a_{n-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\right)^{n-1} + \dots + a_1\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\right) + a_0 = 0$$

$$\implies (\sqrt{2})^n + a_{n-1}(\sqrt{2})^{n-1} \cdot 3 + \dots + a_1(\sqrt{2}) \cdot 3^{n-1} + a_0 3^n = 0$$

$$\implies \sum_{t=0}^n (\sqrt{2})^t a_t 3^{n-t} = 0$$

$$\implies \sum_{t=0}^n (\sqrt{2})^t a_t 3^{n-t} + \sum_{t \text{ odd}} (\sqrt{2})^t a_t 3^{n-t} = 0$$

$$\implies \sum_{t \text{ even}} (\sqrt{2})^t a_t 3^{n-t} + \sum_{t \text{ odd}} (\sqrt{2})^t a_t 3^{n-t} = 0$$

$$\implies \sum_{t \text{ even}} (\sqrt{2})^t a_t 3^{n-t} = 0 \land \sqrt{2} \sum_{t \text{ odd}} (\sqrt{2})^{t-1} a_t 3^{n-t} = 0$$

If n is even, we use Case 1 to get an extra term of $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ and 3 divides the remaining terms, so we reach a contradiction. If n is odd, we repeat this for Case 2. Thus, no such monic g exists, and so α is not an algebraic integer.

Every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ is an algebraic number². Now, we consider $\mathbb{Q} \cap \{\text{algebraic integers}\}\$.

Let $\alpha = \frac{r}{s} \in \mathbb{Q}$ be an algebraic integer, where gcd(r,s) = 1 and $s \neq 0$. There exists, then, a monic non-zero $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$.

Let $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1x + a_0$. Using the same trick as before, we multiply to get:

$$r^n = -(a_{n-1}r^{n-1}s + \dots + a_1rs^{n-1})$$

However, this implies $s|r^n$. If p is a prime dividing s, then $p|r^n \implies p|r \implies gcd(r,s) \ge p \implies s=1 \implies \alpha=r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In conclusion, \mathbb{Z} is the set of algebraic integers in \mathbb{Q} .

¹This is done by multiplying f(x) through by the LCM

²Take $f(x) = x - \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$

1.2 August 29, 2022

Theorem 1. Let α be an algebraic number. Then, there exists a unique polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ which is monic, irreducible and of lowest degree such that $p(\alpha) = 0$. By definition, p(x) is the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q} . Furthermore, if $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ and $f(\alpha) = 0$, then p(x)|f(x).

Proof. Since α is an algebraic number, there exist a set of polynomials of the form $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$. We choose p(x) from this set to be of lowest degree. We must show that p(x) is irreducible.

Let p(x) = a(x)b(x), where $a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, deg(a(x)) < deg(p(x)), and deg(b(x)) < deg(p(x)). In other words, assume that p(x) is reducible.

$$0 = p(\alpha) = a(\alpha)b(\alpha)$$

Note that since \mathbb{C} is an integral domain, we get that either $a(\alpha) = 0$ or $b(\alpha) = 0$. This immediately gives a contradiction, as a(x) and b(x) now belong to our original set of possible f(x)'s, and are both of lower degree than p(x). Thus, p(x) is irreducible. We can force this to be monic by multiplication of the inverse of the leading coefficient, since \mathbb{Q} is a field. We have thus constructed a $p'(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ which is monic, irreducible, and of lowest degree.

It remains to be shown that our monic, irreducible polynomial p(x) of lowest degree is unique. Suppose g(x) is another such polynomial. Since $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ is a Euclidean Domain, we enjoy the Division Algorithm, and so f(x) = q(x)g(x) + r(x), where $q(x), r(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, and either deg(r(x)) < deg(g(x)) or r(x) = 0. So

$$0 = p(\alpha) = q(\alpha) \underbrace{g(\alpha)}_{=0} + r(\alpha) \implies r(\alpha) = 0$$

Since g(x) is of minimal degree, we must then have r(x) = 0. Thus, p(x) = q(x)g(x). Since p(x) and g(x) are of minimal degree, they must have the same degree, which means in turn that q(x) = c for some $c \in \mathbb{Q}$. Given now that p(x) = cg(x) and that p and q are monic, we must have c = 1, and so we have our result that p(x) = g(x).

Now, let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$. Then, f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x) with deg(r(x)) < deg(p(x)) or r(x) = 0. By a similar argument as above, $p(\alpha) = 0 \implies r(x) = 0$ by minimality of p(x), so p(x)|f(x). \square

Definition 3. We denote the degree of α over \mathbb{Q} as $deg_{\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = deg(p(x))$, where p(x) is the minimal polynomial of α .

