

Jialin Li

Professor Phillips (Renyu) Zhang

Kaggle Competition Report

Due: 2021/4/12

Classification Problem

Before writing the code, I plan the steps for the classification problem:

1. **Data Preparation**
 - a) Drop unnecessary data (rough drop, a further drop will be decided by testing on baseline model)
 - b) Dummy textual information to binary int
 - c) Split the training data frame into "training set" and "testing set"
 - d) Standardization (in case I need KNN classification)
2. **Choose model and features**
 - a) Fit different baseline model for all features
 - b) Choose the best Model and find each feature's importance, abandon insignificant features
3. **Feature Engineering and Fine Tune** for the best model
 - a) Feature engineering
 - i. PCA/ Polynomial Features
 - b) Fine-tune
 - i. Grid Search or Manually fine-tune
 - c) Re-determine feature and parameters
4. **Retrain** the model on the whole training data frame using the best model found
5. **Make predictions** on the testing data frame

STEP 1

Dropping Features:

At first, I **drop** all id-related features since they obviously cannot provide important information about the action. Meanwhile, since the “source type” may not be detectable in real-life scenario, I **drop** the ‘source_type’ information to avoid an overly optimistic prediction.

Dummy Features:

I **dummy** “weather_grade”.

Standardize before training:

After **splitting** the data, I **standardize** them using standardscaler.

STEP 2

Decide on the best baseline model:

I **train** 5 baseline models and observe their performances on fscore. (Rank of fscore: BINARY LR < CART < RANDOM FOREST < KNN < XGBOOST). In other words, Xgboosts performs best in simulating the real action determine pattern of the courier. Therefore, I choose to use Xgboost.

```
> ▶ MI

# # Fit a Baseline Model with all features
# # XgBoost
# import xgboost as xgb
# data_train=xgb.DMatrix(data=X_train_st,label=y_train)
# data_test=xgb.DMatrix(data=X_test_st,label=y_test)

# Action_type_xgbt=xgb.XGBClassifier().fit(X_train_st,y_train)

# y_pred=Action_type_xgbt.predict(X_test_st)
# fbeta=fbeta_score(y_test, y_pred, beta=0.5)
# print('The Fbeta_score for XgBoost is', fbeta)
# print(X_train.columns.values)
# print('The features importance is shown here:',Action_type_xgbt.feature_importances_ )
```

In previous trials: The Fbeta_score for XgBoost is 0.8124462981252886

From the Fbeta_score listed above, xgBoost should be the best model. Further fine tune for it.

Decide on the best feature combinations:

I print the feature importance on the baseline model and narrow down the feature needed. In this dataset, I choose to abandon those features with importance less than 0.03. This improves the model’s fscore by 0.02

```
> ▶ MI

# Fit a Baseline Model with all features
# XgBoost
import xgboost as xgb
data_train=xgb.DMatrix(data=X_train_st,label=y_train)
data_test=xgb.DMatrix(data=X_test_st,label=y_test)

Action_type_xgbt=xgb.XGBClassifier().fit(X_train_st,y_train)

y_pred=Action_type_xgbt.predict(X_test_st)
fbeta=fbeta_score(y_test, y_pred, beta=0.5)
print('The Fbeta_score for XgBoost is', fbeta)
print(X_train.columns.values)
print('The features importance is shown here:',Action_type_xgbt.feature_importances_ )

C:\Users\gzlij\AppData\Local\Programs\Python\Python37\lib\site-packages\xgboost\sklearn.py:888: UserWarning: The use of label encoder in XGBClassifier is deprecated and will be removed in a future release. To remove this warning, do the following: 1) Pass option use_label_encoder=False when constructing XGBClassifier object; and 2) Encode your labels (y) as integers starting with 0, i.e. 0, 1, 2, ..., [num_class - 1].
  warnings.warn(label_encoder_deprecation_msg, UserWarning)
[18:46:26] WARNING: C:/Users/Administrator/workspace/xgboost-win64_release-1.3.0/src/learner.cc:1061: Starting in XGBoost 1.3.0, the default evaluation metric used with the objective 'binary:logistic' was changed from 'error' to 'logloss'. Explicitly set eval_metric if you'd like to restore the old behavior.
The Fbeta_score for XgBoost is 0.8428487284676511
['courier_wave_start_lng' 'courier_wave_start_lat' 'target_lng'
 'target_lat' 'grid_distance' 'urgency']
The features importance is shown here: [0.08255818 0.0897366 0.06423002 0.05700229 0.42079422 0.2856787 ]
```

