SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN THE	SUPREME COURT	OF THE	UNITED	STATES
			_	
THOMAS E. DOB	BS, STATE HEAL	ГН)	
OFFICER OF TH	E MISSISSIPPI)	
DEPARTMENT OF	HEALTH, ET AL	• ,)	
	Petitioners,)	
V.) No. 3	L9-1392
JACKSON WOMEN	'S HEALTH)	
ORGANIZATION,	ET AL.,)	
	Respondents.)	

Pages: 1 through 114

Place: Washington, D.C.

Date: December 1, 2021

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 206
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 628-4888
www.hrccourtreporters.com

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
2	
3	THOMAS E. DOBBS, STATE HEALTH)
4	OFFICER OF THE MISSISSIPPI)
5	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, ET AL.,)
6	Petitioners,)
7	v.) No. 19-1392
8	JACKSON WOMEN'S HEALTH)
9	ORGANIZATION, ET AL.,)
10	Respondents.)
11	
12	
13	Washington, D.C.
14	Wednesday, December 1, 2021
15	
16	The above-entitled matter came on for
17	oral argument before the Supreme Court of the
18	United States at 10:00 a.m.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	SCOTT G. STEWART, Solicitor General, Jackson,
3	Mississippi; on behalf of the Petitioners.
4	JULIE RIKELMAN, ESQUIRE, New York, New York; on behalf
5	of the Respondents.
6	GEN. ELIZABETH B. PRELOGAR, Solicitor General,
7	Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; for the
8	United States, as amicus curiae, supporting the
9	Respondents.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	PAGE:
3	SCOTT G. STEWART, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioners	4
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	
6	JULIE RIKELMAN, ESQ.	
7	On behalf of the Respondents	47
8	ORAL ARGUMENT OF:	
9	GEN. ELIZABETH B. PRELOGAR, ESQ.	
10	For the United States, as amicus	
11	curiae, supporting the Respondents	84
12	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF:	
13	SCOTT G. STEWART, ESQ.	
14	On behalf of the Petitioners	111
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Δ

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:00 a.m.)
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We will hear
4	argument this morning in Case 19-1392, Dobbs
5	versus Jackson Women's Health Organization.
6	General Stewart.
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF SCOTT G. STEWART
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS
9	MR. STEWART: Mr. Chief Justice, and
10	may it please the Court:
11	Roe versus Wade and Planned Parenthood
12	versus Casey haunt our country. They have no
13	basis in the Constitution. They have no home in
14	our history or traditions. They've damaged the
15	democratic process. They've poisoned the law.
16	They've choked off compromise.
17	For 50 years, they've kept this Court
18	at the center of a political battle that it can
19	never resolve. And 50 years on, they stand
20	alone. Nowhere else does this Court recognize a
21	right to end a human life.
22	Consider this case: The Mississippi
23	law here prohibits abortions after 15 weeks.
24	The law includes robust exceptions for a woman's
25	life and health. It leaves months to obtain an

- 1 abortion. Yet, the courts below struck the law
- 2 down. It didn't matter that the law apply --
- 3 that the law applies when an unborn child is
- 4 undeniably human, when risks to women surge, and
- 5 when the common abortion procedure is brutal.
- 6 The lower courts held that because the law
- 7 prohibits abortions before viability, it is
- 8 unconstitutional no matter what.
- 9 Roe and Casey's core holding,
- 10 according to those courts, is that the people
- can protect an unborn girl's life when she just
- barely can survive outside the womb but not any
- earlier when she needs a little more help. That
- is the world under Roe and Casey.
- 15 That is not the world the Constitution
- 16 promises. The Constitution places its trust in
- 17 the people. On hard issue after hard issue, the
- 18 people make this country work. Abortion is a
- 19 hard issue. It demands the best from all of us,
- 20 not a judgment by just a few of us. When an
- 21 issue affects everyone and when the Constitution
- does not take sides on it, it belongs to the
- 23 people.
- 24 Roe and Casey have failed, but the
- 25 people, if given the chance, will succeed. This

- 1 Court should overrule Roe and Casey and uphold
- 2 the state's law.
- 4 JUSTICE THOMAS: General Stewart, you
- 5 focus on the right to abortion, but our
- 6 jurisprudence seems to -- seem to focus on, in
- 7 Casey, autonomy; in Roe, privacy. Does it make
- 8 a difference that we focus on privacy or
- 9 autonomy or more specifically on abortion?
- 10 MR. STEWART: I think whichever one of
- 11 those you're focusing on, Your Honor,
- 12 particularly if you're focusing on -- on the
- 13 right to abortion, each of those starts to
- 14 become a step removed for what's provided in the
- 15 Constitution. Yes, the Constitution does
- 16 provide certain -- protect certain aspects of
- 17 privacy, of autonomy, and the like. But, as
- this Court said in Glucksberg, going directly
- 19 from general concepts of autonomy, of privacy,
- of bodily integrity, to -- to a right is not how
- 21 we traditionally, this Court traditionally, does
- 22 due process analysis.
- 23 So I think it just confirms, whichever
- one of those you look at, Your Honor, a right to
- 25 abortion is -- is not grounded in the text, and

```
1 it's grounded on abstract concepts that this
```

- 2 Court has rejected in -- in other contexts as
- 3 supplying a substantive right.
- 4 JUSTICE THOMAS: You say that this is
- 5 the only constitutional right that involves the
- 6 taking of a life. What difference does that
- 7 make in your analysis?
- 8 MR. STEWART: Sure, Your Honor. I --
- 9 I -- I think it -- it makes a -- a number of
- 10 differences. One, I -- I'd mention two in
- 11 particular.
- 12 One is it -- it really does mark out
- the unbelievably profound ramifications of this
- 14 area, which, in many other areas, assisted
- 15 suicide, a whole host of important areas that
- are important to dignity, autonomy, freedom, and
- important to matters of conscience, it -- it
- 18 marks it out as one of the unique areas where
- 19 this Court has taken that important issue to the
- 20 people, and it's -- it's something that
- 21 implicates life, and it just, I think, marks
- off, Justice Thomas, how problematic and unusual
- and how much of a break the Court's abortion
- 24 jurisprudence is from those other cases.
- 25 JUSTICE THOMAS: If we don't overrule

- 1 Casey or Roe, do you have a standard that you
- 2 propose other than the viability standard?
- 3 MR. STEWART: It would be, Your Honor,
- 4 a clarified version of the undue burden
- 5 standard. I -- I -- I would -- I would
- 6 emphasize, I -- I think, as Your Honor is
- 7 alluding to, that no standard other than the
- 8 rational basis review that applies to all laws
- 9 will promote an administrable, workable,
- 10 practicable, consistent jurisprudence that put
- 11 -- puts matters back with the people. I think
- 12 anything heightened here is going to be
- 13 problematic.
- But I would say, if the Court were not
- inclined to -- to overrule Casey, the -- the
- 16 choice would be undue burden standard,
- 17 untethered from any bright-line viability rule.
- JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you.
- 19 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, I'd -- I'd like
- 20 to go to a different topic, back to Casey.
- MR. STEWART: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUSTICE BREYER: I assume you've read
- 23 Casey pretty thoroughly.
- MR. STEWART: Yes, Your Honor.
- 25 JUSTICE BREYER: And there are two

- 1 parts. One is they reaffirm Roe. Put that to
- 2 the side. The second is an opinion for the
- 3 Court, not for three people but for the Court,
- 4 and that second part is about what stare decisis
- 5 principles should be used to overrule a case
- 6 like Roe.
- 7 And they say Roe is special. What's
- 8 special about it? They say it's rare. They
- 9 call it a watershed. Why? Because the country
- 10 is divided. Because feelings run high. And yet
- 11 the country, for better or for worse, decided to
- 12 resolve their differences by this Court laying
- down a constitutional principle, in this case,
- 14 women's choice. All right. That's what makes
- 15 it rare.
- That's not what I'm asking about. I
- want your reaction to what they said follows
- 18 from that. What the Court said follows from
- 19 that is that it should be more unwilling to
- 20 overrule a prior case, far more unwilling we
- should be, whether that case is right or wrong,
- than the ordinary case.
- 23 And why? Well, they have a lot of
- words there, but I'll give you about 10 or 20.
- 25 There will be inevitable efforts to overturn it.

- 1 Of course, there will. Feelings run high. And
- 2 it is particularly important to show what we do
- 3 in overturning a case is grounded in principle
- 4 and not social pressure, not political pressure.
- 5 Only "the most convincing
- 6 justification can show that a later decision
- 7 overruling," if that's what we do, "was anything
- 8 but a surrender to political pressures or new
- 9 members." And that is an unjustified
- 10 repudiation of principles on which the Court
- 11 stakes its authority.
- 12 And then there are two sentences I'd
- 13 like to read because they say they really mean
- 14 this, the -- the Court, not just three: To
- overrule under fire in the absence of the most
- 16 compelling reason, to reexamine a watershed
- 17 decision, would subvert the Court's legitimacy
- 18 beyond any serious question.
- 19 And the last sentence, after they
- 20 quote Potter Stewart on the same point, they
- 21 say: Overruling unnecessarily and under
- 22 pressure would lead to condemnation, the Court's
- loss of confidence in the judiciary, the ability
- of the Court to exercise the judicial power and
- 25 to function as the Supreme Court of a nation

- 1 dedicated to the rule of law.
- Now that's the opinion of the Court,
- 3 all right? And it's about stare decisis and how
- 4 we approach it, and I hope everybody reads this.
- 5 It's at 505 U.S. 854 to 869.
- 6 All right. What do you say to that?
- 7 MR. STEWART: Sure, Your -- sure
- 8 Justice Breyer. I -- I would say a couple
- 9 things. I would say we have very closely gone
- 10 through the factors that the Casey court itself
- 11 went through in stare decisis. More than half
- of our brief is devoted to stare decisis. We
- 13 now have 30 years in the wake of Casey to see
- 14 what Casey has done and what it hasn't done.
- 15 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, it's caused
- 16 some bad things and -- in the eyes of some
- people and some good things in the eyes of some
- 18 people.
- 19 MR. STEWART: Your Honor --
- 20 JUSTICE BREYER: All right. All
- 21 right. Go ahead. You --
- 22 MR. STEWART: I'm -- I'm sorry, Your
- 23 Honor. What I'd emphasize, Your Honor, is that
- 24 to the extent that -- that the -- I would not
- 25 say it was the people that -- that called this

- 1 Court to end the controversy. The people -- you
- 2 know, many, many people vocally really just
- 3 wanted to have the matter returned to them so
- 4 that they could decide it -- decide it locally,
- 5 deal with it the way they thought best and at
- 6 least have a fighting chance to have their view
- 7 prevail, which was not given to them under Roe
- 8 and then, as a result, under Casey.
- 9 And -- and I'd also emphasize, Your
- 10 Honor, that on -- on stare decisis, just as I
- 11 said, the last 30 years, workability,
- developments in the law, factual developments
- 13 that states can't account for. I think the
- 14 workability, the undue burden standard alone,
- many problems.
- On all the metrics that Casey was
- describing or the vast bulk of them, Casey
- 18 fails. And I'd also emphasize this as well,
- 19 Justice Breyer, that Casey was not -- was -- was
- 20 not a -- a great example of simply letting
- 21 precedents stand. It -- it recast Roe's
- 22 reasoning. It overruled two of the Court's most
- 23 important abortion decisions. It jettisoned the
- 24 trimester framework of Roe itself and adopted a
- 25 new standard unknown to other parts of the law.

1 Those are not the hallmarks of 2 precedent, and they failed under this Court's stare decisis factors. 3 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. Can I take it 5 that your answer is, yes, you accept the way the 6 special rule, the rule for the rare watershed, 7 the stare decisis principles for deciding whether to overturn such a case as Roe, you 8 9 accept that and you think it's met? 10 MR. STEWART: I would --11 JUSTICE BREYER: Is that right? 12 MR. STEWART: -- I would say yes in 13 part, Your -- Justice Breyer, and here's what 14 I'd emphasize, is that I -- I do think, 15 particularly when Casey looked outward and 16 looked to what it see -- saw as pressure, there 17 were pressure on all sides. As -- as Your Honor noted, this is a hot, difficult issue for 18 19 everyone. It's -- that's why it belongs to the 20 people. 21 And I think the conclusion the Court 22 drew from that, that it couldn't provide a -- a 23 good enough example, that it would look on 24 principle, those conclusions were, with respect, 25 Justice Breyer, mistaken, and the -- the last 30

```
years has -- has not seen any calming of that.
1
 2
      It's been very different than some of the
 3
      others -- the Court's other controversial
      decisions that -- that have seen --
 4
 5
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counsel --
               MR. STEWART: -- much more calm --
 6
 7
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- what hasn't
     been at issue in the last 30 years is the line
 8
 9
      that Casey drew of viability. There has been
10
      some difference of opinion with respect to undue
11
     burden, but the right of a woman to choose, the
12
      right to control her own body, has been clearly
13
      set for -- since Casey and never challenged.
14
                You want us to reject that line of
     viability and adopt something different.
15
16
     Fifteen justices over 50 years have -- or I
17
      should say 30 since Casey have reaffirmed that
18
     basic viability line. Four have said no, two of
19
      them members of this Court. But 15 justices
20
     have said yes, of varying political backgrounds.
21
                Now the sponsors of this bill, the
22
      House bill, in Mississippi, said we're doing it
23
     because we have new justices. The newest ban
24
      that Mississippi has put in place, the six-week
     ban, the Senate sponsors said we're doing it
25
```

