

# Programming Languages

TC2006

**Juan José Olivera Loyola A01702832**

**Project Definition**

## Proposals:

- **Massive Cellular Automata on GPUs**

## Game Of Life Experiment

Code: GameOfLife.sni {GoL.cu, gpuGoLSim.cu, cpuGoLSim.cu, mat\_utils.h}

We perform Game of Life over a cell matrix of  $N \times N$ , repeated  $N\_STEPS$  iterations.

Computation Strategy: Convolutions

### System Specs:

Host RAM: 16GB

Host Disk: SSD

Host CPU: Intel Core i5 8300

- Clock Speed: 2.3Ghz [Max 4Ghz]
- Number of Cores: 4 Cores (2 Threads per core)

Device GPU: NVidia GTX 1050

- Clock Speed: 1455 MHz
- RAM: 2GB
- Cores: 640

Experiment 1:

Experiment Parameters:

- $N$ : 1000
- $N\_STEPS$ : 1000

### 1. SubExperiment

#### a. Parameters:

- ThreadsPerBlock: dim3(32,32)
- BlocksPerGrid: dim(128,128)

#### b. Results:

- CPU Time: 41.426secs
- GPU Total: 11.245secs
- GPU Iterations: 10.185secs
- Implications:

1. It takes 10ms to process 1 iteration for the GPU

### 2. SubExperiment

#### a. Parameters:

- ThreadsPerBlock: dim3(16,16)
- BlocksPerGrid: dim(128,128)

- b. Results:
  - i. CPU Time: 40.829secs
  - ii. GPU Total: 5.731secs
  - iii. GPU Iterations: 4.68secs
  - iv. Implications:
    - 1. It took ~4.68ms to process 1 iteration for the GPU with a quarter the size of maximum available threads per block
    - 2.  $16 \times 16 = 256$
- 3. SubExperiment
  - a. Parameters:
    - i. ThreadsPerBlock: dim3(32,16)
    - ii. BlocksPerGrid: dim(128,128)
  - b. Results:
    - i. CPU Time: 40.863secs
    - ii. GPU Total: 6.917secs
    - iii. GPU Iterations: 5.878secs
    - iv. Implications:
      - 1. It took ~5.6, ~6ms to complete 1 iteration, greater than SubExperiment 2 but less than SubExperiment1
- 4. SubExperiment
  - a. Parameters:
    - i. ThreadsPerBlock: dim3(8,8)
    - ii. BlocksPerGrid: dim(128,128)
  - b. Results:
    - i. CPU Time: ----
    - ii. GPU Total: 3.961secs
    - iii. GPU Iterations: 3.833secs
    - iv. Implications:
      - 1. It took ~3.833, ~4ms to complete 1 iteration
      - 2. Seems that the lesser the #threads per block, greater the performance for 1000x1000 matrices

TODO: Reducing #Threads Per Block increases performance even for 4000x4000 case??

TODO: Does Maintaining balance has same effects? Performance ~ =

BLOCKSEXTHREADS\_PER\_BLOCK for some size?

TODO: Do reducing the number of threads while increasing thread internal iteration results in an improvement?

## Experiment 2

### Experiment Parameters:

- N: 4000
- N\_STEPS: 1000

### 1. SubExperiment

#### a. Parameters:

- i. ThreadsPerBlock dim3(16,16)
  - ii. BlocksPerGrid dim3(128,128)
- b. Results:
  - i. CPU Time: 779.993
  - ii. GPU Total:59.759
  - iii. GPU Iteration: 58.573
  - iv. Implications:

## Bibliography

[]

[https://web.archive.org/web/20100718140020/http://www.swarm.org/images/1/10/How-to\\_set\\_up\\_%26\\_use\\_Eclipse\\_with\\_Mason.pdf](https://web.archive.org/web/20100718140020/http://www.swarm.org/images/1/10/How-to_set_up_%26_use_Eclipse_with_Mason.pdf)