## Models of Computation Assessed Coursework I

## Ben Brannick

## November 24, 2014

Question 1:

a)

$$\text{E.ADD} \ \frac{(\dagger) \qquad (\ddagger) \qquad 0 = 0 \pm 0}{\langle (\texttt{newpair.fst}) + (\texttt{newpair.snd}), \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle \Downarrow_e \langle (0, \emptyset, (1 \mapsto 0, 2 \mapsto 0, 5 \mapsto 0, 6 \mapsto 0) \rangle}$$

$$(\dagger) \equiv \frac{1 \not \in \mathrm{dom}(h) \qquad (1+1) \not \in \mathrm{dom}(h)}{\langle \mathtt{newpair}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle \Downarrow_e \langle \lceil 1 \rceil, \emptyset, (1 \mapsto 0, 2 \mapsto 0) \rangle} \\ \langle \mathtt{newpair.fst}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle \Downarrow_e \langle 0, \emptyset, (1 \mapsto 0, 2 \mapsto 0) \rangle$$

$$(\ddagger) \equiv \text{E.SND} \ \frac{5 \notin \text{dom}(h) \qquad (5+1) \notin \text{dom}(h)}{\langle \texttt{newpair}, \emptyset, (1 \mapsto 0, 2 \mapsto 0) \rangle \biguplus_e \langle \lceil 5 \rceil, \emptyset, (1 \mapsto 0, 2 \mapsto 0, 5 \mapsto 0, 6 \mapsto 0) \rangle}{\langle \texttt{newpair.snd}, \emptyset, (1 \mapsto 0, 2 \mapsto 0) \rangle \biguplus_e \langle 0, \emptyset, (1 \mapsto 0, 2 \mapsto 0, 5 \mapsto 0, 6 \mapsto 0) \rangle}$$

b)

The semantics is not deterministic, as there is more than one choice for the value of a in E.NEW. This means one program could choose a value of 1 for a, whereas another could choose 2.

c)

The semantics is not total, as not all expressions will evaluate to an answer. For example, the expression 1.snd is a valid expression, however it requires  $\langle 1, s, h \rangle \Downarrow_e \langle \lceil a \rceil, s', h' \rangle$  for some a, which is not possible as according to rule E.NUM,  $\langle 1, s, h \rangle \Downarrow_e \langle 1, s, h \rangle$ 

As mentioned above, it is not the case that, for any value v or state s,  $\langle 1.\operatorname{snd}, s, h \rangle \downarrow_e \langle v, s', h' \rangle$ .

Question 2:

a)

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{B.TRUE} \ \overline{\langle \text{true}, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{true}, s, h \rangle} \\ \text{B.AND.TRUE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{true}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.AND.LEFTFALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{true}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.AND.RIGHTFALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.AND.RIGHTFALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.AND.BOTHFALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{true}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.AND.BOTHFALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.AND.BOTHFALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.TRUE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NOT.FALSE} \ \overline{\langle B_1, s, h \rangle \ \psi_b \ \langle \text{false}, s', h' \rangle} \\ \text{B.NO$$

b)
The expression (newpair) could cause

$$\langle E=E,s,h \rangle \Downarrow_e \langle \mathtt{false},s',h' \rangle,$$

as the memory address chosen for **newpair** is not constrained to a single choice. This can be proven using the derivation:

$$\text{B.EQ.FALSE} \ \frac{(\dagger) \quad (\ddagger) \quad \lceil 1 \rceil \neq \lceil 3 \rceil}{\langle (\texttt{newpair} = \texttt{newpair}), s, h \rangle \ \, \Downarrow_b \ \, \langle \texttt{false}, s'', h'' \rangle}}{\langle (\texttt{newpair}, s, h \rangle \ \, \Downarrow_e \ \, \langle \texttt{false}, s'', h'' \rangle}$$
 
$$(\dagger) \equiv \frac{1 \notin \text{dom}(h) \quad (1+1) \notin \text{dom}(h)}{\langle \texttt{newpair}, s, h \rangle \ \, \Downarrow_e \ \, \langle \lceil 1 \rceil, s, h [1 \mapsto 0, 2 \mapsto 0] \rangle}$$
 
$$(\ddagger) \equiv \frac{3 \notin \text{dom}(h) \quad (3+1) \notin \text{dom}(h)}{\langle \texttt{newpair}, s, h \rangle \ \, \Downarrow_e \ \, \langle \lceil 3 \rceil, s, h [3 \mapsto 0, 4 \mapsto 0] \rangle}$$