- 1 because we have new justices on the Supreme
- 2 Court.
- 3 Will this institution survive the
- 4 stench that this creates in the public
- 5 perception that the Constitution and its reading
- 6 are just political acts?
- 7 MR. STEWART: I --
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I -- I -- I don't
- 9 see how it is possible. It's what Casey talked
- 10 about when it talked about watershed decisions.
- 11 Some of them, Brown versus Board of Education it
- mentioned, and this one have such an entrenched
- set of expectations in our society that this is
- 14 what the Court decided, this is what we will
- follow, that the -- that we won't be able to
- 16 survive if people believe that everything,
- 17 including New York versus Sullivan -- I could
- 18 name any other set of rights, including the
- 19 Second Amendment, by the way. There are many
- 20 political people who believe the Court erred in
- 21 seeing this as a personal right as -- as opposed
- 22 to a militia right. If people actually believe
- that it's all political, how will we survive?
- 24 How will the Court survive?
- MR. STEWART: Justice Sotomayor, I --

- 1 I think the concern about appearing political
- 2 makes it absolutely imperative that the Court
- 3 reach a decision well grounded in the
- 4 Constitution, in text, structure, history, and
- 5 tradition, and that carefully goes through the
- 6 stare decisis factors that we've laid out.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Casey did that.
- 8 MR. STEWART: No, it didn't, Your
- 9 Honor, respectfully.
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Casey went through
- 11 every one of them. You think it did it wrong.
- 12 That's your belief. But Casey did that.
- MR. STEWART: Well, Your --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And you haven't
- 15 added --
- MR. STEWART: Sorry, Your Honor.
- 17 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- much to the
- discussion in your papers as to the errors that
- 19 Casey made, other than "I disagree with Casey."
- MR. STEWART: Well, Justice Sotomayor,
- 21 maybe I can -- I can highlight two.
- 22 Casey gave one paragraph to the
- workability of Roe. It then adopted the undue
- 24 burden standard, which is perhaps the most
- 25 unworkable standard in American law. It gave

```
1 about three paragraphs, if memory serves, to
```

- 2 reliance, which doesn't account for the last 30
- 3 years and the changes that have occurred since
- 4 Casey. It did -- it -- it gave a brief factual
- 5 view to things that have changed since Roe.
- 6 Those, of course, are not going to take account
- 7 of the last 30 years of advancements in
- 8 medicine, science, all of those things.
- 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What are the --
- 10 JUSTICE ALITO: What is --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- advancements in
- 12 medicine?
- MR. STEWART: I think it's an
- 14 advancement in -- in knowledge and concern about
- 15 such things as fetal pain, what we know the
- 16 child is doing and looks like and is fully
- 17 human from a very early --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: You know --
- MR. STEWART: I'm sorry.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- in -- in
- 21 regular cases, courts decide whether science
- 22 fits the Daubert standard. Obviously, the --
- 23 under the Daubert standard, the minority of
- 24 people, a -- a gross minority of doctors who
- 25 believe fetal pain exists before 24, 25 weeks,

- 1 it's a huge minority and one not well founded in
- 2 science at all. So I don't see how that really
- 3 adds anything to the discussion.
- 4 MR. STEWART: Well --
- 5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That a small
- 6 fringe of doctors believe that pain could be
- 7 experienced between -- before a cortex is formed
- 8 --
- 9 MR. STEWART: Well, I --
- 10 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- doesn't mean
- 11 that there's been that much of a difference
- 12 since Casey.
- MR. STEWART: We -- we pointed out as
- an example, Your Honor, of where Roe and Casey
- improperly preclude states from taking account
- 16 for these things. And they should be able to be
- 17 concerned about the -- about a fact of a -- a --
- an unborn life being poked and then recoiling in
- 19 the way one of us would recoil.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Sir, I -- I don't
- 21 --
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: General, does
- 23 -- was -- I know what it said about viability in
- 24 Roe. But was viability an issue in the case? I
- 25 know it wasn't briefed or argued.

```
1
                MR. STEWART: It -- it was -- it was
 2
      not issue -- an issue certainly the way it is an
 3
      issue here, Your Honor. I think it was -- to
      the extent that the Court had to over -- had to
 4
 5
     reaffirm Roe, the way to read that as something
     other than dicta would be to under --
 6
 7
                CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I'm sorry, I
      don't know whether I said, was it an issue in
8
     Roe?
9
10
               MR. STEWART: Oh, in Roe?
11
               CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Yeah.
12
               MR. STEWART: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
13
     My understanding is no. The law there was --
14
      didn't have a viability tag. That was inserted
15
     by --
16
                CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: In fact, if I
17
      remember correctly, and I -- it's an unfortunate
18
     source, but it's there -- in his papers, Justice
     Blackmun said that the viability line was --
19
20
      actually was dicta. And, presumably, he had
21
      some insight on the question.
2.2
                MR. STEWART: I -- I think -- and I'd
23
      -- I'd add, Your Honor, Justice Blackmun in --
24
      in, I think, as well his papers pointed out the
25
      arbitrary nature of it and -- and the
```

1 line-drawing problems --2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And then --3 MR. STEWART: -- in it too. CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- and then, 4 5 in Casey, Casey said that that was the core 6 principle or a central principle in Roe, 7 viability. It said that after tossing out the 8 trimester formula, which many people thought was 9 the core -- core principle. But was viability at issue in Casey? 10 11 MR. STEWART: I don't think it was 12 squarely at issue, Your Honor. Again, it's --13 it's a little hard not to take the Court at its word when it emphasized that viability -- the --14 15 that viability is -- is the central part of Roe 16 -- Roe's holding and saying that it is 17 reaffirming that, so we kind of take that as it 18 -- as it stands. But the Court has not -- it 19 did not face a law like this certainly, Mr. Chief Justice. 20 21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: May I finish my 22 inquiry? 23 MR. STEWART: Of course, Justice 24 Sotomayor. 2.5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Virtually every

- 1 state defines a brain death as death. Yet, the
- 2 literature is filled with episodes of people who
- 3 are completely and utterly brain dead responding
- 4 to stimuli. There's about 40 percent of dead
- 5 people who, if you touch their feet, the foot
- 6 will recoil. There are spontaneous acts by dead
- 7 brain people. So I don't think that a response
- 8 to -- by a fetus necessarily proves that there's
- 9 a sensation of pain or that there's
- 10 consciousness.
- 11 So I go back to my question of, what
- 12 has changed in science to show that the
- viability line is not a real line, that a fetus
- 14 cannot survive? And I think that's what both
- 15 courts below said, that you had no expert say
- that there is any viability before 23 to 24
- weeks.
- MR. STEWART: And what I'd say -- say
- 19 is this, Justice Sotomayor, is that the
- 20 fundamental problem with viability, it's not
- 21 really something that rests on -- on science so
- 22 much. It's that viability is not tethered to
- anything in the Constitution, in history, or
- 24 tradition. It's a quintessentially legislative
- 25 line.

1 A legislature could think that 2 viability makes sense as -- as a place to draw 3 the line, but it's quite reasonable for a legislature to draw the line elsewhere. 4 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Counsel, there's 5 6 so much that's not in the Constitution, 7 including the fact that we have the last word. Marbury versus Madison. There is not anything 8 9 in the Constitution that says that the Court, the Supreme Court, is the last word on what the 10 11 Constitution means. It was totally novel at 12 that time. And yet, what the Court did was 13 reason from the structure of the Constitution that that's what was intended. 14 15 And, here, in Casey and in Roe, the 16 Court said there is inherent in our structure 17 that there are certain personal decisions that 18 belong to individuals and the states can't intrude on them. We've recognized them in terms 19 20 of the religion parents will teach their 21 children. We've recognized it in -- in their 2.2 ability to educate at home if they choose. They 23 just have to educate them. We have recognized that sense of privacy in people's choices about 24 whether to use contraception or not. We've 2.5

- 1 recognized it in their right to choose who
- 2 they're going to marry.
- I fear none of those things are
- 4 written in the Constitution. They have all,
- 5 like Marbury versus Madison, been discerned from
- 6 the structure of the Constitution.
- 7 Why do we now say that somehow Roe
- 8 versus Casey is -- Roe and Casey are so unusual
- 9 that they must be overturned?
- 10 MR. STEWART: Well, Your -- Justice
- 11 Sotomayor, I would -- I would emphasize two
- 12 things. When you're going beyond the
- 13 Constitution, this Court has looked closely
- 14 to --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: No, what I'm
- 16 saying is they didn't go beyond the
- 17 Constitution.
- 18 MR. STEWART: Your Honor, they did not
- 19 deduce those from the structure of the
- 20 Constitution. They -- they pointed to the
- 21 Fourteenth Amendment and -- and reasoned that
- 22 privacy in Roe, autonomy and similar values in
- 23 Casey led to a right to abortion.
- 24 That's not how this Court
- 25 traditionally does things, including in the vast

- 1 run of cases that Your Honor ran through. The
- 2 Court looks to history and tradition. And,
- 3 here, those decisively reject the proposition
- 4 that states cannot legislate comprehensively on
- 5 abortion before, after viability, and all
- 6 throughout. So it's -- it's history and
- 7 tradition, Your Honor.
- 8 And I would also add, Your -- Your
- 9 Honor, that those -- those decisions, a great
- 10 many of them, draw -- you know, not just draw
- 11 from text -- text, history, and tradition, but
- 12 they draw often clear lines, very workable, have
- 13 not led to the many negative stare decisis
- 14 factors that we identify here.
- 15 JUSTICE KAGAN: General --
- 16 JUSTICE BARRETT: General, would -- go
- 17 ahead. Go ahead.
- 18 JUSTICE KAGAN: Go ahead, Justice
- 19 Barrett.
- 20 JUSTICE BARRETT: Would a decision in
- 21 your favor call any of the questions -- any of
- 22 the cases, sorry, that Justice Sotomayor is
- 23 identifying into question?
- MR. STEWART: No, Your Honor, I -- I
- 25 think for a couple reasons.

1 First of all, I think the vast run of 2 those cases -- and some mentioned from time to 3 time are Griswold, Lawrence, Obergefell -- these are -- these are cases that draw clear rules: 4 you can't ban contraception, you can't ban 5 intimate romantic relationships between 6 7 consenting adults, can't ban marriage of people 8 of the same sex, clear rules that have 9 engendered strong reliance interests and that 10 have not produced negative consequences or all 11 the many other negative stare decisis 12 considerations we pointed out, Your Honor. 13 Also, I -- I'd add none of them 14 involve the purposeful termination of a human 15 life. So those two -- those two features, stare 16 decisis and termination of a human life, Your 17 Honor, puts all of those safely out of reach if 18 the Court overrules here. 19 JUSTICE BREYER: Okay. So we -- I'm 20 sorry to interrupt again, but we really might be making progress. I mean, in the part that --21 22 that I read, you know, of Casey --23 MR. STEWART: Yes, Your Honor. 24 JUSTICE BREYER: -- I think they think 25 go back 150 years, maybe now we can go back 200.

- 1 They think there have only been two cases which
- 2 were what they call the watershed and where the
- 3 special tough overruling rules apply.
- 4 You want this to be the third, or do
- 5 you think there were more? And, if so, what
- 6 were they?
- 7 MR. STEWART: Well, Your Honor, I --
- 8 I -- I think there's quite a bit of difference.
- 9 I -- I think the question is never is it bad to
- 10 overrule, period. You know, surely, stare --
- JUSTICE BREYER: This is why I'm
- 12 asking you to think -- think in their terms.
- 13 There were two they mentioned, you see.
- MR. STEWART: But --
- JUSTICE BREYER: And they don't want
- 16 Casey -- they don't want Roe to be the third.
- 17 MR. STEWART: And --
- JUSTICE BREYER: Now, in your opinion,
- 19 you just answered Justice Barrett, hey, all
- 20 these are not rising to that level. Okay.
- MR. STEWART: Right, Your Honor.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Are there any that do
- rise to the level in your opinion?
- MR. STEWART: I think -- and I -- and
- 25 I'm not sure that I necessarily agree with the

- 1 watershed characterization, Your Honor. What 2 I'd say, though, I -- I can't think of another that kind of hits the radar. But -- but I'd 3 emphasize that a problem here is we're -- we're 4 5 dealing with a right that doesn't have a basis 6 in constitutional text and, again, very much in 7 conflict with those -- with those values, 8 Justice Breyer. 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm not sure how your answer makes any sense. All of those other 10 11 cases -- Griswold, Lawrence, Obergefell -- they 12 all rely on substantive due process. You're 13 saying there's no substantive due process in the 14 Constitution, so they're just as wrong according 15 to your theory. 16 MR. STEWART: No, Your Honor, we're
- MR. STEWART: No, Your Honor, we're
 quite comfortable with Washington versus

 Glucksberg and how it analyzes substantive due
 process and it looks to text, history. It looks
 to history and tradition to discipline the
- 21 inquiry --
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Well, I mean -
 MR. STEWART: -- to make sure -
 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- in Obergefell,
- 25 there was no history of -- of -- of same-sex

```
1
     marriage.
 2
               MR. STEWART: And I think the Court --
 3
      the -- the Court pointed out, look, when we --
      when we were facing Loving versus Virginia --
 4
 5
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I -- I'm not
 6
     trying to argue that we should overturn those
7
      cases. I just think you're dissimilating when
8
      you say that any ruling here wouldn't have an
      effect on those.
9
10
               MR. STEWART: Respectfully, I -- I --
11
      that's -- that's -- I respectfully --
12
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Do you think no --
13
      that no state is going to think otherwise, that
14
     no people in the population aren't going to
15
      channel -- challenge those cases in court?
16
               MR. STEWART: I mean, Your -- Your
17
     Honor, we'll always have a diversity of views,
18
     but I think -- I think --
19
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That's the point.
20
               MR. STEWART: -- I think -- I think
21
      that's one --
2.2
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That -- isn't that
23
     the -- isn't --
24
               MR. STEWART: -- of the benefits of
```

25

our society.

```
1
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- isn't that the
 2
     point?
               MR. STEWART: That there -- that
 3
      there's a diversity of views and people
 4
 5
      can vigorously debate and make --
 6
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Exactly.
               MR. STEWART: -- decisions for
 7
      themselves?
8
 9
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And that's what
     we're still doing --
10
11
               MR. STEWART: I think that's a good
12
     thing, Your Honor.
13
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- and that's what
14
     we're doing under undue burden, but we haven't
15
     been doing it on the viability line.
               MR. STEWART: And -- and neither one
16
17
     has worked well. The viability line discounts
18
      and disregards state interests, and the undue
     burden standard has all -- all of the
19
20
     problems that we've emphasized.
21
                JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: How is your
22
      interest anything but a religious view? The
23
      issue of when life begins has been hotly debated
24
     by philosophers since the beginning of time.
```

It's still debated in religions.

