

# Project Report

Jesús Javier Chi Domínguez  
Oliver Fernando Cuate González

April 22, 2014

## Abstract

This document contains a brief description of the Elgamal cryptosystem, a proof about its security under certain assumptions, and the study of a proposal cryptosystem based on this.

## 1 Elgamal

The Elgamal is an asymmetric cryptosystem, which is based on the Diffie–Hellman key exchange as follows.

### 1.1 Description

Let  $G = \langle g \rangle$  be a cycle group, let  $\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{K}, \mathbb{C} = G$  be the message space, the key space, and the ciphertext space, respectively.

<i>Alice</i>	<i>Bob</i>
GEN( $G$ )	
$a \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{ G }$ $p_k \leftarrow g^a$ $s_k \leftarrow a$	
$\xrightarrow{p_k}$	
ENC( $p_k, m$ )	
$m \leftarrow G$ $b \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{ G }$ $c_1 \leftarrow m \cdot p_k^b$ $c_2 \leftarrow g^b$	
$\xleftarrow{c}$	
DEC( $s_k, c$ )	
$g' \leftarrow c_2^{s_k}$ $m \leftarrow c_1 \cdot (g')^{-1}$	

### 1.2 Security Analysis

For the Elgamal Security, we need the following assumptions: Let  $\pi = (\text{GEN}, \text{ENC}, \text{DEC})$  be a public key encryption scheme.

---

#### CPA-EXP $_{\pi}^{\mathcal{A}}(n)$

---

$(p_k, s_k) \leftarrow \text{GEN}(n)$   
 Give  $p_k$  to  $\mathcal{A}$   
 $\mathcal{A}$  returns two messages  $(m_0, m_1)$  s.t.  $|m_1| = |m_0|$   
 $b \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}$   
 $c \leftarrow \text{ENC}(p_k, m_b)$ .  
 Give  $c$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Ultimately  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs a bit  $b'$   
**if**  $b = b'$  **then**  
     outputs 1  
**else**  
     outputs 0  
**end if**

---

We say that  $\pi$  is CPA secure if for all efficient adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$$|\Pr[\text{CPA-EXP}_\pi^{\mathcal{A}}(n) \Rightarrow 1] - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \text{neg}(n)$$

Let  $D$  be an adversary that takes as input triples of group elements, and outputs a bit. We define the DDH advantage of  $D$  on  $G$  to be

$$\mathbf{Adv}_G^{DDH}(D) = |\Pr[x, y \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{|G|}: D(g^x, g^y, g^{xy}) \Rightarrow 1] - \Pr[x, y, z \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{|G|}: D(g^x, g^y, g^z) \Rightarrow 1]|$$

The DDH assumption for  $G$  is the assumption that for any adversary  $D$ ,  $\mathbf{Adv}_G^{DDH}(D)$  is negligible.

**Claim 1.1** *Elgamal is CPA secure under the Desicional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) assumption.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arbitrary adversary. Denoting Elgamal by  $\pi$ , define the following games

---

**GAME<sub>0</sub>**

---

$x \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{|G|}$   
 $\alpha \leftarrow g^x$   
 Give  $\alpha$  to  $\mathcal{A}$   
 $\mathcal{A}$  returns two messages  $(m_0, m_1)$  s.t.  $|m_1| = |m_0|$   
 $b \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}$   
 $y \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{|G|}$   
 $\beta \leftarrow g^y$   
 $\delta \leftarrow \alpha^y$   
 $c \leftarrow \delta \cdot m_b$   
 Give  $(c, \beta)$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Ultimately  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs a bit  $b'$   
**if**  $b = b'$  **then**  
     outputs 1  
**else**  
     outputs 0  
**end if**

---



---

**GAME<sub>1</sub>**

---

$x \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{|G|}$   
 $\alpha \leftarrow g^x$   
 Give  $\alpha$  to  $\mathcal{A}$   
 $\mathcal{A}$  returns two messages  $(m_0, m_1)$  s.t.  $|m_1| = |m_0|$   
 $b \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}$   
 $y \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{|G|}$   
 $\beta \leftarrow g^y$   

