Non-Accredited Graduate | Accredited Graduate |

Non-Accredited Undergraduate | Accredited Undergraduate

Testing Design Accredited Graduate

Common Graduate Program SLOs

Testing Design Non-Accredited Graduate

Graduate Assesment Report

Non-Accredited

Reporting Details			
College			
University of Nebraska at Omaha			
Program			
Computer Science			
Academic Year of Report			
2023			
Person Preparing the Report			
Josh Smith			
Department/School			
IS&T			
Degree Level			
Bachelors			
Date Range of Reported Data			
01/01/2023 to 04/01/2023			
Student Learning Outcome Information			
Student Learning Outcome Information			
SLO 1			
SLO Title			
Hello			
Bloom's Taxonomy Cognitive Level			
☐ Knowledge			
☐ Analysis			
☐ Comprehension			
☐ Synthesis			
Application			
Evaluation			

O 1	
2	
\supset 3	
) 4 	
○ Not Applicable for SLO	
Measure 1	
Measure 1	
Fitle of the Measure	
Describe How the Measure Aligns to the SLO	
Domain	
Examination	~]
Check all that apply	
Гуре	
Direct Measure	~]
Measure Point	
In Final Term of Program	~]
Point Description	
Population Measured Details	_
All Students	~
Describe here	
Frequency of Data Collection	
Once/semester Conce/semester Conce/s	~
Proficiency Threshold	
Program Proficiency Target	

Data Entry 1	
Data Colle	ction
Data Collection	ı Date Range
Number of Stud	dents Assessed
Percentage of S	Students who Met/Exceeded Threshold Proficiency
0 0	
0 0	
SI O Status In	dicate the current status of program SLOs as Met, Partially Met, Not Met, or Unknown.
	ulcate the current status of program 320s as Met, Fartially Met, Not Met, of Offichiown.
○ Met	
Partially MetNot Met	
Unknown	
	Actions - Briefly describe specific decisions and actions related to each SLO (e.g., SLO/goal-related od/process-related changes, stakeholder engagement changes, etc.).
	bu/process-related changes, stakeholder engagement changes, etc.).
retreat, faculty m please briefly de What are the eff	g., program faculty, a faculty committee, etc.) made the decision, when the decision was made (e.g., faculty neeting, etc.), what data informed the decision, and a timeline for actions taken or to be taken. Furthermore, escribe how your program has demonstrated continuous improvement by considering the following questions fects of your previously stated changes from your last report? What did you do in response to your previous ort feedback? How have you made progress since the last assessment report?
B. SLOs reflect	professional standards as dictated by an accreditation or other external body.
Yes	~
C. Describe hov	w stakeholders (both internal and external) are involved in the creation and/or review of SLOs as well

as how SLOs are communicated to stakeholders.

No stakeholder involvement
A few examples of internal stakeholders (and communication methods) could include full-time/adjunct faculty and staff (departmental meetings or retreats), and/or current students (syllabi, advising documents, student organizations). Some examples of external stakeholders (and communication methods) could include prospective students (recruitment materials), alumni (advisory boards, focus groups, surveys, newsletters), employers (internship evaluation forms, focus groups, surveys, advisory boards, newsletters), and/or community members/groups (advisory boards, focus groups, surveys).
B. Describe any indirect measures or additional data the program uses to complement the direct measures of SLOs.
No additional measures
C. Describe how results are communicated within the program. Address each SLO. If possible, please include the date(s) that Academic Program Assessment results were/will be discussed.
No Communication
Additional Information
No additional information
OPTONAL: Provide additional information that may be helpful to reviewers.
Submit