### **Project**

**Applying Your Learning** 

# Lab9

## Remember

There is no 100% security

Security, like all engineering, involves tradeoffs

Know what you are trying to secure

The adversary...





## Project -- Randomizer

Network	Number of Nodes per Session	Nature of Security	Channel	Hardware Acceleration	Message Rate
CAN	Fixed; N=5	Secure each message	1	Symmetric only	10 msg/sec
CAN FD	Dynamic; N=315	Secure the control loop	8	Symm & Asymm	1,000 msg/sec

## Project – Randomizer Results

#### **Student 1**

CAN

Dynamic; N = 3..15

Secure Each Message

1 Channel

Symm and Asymm

1000 msg/sec

#### **Student 2**

CAN

Fixed; N = 5

Secure Each Message

1 Channel

Symm Only

1000 msg/sec

#### **Student 3**

CAN

Dynamic; N = 3..15

Secure the Control Loop

8 Channels

Symm Only

1000 msg/sec

#### **Student 4**

CAN FD

Fixed; N = 5

Secure Each Message

8 Channels

Symm and Asymm

10 msg/sec

#### **Student 5**

**CAN FD** 

Dynamic; N = 3..15

Secure the Control Loop

1 Channel

Symm Only

1000 msg/sec

#### **Student 6**

CAN

Dynamic; N = 3..15

Secure Each Message

1 Channel

Symm and Asymm

10 msg/sec

## Schedule

- 12 APR Topic Review / Project Launch
- 19 APR [DEFEND] Design Review: **Key Management**
- 26 APR [DEFEND] Design Review: Secure Message Exchange
- 03MAY [ATTACK] Analysis: Attack Plan for Two Designs

## Administration

- For each design review
  - Post an updated slide deck (~3 slides) to MS Teams
  - The review will have a Q&A component

- For attack plan
  - Are there weaknesses in the design concept?
  - Are there weaknesses in a likely embedded implementation?
  - Consider Meddler-in-the-Middle (MitM)
  - Consider the difficulty in Key Managment