Example 2. Find the minimal polynomial of $\alpha = \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{7}}$.

Let $x = \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{7}}$.

$$x^{2} = 1 + \sqrt{7}$$

$$x^{2} - 1 = \sqrt{7}$$

$$(x^{2} - 1)^{2} = 7$$

$$x^{4} - 2x^{2} - 6 = 0$$

Now, let $p(x) = x^4 - 2x^2 - 6$. Then, $p(\alpha) = 0$. p is already monic, so we use Eisenstein's Creiterion with p = 2. Since $2^2 \not| 6$, p(x) is indeed irreducible

As a reminder, Eisenstein's Criterion states that if $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, if there is a prime p such

that $p \not| a_n, p | a_i$ otherwise, and $p^2 \not| a_0$, then f(x) is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Another method to keep in mind here would be the Rational Roots Theorem.

Definition 4. Let E, F be fields, where $F \subseteq E$. We call E an <u>extension</u> of F (or a field extension), and F is denoted as the <u>base field</u>. For instance, \mathbb{C} is an extension of \mathbb{Q} . We further note that E is a vector space over F.

Recall that if F is a field, and E is an extension of F such that $\alpha \in E$:

$$F[\alpha] = \{ f(\alpha) : f(x) \in F[x] \} \subseteq E$$

$$F(\alpha) = \{ \frac{f(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)} : f(x), g(x) \in F[x], g(\alpha) \neq 0 \} \subseteq E$$

 $F[\alpha]$ is the smallest subring of E containing α , and $F(\alpha)$ is the smallest subfield of E containing α . We note that $F[\alpha] = F(\alpha)$ iff α is algebraic over F.

Definition 5. Let α be an algebraic number. Define : $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha] := \{f(\alpha) : f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]\}$

Proposition 2. Let α be an algebraic number. $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$ is a field, which we will then denote $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

Proof. Let p(x) be the minimal polynomial of α Consider $\phi_{\alpha}: \mathbb{Q}[x] \to \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$, where $\phi_{\alpha}(f(x)) = f(\alpha)$. ϕ_{α} is a ring homomorphism. We note that

$$ker(\phi) = \{ f \in \mathbb{Q}[x] : \phi(f) = 0 \} = \langle p(x) \rangle$$

By the First Isomorphism Theorem, we then have that:

$$\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle p(x)\rangle = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$$

Since p(x) is irreducible, we have that $\langle p(x) \rangle$ is a maximal ideal, so $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$ is a field since it is the quotient of an integral domain by a maximal ideal. Thus, $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$ is a field.

Definition 6. A field $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is an <u>algebraic number field</u> if its dimension as a vector space over \mathbb{Q} is finite.

Suppose $F \subseteq E$ is a finite extension. We write $[E : F] = \dim_F(E)$. Furthermore, every finite extension is an algebraic extension.

Definition 7. E is an <u>algebraic extension</u> of F if every element $\alpha \in E$ is algebraic over F. In other words, $\exists f(x) \in F[x]$ such that $\overline{f(\alpha)} = 0$.

We note that $deg_F(\alpha) \leq [E:F]$ if E is a finite extension of F.

So, if K is an algebraic number field, then $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We note here that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. The dimension of K over \mathbb{Q} is denoted $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$.

If α is an algebraic number, and $deg(\alpha) = n$, then $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is an algebraic number field, and $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = n$, with basis $\{\alpha^i\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$.

Lemma 1. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a subfield of \mathbb{C} . Let $f(x) \in F[x]$ of degree n be irreducible. Then f(x) has n distinct roots.

Proof. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$. Recall the formal derivative $f'(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i (n-i) x^{n-1}$. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that f(x) has a repeated root $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. In other words, $(x-\alpha)^2 | f(x)$. Let:

$$f(x) = (x - \alpha)^2 g(x) \implies p'(x) = (x - \alpha)^2 g'(x) + 2g(x)(x - \alpha)$$

Thus, $f'(\alpha) = 0$. Let $h(x) = gcd(f(x), f'(x)) \in F[x]$. Note $f'(x) \in F[x]$, and there exist $u(x), v(x) \in F[x]$ such that:

$$h(x) = u(x)f(x) + v(x)f'(x) \implies h(\alpha) = 0$$

Thus, h|f, but f is irreducible over F, so h(x) = c or h(x) = cf(x), where $c \in F \setminus \{0\}$. $h(\alpha) = 0$, so we must have that h(x) = cf(x). Then, f|f' because h|f'. Thus, there are no repeated roots, so f(x) has n distinct roots in \mathbb{C}

Theorem 2 (Primitive Element Theorem). If α and β are algebraic numbers, then there exists an algebraic number θ such that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta) = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$

Proof. Let $p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x - \alpha_i$ and $q(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} x - \beta_i$ be the minimal polynomials of |alpha| and β respectively, where $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ and $\beta_1 = \beta$. By the previous lemma, all these coefficients are distinct in \mathbb{C} .