1 So, when you say this is the only 2 right that takes away from the state the ability 3 to protect a life, that's a religious view, 4 isn't it --5 MR. STEWART: Respectfully --JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: -- because it 6 7 assumes that a fetus's life at -- when? You're 8 not drawing -- you're -- when do you suggest we 9 begin that life? 10 MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I -- aside 11 from --12 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Putting it aside 13 from religion. MR. STEWART: I -- I'll -- I'll try to 14 -- I think there might be more than one 15 16 question. I'll do my very best, Justice 17 Sotomayor. I -- I think this Court in Gonzales 18 19 pretty clearly recognized that before viability, 20 we are talking, with unborn life, with a human 21 organism. And I think the philosophical 22 questions Your Honor mentioned, all those 23 reasons, that they're hard, they've been debated, they're -- they're -- they're 24 25 important, those are all reasons to return this

- 1 to the people because the people should get to
- 2 debate these hard issues, and this Court does
- 3 not in that kind of a circumstance --
- 4 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So when does the
- 5 life of a woman and putting her at risk enter
- 6 the calculus? Meaning, right now, forcing women
- 7 who are poor -- and that's 75 percent of the
- 8 population and much higher percentage of those
- 9 women in Mississippi who elect abortions before
- 10 viability -- they are put at a tremendously
- 11 greater risk of medical complications and ending
- 12 their life, 14 times greater to give birth to a
- 13 child full term than it is to have an abortion
- 14 before viability.
- And now the state is saying to these
- women, we can choose not only to physically
- 17 complicate your existence, put you at medical
- 18 risk, make you poorer by the choice because we
- 19 believe what? That --
- 20 MR. STEWART: Sure, Your Honor. I --
- 21 I think, to -- to answer, I think, the -- the
- 22 question I think you -- you led with and -- and
- then I think expanded on but is still on the
- same issue is as to when does a woman's interest
- 25 enter, as far as we're concerned, it's there the

- 1 entire time. Our point is that all of the
- 2 interests are there the entire time, and Roe and
- 3 Casey improperly prevent states from taking
- 4 account and weighing those interests however
- 5 they think best.
- We're not saying --
- 7 JUSTICE KAGAN: General --
- JUSTICE ALITO: General, are there --
- 9 are there secular philosophers and bioethicists
- 10 who take the position that the rights of
- 11 personhood begin at conception or at some point
- 12 other than viability?
- 13 MR. STEWART: I -- I believe so. I
- 14 mean, I think there's a wide array, I mean,
- of -- of -- of people of kind of all different
- 16 views and -- and of no faith views who -- who
- 17 would reasonably have that view, Your Honor.
- It's -- it's -- it's not tied to a
- 19 religious view, and I don't think -- were it
- 20 otherwise, this Court's jurisprudence would --
- 21 on this issue would run right into some of its
- 22 religious exercise jurisprudence.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: General, Justice
- 24 Breyer started with stare decisis, an important
- 25 principle in any case, and, here, for the

- 1 reasons that Casey mentioned, especially so, to
- 2 prevent people from thinking that this Court is
- 3 a political institution that will go back and
- 4 forth depending on what part of the public yells
- 5 loudest and -- and -- and preventing people from
- 6 thinking that the Court will go back and forth
- 7 depending on changes to the Court's membership.
- And what strikes me about this case --
- 9 and -- and -- and you come here very honestly
- 10 saying, you know, we want you to discard the
- 11 entire setup and then, even if you don't do
- that, we want you to discard the viability line,
- which you've acknowledged again today Casey says
- is the -- the heart, the central principle of
- 15 Roe.
- And so, usually, there has to be a
- justification, a strong justification in a case
- 18 like this beyond the fact that you think the
- 19 case is wrong. And I guess what strikes me when
- I look at this case is that, you know, not much
- 21 has changed since Roe and Casey, that people
- think it's right or wrong based on the things
- 23 that they have always thought it was right and
- 24 wrong for.
- 25 So the -- the -- the -- the

- 1 rationale behind those cases has something to do
- 2 with the autonomy and the freedom and the
- 3 dignity of women to pursue their lives as they
- 4 wish, to protect their bodily integrity, to make
- 5 the decisions that are most fundamental to the
- 6 course of their lives.
- 7 And -- and always, in those cases,
- 8 there was an understanding that there were
- 9 important interests on the other side in
- 10 protecting life or protecting the potential for
- 11 life, whether people saw it one way or the other
- way, and that there was a difficult question
- here and a balance to be made.
- 14 And, I mean, it strikes me that
- 15 people -- some people think those decisions made
- the right balance and some people thought they
- made the wrong balance, but, in the end, we are
- in the same exact place as we were then, except
- that we're not because there's been 50 years of
- water under the bridge, 50 years of decisions
- 21 saying that this is part of our law, that this
- is part of the fabric of women's existence in
- this country, and that that places us in an
- 24 entirely different situation than if you had
- 25 come in 50 years ago and made the same

- 1 arguments.
- 2 So I guess I just wanted to hear you
- 3 react to that.
- 4 MR. STEWART: Of course, Justice
- 5 Kagan. Thank you. I -- I would emphasize a
- 6 couple things, Your Honor. The fact that so
- 7 much time has passed, let's say nothing had
- 8 changed, that's not a point in Roe and Casey's
- 9 favor. They have no basis in the Constitution.
- 10 They -- they adopt a right that purposefully
- 11 leads to the termination of now millions of
- 12 human lives. The -- if nothing had changed,
- they'd be just as bad as they were 30 years ago,
- 14 50 years ago. And now we just have decades of
- damage, and we have a situation where nearly 30
- 16 years after Casey, the Court unfortunately
- divides over what Casey, the lead case on -- on
- 18 -- in the abortion area, even means.
- The lower courts are left not knowing
- 20 what to do, as I think -- and I think kind of a
- 21 fundamental problem here is, I think, as Justice
- 22 Gorsuch mentioned, emphasized in his -- his
- opinion in -- in June Medical, that the problem
- for lower court judges is the Constitution
- doesn't give them an answer to this. There's no

- 1 neutral rule of law, so judges unfortunately
- 2 have to look within themselves, and that's just
- 3 never going to solve this issue.
- But, if the matter is returned to the
- 5 people, the people can deal with it, they can
- 6 work, they can compromise and reach different
- 7 solutions. But, if we don't do that, we're just
- 8 going to have all this sort of damage, and at
- 9 some point, it's appropriate for the Court to
- 10 say enough, as it has in some of its -- the
- 11 great overrulings in -- in Brown and in other
- 12 cases, where it said this is just enough.
- Justice Harlan had it right in dissent
- in Plessy when he recognized that -- that --
- 15 that, you know, all are -- all are equal. And,
- 16 here -- similarly, here, the state should be
- 17 able to recognize, hey, there are real values on
- both sides here. We -- we -- we think that this
- one slightly outweighs, we think that this one
- 20 slightly outweighs, or we think that there's
- 21 some balance to be drawn here.
- But, if the Court doesn't do that,
- Justice Kagan, it's just going to be continued
- damage, and the Court will continue to plunge in
- 25 this political issue.

1 I apologize, Mr. Chief Justice. I've 2 gone over. 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, no, that's all right. I have just a few little -- well, 4 not little, I hope -- questions, and the first 5 6 gets back to the issue of viability. 7 You know, in your petition for cert, your first question and the only one on which we 8 9 granted review was whether all pre-viability prohibitions on elective abortions are 10 11 unconstitutional. And then I think it's fair to 12 say that when you got to the brief on the 13 merits, you kind of shifted gears and talked a 14 lot more about whether or not Roe and Casey 15 should be overruled. And I wanted to give you a 16 chance to explain that. 17 MR. STEWART: Sure, Your Honor. 18 couple points. You know, at the petition stage, 19 we were, of course, identifying -- we identified 20 for the Court three questions. We emphasized, 21 as you do at the cert stage, hey, this is 22 important; only this Court can resolve it. 23 emphasized, I believe it was five times, that 24 the Court was at the least going need -- going 2.5 to need to reconsider, revisit, or re-evaluate

- 1 its precedents. And we asked the Court to at
- 2 least get rid of a viability line or any
- 3 suggestion of a viability line.
- So we added, however -- and we had to
- 5 take account of the reality that this argument
- 6 has not fared well in the lower courts. It --
- 7 it -- it's lost in every court of appeals. So,
- 8 you know, we -- we raised the issue in addition,
- 9 but, once the Court granted only the first
- 10 question, we presented every argument as we, you
- 11 know, signaled we -- we would present the -- the
- 12 -- the full-blown constitutional merits argument
- 13 with that fundamental question.
- So I -- I'd emphasize that, Your
- 15 Honor. It was kind of the shift you go from
- 16 cert state to merits stage. The Court granted
- one question. That question fairly includes
- 18 what is the correct standard.
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, it
- 20 fairly includes the broader arguments you
- 21 raised. I'm not suggesting that. But, on the
- 22 other hand, it presumably included the viability
- 23 question as well, because that's what you talked
- 24 about in that one sentence.
- 25 MR. STEWART: And -- and -- and we --

- 1 we've addressed that as well, Your Honor. What
- 2 I -- what I'd emphasize here is that the merits
- 3 arguments of, you know, the validity of Roe and
- 4 Casey as an original matter, is there a
- 5 viability rule based on the Constitution, those
- 6 are not that complicated or -- or -- or lengthy.
- 7 The harder questions are, you know,
- 8 should the Court overrule and -- and take that
- 9 momentous step? And that's why we devote a lot
- 10 of space to that very important issue. We
- 11 respect stare decisis and have walked through
- 12 all those points. But, again, focusing on the
- 13 question presented and arguing -- presenting our
- 14 best arguments for that, that's -- that's what
- 15 we've done, Mr. Chief Justice.
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: On stare
- 17 decisis, I think the first issue you look at is
- whether or not the decision at issue was wrongly
- 19 decided. I've actually never quite understood
- 20 how you evaluate that. Is it wrongly decided
- 21 based on legal principles and doctrine when it
- 22 was decided or -- or in retrospect?
- Because Roe -- I mean, there are a lot
- of cases around the time of Roe, not of that
- 25 magnitude but the same type of analysis, that --

- 1 that went through exactly the sorts of things we
- 2 today would say were erroneous, but do we look
- 3 at it from today's -- if we look at it from
- 4 today -- today's perspective, it's going to be a
- 5 long list of cases that we're going to say were
- 6 wrongly decided.
- 7 MR. STEWART: Well, I'd say -- I'd
- 8 say, Mr. Chief Justice, that you -- you look --
- 9 you can look both was it wrong at the time, has
- 10 it been unmasked as wrong by -- by new
- 11 understandings, new knowledge, any developments.
- 12 But I -- I don't think -- as I -- I
- 13 think the colloquy -- my colloquy with Justice
- 14 Barrett indicated, the Court won't have -- have
- to be looking at -- at -- at much other -- many
- 16 other areas because this is an area that has a
- 17 uniquely problematic set of stare decisis
- 18 considerations. A lot of other controversial
- 19 areas or once controversial areas are -- are
- 20 quite settled, clear rules, and don't have those
- 21 considerations against them.
- So, really, by -- by overruling Roe
- and Casey, the Court won't have to go down that
- 24 road, and a lot of those decisions are quite
- 25 readily groundable in history, tradition, and

```
1
      the Court's traditional factors, Your Honor.
 2
                CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you.
 3
                Justice Thomas?
                JUSTICE THOMAS: No questions.
 4
                CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
 5
 6
      Breyer?
 7
                Justice Alito?
 8
                Justice Sotomayor?
                Justice Kagan?
 9
10
                JUSTICE KAGAN: General, I -- I just
11
      wanted to get your quick sense of how your
12
      intermediate positions would work, you know, if
13
      basically the viability line was discarded and
14
      undue burden became the standard overall, a
15
      standard that, according to you, is an unclear
      one, what that would leave the Court with going
16
17
      forward.
18
                You know, I'm just sort of thinking
19
      about the great variety of different -- of
20
      regulations that states could pass, so whether
21
      one is 15 weeks and one is 12 weeks and one is 9
2.2
      weeks or variation across a wide variety of
23
      other dimensions. What would that look like
      coming to the Court? How would we -- how -- how
24
25
      do you think we should -- we would be able to
```

