# Logistic Regression with Python

José Luis Higuera Caraveo

Febrero 28 2022

# 1 Logistic Regression

Logistic regression is a type of regression analysis used to predict the result of a categorical variable, that is, used to classify a data set according to the possible categories given by the variable to be predicted.

#### 1.0.1 Hypothesis

The algorithm predicts the probability that a certain example belongs to a certain category. But, for each case study, an approval threshold have to be specified, it is a number from 0 to 1, given by the user, who will determine, if the probability is greater than this threshold, then this example belongs to a certain category.

Having this clear, our hypothesis is as follows:

We are going to use a threshold of 0.5, therefore:

- If  $h_0(x) \ge 0.5$ , the prediction will be "y = 1"
- If  $h_0(x) \le 0.5$ , the prediction will be "y = 0"

Note that  $0 \le h_0 \le 1$ .

And how to get  $h_0$ :

$$h_0(x) = g(\theta_0 + \theta_1 \cdot x_1 + \theta_2 \cdot x_2 + \dots + \theta_n \cdot x_n) = g(z)$$

where:

• 
$$g(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^T \cdot X}}$$

Once we analyze the formulas. First, the value of  $\theta^T \cdot X$  is obtained and a function is applied to this result which can convert the result of  $\theta^T \cdot X$  to one that ranges from 0 to 1, and thus, given the threshold, we can decide if we can classify that example as "y = 1" or "y = 0".

This function is called the sigmoid function:

$$Sigmoid = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-X}}$$

#### 1.0.2 Cost Function

The cost function for a linear regression is as follows:

$$J(\theta_0, \ \theta_1) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (h_0(x^i) - y^i)^2$$

For logistic regression we cannot use this one, since this will result in a non-convex function, so it would never be possible to find an optimal global minimum to minimize it.

We have to modify this function in order to work with the two possible values "y = 1" and "y = 0". Our cost function would look like this:

$$Cost(h_0(x), y) = \begin{cases} -log(h_0(x)) & \text{if } y = 1\\ -log(1 - h_0(x)) & \text{if } y = 0 \end{cases}$$

But the above may be difficult to understand, for this we simplify the function as follows:

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} Cost(h_0(x_i), y_i)$$

Taking as reference the equations for "y = 1" and "y = 0", we have a formula where we can work with the two possible results:

$$J(\theta) = -\frac{1}{m} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i log(h_0(x_i)) + (1 - y_i) log(1 - h_0(x_i)) \right]$$

To make the prediction of a new element x:

Output 
$$h_0(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^T x}}$$

#### 1.0.3 Gradient Descent

How do we get the optimal  $\theta$ ?

As in the linear regression algorithm, we will use gradient descent to help us find these parameters. The algorithm is as follows:

Repeat until converge {

}

$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_0(x^i) - y^i) \cdot x_j^i$$

where: \*  $\alpha$  is the learning rate.

We notice that the algorithm looks identical to the linear regression algorithm, but we must make something clear, now, the function to calculate  $h_0(x)$  is different, you have to use:

$$h_0(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^T x}}$$

## 1.0.4 Code Implementation

An example dataset that helps us understand how the logistic regression algorithm is used is the dataset for predicting malignant or benign tumors based on certain characteristics.

The dataset that will be used provides us with characteristics of the tumors such as

- identification
- diagnosis
- average\_radius
- medium texture
- mean perimeter
- mean area
- medium smooth
- $\bullet$  medium\_compactness
- half concavity
- concave\_mean points
- mean\_symmetry

Among other important information. For this, we will try to predict the diagnosis (M = Malignant, B = Benign) according to the specifications of each tumor.

