Non-Accredited Graduate | Accredited Graduate |

Non-Accredited Undergraduate | Accredited Undergraduate

Testing Design Accredited Graduate

Testing Design Non-Accredited Graduate

## **Graduate Assesment Report**

Non-Accredited				
Reporting Details				
College				
University of Nebraska at Omaha				
Program				
Computer Science				
Academic Year of Report				
2023				
Person Preparing the Report				
Josh Smith				
Department/School				
IS&T				
Degree Level				
bahelors				
Date Range of Reported Data				
01/01/2023 to 04/01/2023				
Student Learning Outcome Information				
SLO 1 SLO 2				
SLO Title				
Students will understand Agile Processes				
Bloom's Taxonomy Cognitive Level				
✓ Knowledge				
☐ Analysis				
Comprehension				
☐ Synthesis				

## **Common Graduate Program SLOs**

Application Evaluation

○ 1	
○ 2	
○ 3	
Alet Applicable for SLO	
○ Not Applicable for SLO	
Measure 1	
Measure 1	
Title of the Measure	
Weekly meetings	
Describe How the Measure Aligns to the SLO	
Ensure students are emulating a fast paced enviornment	
Domain	
Product	~
Check all that apply	
Туре	
Indirect Measure	•
Measure Point	
In Final Year of Program	•
Point Description	
N/A	
Denutation Magazinad Dataila	
Population Measured Details  Compile of Ctudents - Describe helps:	
Sample of Students - Describe below	<b>~</b>
Describe here	
50% of students	
Frequency of Data Collection	
Once/year	•
Proficiency Threshold	
88%	
Program Proficiency Target	
95%	

Data Entry 1	Data Entry 2				
Data Entry 2					
Data Collection D	ate Range				
02/01/2023 to 04	1/01/2023				
Number of Stude	nte Aesassad				
764					
704					
	udents who Met/	Exceeded Threshold Proficien	су		
88%					
• •					
SLO Status - Indi	cate the current	status of program SLOs as Me	et, Partially Met, Not N	let, or Unknown.	
○ Met					
O Partially Met					
O Not Met					
○ Unknown					
	_	escribe specific decisions and changes, stakeholder engage		ch SLO (e.g., SLO/goal	-related
retreat, faculty med please briefly desc What are the effect	eting, etc.), what cribe how your pro ts of your previou	a faculty committee, etc.) made to data informed the decision, and a ogram has demonstrated continu- sly stated changes from your las nave you made progress since the	a timeline for actions ta ous improvement by c st report? What did you	iken or to be taken. Furth onsidering the following o do in response to your p	nermore, questions
B. SLOs reflect p	rofessional stan	dards as dictated by an accred	ditation or other exter	nal body.	
Yes					~
C. Describe how as how SLOs are		oth internal and external) are into stakeholders.	nvolved in the creatio	n and/or review of SLO	s as well

A few examples of internal stakeholders (and communication methods) could include full-time/adjunct faculty and staff (departmental meetings or retreats), and/or current students (syllabi, advising documents, student organizations). Some examples of external stakeholders (and communication methods) could include prospective students (recruitment materials), alumni (advisory boards, focus groups, surveys, newsletters), employers (internship evaluation forms, focus groups, surveys, advisory boards, newsletters), and/or community members/groups (advisory boards, focus groups, surveys).
B. Describe any indirect measures or additional data the program uses to complement the direct measures of SLOs.
C. Describe how results are communicated within the program. Address each SLO. If possible, please include the date(s) that Academic Program Assessment results were/will be discussed.
Additional Information
OPTONAL: Provide additional information that may be helpful to reviewers.
Submit