- 1 deal with that or -- or how would you counsel us
- 2 to deal with that if the Court were to go down
- 3 that road?
- 4 MR. STEWART: Well, I think I -- that
- 5 this is -- not to push back against the end --
- 6 and I will -- will answer your question, Justice
- 7 Kagan, but part of why we've counseled to
- 8 overrule full scale is that that's the only way
- 9 to get rid of a number of the problems that I
- 10 think Your Honor's alluding to.
- 11 And that's that when you have the
- 12 undue burden standard, it's -- it's a very hard
- 13 standard to apply. It's not objective. The
- 14 Court looks to the record in each case and
- what's going on. I mean, the Court in Casey
- 16 itself said, under this record, this is not an
- 17 undue burden. You -- you couldn't say
- 18 necessarily for certain that a certain number of
- 19 weeks one place would be an undue burden but
- 20 would be okay another place.
- 21 But, again, that is the world we have
- 22 under Casey. So, if the Court upholds this law
- 23 under the undue burden standard, it would be
- 24 carrying forward with those features, which I --
- and I hope I've answered your question, but I

- 1 think that's one of the very strong reasons to
- just go all the way and overrule Roe and Casey,
- 3 Your Honor. I -- anyway.
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 5 Gorsuch?
- Justice Kavanaugh?
- 7 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: I want to be clear
- 8 about what you're arguing and not arguing.
- 9 MR. STEWART: Yes, Your Honor.
- 10 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And to be clear,
- 11 you're not arguing that the Court somehow has
- 12 the authority to itself prohibit abortion or
- 13 that this Court has the authority to order the
- 14 states to prohibit abortion as I understand it,
- 15 correct?
- 16 MR. STEWART: Correct, Your Honor.
- 17 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And as I
- 18 understand it, you're arguing that the
- 19 Constitution's silent and, therefore, neutral on
- the question of abortion? In other words, that
- 21 the Constitution's neither pro-life nor
- 22 pro-choice on the question of abortion but
- leaves the issue for the people of the states or
- 24 perhaps Congress to resolve in the democratic
- 25 process? Is that accurate?

```
1
                MR. STEWART: Right. We're -- we're
 2
      saying it's left to the people, Your Honor.
 3
                JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And so, for the --
      if you were to prevail, the states, a majority
 4
      of states or states still could or -- and
 5
 6
     presumably would continue to freely allow
 7
      abortion, many states; some states would be able
8
      to do that even if you prevail under your view,
      is that correct?
 9
10
               MR. STEWART: That's consistent with
11
      our view, Your Honor. It's -- it's one that
12
     allows all interests to have full voice and --
13
      and many of the abortions we see in certain
14
      states that I don't think anybody would think
15
     would be moving to change their laws in a more
16
      restrictive direction.
17
                JUSTICE KAVANAUGH:
                                   Thank you.
18
               MR. STEWART: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
                CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
20
     Barrett?
21
                JUSTICE BARRETT: General, I have a
22
      question that is a little bit of a follow-up to
23
      one that Justice Breyer was asking you. That's
      about stare decisis. And I think a lot of the
24
25
      colloquy you've had with all of us has been
```

1 about the benefits of stare decisis, which I 2 don't think anyone disputes, and, of course, no 3 one can dispute because it's part of our stare decisis doctrine that it's not an inexorable 4 command and that there are some circumstances in 5 6 which overruling is possible. You know, we have 7 Plessy, Brown. We have Bowers versus Hardwick, 8 to Lawrence. 9 But, in thinking about stare decisis, 10 which is obviously the core of this case, how 11 should we be thinking about it -- I mean, 12 Justice Breyer pointed out that in Casey and in 13 some respects, well, it was a different 14 conception of stare decisis insofar as it very 15 explicitly took into account public reaction. 16 Is that a factor that you accept, or are you 17 arguing that we should minimize that factor? 18 And is there a different set of rules 19 -- it is true that Casey identified Brown and West Coast Hotel as watershed decisions. But is 20 21 there a distinct set of stare decisis 22 considerations applicable to what the Court 23 might decide is a watershed distinction? 24 MR. STEWART: I don't think there

should be a distinct set of -- of -- of

2.5

- 1 considerations there, Your Honor. I think what
- 2 I -- what I emphasize, and just to make sure, on
- 3 -- on the kind of legitimacy, the Court looking
- 4 outward, I -- I think Casey was unusual in that
- 5 regard. I think it was a mistake. And I think
- 6 it's something that is kind of in conflict with
- 7 this Court's structure and approach as an
- 8 independent branch looking to the Constitution
- 9 rather than looking without.
- 10 And I -- I think that's one reason why
- 11 traditionally the Court is -- is -- in
- 12 some of its greatest overrulings, it's -- it's
- 13 not looking without. It's saying this was
- 14 wrong. It was wrong the day it was decided. We
- 15 know it's wrong today. And it's led to all
- 16 these terrible consequences. We should get --
- 17 we should get rid of it.
- I -- so I -- I think that that was an
- 19 unfortunate break, and I think the Court -- even
- 20 if the Court were to -- were to still look at
- 21 legitimacy, though, Justice Barrett, I think the
- 22 Court could very, very powerfully say, look,
- 23 our -- our legitimacy really derives from our
- 24 willingness to stand strong and stand firm in
- 25 the face of whatever is going on and stand for

- 1 constitutional principle and follow our
- 2 traditional stare decisis factors to overrule
- 3 when it's appropriate.
- 4 Thank you, Your Honor.
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 6 counsel.
- 7 MR. STEWART: Thank you, Mr. Chief
- 8 Justice.
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Ms. Rikelman.
- 10 ORAL ARGUMENT OF JULIE RIKELMAN
- ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS
- MS. RIKELMAN: Mr. Chief Justice, and
- 13 may it please the Court:
- Mississippi's ban on abortion two
- months before viability is flatly
- 16 unconstitutional under decades of precedent.
- 17 Mississippi asks the Court to dismantle this
- 18 precedent and allow states to force women to
- 19 remain pregnant and give birth against their
- 20 will.
- The Court should refuse to do so for
- 22 at least three reasons.
- First, stare decisis presents an
- especially high bar here. In Casey, this Court
- 25 carefully examined and rejected every possible

- 1 reason for overruling Roe, holding that a
- woman's right to end a pregnancy before
- 3 viability was a rule of law and a component of
- 4 liberty it could not renounce. The question
- 5 then is not whether Roe should be overturned but
- 6 whether Casey was egregiously wrong to adhere to
- 7 Roe's central holding.
- 8 Second, Casey and Roe were correct.
- 9 For a state to take control of a woman's body
- and demand that she go through pregnancy and
- 11 childbirth with all the physical risks and
- 12 life-altering consequences that brings is a
- 13 fundamental deprivation of her liberty.
- 14 Preserving a woman's right to make this decision
- until viability preserve -- protects her liberty
- 16 while logically balancing the other interests at
- 17 stake.
- 18 Third, eliminating or reducing the
- 19 right to abortion will propel women backwards.
- 20 Two generations have now relied on this right,
- 21 and one out of every four women makes the
- decision to end a pregnancy.
- 23 Mississippi's ban would particularly
- 24 hurt women with a major health or life change
- during the course of a pregnancy, poor women,

- 1 who are twice as likely to be delayed in
- 2 accessing care, and young people or those in
- 3 contraception, who take longer to recognize a
- 4 pregnancy.
- 5 To avoid profound damage to women's
- 6 liberty, equality, and the rule of law, the
- 7 Court should affirm.
- 8 JUSTICE THOMAS: Counsel, I just have
- 9 one question. I assume you -- from your brief,
- 10 you're relying on an autonomy theory?
- MS. RIKELMAN: Both bodily integrity
- 12 and the ability to make decisions related to
- family, marriage, and childbearing, Your Honor.
- JUSTICE THOMAS: Shortly, some years
- 15 after we decided Casey, we had a case out of
- 16 South Carolina, I believe, and it involved a
- woman who had been convicted of criminal child
- 18 neglect because she ingested cocaine during
- 19 pregnancy, and her case was post-viability, so
- 20 it doesn't fit in the facts of this case.
- 21 If she had ingested cocaine
- 22 pre-viability and had the same negative
- 23 consequences to her child, do you think the
- 24 state had an interest in enforcing that law
- 25 against her?

1 MS. RIKELMAN: The state may have, 2 Your Honor. The state can certainly regulate to serve its interests in fetal life and in women's 3 health. Those particular laws tend to undermine 4 5 both of those interests because they deter women 6 from seeking prenatal care, which is 7 counterproductive to both their health. 8 JUSTICE THOMAS: But pre-viability as well as post-viability? 9 10 MS. RIKELMAN: No, Your Honor. The --11 the Court has been clear that after 12 viability states can prohibit abortion, except 13 to save a woman's life. 14 JUSTICE THOMAS: No, I mean the -- in 15 my example of criminal child neglect. I 16 understand you -- your argument is about 17 abortion. I am trying to look at the issue of 18 bodily autonomy and whether or not she has a 19 right also to bodily autonomy in the case of 20 ingesting an illegal substance and causing harm 21 to a pre-viability fetus. 2.2 MS. RIKELMAN: Your Honor, of course, 23 those issues aren't posed in this case, and, 24 again, I would say that the states can certainly

regulate throughout pregnancy, both before and

- 1 after viability, to preserve fetal life and to
- 2 preserve the woman's health.
- 3 The Court has said, however, there
- 4 is -- there are other constitutional issues at
- 5 stake, for instance, in the Ferguson case, that
- 6 states still can't violate women's Fourth
- 7 Amendment rights. But, again, that's not what
- 8 this case is about.
- 9 This case is about a ban on abortion
- 10 that the state concedes is weeks before
- viability, and the Court has been clear for 50
- 12 years that the one thing that states cannot do
- is to take the decision completely away from the
- woman until viability, that, until that point,
- 15 it is her decision to make given the unique
- 16 physical demands of pregnancy and the,
- 17 life-altering consequences of pregnancy and
- 18 having a child.
- 19 JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you.
- 20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You -- the
- 21 point you made about the impact on -- on women
- 22 and their place in society, those -- those were
- 23 certainly made in Roe as well. What we have
- 24 before us, though, is a 15-week standard.
- 25 Are -- are you suggesting that the

1 difference between 15 weeks and viability are 2 going to have the same sort of impacts as you 3 were talking about -- or as we were talking about in Roe? 4 MS. RIKELMAN: Yes, Your Honor, I 5 6 believe they would because people who need 7 abortion after 15 weeks are often in the most 8 challenging circumstances. As I mentioned, 9 they're people who have made -- perhaps had a 10 major health or life change, a family illness, a 11 job loss, a separation, young people or people 12 who are on contraception or pregnant for the 13 first time and who are delayed in recognizing 14 the signs of pregnancy, or poor women, who often 15 have much more trouble navigating access to 16 care, and if they're denied the ability to make 17 this decision because there's a ban after 15 18 weeks, they will suffer all of the consequences 19 that the Court has talked about in the past. 20 And, in fact, the data has been very 21 clear over the last 50 years that abortion has 22 been critical to women's equal participation in society. It's been critical to their health, to 23 24 their lives, their ability to pursue --2.5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I'm sorry,

1 what -- what kind of data is that? 2 MS. RIKELMAN: I would refer the Court 3 to the brief of the economists in this case, Your Honor, and it compiles data showing studies 4 based actually on causal inference, showing that 5 it's the legalization of abortion and not other 6 7 changes that have had these benefits for women in society, and, again, those benefits are clear 8 9 for education, for the ability to pursue a profession, for the ability to have --10 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, putting 12 that data aside, if you think that the issue is one of choice, that women should have a choice 13 14 to terminate their pregnancy, that supposes that 15 there is a point at which they've had the fair 16 choice, opportunity to choice, and why would 15 17 weeks be an inappropriate line? 18 Because viability, it seems to me, 19 doesn't have anything to do with choice. But, 20 if it really is an issue about choice, why is 15 weeks not enough time? 21 2.2 MS. RIKELMAN: For -- for a few 23 reasons, Your Honor. 24 First, the state has conceded that

some women will not be able to obtain an

2.5

- 1 abortion before 15 weeks and this law will bar
- 2 them from doing so. And a reasonable
- 3 possibility standard would be completely
- 4 unworkable for the courts. It would be both
- 5 less principled and less workable than
- 6 viability, and some of the reasons for that are,
- 7 without viability, there will be no stopping
- 8 point.
- 9 States will rush to ban abortion at
- 10 virtually any point in pregnancy. Mississippi
- itself has a six-week ban that it's defending
- 12 with very similar arguments as it's using to
- defend the 15-week ban. And there are states
- 14 that have bans --
- 15 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I know,
- 16 but I'd like to focus on the 15-week ban because
- that's not a dramatic departure from viability.
- 18 It is the standard that the vast majority of
- 19 other countries have.
- When you get to the viability
- 21 standard, we share that standard with the
- 22 People's Republic of China and North Korea. And
- I don't think you have to be in favor of looking
- 24 to international law to set our constitutional
- 25 standards to be concerned if those are your --