Consider for any $1 \le i \le n$, $2 \le j \le m$:

$$\alpha_i + \lambda \beta_j = \alpha + \lambda \beta \tag{1}$$

This implies that $\lambda_{ij} = \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha}{\beta_j - \beta}$. Thus, Equation (1) holds for exactly one value of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ (for a fixed i, j) and at most one $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Now, choose $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\alpha_i + c\beta_j \neq \alpha + c\beta$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, and every $2 \leq j \leq m$. Such a c always exists because there are only finitely many extensions. This choice is equivalent to choosing $0 \neq c \in \lambda$ such that $c \neq \lambda_{ij}$ for all i, j.

Now, let $\theta = \alpha + C\beta$. We will now show that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta) = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. Note that $\theta = \alpha + c\beta \implies \theta \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta) \implies \mathbb{Q}(\theta) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$. It remains to show that backwards inclusion.

If $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, then $\alpha = \theta - c\beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, so it suffices to show that $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. Let $r(x) + p(\theta - cx) \in \mathbb{Q}(\theta)[x]$, and $r(\beta) = p(\theta - c\beta) = p(\alpha) = 0$. So β is a common root of r(x) and q(x). Let t be another common root of r(x) and q(x). Then, $t \in \{\beta_j\}_{j=2}^m$. Now, for $2 \le j \le m$, $r(\beta_j) = p(\theta - c\beta_k)$

So, if $0 = r(\beta_j)$, then $0 = p(\theta - c\beta_k) \implies \theta - c\beta_j \in \{\alpha\}_{i=1}^n$. Thus, β is the only common root of r(x) and p(x).

Let h(x) be the minimum polynomial of β over $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. This implies that h(x)|r(x) and h(x)|q(x), so β is the only root of h(x) in \mathbb{C} , so deg(h(x)) = 1, and in particular, $h(x) = x - \beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\theta)[x]$. This means we must have that $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, and so we are done!

By induction, $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. Thus, all algebraic number fields can be represented as $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ for some algebraic number θ .

1.3 September 2, 2022

Recall, from last time, that all algebraic number fields can be represented by $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ for some algebraic number α .

Theorem 3. Every algebraic number θ is of the form $\frac{\alpha}{c}$ where α is an algebraic integer and $c \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Let f(x) be the minimum polynomial of θ , deg(f) = n. The coefficients of f are rational. Let c be the lowest common multiple of all the denominators of the a_i 's. Now, consider:

$$q(x) = x^{n} + ca_{n-1}x^{n-1} + c^{2}a_{n-2}x^{n-2} + \cdots + c^{n}a_{0}$$

Note the general term above is $c^t a_{n-t} x^{n-t}$. Now:

$$g(c\theta) = c^n(\theta^n + a_{n-1}\theta^{n-1}\cdots) = f(\theta) = 0$$

Note that $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \implies c\theta$ is an algebraic integer, so let $\alpha = c\theta$. Then, $\theta = \frac{\alpha}{c}$ as desired.

Corollary 1. Every algebraic number field can be represented by $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ for some algebraic integer α

Proof. We know every algebraic number field can be represented by $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, where θ is an algebraic number. But $\theta = \frac{\alpha}{c}$, where $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and α is an algebraic integer, so $\mathbb{Q}(\theta) = \mathbb{Q}(\frac{\alpha}{c}) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

Example 3. Find the value of θ such that:

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2},\sqrt[3]{6}) = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$$

The minimum polynomial of $\sqrt{2}$ is $f(x) = x^2 - 2 = (x - \sqrt{2})(x + \sqrt{2})$. The minimum polynomial of $\sqrt[3]{5}$ is $g(x) = x^3 - 5 = (x - \sqrt[3]{5})(x - w\sqrt[3]{5})(x - w\sqrt[3]{5})$, where $w = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$. Choose c such that $\alpha_i + c\beta_j \neq \alpha + c\beta$ for any i = 1, 2, j = 2, 3. Choose c = 1, and this works. So take $\theta = \alpha + c\beta = \alpha + \beta = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt[3]{5}$.

The minimum polynomial of $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt[3]{5}$ can be left as an exercise, but turns out to be $f(x) = x^6 - 6x^2 - 10x^3 + 12x^2 - 60x + 16$.