$z \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{|G|}, \delta \leftarrow \alpha^z$

  
 $c \leftarrow \delta \cdot m_b$   
 Give  $(c, \beta)$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Ultimately  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs a bit  $b'$   
**if**  $b = b'$  **then**  
     outputs 1  
**else**  
     outputs 0  
**end if**

---

Clearly:  $\Pr[\text{CPA-EXP}_\pi^{\mathcal{A}}(|G|) \Rightarrow 1] = \Pr[\text{GAME}_0 \Rightarrow 1]$ . For other hand, if we consider that the  $\text{GAME}_1$  is actually a random algorithm then we have:

$$\Pr[\text{GAME}_1 \Rightarrow 1] = \frac{1}{2}$$

**Claim 1.2**  $|\Pr[\text{GAME}_0 \Rightarrow 1] - \Pr[\text{GAME}_1 \Rightarrow 1]| = \mathbf{Adv}_G^{DDH}(D)$ , for some efficient adversary  $D$ .

Hence:

$$\mathbf{Adv}_\pi^{CPA}(\mathcal{A}) = |\Pr[\text{CPA-EXP}_\pi^{\mathcal{A}}(n) \Rightarrow 1] - \frac{1}{2}| = \mathbf{Adv}_G^{DDH}(D)$$

□

*Proof.* Consider the following adversary  $D$ .

---

$\mathbf{D}(\alpha, \beta, \delta)$

---

Give  $\alpha$  to  $\mathcal{A}$

$\mathcal{A}$  returns two messages  $(m_0, m_1)$  s.t.  $|m_1| = |m_0|$

$b \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}$

$c \leftarrow \delta \cdot m_b$

Give  $(c, \beta)$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Ultimately  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs a bit  $b'$

**if**  $b = b'$  **then**

    outputs 1

**else**

    outputs 0

**end if**

---

We should note that

$$\Pr[x, y \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{|G|} : \mathbf{D}(g^x, g^y, g^{xy}) \Rightarrow 1] = \Pr[\text{GAME}_0 \Rightarrow 1]$$

$$\Pr[x, y, z \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{|G|} : \mathbf{D}(g^x, g^y, g^z) \Rightarrow 1] = \Pr[\text{GAME}_1 \Rightarrow 1]$$

$$\text{Thus, } \mathbf{Adv}_\pi^{CPA}(\mathcal{A}) = |\Pr[\text{GAME}_0 \Rightarrow 1] - \Pr[\text{GAME}_1 \Rightarrow 1]| = \mathbf{Adv}_G^{DDH}(D).$$

## 2 Proposed Cryptosystem

Basing on the Elgamal, we construct the following public key encryption scheme:

### 2.1 Description

Let  $G = \langle g \rangle$  be a cycle group, let  $\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{K}, \mathbb{C} = G$  be the message space, the key space, and the ciphertext space, respectively. Let  $H: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow G$  be a hash function where  $G \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ . Now, consider the following scheme.

<b>Scheme<sub>1</sub></b>	
<i>Alice</i>	<i>Bob</i>
$\text{GEN}_{\text{Sch}}(G)$	
$a \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{ G }$ $p_k \leftarrow g^a$ $s_k \leftarrow a$	
$\xrightarrow{p_k}$	
$\text{ENC}_{\text{Sch}}(p_k, m)$	
$m \leftarrow G$ $b \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{ G }$ $m' \leftarrow m \oplus H((p_k)^b)$ $c' \leftarrow m' \cdot (p_k)^b$ $c'' \leftarrow g^b$	
$\xleftarrow{c}$	
$c \leftarrow (c', c'')$	
$\text{DEC}_{\text{Sch}}(s_k, c)$	
$g' \leftarrow (c'')^{s_k}$ $m' \leftarrow c' \cdot (g')^{-1}$ $m \leftarrow m' \oplus H(g')$	

For compute  $H((p_k)^b)$ , the value  $(p_k)^b$  is viewed as an element of  $\mathbb{X}$  and not as in  $G$ .

Now, the scheme that we propose is the following.