- 1 share that particular time period.
- 2 MS. RIKELMAN: I think there's two
- 3 questions there, Your Honor, if I may.
- 4 First, that is not correct about
- 5 international law. In fact, the majority of
- 6 countries that permit legal access to abortion
- 7 allow access right up until viability, even if
- 8 they have nominal lines earlier.
- 9 So, for example, Canada, Great
- 10 Britain, and most of Europe allows access to
- abortion right up until viability, and it also
- doesn't have the same barriers in place.
- 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What do you
- mean, even if they have nominal lines earlier?
- MS. RIKELMAN: Some countries, Your
- Honor, have a nominal line of 12 weeks or 18
- weeks, but they permit legal access to abortion
- 18 after that point for broad social reasons,
- 19 health reasons, socioeconomic reasons, so their
- 20 regimes really aren't comparable, and they also
- 21 don't have the same type -- types of barriers
- 22 that we have here. So, if the Court were to
- 23 move the line substantial -- substantially
- 24 backwards -- and 15 weeks is 9 weeks before
- 25 viability, Your Honor, it's quite a bit

- 1 backwards -- it may need to reconsider the rules
- 2 around regulations because, if it's cutting the
- 3 time period to obtain an abortion roughly in
- 4 half, then those barriers are going to be much
- 5 more important.
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you.
- 7 JUSTICE BARRETT: Ms. Rikelman, I have
- 8 a question about the safe haven laws. So
- 9 Petitioner points out that in all 50 states, you
- 10 can terminate parental rights by relinquishing a
- 11 child after abortion, and I think the shortest
- 12 period might have been 48 hours if I'm
- 13 remembering the data correctly.
- So it seems to me, seen in that light,
- both Roe and Casey emphasize the burdens of
- parenting, and insofar as you and many of your
- 17 amici focus on the ways in which forced
- 18 parenting, forced motherhood, would hinder
- women's access to the workplace and to equal
- 20 opportunities, it's also focused on the
- 21 consequences of parenting and the obligations of
- 22 motherhood that flow from pregnancy.
- Why don't the safe haven laws take
- 24 care of that problem? It seems to me that it
- 25 focuses the burden much more narrowly. There

- is, without question, an infringement on bodily
- 2 autonomy, you know, which we have in other
- 3 contexts, like vaccines. However, it doesn't
- 4 seem to me to follow that pregnancy and then
- 5 parenthood are all part of the same burden.
- And so it seems to me that the choice
- 7 more focused would be between, say, the ability
- 8 to get an abortion at 23 weeks or the state
- 9 requiring the woman to go 15, 16 weeks more and
- 10 then terminate parental rights at the
- 11 conclusion. Why -- why didn't you address the
- safe haven laws and why don't they matter?
- 13 MS. RIKELMAN: I think they don't
- 14 matter for a couple of reasons, Your Honor.
- 15 First, even if some of those laws are
- 16 new since Casey, the idea that a woman could
- 17 place a child up for adoption has, of course,
- 18 been true since Roe, so it's a consideration
- 19 that the Court already had before it when it
- 20 decided those cases and adhered to the viability
- 21 line.
- But, in addition, we don't just focus
- on the burdens of parenting, and neither did Roe
- and Casey. Instead, pregnancy itself is unique.
- 25 It imposes unique physical demands and risks on

- 1 women and, in fact, has impact on all of their
- 2 lives, on their ability to care for other
- 3 children, other family members, on their ability
- 4 to work. And, in particular, in Mississippi,
- 5 those risks are alarmingly high. It's 75 times
- 6 more dangerous to give birth in Mississippi than
- 7 it -- than it is to have a pre-viability
- 8 abortion, and those risks are disproportionately
- 9 threatening the lives of women of color.
- 10 JUSTICE BARRETT: So are you saying --
- I mean, actually, as I read Roe and Casey, they
- don't talk very much about adoption. It's a
- 13 passing reference that that means out of the
- obligations of parenthood. But, as I hear this
- answer then, are you saying that the right as
- 16 you conceive of it is grounded primarily in the
- 17 bearing of the child, in the carrying of a
- pregnancy, and not so much looking forward into
- 19 the consequences on professional opportunities
- and work life and economic burdens?
- MS. RIKELMAN: No, Your Honor, I
- believe it's both, and -- and that is exactly
- 23 how Casey talked about it. It talked about the
- two strands of cases that supported the right.
- One was the strand of cases supporting bodily

- 1 integrity, and it cited to cases like Curzan and
- 2 Riggins versus Nevada. And the second was the
- 3 strand of cases supporting decisional autonomy
- 4 and specifically decisions related to
- 5 childbearing, marriage, and procreation,
- 6 decisions like Griswold, Loving.
- 7 And so it's really both strands that
- 8 we're relying on here.
- 9 JUSTICE GORSUCH: May I ask you a
- 10 question about stare decisis, counsel? Your --
- 11 your colleagues on the other side have
- 12 emphasized that Casey rejected Roe's trimester
- framework and replaced it with an undue burden
- 14 standard. They argue that the undue burden
- 15 standard was not well known to the law before
- 16 that, and then they argue that the undue burden
- 17 standard has evolved over time too in ways the
- 18 Court has found difficult to agree upon.
- In Hellerstedt, for example, they --
- 20 they point out in their briefs that the Court
- 21 seemed to suggest that a court should consider
- 22 both the benefits and the burdens associated
- with the proposed restriction. In June Medical
- 24 more recently, the Court splintered on -- on --
- on that same question, whether benefits could be

- 1 considered or only burdens.
- 2 And so the argument goes that this has
- 3 proved to be, putting aside all the other
- 4 obviously difficult questions in the case, that
- 5 -- that the standard itself has proved difficult
- 6 to administer and that that is relevant to the
- 7 stare decisis analysis, and I just wanted to
- 8 give you an opportunity to respond.
- 9 MS. RIKELMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
- 10 The first point I'd like to make is
- 11 the undue burden test is not at issue in this
- 12 case. That is the test that applies to
- 13 regulations, not prohibitions. And the state
- 14 has conceded that this is a prohibition. In
- fact, that's the title of this law, is an Act to
- 16 prohibit abortion after 15 weeks.
- 17 And the only thing that's at issue in
- 18 this case is the viability line, and the
- 19 viability line has been enduringly workable.
- 20 The lower federal courts have applied it
- 21 consistently and uniformly for 50 years. And
- the Fifth Circuit here below had no difficulty
- 23 striking down this law unanimously, 3-0. So
- it's been an exceedingly workable standard.
- 25 And if I may return to your question,

- 1 Mr. Chief Justice, a reasonable possibility
- 2 standard would not be workable. It would
- 3 ultimately boil down to an argument that states
- 4 can prohibit a category of women from exercising
- 5 a constitutional right merely because of the
- 6 number of people in the category. And that's
- 7 just not how constitutional rights work. A
- 8 state would never say that it could ban
- 9 religious services on a Wednesday evening, for
- 10 example, simply because most people could attend
- 11 religious services on another night of the week.
- 12 JUSTICE GORSUCH: So -- so I actually
- just wanted to -- that's helpful, I think. I
- just want to make sure I understand what you're
- 15 telling me, counsel, that -- that if the Court
- were to, in this case, step past viability and
- apply undue burden, the undue burden test, to
- 18 regulations prior to viability, you would agree
- 19 with the other side, I think, that that's not a
- 20 workable standard. Is -- is that -- is that a
- 21 fair understanding of what you're -- you're
- telling the Court?
- 23 MS. RIKELMAN: No, Your Honor. I -- I
- 24 believe --
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: Do you think that

1 would be workable? MS. RIKELMAN: -- I believe -- if I 2 3 may clarify, I believe the undue burden test has been workable for regulations that it is --4 5 JUSTICE GORSUCH: I -- I -- I understand that. I'm -- if it were to apply --6 7 if the Court were to -- and I thought this was 8 what you were saying in response to the Chief 9 Justice, but maybe I'm mistaken, and please 10 correct me if I am -- but what -- what is your 11 argument against applying the undue burden 12 standard prior to viability? 13 MS. RIKELMAN: If the undue burden 14 standard, as this Court laid out in Casey, which 15 includes the viability line, is applied --16 JUSTICE GORSUCH: No, no, I'm asking 17 -- I know -- we're fighting the hypothetical here, counsel, all right? Accept the 18 19 hypothetical. If, hypothetically, the Court were to extend the undue burden standard to 20 21 regulations prior to viability, would that be 2.2 workable or would that not be workable in your 23 view? 24 MS. RIKELMAN: Without viability, it 25 would not be workable, Your Honor, because it

- 1 would ultimately, again, always come down to a
- 2 claim that states can bar a certain category of
- 3 people from exercising this right simply because
- 4 of the number of people in the category, and
- 5 that's not a workable standard and it's not a
- 6 constitutional standard.
- 7 JUSTICE GORSUCH: I appreciate that
- 8 clarification. Thank you.
- 9 JUSTICE ALITO: Just to follow up on
- 10 that, I read your briefs -- your brief to say
- 11 that the only real options we have are to
- 12 reaffirm Roe and Casey as they stand or to
- overrule them in their entirety. You say that
- 14 "there are no half-measures here." Is that a
- 15 correct understanding of your brief?
- MS. RIKELMAN: Your Honor, it --
- certainly, the arguments that the state has
- 18 presented is what we're responding to there,
- 19 which is that all of the state's arguments,
- 20 including their alternatives, which are undue
- 21 burden without viability, would be the
- 22 equivalent of overruling Casey and Roe because
- 23 the viability line is the central holding of
- those cases. Casey mentioned it no fewer than
- 25 19 times. And the Court in June Medical just a

- 1 year ago affirmed that the viability line is the
- 2 central holding of both Casey and Roe.
- JUSTICE ALITO: Well, you -- you do
- 4 emphasize that the Court drew the line at
- 5 viability in Roe and reaffirmed that in Casey,
- 6 and that is certainly something that we have to
- 7 take very seriously into consideration.
- But suppose we were considering that
- 9 question now for the first time. I'm sure you
- 10 know the arguments about the viability line as
- 11 well as I do, probably better than I do. What
- 12 would you say in defense of that line? What
- would you say to the argument that has been made
- many times by people who are pro-choice and
- pro-life that the line really doesn't make any
- sense, that it is, as Justice Blackmun himself
- 17 described it, arbitrary?
- The -- the woman's -- if a woman wants
- 19 to be free of the burdens of pregnancy, that
- 20 interest does not disappear the moment the
- viability line is crossed. Isn't that right?
- MS. RIKELMAN: No, Your Honor, and if
- I may make a few points to answer your question.
- 24 First, I think the state views
- viability as arbitrary because it completely

- discounts the woman's interests. But
- viability --
- JUSTICE ALITO: No, no. But does a
- 4 woman have -- does -- upon reaching the point of
- 5 viability, does not the woman have the same
- 6 interests that she had before viability in being
- 7 free of this pregnancy that she no longer wants
- 8 to continue?
- 9 MS. RIKELMAN: Viability is a
- 10 principled line, Your Honor, because, in
- 11 ordering the interests --
- 12 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, I'm trying to
- 13 see whether it is a principled line.
- MS. RIKELMAN: Yeah. The --
- JUSTICE ALITO: Will you agree with me
- 16 at least on that point, that a woman still has
- the same interest in terminating her pregnancy
- 18 after the viability line has been crossed?
- MS. RIKELMAN: Yes, Your Honor, but
- 20 the Court balanced the interests --
- JUSTICE ALITO: Okay. And then --
- 22 MS. RIKELMAN: -- and in ordering the
- 23 interests at stake --
- 24 JUSTICE ALITO: -- look at the
- 25 interests on -- on the other side. The -- the

1 fetus has an interest in having a life, and that 2 doesn't change, does it, from the point before 3 viability to the point after viability? MS. RIKELMAN: In -- in some people's 5 view, it doesn't, Your Honor, but what the Court said is that those philosophical differences 6 couldn't be resolved --7 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, what is the --8 9 MS. RIKELMAN: -- in the way --JUSTICE ALITO: That -- that's what 10 11 I'm getting at. What is the philosophical 12 argument, the secular philosophical argument for 13 saying this is the appropriate line? 14 There are those who say that the 15 rights of personhood should be considered to 16 have taken hold at a point when the fetus 17 acquires certain independent characteristics. 18 But viability is dependent on medical technology 19 and medical practice. It has changed. It may 20 continue to change. 21 MS. RIKELMAN: No, Your Honor, it is 22 principled because, in ordering the interests at 23 stake, the Court had to set a line between

conception and birth, and it logically looked at

the fetus's ability to survive separately as a

24

- legal line because it's objectively verifiable
- 2 and doesn't require the Court to resolve the
- 3 philosophical issues at stake.
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: I just want to
- 5 focus on stare decisis for a little bit. I
- found my colleague, Justice Breyer's, comments
- 7 quite compelling. I'm not quite sure how
- 8 they're -- they play out in -- in Casey.
- 9 It is certainly true that we cannot
- 10 base our decisions on whether they're popular or
- 11 not with the people. Casey seemed to say we
- 12 shouldn't base our decisions not only on that
- but whether they're going to -- whether they're
- 14 going to seem popular, and it seemed to me to
- have a paradoxical conclusion that the more
- 16 unpopular the decisions are, the firmer the
- 17 Court should be in not departing from prior
- 18 precedent, sort of a super stare decisis, but
- 19 it's super stare decisis for what are regarded
- 20 as -- by many, as the most erroneous decisions.
- Do you think there is that category?
- 22 Is there -- or is it just normal stare decisis?
- MS. RIKELMAN: I think it is precedent
- on precedent, Your Honor, because Casey did the
- 25 stare decisis analysis for Roe, so the question