Definition 8. Now, let α be an algebraic number with minimum polynomial of degree n. Then

$$p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x - \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$$

where by the Lemma, all α_i 's are distinct complex numbers. $\alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \cdots, \alpha_n$ are called the <u>conjugates</u> of α .

Then, we have n field isomorphisms (embeddings):

$$\sigma_i: \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \to \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)$$

with $\alpha \mapsto \alpha_i$. Note: These conjugate fields are independent of choice of α .

If $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$, then $\exists c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\beta = c_0 + c_1 \alpha + c_2 \alpha^2 + \dots + c_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}$$

For $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$, set

$$\beta_t = c_0 + c_1 \alpha_1 + c_2 \alpha_2^2 + \dots + c_{n-1} \alpha_t^{n-1} = \sigma_i(\beta)$$

Then the β_i 's are the conjugates of β and $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_t) = \mathbb{Q}(\beta_t)$, for every $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Definition 9. Let S_n denote the symmetric group on n letters. For any $\sigma \in S_n$ and $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$, where \mathbb{F} is a field, define

$$f^{\sigma}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) = f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, x_{\sigma(n)})$$

A polynomial f is symmetric if $f^{\sigma} = f$, for every $\sigma \in S_n$

Example 4. $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ is symmetric.

Example 5. $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 + x_2 x_3$. Then, if $\sigma = (1 \ 2 \ 3)$, $f^{\sigma} = x_2 + x_3 x_1$, so f is not symmetric.

Definition 10. The elementary symmetric polynomials are defined as:

$$e_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$$

$$e_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$$

$$e_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} x_i x_j$$

$$e_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$$

Theorem 4 (Fundamental Theorem of Symmetric Polynomials). Every symmetric polynomial can be written uniquely as a polynomial expression (not necessarily symmetric) in the elementary symmetric polynomials. In other words, if $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, is a symmetric polynomial, then there exists a g such that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(e_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, e_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))$. \mathbb{F} could just be a commutative ring that is not a field.

1.4 September 7, 2022

Example 6. Let $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 + x_2^3 - 7$ be symmetric. Let $g(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 - 3x_1x_2 - 7$ be not symmetric. But then, we see that:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = g(e_1(x_1, x_2) + e_2(x_1, x_2))$$

= $q(x_1, x_2)^3 - 3e_1(x_1, x_2)e_2(x_1x_2) - 7$

Proposition 3. Suppose $p(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ (monic) has roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, and any symmetric polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$) $\in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then $f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Q}$

Proof. $p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, so $e_t(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Q}$, for every $1 \leq t \leq n$. By the Fundamental Theorem of Symmetric Polynomials, there exists $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

Theorem of Symmetric Polynomias, there exists $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ $g(e_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, e_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))$. So:

$$f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = g(e_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, e_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \in \mathbb{Q}$$

Theorem 5. Embeddings are independent of choice of α . In other words, let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be an algebraic number field, and $p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Q}$ be a minimum polynomial of α with $\alpha = \alpha_1$. The embeddings are $\alpha \to \alpha_i$. If $K = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ also, $\beta = c_0 + c_1\alpha + c_2\alpha^2 + \cdots + c_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1}$ because these powers from a basis for $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Let $\beta_i = \sigma_i(\beta)$ for $1 \le i \le n$. Then, $\beta = \beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_n$ are the conjugates of β and $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i) = \mathbb{Q}(\beta_i)$ for every $1 \le i \le n$.

Proof. Let

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \beta_i)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \sigma_i(\beta))$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - (c_0 + c_1\alpha_i + c_2\alpha_i^2 + \dots + c_{n-1}\alpha_i^{n-1}))$$

$$\in \underbrace{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)}_{K_1}[x]$$

So $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K \subseteq K_1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Now:

$$p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \beta_i)$$

= $x^n - e_1(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n e_n(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$

Each $e_i(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ will be symmetric in the α 's. Thus, by the fundamental theorem, there exists $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $e_t(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = g_t(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. From Proposition 3, we get that $g_t \in \mathbb{Q}$, so each $e_t \in \mathbb{Q}$, so $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$.

So $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \beta_i) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. $f(\beta) = 0$, so if h(x) is the minimal polynomial for β , then h|f. But deg(f) = n and $[\mathbb{Q}(\beta) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = n$, so deg(h) = n. Since h and f are monic of the same degree, we must have that h = f, so f(x) is the minimum polynomial of β . But the conjugate fields are $\mathbb{Q}(\beta_i) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)$, and both are of degree n, so finally we get that $\mathbb{Q}(\beta_i) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)$.