Alice	Bob
GEN( $G$ )	
$(p_k^0, s_k^0) \leftarrow \text{GEN}_{\text{Sch}}(G)$ $(p_k^1, s_k^1) \leftarrow \text{GEN}_{\text{Sch}}(G)$ $p_k \leftarrow (p_k^0, p_k^1)$ $s_k \leftarrow (s_k^0, s_k^1)$	
$\xrightarrow{p_k}$	
ENC( $p_k, m$ )	
$m \leftarrow G$ $m_0 \  m_1 \leftarrow m$ $c_0 \leftarrow \text{ENC}_{\text{Sch}}(p_k^0, m_0)$ $c_1 \leftarrow \text{ENC}_{\text{Sch}}(p_k^1, m_1)$ $c \leftarrow (c_0, c_1)$	
$\xleftarrow{c}$	
DEC( $s_k, c$ )	
$m_0 \leftarrow \text{DEC}_{\text{Sch}}(s_k^0, c_0)$ $m_1 \leftarrow \text{DEC}_{\text{Sch}}(s_k^1, c_1)$ $m \leftarrow m_0 \  m_1$	

## 2.2 Security Analysis

**Claim 2.1** *If the hash function  $H$  is **preimage resistant**, then scheme **Scheme**<sub>1</sub> is CPA secure under the DDH assumption.*

Remembering, given a hash function  $H$  and  $y \in G$ , **Preimage** is to find  $x \in G' \gg G$  s.t.  $y = H(x)$ . If there exist no efficient algorithm to solve Preimage then we say that  $H$  is *preimage resistant*. In other words:

$$\mathbf{Adv}_H^{PR}(\mathcal{A}) = \Pr[\mathcal{A} \text{ solves Primage}] \leq \text{neg}(n) = \epsilon_{PR}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an arbitrary adversary for **Scheme**<sub>1</sub>. Consider the following adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  for Elgamal.  $\mathcal{A}$  will act as the challenger for  $\mathcal{B}$ .

---

$\mathcal{A}(\alpha, \beta, \delta)$
Give $\alpha$ to $\mathcal{B}$ $\mathcal{B}$ returns two messages $(m_0, m_1)$ s.t. $ m_1  =  m_0 $ $b \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}$ $c \leftarrow \delta \cdot (m_b \oplus H(\delta))$ $d \leftarrow \delta \cdot m_b$ Give $(d, \beta)$ to $\mathcal{B}$ . Ultimately $\mathcal{B}$ outputs a bit $b'$ <b>if</b> $b = b'$ <b>then</b> outputs 0 <b>else</b> outputs 1 <b>end if</b>

---

Now consider the following game:

---

**GAME<sub>2</sub>**

---

$x \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{|G|}$   
 $\alpha \leftarrow g^x$   
 Give  $\alpha$  to  $\mathcal{A}$   
 $\mathcal{A}$  returns two messages  $(m_0, m_1)$  s.t.  $|m_1| = |m_0|$   
 $b \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}$   
 $y \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{|G|}$   
 $\beta \leftarrow g^y$   
 $\delta \leftarrow \alpha^y$   
 $c \leftarrow \delta \cdot (m_b \oplus H(\delta))$   
 Give  $(c, \beta)$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Ultimately  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs a bit  $b'$   
**if**  $b = b'$  **then**  
     outputs 0  
**else**  
     outputs 1  
**end if**

---

As the above game uses an Elgamal Adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the output bit  $b'$  will be the same **Scheme<sub>1</sub>** if and only if the value of the Hash function  $H(\delta)$  is equal to zero, it is true because the bit  $b'$  is such that  $(m_{b'} \oplus H(\delta)) \cdot \delta = c$  (the ciphertext), then  $b'$  will be equal to the bit  $b$  if and only if  $H(\delta) = 0$ . Assuming that a reasonable adversary for our scheme will consider this fact and denoting **Scheme<sub>1</sub>** by  $\pi_\sigma$ , then we have:

$$\Pr[\text{CPA-EXP}_{\pi_\sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(|G|) \Rightarrow 1] = \Pr[\text{GAME}_2 \Rightarrow 0]$$

but because

$$\Pr[\text{GAME}_2 \Rightarrow 0] = 1 - \Pr[\text{GAME}_2 \Rightarrow 1]$$

We obtain that

$$\Pr[\text{GAME}_2 \Rightarrow 1] = 1 - \Pr[\text{CPA-EXP}_{\pi_\sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(|G|) \Rightarrow 1]$$

Also of GAME<sub>2</sub> we need to define the next game to calculate  $\text{Adv}_{\pi_\sigma}^{\text{CPA}}(\mathcal{B})$ .