- 1 before this Court is whether that stare decisis
- 2 analysis was egregiously wrong.
- 3 And if I may answer your earlier
- 4 question about whether viability was squarely at
- 5 issue in Casey, it clearly was, Your Honor. At
- 6 pages 869 to 871, the Court squarely discussed
- 7 viability because the government had made the
- 8 argument that viability was arbitrary --
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, no, I
- 10 appreciate that Casey addressed it, but that's
- 11 different than saying it was at issue. It said
- it was the central principle of Roe because it
- was pretty much all that was left after they
- 14 were done dealing with the rest of it.
- 15 And the regulations in Casey had --
- had no applicability or not depending upon where
- 17 viability was. They applied throughout the
- 18 whole range, period. So, if they didn't say
- 19 anything about viability, it's like what Justice
- 20 Blackmun said in -- when discussing among his
- 21 colleagues, which is a good reason not to have
- 22 papers out that -- that early, is that they
- don't have to address the line-drawing at all in
- 24 Roe, and they didn't have to address the
- 25 line-drawing at all in Casey.

```
1
                MS. RIKELMAN: I disagree with that,
 2
      Your Honor, because the undue burden test
 3
      incorporates the viability line. That was what
      the Court was assessing the regulations against,
 4
      whether they imposed a substantial obstacle in
 5
 6
     the path of a woman before viability.
 7
                And if a prohibition like this law
      isn't a substantial obstacle, then nothing would
 8
 9
     be. So the issue was squarely before the Court,
      and, in fact, the Court said at page 879 that in
10
11
      adopting the undue burden test, it was not
12
     disturbing the viability line.
13
                JUSTICE BREYER: It's a very
14
      interesting question that I think Justice
15
     Barrett raised too. It's usually just
16
     philosophical, but I think it has bite here.
17
                When I read Casey, it's not just one
18
      on one, you know, two is greater than one.
19
     Casey plus Roe is greater than -- it -- it's --
20
      they're making a point that -- that -- that
     we're an institution perhaps more than a court
21
2.2
      of appeals or a district court. It's Hamilton's
23
     point, no purse, no sword, and yet we have to
24
     have public support, and that comes primarily,
25
      says Casey -- I wonder if it was O'Connor who
```

- 1 wrote that? I don't know.
- 2 But it comes primarily from people
- 3 believing that we do our job. We use reason.
- 4 We don't look to just what's popular. And
- 5 that's where you're seeing the paradox. But the
- 6 problem with the super case of which we've heard
- 7 three mentioned, the problem with a super case
- 8 like this, the rare case, the watershed case,
- 9 where people are really opposed on both sides
- and they really fight each other, is they're
- 11 going to be ready to say, no, you're just
- 12 political, you're just politicians.
- And that's what kills us as an
- 14 American institution. That's what they're
- 15 saying. So we're looking at it for that. But
- we are looking to, and that they say is a reason
- 17 why -- a reason why, when you get a case like
- 18 that, you better be damn sure that the normal
- 19 stare considerations, stare decisis overrulings
- are really there in spades, double, triple,
- 21 quadruple, and then they go through and show
- they're not. Okay?
- What's the paradox? Now maybe you
- think I just made an argument that there isn't
- one, but, really, in my head, I'm thinking I'm

- 1 not sure. There may be one. And I don't know
- 2 if you've ever thought about this. I don't know
- 3 if you've ever -- if -- when -- when -- when
- 4 that occurred to you, I don't want to overrule
- 5 the stare -- I wouldn't want the Court to
- 6 overrule the stare decisis section of Casey, you
- 7 see. And that -- that's -- that's what I think
- 8 is being brought up, and maybe I haven't made it
- 9 clearer, but I've tried to.
- 10 MS. RIKELMAN: Yes, Your Honor. I
- 11 think the point that the Court was making was
- 12 that the fact that some states may continue to
- enact laws in the teeth of the Court's precedent
- has never been enough of a reason to overrule.
- 15 And that's true for a number of decisions that
- 16 the Court has issued. The fact that some people
- 17 continue to disagree with them is not a basis to
- 18 discard that precedent.
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- Thomas, anything further?
- JUSTICE THOMAS: Back to my original
- 22 question. If I were -- I know your interest
- 23 here is in abortion, I understand that, but, if
- I were to ask you what constitutional right
- 25 protects the right to abortion, is it privacy?

- 1 Is it autonomy? What would it be?
- 2 MS. RIKELMAN: It's liberty, Your
- 3 Honor. It's the textual protection in the
- 4 Fourteenth Amendment that a state can't deprive
- 5 a person of liberty without due process of law,
- 6 and the Court has interpreted liberty to include
- 7 the right to make family decisions and the right
- 8 to physical autonomy, including the right to end
- 9 a pre-viability pregnancy.
- 10 JUSTICE THOMAS: So it's all of the
- 11 above?
- MS. RIKELMAN: Well, the Court --
- that's how the Court has interpreted the liberty
- 14 clause for over a hundred years in cases going
- 15 back to Meyer, Griswold, Carey, Loving,
- 16 Lawrence.
- 17 JUSTICE THOMAS: Yeah, but I -- I
- 18 mean, all of those sort of just come out of
- 19 Lochner, the -- so it's that we've -- we've
- 20 dropped part of it. So I understand what you're
- 21 saying, but what I'm trying to focus on is, if
- 22 we -- is to lower the level of generality or at
- least be a little bit more specific.
- In the old days, we used to say it was
- a right to privacy that the Court found in the

- due process, substantive due process clause,
- 2 okay? So -- or in substantive due process, and
- 3 I'm trying to get you to tell me, what are we
- 4 relying on now? Is it privacy? Is it autonomy?
- 5 What is it?
- 6 MS. RIKELMAN: I think it continues to
- 7 be liberty, and the right exists whatever level
- 8 of generality the Court applies. There was a
- 9 tradition under the common law for centuries of
- women being able to end their pregnancies.
- But, in addition, when it comes to
- decisions related to family, marriage, and
- 13 childbearing, the Court has done the analysis at
- 14 a higher level of generality, and that makes
- sense because, otherwise, the Constitution would
- 16 reinforce the historical discrimination against
- women.
- 18 JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you.
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 20 Breyer?
- 21 Justice Alito?
- JUSTICE ALITO: Well, you just
- 23 mentioned the common law, so let me ask you a
- 24 couple questions about history.
- 25 Did any state constitutional provision

- 1 recognize that abortion was a right, liberty, or
- 2 immunity in 1868, when the Fourteenth Amendment
- 3 was adopted?
- 4 MS. RIKELMAN: No, Your Honor, but it
- 5 had been allowed under the common law for many
- 6 years.
- 7 JUSTICE ALITO: Does any judicial
- 8 decision at that time or shortly or immediately
- 9 after 1868 recognize that abortion was a right,
- 10 liberty, or immunity?
- MS. RIKELMAN: There were state high
- 12 court decisions shortly before then, Your Honor,
- 13 talking about the ability of women to end a
- 14 pregnancy before quickening.
- JUSTICE ALITO: What's your best case?
- MS. RIKELMAN: For the right to end a
- 17 pregnancy, Your Honor?
- 18 JUSTICE ALITO: Uh-huh.
- MS. RIKELMAN: Allowing a state to
- take control of a woman's body and force her to
- 21 undergo the physical demands, risks, and
- 22 life-altering consequences of pregnancy is a
- 23 fundamental deprivation of her liberty. And,
- once the Court recognizes that that liberty
- 25 interest deserves heightened protection, it does

- 1 need to draw a workable line, and viability is a
- 2 line that logically balances the interests at
- 3 stake.
- 4 JUSTICE ALITO: The brief for the
- 5 American Historical Association says that
- 6 abortion was not legal before quickening in 26
- 7 out of 37 states at the time when the Fourteenth
- 8 Amendment was adopted. Is that correct?
- 9 MS. RIKELMAN: That is correct because
- some of the states had started to discard the
- 11 common law at that point because of a
- discriminatory view that a woman's proper role
- 13 was as a wife and mother, a view that the
- 14 Constitution now rejects, and that's why it's
- appropriate to do the historical analysis at a
- 16 higher level of generality.
- 17 JUSTICE ALITO: In the face of that,
- 18 can it said that the right to -- to abortion is
- deeply rooted in the history and traditions of
- the American people?
- MS. RIKELMAN: Yes, it can, Your
- Honor. Again, at the founding, women were able
- 23 to end their pregnancy under the common law.
- 24 And, in fact, this Court in Glucksberg
- 25 specifically decide -- discussed Casey as a

- 1 decision based on history and tradition and, at
- 2 Note 19, specifically called out and relied on
- 3 Roe's conclusion that at the time of the
- 4 founding and well into the 1800s, women had the
- 5 ability to end a pregnancy.
- 6 JUSTICE ALITO: What was the -- the
- 7 principal source that the Court relied on in Roe
- 8 for its historical analysis? Who was the author
- 9 of that -- of that article?
- 10 MS. RIKELMAN: I apologize, Your
- 11 Honor, I don't remember the author. I know that
- the Court spent many pages of the opinion doing
- a historical analysis. There's also a brief on
- 14 behalf of several key American historian
- associations that go through that history in
- 16 detail because there's even more information now
- 17 that supports Roe's legal conclusions.
- JUSTICE ALITO: All right. Thank you.
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 20 Sotomayor?
- 21 Justice Kagan?
- 22 Justice Gorsuch?
- Justice Kavanaugh?
- JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: I think the other
- side would say that the core problem here is

- 1 that the Court has been forced by the position
- 2 you're taking and by the -- the cases to pick
- 3 sides on the most contentious social debate in
- 4 American life and to do so in a situation where
- 5 they say that the Constitution is neutral on the
- 6 question of abortion, the text and history, that
- 7 the Constitution's neither pro-life nor
- 8 pro-choice on the question of abortion, and they
- 9 would say, therefore, it should be left to the
- 10 people, to the states, or to Congress.
- 11 And I think they also then continue,
- 12 because the Constitution is neutral, that this
- 13 Court should be scrupulously neutral on the
- question of abortion, neither pro-choice nor
- 15 pro-life, but, because, they say, the
- 16 Constitution doesn't give us the authority, we
- 17 should leave it to the states and we should be
- 18 scrupulously neutral on the question and that
- 19 they are saying here, I think, that we should
- 20 return to a position of neutrality on that
- 21 contentious social issue rather than continuing
- 22 to pick sides on that issue.
- So I think that's, at a big-picture
- level, their argument. I want to give you a
- 25 chance to respond to that.

1 MS. RIKELMAN: Yes. A few points if I 2 may, Your Honor. 3 First, of course, those very same arguments were made in Casey, and the Court 4 rejected them, saying that this philosophical 5 disagreement can't be resolved in a way that a 6 7 woman has no choice in the matter. And, second, I don't think it would be 8 9 a neutral position. The Constitution provides a guarantee of liberty. The Court has interpreted 10 11 that liberty to include the ability to make 12 decisions related to child -- childbearing, 13 marriage, and family. Women have an equal right 14 to liberty under the Constitution, Your Honor, 15 and if they're not able to make this decision, 16 if states can take control of women's bodies and 17 force them to endure months of pregnancy and 18 childbirth, then they will never have equal 19 status under the Constitution. 20 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: And I want to ask 21 a question about stare decisis and to think 22 about how to approach that here because there 23 have been lots of questions picking up on Justice Barrett's questions and others. And 24 2.5 history helps think about stare decisis, as I've

- 1 looked at it, and the history of how the Court's
- 2 applied stare decisis, and when you really dig
- 3 into it, the history tells a somewhat different
- 4 story, I think, than is sometimes assumed.
- 5 If you think about some of the most
- 6 important cases, the most consequential cases in
- 7 this Court's history, there's a string of them
- 8 where the cases overruled precedent. Brown v.
- 9 Board outlawed separate but equal. Baker versus
- 10 Carr, which set the stage for one person/one
- 11 vote. West Coast Hotel, which recognized the
- 12 states' authority to regulate business. Miranda
- 13 versus Arizona, which required police to give
- 14 warnings when the right to -- about the right to
- remain silent and to have an attorney present to
- 16 suspects in criminal custody. Lawrence v.
- 17 Texas, which said that the state may not
- 18 prohibit same-sex conduct. Mapp versus Ohio,
- which held that the exclusionary rule applies to
- 20 state criminal prosecutions to exclude evidence
- 21 obtained in violation of the Fourth Amendment.
- 22 Giddeon versus Wainwright, which guaranteed the
- 23 right to counsel in criminal cases. Obergefell,
- 24 which recognized a constitutional right to
- 25 same-sex marriage.

1	In each of those cases and that's a
2	list, and I could go on, and those are some of
3	the most consequential and important in the
4	Court's history the Court overruled
5	precedent. And it turns out, if the Court in
6	those cases had had listened, and they were
7	presented in with arguments in those cases,
8	adhere to precedent in Brown v. Board, adhere to
9	Plessy, on West Coast Hotel, adhere to Atkins
LO	and adhere to Lochner, and if the Court had done
L1	that in those cases, you know, this the
L2	country would be a much different place.
L3	So I assume you agree with most, if
L 4	not all, the cases I listed there, where the
L5	Court overruled the precedent. So the question
L 6	on stare decisis is why, if and I know you
L7	disagree with what about I'm about to say in the
L8	"if" if we think that the prior precedents
L9	are seriously wrong, if that, why then doesn't
20	the history of this Court's practice with
21	respect to those cases tell us that the right
22	answer is actually a return to the position of
23	neutrality and and not stick with those
24	precedents in the same way that all those other
2.5	cases didn't?