STEP 3

Feature Engineering:

Since PCA and Polynomial features didn't give me good results, I don't use feature engineering on this question anymore. (Previously, I tried cluster hour information by dividing them into rush hour and not-busy hour. But it gives a worse result.)

Fine-tune:

Later, with 40+ times manual trials, I finalized **my parameter list**: (gamma=2, max_depth=12, learning_rate=1, n_estimators=120).

```
import xgboost as xgb
data_train=xgb.DMatrix(data=X_train_st,label=y_train)
data_test=xgb.DMatrix(data=X_test_st,label=y_test)

clf=xgb.XGBClassifier(gamma=2,max_depth=12,learning_rate=1,n_estimators=120)
poly_pipe=make_pipeline(clf)
Action_type_xgbt=poly_pipe.fit(X_train_st,y_train)
y_pred=Action_type_xgbt.predict(X_test_st)
fbeta=fbeta_score(y_test, y_pred, beta=0.5)
print('The Fbeta_score for XgBoost is', fbeta)
print(X_train.columns.values)
print('The features importance is shown here:',clf.feature_importances_ )
```

C:\Users\gzLij\AppData\Local\Programs\Python\Python37\lib\site-packages\xgboost\sklearn.py:888: UserWarning: The use of label encoder in XGBClassifier is deprecated and will be removed in a future release. To remove this warning, do the following: 1) Pass option use_label_encoder=False when constructing XGBClassifier object; and 2) Encode your labels (y) as integers starting with 0, i.e. 0, 1, 2, ..., [num_class - 1].

warnings.warn(label_encoder_deprecation_msg, UserWarning)

[18:46:49] WARNING: C:/Users/Administrator/workspace/xgboost-win64_release_1.3.0/src/learner.cc:1061: Starting in XGBoost 1.3.0, the default evaluation metric used with the objective 'binary:logistic' was changed from 'error' to 'logloss'. Explicitly set eval_metric if you'd like to restore the old behavior.

The Fbeta_score for XgBoost is 0.9396499026068216

['courier_wave_start_lng' 'courier_wave_start_lat' 'target_lng' 'target_lat' 'grid_distance' 'urgency']

The features importance is shown here: [0.08957425 0.0890241 0.12085743 0.1237528 0.31945175 0.25733972]

STEP 4 & STEP 5

At last, I retrain the model with the above parameters on the whole dataframe_train and predict the dataframe_test data based using the finalized model.

order	action_type_DELIVERY
0	0
1	1
2	0
3	1
4	0
5	0
6	1
7	1
8	0
9	1
10	0

Regression Problem

Before writing the code, I plan the steps for the regression problem:

1. **Data Preparation**
 - a) Drop unnecessary data (rough drop, further drop will be decided by testing on baseline model)
 - b) Dummy textual information to binary int
 - c) Split the training data frame into "training set" and "testing set"
 - d) Standardization
2. **Choose model and features**
 - a) Fit different baseline model for all features
 - b) Choose the best Model and find each feature's importance, abandon insignificant features
3. **Feature Engineering and Fine Tune** for the best model
 - a) Feature engineering
 - i. PCA/ Polynomial Features
 - b) Fine-tune
 - i. Grid Search or Manually fine-tune
 - c) Re-determine features and parameters
4. **Retrain** the model on the whole training data frame using the best model found
5. **Make predictions** on the testing data frame

STEP 1

Dropping features:

At first, I **drop** all id-related features since they obviously cannot provide important information about the expected use time.