---

**GAME<sub>3</sub>**

---

$x \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{|G|}$   
 $\alpha \leftarrow g^x$   
 Give  $\alpha$  to  $\mathcal{A}$   
 $\mathcal{B}$  returns two messages  $(m_0, m_1)$  s.t.  $|m_1| = |m_0|$   
 $b \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}$   
 $y \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{|G|}, z \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{|G|}$   
 $\beta \leftarrow g^y$   
 $\delta \leftarrow \alpha^y, \delta' \leftarrow x^z$   
 $c \leftarrow \delta \cdot (m_b \oplus H(\delta))$   
 $c' \leftarrow \delta' \cdot (m_b \oplus H(\delta))$   
 Give  $(c', \beta)$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Ultimately  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs a bit  $b'$   
**if**  $b = b'$  **then**  
     outputs 0  
**else**  
     outputs 1  
**end if**

---

We should note that

$$\Pr[x, y \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{|G|} : \mathcal{B}(g^x, g^y, g^{xy}) \Rightarrow 1] = \Pr[\text{GAME}_2 \Rightarrow 1]$$

$$\Pr[x, y, z \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{|G|} : \mathcal{B}(g^x, g^y, g^z) \Rightarrow 1] = \Pr[\text{GAME}_3 \Rightarrow 1]$$

Anew we have that  $\text{GAME}_3$  is a random game, but in this case  $\Pr[b' = 1] = \frac{1}{2}$  (that is the same that  $\text{GAME}_0 \Rightarrow 1$  for the Elgamal adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ ), must be multiply to  $\epsilon_{pr}$  to obtain  $\Pr[b = 1]$ , it is considered the Hash function  $H$  and the previous explanation. Then:

$$\Pr[\text{GAME}_3 \Rightarrow 1] = \epsilon_{pr} \Pr[\text{GAME}_1 \Rightarrow 1] = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{pr}$$

With a similar analysis for  $\text{GAME}_2$ , then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Adv}_{\pi_\sigma}^{CPA}(\mathcal{B}) &= |\Pr[\text{GAME}_2 \Rightarrow 1] - \Pr[\text{GAME}_3 \Rightarrow 1]| \\ &= |\epsilon_{pr} \Pr[\text{GAME}_0 \Rightarrow 1] - \epsilon_{pr} \Pr[\text{GAME}_1 \Rightarrow 1]| \\ &= \epsilon_{pr} |\Pr[\text{GAME}_0 \Rightarrow 1] - \Pr[\text{GAME}_1 \Rightarrow 1]| \\ \Rightarrow \mathbf{Adv}_{\pi_\sigma}^{CPA}(\mathcal{B}) &= \epsilon_{pr} \mathbf{Adv}_{\pi}^{CPA}(\mathcal{A}) \end{aligned}$$

This analysis must be done for each of two parts of a message, the encryption of these parts can be consider as independent, then finally for our scheme, denoting by  $\sigma$ , we have:

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{\sigma}^{CPA}(\mathcal{B}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Adv}_{\pi_\sigma}^{CPA}(\mathcal{B})$$

□

## 2.3 Implementation

### Preliminaries

We will make the implementation of our scheme in a subgroup of the quadratic residual of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for some large prime  $p$ . Thus, let  $p = 2 \cdot k \cdot q + 1$  be a prime such that  $q$  is a "large" prime and  $k$  is a positive integer. Let  $\langle g \rangle$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , this implies that  $\text{ord}(g) = 2 \cdot k \cdot q$ .

Let  $\mathbf{QR}$  be the subgroup of quadratic residual of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , then  $|\mathbf{QR}| = k \cdot q$ , and because  $g' = g^2$  is such that  $g'$  is a quadratic residual, and  $\text{ord}(g') = k \cdot q$ , and every subgroup of a cyclic group is also a cyclic group,  $g'$  is a generator of  $\mathbf{QR}$ .