1.5 September 9, 2022

Definition 11. Let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be the set of all algebraic integers

Theorem 6. The following are equivalent:

- (a) α is an algebraic integer
- (b) The minimum polynomial of α is in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.
- (c) $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -module.
- (d) There exists a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -submodule of \mathbb{C} , $M \neq \{0\}$ such that $\alpha M \subseteq M$.

Proof. $(a) \implies (b)$:

Since α is an algebraic integer, there exists $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ monic such that $f(\alpha) = 0$. Choose p(x) of minimum degree from such f(x)'s. Then, p(X) is irreducible over \mathbb{Z}^3 . Thus, p(x) is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} by Gauss' Lemma, and so p(x) is the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q} .

 $(b) \implies (c)$:

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \{ f(x) : \ f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \}$$

Now, $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is generated by $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$, where $deg_{\mathbb{Q}}\alpha = n$. Let f(x) be the polynomial of α . Then:

$$0 = f(\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i \alpha^i$$

$$\implies \alpha^n \in \text{Span}(\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\})$$

By induction, $\alpha^N \in \text{Span}(\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\})$, for every $N \geq n$. So $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \subseteq \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\})$. The reverse inclusion is obviously true, so we get equality, and thus we have as desired that $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -module.

 $(c) \implies (d)$:

Let $M = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$. Then, trivially, $\alpha M \subseteq M$.

 $(d) \implies (a)$:

Let M be a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -submodule of \mathbb{C} such that $\alpha M \subseteq M$. Let:

$$M = \mathbb{Z}x_1 + \mathbb{Z}x_2 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}x_n$$

In other words, the $x_1, \dots x_n$'s are the generators. Each $x_i \in M$, so $\alpha x_i \in M$ by (c). Now, let:

$$\alpha x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n c_y x_j$$

for $1 \le j \le n$, $C_y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $C = (C_y)$ as an $n \times n$ matrix. So:

$$(C - \alpha I) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\implies \det(C - \alpha I) = 0$$

³If not, there exists a lower degree polynomial of which α is a root, thus providing a contradiction

The above follows because the vector $(x_1, \dots, x_n)^t op$ is non-zero. Now, let $f(x) = (-1)^n \det(C - \lambda I)$. We then get that $f(\alpha) = 0$. Also, f(x) is monic in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ because $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, α is an algebraic integer. \square

Proposition 4. \mathcal{O} is a ring.

Proof. Let α, β be algebraic integers of degrees n and m. We want to show that $\alpha \pm \beta$ and $\alpha\beta$ are also algebraic integers, essentially amounting to the Subring Test.

So, $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$ generate $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ and $1, \beta, \dots, \beta^{n-1}$ generate $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ as \mathbb{Z} -modules. Then, $\alpha^i \beta^j$ span $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta]$, for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$. Thus, $M = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta]$ is a finitely generated submodule of \mathbb{C} , non-zero, and noting that $(\alpha \pm \beta)M \subseteq M$, and $\alpha\beta M \subseteq M$, and thus $\alpha \pm \beta$ and $\alpha\beta$ are algebraic integers.

Definition 12. Let K be an algebraic number field. Then define $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O} \cap K$ to be the set of all algebraic integers in K.

Corollary 2. \mathcal{O}_K is a ring.

Proof. This is the intersection of two rings.

We have seen that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Z}$. Also, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)} = \mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Definition 13. Let K be an algebraic number field of degree n. Let $\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_n \in K$. Let σ_i for $1 \le i \le n$ denote the n distinct embeddings of K. For $j = 1, \cdots, n$, let:

$$\omega_j^{(i)} = \sigma_i(\omega_j)$$

Then, the <u>discriminant</u> of $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ is denoted $D(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ (or sometimes $\Delta(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$, and is computed as:

$$D(\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n) = (\det(\sigma_i(\omega_j))_{ij})^2$$

1.6 September 12, 2022

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and β_1, \dots, β_n be two bases for K. Let:

$$\beta_j = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ij} \alpha_i$$

for some $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $C = (c_{ij})_{ij}$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Consider:

$$(\sigma_i(\beta_j))_{ij} = (\sigma_i \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_{kj} \alpha_k \right))_{ij}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_{kj} \sigma_i(\alpha_k) \right)_{ij}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha_k) c_{kj} \right)_{ij}$$

$$= (\sigma_i(\alpha_j))_{ij} C$$

$$D(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = (\det(\sigma_i(\beta_j)_{ij}))^2$$
$$= (\det(\sigma_i(\alpha_j)_{ij}))^2 (\det C)^2$$
$$= D(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) (\det C)^2$$

We define, for $\alpha \in K$:

$$D(\alpha) = D(1, \alpha, \cdots, \alpha^{n-1})$$

So:

$$D(\alpha) = \left[\prod_{1 \le i \le j \le n} (\alpha^j - \alpha^i)\right]^2$$
Vandaymanda Detayminant