Question 3 a)

$$\text{C.SEQ} \; \frac{(\dagger) \qquad (\ddagger)}{\langle x := c.\mathtt{fst}; \mathtt{fst}[c] \leftarrow y; c := c.\mathtt{snd}, s, h \rangle \; \Downarrow_c \langle s'', h' \rangle}$$

$$(\dagger) \equiv \frac{c \in \text{dom}(s)}{\text{E.FST}} \frac{c \in \text{dom}(s)}{\langle c, s, h \rangle \downarrow_e \langle \lceil 5 \rceil, s, h \rangle}}{\langle c.\text{fst}, s, h \rangle \downarrow_e \langle 3, s, h \rangle} \frac{\langle c.\text{fst}, s, h \rangle \downarrow_e \langle 3, s, h \rangle}{\langle x := c.\text{fst}, s, h \rangle \downarrow_c \langle s[x \mapsto 3], h \rangle}$$

$$(\ddagger) \equiv {}^{\mathrm{C.SEQ}} \, \frac{(\ddagger') \qquad (\ddagger'')}{\langle \mathtt{fst}[c] \leftarrow y; c := c.\mathtt{snd}, s', h \rangle \Downarrow_c \langle s'', h' \rangle}$$

$$(\ddagger') \equiv \frac{c \in \mathrm{dom}(s)}{c \cdot \mathrm{C.STOR.FST}} \frac{c \in \mathrm{dom}(s)}{\langle c, s', h \rangle \Downarrow_e \langle \lceil 5 \rceil, s', h \rangle} \qquad \text{E.VAR } \frac{y \in \mathrm{dom}(s)}{\langle y, s', h \rangle \Downarrow_e \langle 12, s', h \rangle} \qquad 5 \in \mathrm{dom}(h)}{\langle \mathrm{fst}[c] \leftarrow y, s', h \rangle \Downarrow_c \langle s', h[5 \mapsto 12] \rangle}$$

$$(\ddagger'') \equiv {^{\text{C.ASSIGN}}} \frac{c \in \text{dom}(s)}{\frac{c, s', h'}{\langle c, s', h' \rangle \Downarrow_e \langle \ulcorner 5 \urcorner, s', h' \rangle}}{\frac{c}{\langle c. \text{snd}, s', h \rangle \Downarrow_e \langle \ulcorner 3 \urcorner, s', h' \rangle}}{\frac{c}{\langle c. \text{snd}, s', h' \rangle \Downarrow_e \langle s' [c \mapsto \ulcorner 3 \urcorner], h' \rangle}}$$

Where:

$$s' \equiv s[x \mapsto 3],$$
  
$$s'' \equiv s'[c \mapsto \lceil 3 \rceil],$$
  
$$h' \equiv h[5 \mapsto 12]$$

b) 
$$\langle C, s, h \rangle \downarrow_{\mathcal{C}} \langle s', h' \rangle$$

Where:

$$s' \equiv (hd \mapsto \lceil 1 \rceil, c \mapsto 0, x \mapsto \lceil 1 \rceil, y \mapsto 3)$$
$$h' \equiv (1 \mapsto 7, 2 \mapsto \lceil 5 \rceil, 3 \mapsto 3, 4 \mapsto 0, 5 \mapsto 7, 6 \mapsto \lceil 3 \rceil)$$

## Question 4

- a)
- i.  $h \Vdash \lceil 3 \rceil : ((nat, (nat, nat)), nat)$
- ii. There is no type  $\tau$  such that  $h \Vdash \lceil 9 \rceil : \tau$
- iii. As the type  $\tau_2$  (Where  $h \Vdash \lceil 1 + 1 \rceil : \tau_2$ ) relies on the type  $\tau$ , where  $\tau \equiv (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ , there is a cycle on type reliance, so there is no type  $\tau'$  such that  $h \Vdash \lceil 1 \rceil : \tau'$ 
  - b)
  - i.  $\Gamma; s; h \vdash \mathsf{well}$ -typed, as both  $\Gamma$  and s&h agree on the types of x&z
- ii. Here, it is not the case that  $\Gamma; s; h \vdash \mathsf{well-typed},$  as  $\Gamma \vdash x : (nat, (nat, nat)),$  but in s; h,  $x \mapsto nat$ 
  - iii. Again,  $\Gamma; s; h \vdash \mathsf{well-typed}$
  - c) SEE ATTACHED PAPER