

Numerical N-body simulation of stellar systems began in the 1960s^[1] and can offer valuable insights into Globular Clusters, groups of a few hundred thousand stars with a radius of $\sim 1\text{--}10\text{pc}$ ^[2]. This simulation calculates the force between each pair of particles then applies a Leapfrog integration scheme to update particle positions and velocities over a given timestep. This scheme was used to study the dynamics and evolution of globular clusters.



Modelling

We can express relaxation time using $\sigma = \sqrt{\langle v^2 \rangle / 3}$ and ρ the particle mass density, as^[3]:

$$t_{rel} = \frac{\alpha \sigma^3}{G^2 m \rho \ln \Lambda} \quad (1)$$

Where α and Λ are dimensionless factors whose calculation complicates the problem. In particular, $\ln \Lambda$ is the Coulomb logarithm. Considering the half-mass relaxation time yields^[4]:

$$t_{rh} \approx \frac{\gamma N t_{dyn}}{\ln \lambda N} \quad (2)$$

Here, $t_{dyn} = r_{h.mass}^{3/2} / \sqrt{Gm}$ is the dynamical time and λN approximates Λ . The dependence of t_{rh} on particle mass m , and N was tested. The assumption was made that within the half-mass radius the particle density is constant, and outside the half-mass radius is negligible.

Simulation Conditions

The particles were distributed uniformly according to a 3D Poisson Disk sampling^[4] method in a sphere of $r = 10^{18}\text{m}$. The particles begin at rest, and the simulation timestep is set to balance error with processing time. The system initially collapses due to its excess gravitational potential energy (see Fig. 1), then settles over time to an approximate equilibrium state. It was assumed that the energy peak linearly correlates to half-mass relaxation time, t_{rh} .




Fig 1: Graph of energy over time simulated for 1000 particles with blue and red lines showing kinetic and gravitational potential energy respectively. Yellow line marks minimum mean radius at $t = 4.504 \times 10^{15}$ s. Purple line shows virial energy, $2K_T + U_T$.




Fig 2: Heatmap of collapse time using observation model. Markers show simulations used in the fit, and their colours demonstrate their normalised residuals. Contour lines show lines of constant collapse time. The model minimised χ^2_v at $t_c = 2.0(2) \times 10^{31} m^{-0.502(1)} n^{-0.530(1)}$ with a mean normalised residual of 0.0146.

Results, Errors, & Further Research

The results were fitted to two models. The first was suggested to be of the form $t = am^{-b}n^{-c}$ (Model I). The second followed Eq. 2: $t = am^{-b}n / \ln cn$ (Model II). Model I (Fig 2) was accurate, with $\chi^2_{v,min} = 4.63$. Model II was found to be inaccurate with large $\chi^2_{v,min}$. However, Giersz & Heggie's^[5] value of λ was found to be accurate ($\lambda_{II} = 0.113(2)$). Although χ^2_I is small, the model fit may be inaccurate for high masses. To keep conditions constant, the timestep was not varied, which became an issue at high mass & particle number due to the smaller number of steps needed to simulate the system, so uncertainty in these parameters may be underestimated. The relation between the peak and predicted relaxation time may also be investigated further.