Example 7. Suppose $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, where d is square-free. The minimum polynomial of \sqrt{d} over \mathbb{Q} is:

$$p(x) = x^2 - d = (x - \sqrt{d})(x + \sqrt{d})$$

Considering the embedding $\sigma_1: Id$, $\sigma_2: \sqrt{d} \mapsto -\sqrt{d}$, we get:

$$D(\sqrt{d}) = D(1, \sqrt{d}) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{d} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{d} \end{vmatrix}^2 = (-\sqrt{d} - \sqrt{d})^2 = 4d$$

Also, n = 2, so:

$$D(\alpha) = \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le n} (\alpha^j - \alpha_i)^2 = (-\sqrt{d} - \sqrt{d})^2 = 4d$$

Example 8. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}\sqrt[3]{2}$. We get a minimum polynomial using the primitive cube roots of unity where $w = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$.

$$\begin{split} D(\alpha) &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} (\alpha^j - \alpha^i)^2 \\ &= [(w^2 \sqrt[3]{2} - w \sqrt[3]{2})(w^2 \sqrt[3]{2} - \sqrt[3]{2})(w \sqrt[3]{2} - \sqrt[3]{2})]^2 \\ &= -108 \end{split}$$

Note that $D(w_1, \dots, w_n) = (\det((\omega_j^{(i)})_{ij}))^2$ is a symmetric function of the w's.

Theorem 7. Let K be an algebraic number field, and $w_i \in K$.

- (a) $D(w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{Q}$.
- (b) If $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathcal{O}_K$, then $D(w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{Z}$
- (c) $D(w_1, \dots, w_n) \neq 0$ if and only if w_1, \dots, w_n are linearly independent.

Proof. (a):

 $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ for some algebraic number θ . So a basis for K is $1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}$ where $\deg_{\mathbb{Q}} K = n$. So:

$$w_j = c_{0j} + c_{1j}\theta + \dots + c_{n-1,j}\theta^{n-1}$$

$$D(w_1, \dots, w_n) = (\det((w_k^{(i)})_{ij}))^2$$
$$= (\det\left(\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} c_{ij}\theta^t\right))^2$$

This is symmetric in $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n$. Since permuting θ 's just permutes the rows of the matrix, let:

$$f(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \left(\det\left(\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} x^t\right)\right)^2$$

 $\in \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}]$

By Proposition 3, we get that $D(w_1, \dots, w_n) = f(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in \mathbb{Q}$.

(b):

By part (a), $D(w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{Q}$, but if $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathcal{O}$, then we have by definition that $D(w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathcal{O}$, since \mathcal{O} is a ring. Thus, $D(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \mathbb{Q} \cap \mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}$

(c):

For the forward direction, we prove this by the contrapositive. In other words, if w_1, \dots, w_n are not linearly independent, then $D(w_1, \dots, w_n) = 0$. Let w_1, \dots, w_n be linearly dependent. Then, $\exists c_1, \dots, c_n$ not all zero, from \mathbb{Q} such that $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i = 0$. Applying the embeddings of K, we get:

$$c_i w_i^{(i)} + c_2 w_2^{(i)} + \dots + c_n w_n^{(i)} = 0$$

We know the induced matrix has a non-zero solution for $\vec{c} - (c_1, \dots, c_n)^{\top}$ in \mathbb{Z} . Thus, the induced matrix is NOT invertible, so its determinant is 0. Thus, $D(w_1, \dots, w_n) = 0^2 = 0$, so we have the proof of the forward direction by the contrapositive.

Now, for the converse, let w_1, \dots, w_n be linearly independent. Then, these form a basis for K over \mathbb{Q} . Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. Then, $1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}$ is also a basis for K. Thus, there exists a change of basis matrix $C \neq 0$ such that:

$$D(1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}) = (\det(C))^2 D(w_1, \dots, w_n)$$

However, we are more familiar with the left side by the Vandermonde Determinant, where:

$$D(1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}) = \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le n} (\theta^{(j)} - \theta^{(i)})^2$$

Here, $\theta^{(i)} = \sigma_u(\theta)$ is the i^{th} conjugate of θ , and all the conjugates are distinct. Thus, we get that this discriminant is nonzero. Thus, $D(w_1, \dots, w_n) \neq 0$

2 Integral Bases

2.1 September 14, 2022

Definition 14. Let K be an algebraic number field. A \mathbb{Z} -basis for \mathcal{O}_K is called an integral basis for K (but really for \mathcal{O}_K).

Proposition 5. Every \mathbb{Z} -basis for \mathcal{O}_K is a \mathbb{Q} basis for K.