The data is found in the following link: https://www.kaggle.com/yasserh/breast-cancer-dataset

```
[1]: import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import matplotlib
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
matplotlib.style.use('ggplot')
```

[2]:		id di	iagnosis	radius_mean	texture_mean	perimeter_mean	area_mean	\
	0	842302	М	17.99	10.38	122.80	1001.0	
	1	842517	M	20.57	17.77	132.90	1326.0	
	2	84300903	M	19.69	21.25	130.00	1203.0	
	3	84348301	M	11.42	20.38	77.58	386.1	
	4	84358402	M	20.29	14.34	135.10	1297.0	
		smoothness_	_mean con	npactness_mean	concavity_me	ean concave poi	nts_mean \	
	0	0.1	11840	0.27760	0.30	001	0.14710	
	1	0.0	08474	0.07864	0.08	369	0.07017	
	2	0.1	10960	0.15990	0.19	974	0.12790	
	3	0.1	L4250	0.28390	0.24	114	0.10520	
	4	0.1	10030	0.13280	0.19	980	0.10430	

```
perimeter_worst
      radius_worst
                     texture_worst
                                                        area_worst
0
              25.38
                              17.33
                                               184.60
                                                             2019.0
1
              24.99
                              23.41
                                               158.80
                                                             1956.0
2
              23.57
                              25.53
                                                             1709.0
                                               152.50
3
              14.91
                              26.50
                                                98.87
                                                              567.7
4
              22.54
                              16.67
                                               152.20
                                                             1575.0
                      compactness_worst
                                                              concave points worst
   smoothness worst
                                           concavity_worst
             0.1622
                                  0.6656
                                                     0.7119
                                                                             0.2654
0
             0.1238
1
                                  0.1866
                                                     0.2416
                                                                             0.1860
2
             0.1444
                                  0.4245
                                                     0.4504
                                                                             0.2430
3
              0.2098
                                  0.8663
                                                     0.6869
                                                                             0.2575
4
             0.1374
                                  0.2050
                                                     0.4000
                                                                             0.1625
   symmetry_worst
                    fractal_dimension_worst
0
           0.4601
                                      0.11890
           0.2750
1
                                      0.08902
2
           0.3613
                                      0.08758
3
           0.6638
                                      0.17300
           0.2364
                                      0.07678
```

We have 569 examples and 30 characteristics, we do not take into account the ID, nor the diagnosis.

```
[3]: data['diagnosis'][data['diagnosis'] == 'M'].count(), \
data['diagnosis'][data['diagnosis'] == 'B'].count()
```

[3]: (212, 357)

[5 rows x 32 columns]

The dataset contains 212 cases of malignant tumors and 357 cases of benign tumors.

When a regression algorithm is going to be applied, it is important to verify that the data which it is going to work is numerical. Otherwise, some transformation work will have to be done to help us convert the categorical variables to numeric.

```
[57]: data.info()
```

<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 569 entries, 0 to 568
Data columns (total 32 columns):

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	id	569 non-null	int64
1	diagnosis	569 non-null	object
2	radius_mean	569 non-null	float64
3	texture_mean	569 non-null	float64
4	perimeter_mean	569 non-null	float64

```
5
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
     area mean
6
     {\tt smoothness\_mean}
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
7
     compactness_mean
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
8
     concavity_mean
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
9
     concave points mean
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
    symmetry mean
10
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
    fractal dimension mean
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
12
    radius_se
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
    texture se
                               569 non-null
13
                                                float64
14
    perimeter_se
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
    area_se
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
15
16
    smoothness_se
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
    compactness_se
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
17
     concavity_se
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
19
     concave points_se
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
20
    symmetry_se
21
    fractal_dimension_se
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
22
    radius_worst
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
23
    texture_worst
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
24
    perimeter worst
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
                                                float64
25
    area worst
                               569 non-null
    smoothness worst
26
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
27
    compactness_worst
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
28
    concavity worst
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
29
    concave points_worst
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
     symmetry_worst
30
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
     fractal_dimension_worst
                               569 non-null
                                                float64
dtypes: float64(30), int64(1), object(1)
memory usage: 142.4+ KB
```

All of our data is numeric, so no transformation is necessary before applying the algorithm, also we do not have null data.

```
[4]: # Variables to be used for the algorithm
X = data.iloc[:, 2:]
m = len(X)
y = data['diagnosis']
```

The variable to predict is categorical, so it has to be transformed to numeric. Normally an encoding technique is used, but in this case study we have two possible outcomes, therefore:

- y = 1 when the diagnosis is "M".
- y = 0 when the diagnosis is "B".

```
[5]: y = y.apply(lambda x: 0 if x == 'B' else 1)
```

In order to handle a vectorized solution, it is necessary to add a column of ones at the beginning of the X variables, this helps us that  $\theta_0$  is not modified.

```
[6]: ones = [1] * len(X)
      X.insert(0, 'ones', ones)
      X = X.values
 [8]: import warnings
      warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')
[10]: # Sigmoid function
      def sigmoid(x):
          return 1/(1 + np.e**(-x))
      # Getting Predictions
      def get_y_pred(x, thetas):
          return sigmoid(x.dot(thetas.T))
      # Getting the cost
      def get_cost(y, y_pred):
          cost = 0
          for i in range(m):
              cost += (y[i] * np.log(y_pred[i])) + ((1-y[i]) * np.log(1-y_pred[i]))
          return -1 * (1/m) * cost
      # Gradient Descent
      def get_gradient(x, y, n_iter, thetas, alpha=0.01):
          for i in range(n_iter):
              y_pred = get_y_pred(x, thetas)
              thetas = thetas - (alpha * ((1 / m)*((y_pred - y).T.dot(x))))
          return thetas
      # Optimal theta
      initial_thetas = np.zeros([X.shape[1]]).T
      n_iter = 100000
      thetas = get_gradient(X, y, n_iter, initial_thetas)
      # Obtaining the predictions according to the optimal theta.
      y_pred = get_y_pred(X, thetas)
      # Get 0 or 1 according to the threshold.
      threshold = 0.5
      y_pred2 = [1 if pred > threshold else 0 for pred in y_pred]
```

The best way to check how well our model is predicting is to use a confusion matrix, this helps us check for true positives, true negatives, false positives and false negatives.

The Sklearn library provides us with a function to obtain this matrix. Which results in an array as follows:

$$\left( egin{array}{ll} True\ Positives & False\ Positives \ False\ Negatives & True\ Negatives \ \end{array} 
ight)$$

This matrix also helps us calculate:

• Accuracy: Of the predictions, what is the proportion that the algorithm predicts correctly.

$$Accuracy = \frac{True\ Positives\ +\ True\ Negatives}{m}$$

• Precision: Of the total number of positive predictions, what proportion is actually true positive.

$$Precision = \frac{True\ Positives}{True\ Positives\ +\ False\ Positives}$$

• Recall: Of the total number of positive predictions, what proportion actually predicts as a true positive.

$$Recall = \frac{True\ Positives}{True\ Positives\ +\ False\ Negatives}$$

Accuracy: 0.9121265377855887, Presicion: 0.9971988795518207, Recall: 0.8790123456790123

Our algorithm obtains an accuracy of almost 100%, with high precision and recall. Therefore, it is concluded that this algorithm helps to predict with almost 100% accuracy a malignant or benign tumor.

## 1.0.5 Algorithm with Sklearn

```
[12]: from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
      X = data.iloc[:, 2:].values
      m = len(X)
      y = data['diagnosis']
      # Converting categorical data to numeric
      y = y.apply(lambda x: 0 if x == 'B' else 1)
      # Training the model
      model = LogisticRegression()
      model.fit(X, y)
      # Getting predictions
      y_pred = model.predict(X)
      # Confusion Matrix
      conf_matrix = confusion_matrix(y, y_pred2)
      VP = conf_matrix[0][0]
      FP = conf_matrix[0][1]
      VN = conf_matrix[1][1]
      FN = conf_matrix[1][0]
      accuracy = (VP + VN) / m
      presicion = VP / (VP + FP)
      recall = VP / (VP + FN)
      print('Accuracy: {}, Precision: {}, Recall: {}'.format(accuracy, presicion, ___
       →recall))
```

Accuracy: 0.9121265377855887, Precision: 0.9971988795518207, Recall: 0.8790123456790123

We can see how we obtain the same results, but with the advantage that now the procedure is applied with a few lines of code.