1	MS. RIKELMAN: Because the view that a
2	previous precedent is wrong, Your Honor, has
3	never been enough for this Court to overrule,
4	and it certainly shouldn't be enough here when
5	there's 50 years of precedent. Instead, the
6	Court has required something else, a special
7	justification. And the state doesn't come
8	forward with any special justification. It
9	makes the same exact arguments the Court already
LO	considered and rejected in its stare decisis
L1	analysis in Casey.
L2	And, in fact, there is nothing
L3	different. There is no less need today than 30
L 4	years ago or 50 years ago for women to be able
L5	to make this fundamental decision for themselves
L 6	about their bodies, lives, and health.
L7	JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Thank you.
L8	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
L 9	Barrett?
20	JUSTICE BARRETT: I want to ask you a
21	follow-up question. You know, the Chief was
22	asking you about the viability line and if that
23	was the right place, if that's the right line to
24	draw. So let's take it out of the question of
5	stare decisis and imagine that there is a state

- 1 constitution that's identical to the Fourteenth
- 2 Amendment's Due Process Clause, and a state
- 3 supreme court has to decide as a matter of state
- 4 constitutional law what the scope of an abortion
- 5 right is. And the second trimester ends at 27
- 6 weeks. And so that state supreme court says, we
- 7 think that the right exists, you know, in a --
- 8 in a -- in an absolute sense, that the state
- 9 cannot take away the right up to 27 weeks and
- 10 then after that adopts an undue burden standard.
- 11 As a matter of first principles, is
- 12 that line acceptable as a matter of
- 13 constitutional law?
- MS. RIKELMAN: Your Honor, it may be,
- but I think that the question in this case is
- whether a line is obviously more principled or
- obviously more workable than viability because
- 18 of the stare decisis context.
- 19 JUSTICE BARRETT: Why -- I mean,
- that's the Roe framework basically, the
- 21 trimester. Why wouldn't that be workable if you
- 22 pick a line and say the end of the second
- 23 trimester, 27 weeks; the third trimester,
- 24 state's interests increase? I don't understand
- 25 why 27 weeks is less workable than 24.

1 MS. RIKELMAN: I'm not trying to 2 suggest it is, Your Honor. What I was trying to 3 suggest is that the viability line is a principled and workable line, so, to change it, 4 5 there would have to be a new line that's obviously more principled and more workable. 6 And -- and the line that the Court has 7 8 drawn actually --9 JUSTICE BARRETT: But that's stare 10 decisis. I'm asking as a matter of first 11 principles. 12 MS. RIKELMAN: As a matter of first 13 principle, the viability line makes sense because, if the -- the state constitution was 14 15 the same --16 JUSTICE BARRETT: As a matter of 17 prudential judgment. It's not constitutionally 18 required as a matter of first principles 19 because, in fact, we could decide to be more protective and say 27 weeks, end of the second 20 21 trimester. 2.2 MS. RIKELMAN: You could, Your Honor, 23 but the -- the viability line makes sense given

the protection for liberty because it comes from

the woman's liberty interest in resisting state

24

_	control of her body. And, once the court
2	recognizes that interest, it does need to draw a
3	line, as it does in many other constitutional
4	contexts, like the Fourth and Fifth Amendment.
5	And the viability line, as I
6	mentioned, makes sense because it focuses on the
7	fetus's ability to survive separately, which is
8	an appropriate legal line because it's
9	objectively verifiable and doesn't delve into
10	philosophical questions about when life begins.
11	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
12	counsel.
13	General Prelogar?
14	ORAL ARGUMENT OF GENERAL ELIZABETH B. PRELOGAR
15	FOR THE UNITED STATES, AS AMICUS CURIAE,
16	SUPPORTING THE RESPONDENTS
17	GENERAL PRELOGAR: Mr. Chief Justice,
18	and may it please the court:
19	For a half century, this Court has
20	correctly recognized that the Constitution
21	protects a woman's fundamental right to decide
22	whether to end a pregnancy before viability.
23	That guarantee that the state cannot force a
24	woman to carry a pregnancy to term and give
25	birth has engendered substantial individual and

- 1 societal reliance.
- 2 The real-world effects of overruling
- 3 Roe and Casey would be severe and swift. Nearly
- 4 half of the states already have or are expected
- 5 to enact bans on abortion at all stages of
- 6 pregnancy, many without exceptions for rape or
- 7 incest.
- 8 Women who are unable to travel
- 9 hundreds of miles to gain access to legal
- 10 abortion will be required to continue with their
- 11 pregnancies and give birth, with profound
- 12 effects on their bodies, their health, and the
- 13 course of their lives.
- 14 If this Court renounces the liberty
- interest recognized in Roe and reaffirmed in
- 16 Casey, it would be an unprecedented contraction
- of individual rights and a stark departure from
- 18 principles of stare decisis.
- 19 The Court has never revoked a right
- 20 that is so fundamental to so many Americans and
- 21 so central to their ability to participate fully
- 22 and equally in society. The Court should not
- overrule this central component of women's
- 24 liberty.
- JUSTICE THOMAS: General, would you

1 specifically tell me -- specifically state what 2 the right is? Is it specifically abortion? 3 it liberty? Is it autonomy? Is it privacy? GENERAL PRELOGAR: The right is 4 5 grounded in the liberty component of the Fourteenth Amendment, Justice Thomas, but I 6 7 think that it promotes interest in autonomy, bodily integrity, liberty, and equality. And I 8 9 do think that it is specifically the right to 10 abortion here, the right of a woman to be able 11 to control, without the state forcing her to 12 continue a pregnancy, whether to carry that baby 13 to term. 14 JUSTICE THOMAS: I understand we're 15 talking about abortion here, but what is 16 confusing is that we -- if we were talking about 17 the Second Amendment, I know exactly what we're 18 talking about. If we're talking about the 19 Fourth Amendment, I know what we're talking about because it's written. It's there. 20 21 What specifically is the right here 22 that we're talking about? GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, Justice 23 Thomas, I think that the Court in those other 24

contexts with respect to those other amendments

- 1 has had to articulate what the text means and
- 2 the bounds of the constitutional guarantees, and
- 3 it's done so through a variety of different
- 4 tests that implement First Amendment rights,
- 5 Second Amendment rights, Fourth Amendment
- 6 rights.
- 7 So I don't think that there is
- 8 anything unprecedented or anomalous about the
- 9 right that the Court articulated in Roe and
- 10 Casey and the way that it implemented that right
- 11 by defining the scope of the liberty interest by
- 12 reference to viability and providing that that
- is the moment when the balance of interests tips
- and when the state can act to prohibit a woman
- from -- from getting an abortion based on its
- interest in protecting the fetal life at that
- 17 point.
- JUSTICE THOMAS: So the right
- 19 specifically is abortion?
- 20 GENERAL PRELOGAR: It's the right of a
- woman prior to viability to control whether to
- 22 continue with the pregnancy, yes.
- JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: General, I am
- 25 interested in Justice Kavanaugh's long litany of

- 1 cases in which we've overruled precedent, and we
- 2 have. Yet, you did call this unprecedented. As
- 3 I see the structure of the Constitution, the
- 4 body of it is the relationship of the three
- 5 branches of government, and then there is the
- 6 relationship of the federal government to the
- 7 state, and, through our incorporation of the
- 8 Fourteenth Amendment, of the state vis- α -vis the
- 9 individual, it's the federal government and the
- 10 states' relationship to individuals.
- 11 And I see the Bill of Rights,
- including the Fourteenth Amendment, as basically
- 13 setting the limits, giving individual freedom to
- do certain things and stopping the government
- 15 from intruding in those liberties, in those Bill
- 16 of Rights, correct?
- 17 Of all of the decisions that Justice
- 18 Kavanaugh listed, all of them invite --
- 19 virtually, except for maybe one, involved us
- 20 recognizing and overturning state control over
- 21 issues that we said belong to individuals, the
- 22 right in Miranda to be warned was an individual
- 23 right, correct?
- 24 GENERAL PRELOGAR: That's right,
- 25 Justice Sotomayor, and I think that that is a

- 1 key distinction with the list of precedents that
- 2 Justice Kavanaugh was relying on.
- I think that there are really two key
- 4 distinctions, and the first is that in the vast
- 5 majority of those cases, the Court was actually
- 6 taking the issue away from the people and saying
- 7 that it had been wrong before not to recognize a
- 8 right. And I think that matters because it goes
- 9 straight to reliance interests.
- 10 Here, the Court would be doing the
- 11 opposite. It would be telling the women of
- 12 America that it was wrong, that, actually, the
- ability to control their bodies and perhaps the
- 14 most important decision they can make about
- whether to bring a child into this world is not
- part of their protected liberty, and I think
- 17 that that would come at tremendous cost to the
- 18 reliance that women have placed on this right
- 19 and on societal reliance and what this right has
- 20 meant for further ensuring equality.
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: The reliance point is
- 22 a -- is a good point, and this may be my fault.
- 23 I'm talking about pages 854 to 863 in the Casey
- 24 case. And I've already used up too much time.
- I can't read those pages out loud. But they do

- 1 not include the list that Justice Kavanaugh had.
- 2 They do include two. One is Brown, and the
- 3 second one is West Coast Hotel versus Parrish.
- 4 And you could add the gay rights cases as a
- 5 third which would fit the criteria.
- 6 But there are complex criteria that
- 7 she's talking about that link to the position in
- 8 the rule of law of this Court, so all I would
- 9 say is you have to read them before beginning to
- 10 say whether they are overruling or not
- overruling in the sense meant there calling for
- 12 special concern.
- Now they say in those, maybe I'd
- 14 mention two, wait a minute, of course, Plessy
- was wrong when decided, but, just a minute, also
- 16 remember Plessy said that separate but equal was
- 17 a badge of inferiority. No, they said, it
- isn't. Well, all you have to do is open your
- 19 eyes and look at the South, my friend, and you
- 20 will see whether it was or it wasn't in 1954.
- 21 And they made a similar point. They
- 22 said, are you going to sit here in the middle of
- 23 the Depression and tell me that -- that Lochner,
- 24 with its other cases, and pure, just about pure
- laissez faire, that we can run the country that

1 way? 2 I mention that because I want people 3 to read those 15 pages with care, and that's why I said that. If you have anything to add to my 4 plea to read it, please do. 5 6 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, Justice 7 Breyer, I agree completely. I have read those pages and re-read them many times, and I think 8 9 that this is actually another key distinction 10 from the cases that Justice Kavanaugh was 11 referring to, and that is, as I understand those 12 passages in Casey, the Court carefully walked 13 through each and every stare decisis factor that 14 this Court focuses on. It looked at workability 15 of the viability rule, doctrinal underpinnings, 16 legal and factual developments, and, critically, 17 reliance interests. 18 And down the line, it found that the 19 case for reaffirming Roe was overwhelming. And in that situation, when every factor that the 20 21 Court consults to determine whether to retain 22 precedent counsels in favor of retaining it, I 23 think Casey properly perceived that a decision to overrule nevertheless, perhaps based on a 24

conclusion that the justices thought the case

2.5

- 1 was wrongly decided in the first instance, would
- 2 run counter to the ability of stare decisis to
- 3 function as a cornerstone of the rule of law in
- 4 this context.
- 5 JUSTICE ALITO: Is it your argument
- 6 that a case can never be overruled simply
- 7 because it was egregiously wrong?
- 8 GENERAL PRELOGAR: I think that at the
- 9 very least, the state would have to come forward
- 10 with some kind of materially changed
- 11 circumstance or some kind of materially new
- 12 argument, and Mississippi hasn't done so in this
- 13 case. It is --
- JUSTICE ALITO: Really? So suppose
- 15 Plessy versus Ferguson was re-argued in 1897, so
- 16 nothing had changed. Would it not be sufficient
- 17 to say that was an egregiously wrong decision on
- 18 the day it was handed down and now it should be
- 19 overruled?
- 20 GENERAL PRELOGAR: It certainly
- 21 was egregiously wrong on the day that it was
- 22 handed down, Plessy, but what the Court said in
- 23 analyzing Plessy to Brown and Casey was that
- 24 what had become clear is that the factual
- 25 premise that underlay the decision, this idea

1 that segregation didn't create a badge of 2 inferiority, had been entirely mistaken. 3 JUSTICE ALITO: So is your -- is it really --4 5 GENERAL PRELOGAR: And, here, the 6 state is not --7 JUSTICE ALITO: -- is it your answer that we needed all the experience from 1896 to 8 9 1954 to realize that Plessy was -- was wrongly 10 decided? Would you answer my question? Had it come before the Court in 1897, should it have 11 12 been overruled or not? 13 GENERAL PRELOGAR: I think it should 14 have been overruled, but I think that the 15 factual premise was wrong in the moment it was 16 decided, and the Court realized that and clarified that when it overruled in Brown. 17 18 JUSTICE ALITO: So there are --19 GENERAL PRELOGAR: And, here --20 JUSTICE ALITO: -- circumstances in which a decision may be overruled, properly 21 22 overruled, when it must be overruled simply 23 because it was egregiously wrong at the moment it was decided? 24 2.5 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, I think --

```
1
                JUSTICE ALITO: Correct?
 2
               GENERAL PRELOGAR: -- every other --
                JUSTICE ALITO: Is that correct?
 3
                GENERAL PRELOGAR: -- stare decisis
      factor likewise would have justified overruling
 5
 6
      in that interest, that actually it would run
7
     counter to any notion of reasonable reliance,
      that it was not a workable rule, that it had
8
 9
     become an outlier in our understanding of
      fundamental freedoms.
10
                JUSTICE ALITO: Well, there was a lot
11
12
      of reliance on --
13
               GENERAL PRELOGAR: And so I think,
14
      looking at all of the facts --
15
                JUSTICE ALITO: -- there was a lot of
16
      reliance on Plessy. The -- the South built up a
17
     whole society based on the idea of white
      supremacy. So there was a lot of reliance. It
18
19
     was rely -- it was improper reliance. It was
20
      reliance on an egregiously wrong understanding
21
     of what equal protection means.
2.2
                But your answer is -- I don't -- I
     still don't understand -- I still don't have
23
     your answer clearly. Can a decision be
24
     overruled simply because it was erroneously
25
```