Proof. Let $w_1, \dots w_t$ be a \mathbb{Z} basis for \mathcal{O}_K . Let $\theta \in K$ be an algebraic number. Then, $\theta = \frac{\alpha}{m}$, where $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ is an algebraic integer, and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that, uniquely, $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^t c_i w_i$, for $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $\theta \in Spqn_{\mathbb{Q}}(w_1, \dots, w_t) \implies K \subseteq Span_{\mathbb{Q}}(w_1, \dots, w_t) \implies Span_{\mathbb{Q}}(w_1, \dots, w_t) = K$. Suppose w_1, \dots, w_t was linearly dependent over \mathbb{Q} . Then, there exists q_1, \dots, q_t not all zero such that the finite linear combination sums to 0. Then, we can multiply by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ to get this linear combination to sum to 0, which then, by the linear independence over \mathbb{Z} , gives rise to an obvious contradiction which then shows us that w_1, \dots, w_t is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , and so must be a \mathbb{Q} basis for K. Combined with a counting argument where the sizes of these two bases are the same, we get our desired result.

Note: Not all bases of K will be integral bases.

Example 9. $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ has a basis $1, \sqrt{5}$. The minimum polynomial is $x^2 - 5$ which has degree 2. However, the above basis is NOT an integral basis. $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ has minimum polynomial $x^2 - x - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, but this is NOT in the span of the basis over \mathbb{Z} . However, $\{1, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\}$ is an integral basis, where $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right]$.

In general, if $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, a basis $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$ is not necessarily an integral basis. $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$, but $\mathcal{O}_K \not\subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x]$ in general.

Theorem 8. Every number field K has an integral basis.

Proof. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, where α is an algebraic integer. $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$ is a basis for K/\mathbb{Q} , $deg_{\mathbb{Q}}K = n$. Now, $D(\alpha) > 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, because $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$ is a linearly independent set in \mathcal{O}_K . Now, we select a basis $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ of K from \mathcal{O}_K , such that $D(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ is minimal. We'll now show that this is an integral basis.

Suppose otherwise. There then exists $w \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $w = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i w_i$ such that $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and there is at least one $a_i \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. Without loss of generality, assume $a_1 \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. We write $a_1 = a + r$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, 0 < r < 1. Define $\phi_1 = w - aw_1$, $\phi_i = w_i$ otherwise. Then, by construction, $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots, \phi_n\}$ is also a \mathbb{Q} -basis for K, where $\phi_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Now, we consider a change of basis matrix C such that $\Phi = CW$.

Then:

$$C = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 - a & a_2 & a_3 & \cdots & a_n \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

Thus, $det(C) = a_1 - a = r$, and $D(\phi) = r^2 D(w)$, where 0 < r < 1, but this leads to a contradiction, as this gives $D(\phi) < D(w)$, a contradiction to D(w) being minimal.

Theorem 9. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be a basis for K over \mathbb{Q} . If $D(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is square free, then $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is an integral basis.

Proof. Let β_1, \dots, β_n be an integral basis for K. Then there exist $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}\beta_j$ for all $1 \le n$ because $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Now, Let $C = (c_{ij})$. So:

$$\underbrace{D(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n)}_{\in \mathbb{N}} = \underbrace{(\det(C))^2}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} \underbrace{D(\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_n)}_{\in \mathbb{Z}}$$

We note that since the left side is square free, $\det(C) = \pm 1$, so C is invertible over \mathbb{Z} . This in turn implies that C is an integral change of basis matrix.

Remark 1. The converse is false.

Example 10. If $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$, then $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[i]$. We have a basis $\{1, i\}$ with nontrivial embedding $i \mapsto -i$, and minimal polynomial $x^2 + 1 = (x - i)(x - (-i))$. D(1, i) = 4 is not square free, but $\{1, i\}$ is an integral basis.

Remark 2. For two integral bases $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$, we always get that their discriminants are the same. In other words, the discriminant of an integral basis is independent of choice of basis.

Definition 15. The <u>discriminant of K</u>, denoted D_K, Δ_K is exactly the discriminant mentioned in Remark 2.

Example 11. $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ has basis $\{1, \sqrt{5}\}$, but we saw that this is not an integral basis in Example 9. So what IS an integral basis here? We have from Example 9, without proof, that $\{1, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\}$ is an integral basis.

$$D\left(1, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \\ 1 & \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \end{vmatrix}^2$$
$$= \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} - \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^2 = 5$$

5 is square free, so this is an integral basis.