Dummy features:

I dummy ['source_type','action_type','weather_grade'].

Standardize before training:

After **splitting** the data, I **standardize** them using standardscaler.

STEP 2

Model Selection:

I train 4 baseline models and observe their performances on the MAE score. (MAE Score Rank: Logistic Regression > Tree Regressor > Random Forest \approx KNN > Xgboost) Therefore, I choose to use Xgboost.

Feature Selection:

I print the feature importance on the baseline model. When narrowing down the features, the result is not as good as the original one. So I just keep my features to be:

['grid_distance' , 'urgency','hour' , 'expected_use_time','speed','weather_grade_Slightly Bad Weather' , 'weather_grade_Very Bad Weather' , 'source_type_DELIVERY' , 'source_type_PICKUP']

```
import xgboost as xgb
data_train=xgb.DMatrix(data=X_train_st,label=y_train)
data_test=xgb.DMatrix(data=X_test_st,label=y_test)

xgb_reg=xgb.XGBRegressor(objective='reg:squarederror',colsample_bynode=0.8, gamma=0.001,max_depth=5,n_estimators=20)

xgb_reg.fit(X_train_st,y_train)
y_pred=xgb_reg.predict(X_test_st)
MAE_score=MAE(y_test, y_pred)
print(MAE_score)
print(X_train.columns.values)
print('feature importance: ',xgb_reg.feature_importances_)

201.55847429384917
['wave_index' 'courier_wave_start_lng' 'courier_wave_start_lat' 'group'
 'level' 'speed' 'grid_distance' 'urgency' 'hour' 'source_type_DELIVERY'
 'source_type_PICKUP' 'weather_grade_Normal Weather'
 'weather_grade_Slightly Bad Weather' 'weather_grade_Very Bad Weather']
feature importance: [0.01206657 0.0053394 0.00683972 0.00314411 0.00309758 0.01142361
 0.20503186 0.09495062 0.01257664 0.47133318 0.15356338 0.00450212
 0.00287434 0.01325687]
```

STEP 3

I manually fine tune for the xgboost and find that the **best parameter combination** is (colsample_bynode=0.8, gamma=0.001,max_depth=6,n_estimators=5).

```
import xgboost as xgb
data_train=xgb.DMatrix(data=X_train_st,label=y_train)
data_test=xgb.DMatrix(data=X_test_st,label=y_test)

xgb_reg=xgb.XGBRegressor(colsample_bynode=0.8, gamma=0.001,max_depth=6,n_estimators=5)

xgb_reg.fit(X_train_st,y_train)
y_pred=xgb_reg.predict(X_test_st)
MAE_score=MAE(y_test, y_pred)
print(MAE_score)
print(X_train.columns.values)
print(xgb_reg.feature_importances_)

195.42389335326789
['wave_index' 'courier_wave_start_lng' 'courier_wave_start_lat' 'group'
 'level' 'speed' 'grid_distance' 'urgency' 'hour' 'source_type_DELIVERY'
 'source_type_PICKUP' 'weather_grade_Normal Weather'
 'weather_grade_Slightly Bad Weather' 'weather_grade_Very Bad Weather']
[1.1109776e-02 1.3143742e-03 1.1016952e-03 3.3782487e-04 3.6354913e-04
 5.5715553e-03 2.0762073e-01 9.5536508e-02 6.7298920e-03 4.7606575e-01
 1.8716000e-01 0.0000000e+00 0.0000000e+00 7.0883459e-03]
```

STEP 4 & STEP 5

I **retrain** the model with the above parameters on the whole dataframe_train and **predict** the dataframe_test data based using the finalized model.

order	expected_use_time
0	460.1804
1	342.898
2	396.779
3	350.4547
4	456.3596
5	135.3047
6	450.0731
7	409.1506
8	526.4426
9	303.8695
10	428.1351