Let  $g'' = h^k$ , and  $G'' = \langle g'' \rangle$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbf{QR}$ . We will work in this subgroup  $G''$ . Now, if we find a generator  $g$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , then we can construct a generator  $g'' = g^{2 \cdot k}$  of  $G''$ .

To find this generator  $g$ , we do the following algorithm

---

#### Test Generator( $g, P$ )

---

```

r ← P − 1
∏i=1l (pi)ei ← r
for i ← 1 to l do
  ri ← (r / pi)
  if gri ≡ 1 mod P then
    return Failure
  end if
end for
return Successful

```

---

### Numerical results

All the implementation was done in C-language using the *gmp* library of big numbers. So, it is needed to install this library to running the code. The adjunct file *scheme.c* needs two file texts, one file in which the message is (we are supposing that the message is an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ) and other in which the prime  $p$  and  $q$  and the factorization of  $k$  are. This last is required because we need to compute a generator of  $G''$ .

The prime used in the example are given in [JG85]. To run the code, you should do the following in the terminal (console):

```

[tsugumi@localhost código]$ gcc scheme.c -o scheme -lgmp
[tsugumi@localhost código]$ ./scheme
Enter the name of file where the possible prime is:
primes.txt

The primes.txt file contains the public prime q:
7645817649953398726194923102564833517
And  $2*k*q + 1$  is equal to:
7918324333004779287780879909121159911537551977796076554305607309994905870203

Enter the name of file where the message is:
message.txt

*****EXAMPLE OF ELGAMAL*****
value of g:
1726993547190075963798373354827674627436448746281027425618008826361562074495
value of mod:
7918324333004779287780879909121159911537551977796076554305607309994905870203
value of pk:
5505090336430564427388920363806970741945647053894480487188757870174301720849
value of sk:
2558659747784382241965366288334503830087074210813859191761606497144564205604

value of msg0:
352015466596088420260883280075658662319625787846437566
value of c0:
6716121961554031368891947069052319260261465679141620712573926541270118984559
value of c1:
5321297254104382293744813292137135628374804638327042185461085805699329293868
value of m0:
352015466596088420260883280075658662319625787846437566

value of msg1:
47773109869245232364730066609837018108561065242031153677
value of c0:
3681640246460377932210743121764805544069444552770777339538297169447287524760
value of c1:
4202226701769224115020768327908212218962912078475863171620295839257573102139
value of m1:
47773109869245232364730066609837018108561065242031153677

*****EXAMPLE OF OUR CRYPTOSYSTEM*****
value of msg0:
352015466596088420260883280075658662319625787846437566
value of c0:
939173188911047500625938452141966068207987587314569753300341924307700755514
value of c1:
5976489338807791056430901469234924942440524293974334298966215667539266302630
value of m0:
352015466596088420260883280075658662319625787846437566

value of msg1:
47773109869245232364730066609837018108561065242031153677
value of c0:
7349637287685330765618319669264622242216083617689448798033821399439984658756
value of c1:
3079188805011434152741699300800319341375794344780060337168720670438411705563
value of m0:
47773109869245232364730066609837018108561065242031153677

```

## References

- [BB09] Timo Bartkewitz and Ruhr-University Bochum. Building hash functions from block ciphers, their security and implementation properties. 2009.
- [htt24] GMP 6.0.0 <https://gmplib.org/>. The gnu multiple precision arithmetic library, 2014-03-24.
- [JG85] Cybermation Ltd John Gordon. Strong primes are easy to find. *Advances in Cryptology - EURO-CRYPT '84, LNCS 209, pp. 216-223, 1985. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg*, 1985.
- [KL07] Jonathan Katz and Yehuda Lindell. *Introduction to modern cryptography*. Boca Raton : Chapman and Hall/CRC., 2007.
- [Sho06] Victor Shoup. Sequences of games: A tool for taming complexity in security proofs. *Computer Science Dept. NYU.*, 2006.
- [Wan97] Thomas Wang. Integer hash function. 1997.