Definition 16. Let K be a number field with \mathbb{Q} basis $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$. Let $\alpha \in K$ and let $\alpha w_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} w_j$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. We define the <u>trace of α </u>, $Tr_K(\alpha)$ such that $Tr_K(\alpha) = Tr(A)$, and the norm of α , $N_K(\alpha)$ as $N_K(\alpha) = \det(A)$.

Example 12. Consider $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, where d is square-free integer. This has a basis $\{1, \sqrt{d}\}$. Let $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{d}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$.

$$\alpha w_1 = (a + b\sqrt{d}) = a \cdot 1 + b \cdot \sqrt{d}$$
$$\alpha w_2 = (a + b\sqrt{d})(\sqrt{d}) = (bd) \cdot 1 + a \cdot \sqrt{d}$$

So:

$$A_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ bd & a \end{pmatrix}$$

This gives us that $Tr(\alpha) = 2a$, $N(\alpha) = a^2 - db^2$.

Lemma 2. Let K be a number field, If $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$, then $Tr_K(\alpha), N_K(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$

Proof. Let w_1, \dots, w_n be a basis \mathbb{Q} -basis for K. Let $\alpha w_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} w_j$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then, let $A = (a_{ij})$.

Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ be the embeddings of K. Take σ_k of αw_i .

$$\sigma_k(\alpha w_i) = \sigma_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} w_j \right)$$

$$\Longrightarrow \sigma_k(\alpha) \sigma_k(w_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \sigma_k(w_j)$$

$$\Longrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{jk} \sigma_j(\alpha) \sigma_j(w_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \sigma_k(w_j)$$

Now, define:

$$A_0 = (\delta_{ij}\sigma_i(\alpha))_{ij}$$
$$M = (\sigma_i(w_i))_{ij}$$

We note that $0 \neq D(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \det(M^T)^2 = \det(M)^2 \implies M$ is invertible.

$$AM = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} \sigma_j(w_k)\right)_{ij}$$
$$MA_0 = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_k(w_i) \delta_{jk} \sigma_k(\alpha)\right)_{ij}$$

Thus, by the above, we get that $AM = MA_0 \implies A_0 = M^{-1}AM \implies \det A_0 = \det A$ (and their traces are equal). Thus, the trace is the sum of the $\sigma_i(\alpha)'s$ and the norm in the product. This gives us that the trace and norm of α are independent of choice of basis.

Proposition 6. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$. Let p(x) be the minimum polynomial of α of degree n. Then, $D(\alpha) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} N_K(p'(\alpha))$

Proof. By the Vandermonde Determinant, $D(\alpha) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} (\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(i)})^2$. On the left, we have:

$$p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \sigma_i(\alpha))$$

$$p'(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{i \neq j} (x - \sigma_i(\alpha)) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{i \neq j} (x - \alpha^{(i)})$$

$$\implies p'(\alpha^{(k)}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha^{(k)} - \alpha^{(i)}) = \prod_{i \neq k} (\alpha^{(k)} - \alpha^{(i)})$$

$$N(p'(\alpha)) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_j(p'(\alpha))$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{n} p'(\alpha^{(j)})$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(i)})$$

$$= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^s (\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(i)})^2$$

$$= (-1)^s D(\alpha) \qquad s = \binom{n}{2}$$

Proposition 7. If $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is a basis for K over \mathbb{Q} , where K is a number field, then:

$$D(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) = det[(Tr_K(\alpha_i \alpha_i))_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathbb{Q})$$

Proof.

$$Tr_K(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(\alpha)$$

Thus,

$$Tr(\alpha_i \alpha_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(\alpha_i \alpha_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(\alpha_i) \sigma_k(\alpha_j)$$

Then, constructing our matrix of traces, we get that:

$$(Tr(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{ij} = ((\sigma_j(\alpha_i))_{ij}(\sigma_i(\alpha_j)_{ij}))_{ij}$$
$$\det((Tr(\alpha_i \alpha_j)_{ij})) = \det(\sigma_i(\alpha_j)_{ij})^2 = D(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$$

2.2 Quadratic Fields

Definition 17. A quadratic field is an algebraic number field K of degree 2 over \mathbb{Q} .

Proposition 8. All quadratic fields are of the form $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ for some square-free $d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$. Since K is a quadratic field, the minimum polynomial of α is of the form $p(x) = x^2 + ax + b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. This gives us that:

$$\alpha = \frac{-a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 4b}}{2}$$

Now, we write: $a^2 - 4b = r^2d$, where d is square free. Then,

$$\alpha = \frac{-a \pm r\sqrt{d}}{2}$$

Thus,
$$\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{-a\pm r\sqrt{d}}{2}\right) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}).$$

Remark 3. If d < 0, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is called an imaginary quadratic field. If d > 0, this is instead called a real quadratic field.