- 1 wrong, even if nothing has changed between the
- 2 time of that decision and the time when the
- 3 Court is called upon to consider whether it
- 4 should be overruled? Yes or no? Can you give
- 5 me a yes or no answer on that?
- 6 GENERAL PRELOGAR: This Court, no, has
- 7 never overruled in that situation just based on
- 8 a conclusion that the decision was wrong. It
- 9 has always applied the stare decisis factors and
- 10 likewise found that they warrant overruling in
- 11 that instance. And -- and Casey did that. It
- 12 applied the stare decisis factors.
- 13 If stare decisis is to mean anything,
- 14 it has to mean that that kind of extensive
- 15 consideration of all of the same arguments for
- whether to retain or discard a precedent itself
- is an additional layer of precedent that needs
- to be relied on and can form a stable foundation
- 19 of the rule of law.
- JUSTICE KAGAN: General, you've talked
- 21 a number of times about the reliance interests
- 22 here, and I think I'd like you to say a little
- 23 bit more about that because, you know,
- 24 sometimes, when we talk about reliance
- 25 interests, it's like there's a rule of law and

- 1 you look at it and you say, oh, somebody will
- 2 enforce my contract because of this rule, and it
- 3 has a very kind of grounded quality to it.
- 4 And, as Casey talked about the
- 5 reliance interests here, they're a little bit
- 6 more airy. And I just wanted to get your sense
- 7 of what are the reliance interests here and how
- 8 does -- how do they cash out on the ground?
- 9 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, there are
- 10 multiple reliance interests here, as I think
- 11 Casey correctly recognized. Casey pointed to
- 12 the individual reliance of women and their
- 13 partners who had been able to organize their
- 14 lives and make important life decisions against
- 15 the backdrop of having control over this
- incredibly consequential decision whether to
- 17 have a child. And people make decisions in
- 18 reliance on having that kind of reproductive
- 19 control, decisions about where to live, what
- 20 relationships to enter into, what investments to
- 21 make in their jobs and careers.
- 22 And so I think, on a very individual
- level, there has been profound reliance. And
- it's certainly the case that not every woman in
- 25 America has needed to exercise this right or has

1 wanted to, but one in four American women have 2 had an abortion, and for those women, the right 3 secured by Roe and Casey has been critical in ensuring that they can control their bodies and 4 5 control their lives. 6 And then I think there's a second 7 dimension to it that Casey also properly recognized, and that's the societal dimension. 8 9 That's the -- the understanding of our society, 10 even though this has been a controversial 11 decision, that this is a liberty interest of 12 women. It's the case that not everyone agrees 13 with Roe versus Wade, but just about every 14 person in America knows what this Court held, 15 they know how the Court has defined this concept 16 of liberty for women and what control they will 17 have in the situation of an unplanned pregnancy. 18 And for the Court to reverse course 19 now, I think, would run counter to that societal 20 reliance and the very concept we have of what 21 equality is guaranteed to women in this country. 2.2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: It is certainly 23 true that there can be some planning by some 24 people about pregnancy. People who are raped don't have a choice, whether it's by an outsider 2.5

- 1 or their own husband. And not everybody can
- 2 afford contraceptives, contrary to the -- the --
- 3 your adversary's brief. In fact, 19 percent of
- 4 the women in Mississippi are uninsured, so they
- 5 don't have money to pay for contraceptives.
- 6 So -- but why -- their point in their
- 7 brief was, you know, contraceptives, if you use
- 8 them, the failure rate is very small, et cetera,
- 9 et cetera, how can there be real reliance. So
- 10 could you address that issue?
- 11 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Of course.
- So, first, this is not a new
- 13 circumstance since Roe and Casey.
- 14 Contraceptives existed in 1973 and in 1992, and
- still the Court recognized that unplanned
- 16 pregnancies would persist and deeply implicate
- 17 the liberty interest of women.
- But I think even on the facts, the
- 19 state is mistaken here. Contraceptive failure
- 20 rate in this country is at about 10 percent,
- 21 using the most common methods. That means that
- women using contraceptives, approximately one in
- 23 10 will experience an unplanned pregnancy in the
- first year of use alone. About half the women
- 25 who have unplanned pregnancies were on

- 1 contraceptives in the month that that occurred.
- 2 And so I think the idea that contraceptives
- 3 could make the need for abortion dissipate is
- 4 just contrary to the factual reality.
- 5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: You also
- 6 mentioned, or maybe it was your co-counsel, that
- 7 life changes for women after 15 weeks.
- 8 GENERAL PRELOGAR: That's exactly
- 9 right, Justice Sotomayor, and I think that this
- is responsive as well to the questions that the
- 11 Chief Justice was asking about, in particular,
- the impact of enforcing a 15-week bar in this
- 13 case. The Court has always looked at that issue
- 14 by looking at the people for whom the law is a
- 15 restriction, not those for whom it's irrelevant.
- So the question is, why would women
- 17 need access to abortion after 15 weeks, and what
- is the effect on them? And there are any number
- of women who cannot get an abortion earlier.
- 20 They don't realize that they're pregnant.
- 21 That's especially true of women who are young or
- 22 don't have -- haven't experienced a pregnancy
- 23 before, or their life circumstances change, as
- 24 you referred to, Justice Sotomayor. They lose
- 25 their job or their relationship breaks apart or

1 they have medical complications. Or, for many 2 women, they don't have the resources to pay for 3 it earlier. It takes time for them to raise the money or make the appropriate logistical 4 arrangements to be able to take time off work 5 and travel and have childcare. And for all 6 7 those women in this category who need access to abortion after 15 weeks, the fact that other 8 women were able to exercise their constitutional 9 10 rights does nothing to diminish the impact on 11 their liberty interest in forcing them to 12 continue with that pregnancy. 13 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Thank you. 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: General, following up on that, would that argument be 15 16 true in terms of viability as well? In other 17 words, what -- your discussion of the reliance 18 interests and the ability of women and men to 19 control their lives in reliance on the right to -- to an abortion, the argument would not be as 20 21 strong, I think you'll have to concede, given 2.2 what we're talking about, which is not a 23 prohibition; it's a 15-week line. 24

GENERAL PRELOGAR: Yes. So this --

Is that right?

2.5

Т	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: There you
2	have to hypothesize people who have planned
3	their lives according to a 24 or whatever week
4	limit it is but not a 15-week limit on abortion,
5	right?
6	GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, I don't think
7	the Court has ever analyzed reliance with that
8	kind of parsing. I think, here, the I the
9	the force of the viability line is that it's
LO	clearly demarcated to the scope of a
L1	woman's protected liberty interest in this
L2	context. And the state is not actually asking
L3	this Court to replace it with a clear 15-week
L 4	line that would provide some measure of
L5	continued protection for this right. They're
L 6	asking the Court to reverse the liberty interest
L7	altogether or leave it up in the air.
L8	And if that were to happen, then
L9	immediately states with six-week bans,
20	eight-week bans, ten-week bans, and so on, would
21	seek to enforce those with no continued guidance
22	of what the scope of the liberty interest is
23	going forward.
24	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, that may
25	he what they!re asking for but the thing that

1 is at issue before us today is 15 weeks. And I 2 just wonder what the strength of your reliance 3 arguments, which sounded to me like being based on a total prohibition, would be if there isn't 4 a total prohibition, and as far as viability 5 6 goes, I don't see what that has to do with the 7 question of choice at all. GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, I think, as 8 9 Casey emphasized in reaffirming the viability 10 line, the Court justified that as having both a 11 logical and a biological justification that it 12 marks the point in pregnancy when the fetus is 13 capable of meaningful life --14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, that's 15 what John Hart Ely explained was a complete 16 syllogism. That's the definition of viability. 17 It's not a reason that viability is a good line. 18 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, it's focused 19 on the idea of fetal separateness, and I think that that is a line that also accords with the 20 21 history and tradition in this country of 2.2 abortion regulation. Contrary to the state's 23 arguments here, at the time of the founding and 24 for most of early American history, women had an

-- an ability to access abortion in the early

2.5

- 1 stages of pregnancy, and it was only when the
- 2 fetus was deemed sufficiently separate that
- 3 states could act to bar that.
- 4 So I think that the viability line
- 5 also aligns with history and tradition in that
- 6 respect.
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 8 Thomas?
- 9 JUSTICE THOMAS: You heard my question
- 10 to counsel earlier about the woman who was
- 11 convicted of criminal child neglect. What would
- be your reaction to that as far as her liberty
- and whether or not the liberty interest that
- we're talking about extends to her?
- 15 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, Justice
- 16 Thomas, I have to confess that I haven't read
- 17 the specific case you're referring to, but, if I
- 18 understand the question you were posing, it
- 19 sounds as though the state is seeking to
- 20 regulate for a child that's been born that was
- 21 injured while it was inside the womb.
- 22 And I think that we are not denying
- 23 that a state has an interest there. We're not
- 24 denying that a state has an interest here
- 25 either. Roe recognized that states have

- 1 interests that exist from the outset of
- 2 pregnancy.
- But, with respect to this specific
- 4 right to abortion, there are also profound
- 5 liberty interests of the woman on the other side
- 6 of the scale in not being forced to continue
- 7 with a pregnancy, not being forced to endure
- 8 childbirth and to have a child out in the world.
- 9 And the state's arguments here seem to
- 10 ask this Court to look only at its interests and
- 11 to ignore entirely those incredibly weighty
- 12 interests of the women on the other side.
- 13 JUSTICE THOMAS: Thank you.
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 15 Breyer?
- 16 Justice Alito? No?
- Justice Gorsuch, anything further?
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: I just want to make
- 19 sure I understand your response to the Chief
- 20 Justice. If this Court will reject the
- 21 viability line, do you see any other
- 22 intelligible principle that the Court could
- 23 choose?
- 24 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Well, I think that
- 25 it would be critically important, even if this

- 1 Court were to reject the viability line, to 2 reinforce and reaffirm the fundamental and 3 profound liberty interest --
- 4 JUSTICE GORSUCH: That -- that --
- 5 GENERAL PRELOGAR: -- at stake here,
- 6 and I --
- JUSTICE GORSUCH: Counsel, I'm sorry
- 8 for interrupting, but that wasn't my question.
- 9 I understand -- I understand you -- I understand
- 10 that point fully by the end of this argument.
- 11 That is deeply clear to me. I understand your
- 12 position.
- I -- I'm just asking a question about
- 14 whether you think there would be another
- 15 alternative line that the government would
- 16 propose or not. You emphasized that if -- if 15
- weeks were approved, then we'd have cases about
- 18 12 and 10 and 8 and 6, and so my question is, is
- 19 there a line in there that the government
- 20 believes would be principled or not?
- 21 GENERAL PRELOGAR: I don't think
- there's any line that could be more principled
- 23 than viability. You know, I think the factors
- the Court would have to think about are what is
- 25 most consistent with precedent, what would be

- 1 clear and workable, and what would preserve
- 2 the -- the essential components of the liberty
- 3 interest, and viability checks all of those
- 4 boxes and has the advantage as well as being a
- 5 rule of law for 50 years.
- 6 JUSTICE GORSUCH: Thank you. That's
- 7 helpful, counsel. Appreciate it.
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 9 Kavanaugh?
- 10 JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: You -- you make a
- very forceful argument and identify critically
- important interests that are at stake in this
- issue, no doubt about that.
- 14 The other side says, though, that
- there are two interests at stake, that there's
- 16 also the interest in -- in fetal life at stake
- as well. And in your brief, you say that the
- 18 existing framework accommodates -- that's your
- 19 word -- both the interests of the pregnant woman
- and the interests of the fetus.
- 21 And -- and the problem, I think the
- 22 other side would say and the reason this issue
- is hard, is that you can't accommodate both
- 24 interests. You have to pick. That's the
- fundamental problem. And one interest has to

- 1 prevail over the other at any given point in time, and that's why this is so challenging, I 2 3 think. And the question then becomes, what does the Constitution say about that? And I 5 6 just want to get your reaction to what the other 7 side's theme is, and I've mentioned it in my prior questions. 8 9 When you have those two interests at 10 stake and both are important, as you 11 acknowledge, why not -- why should this Court be 12 the arbiter rather than Congress, the state 13 legislatures, state supreme courts, the people 14 being able to resolve this? And there will be 15 different answers in Mississippi and New York, 16 different answers in Alabama than California, 17 because they're two different interests at stake 18 and the people in those states might value those interests somewhat differently. 19 20 Why is that not the right answer? 21 GENERAL PRELOGAR: Justice Kavanaugh,
- it's not the right answer because the Court
 correctly recognized that this is a fundamental
 right of women, and the nature of fundamental
 rights is that it's not left up to state

- 1 legislatures to decide whether to honor them or
- 2 not.
- 3 And it's true, different rules would
- 4 prevail throughout the country if this Court
- 5 were to overrule Roe and Wade -- Roe and Casey,
- 6 but what that would mean is that women in those
- 7 states who are refusing to honor their rights
- 8 and who are forcing them to continue to use
- 9 their bodies to sustain a pregnancy and then to
- 10 bring a child into the world will have no
- 11 recourse other than to travel if they're able to
- 12 afford it or to attempt abortion outside the
- 13 confines of the medical system or to have a
- 14 child even though that was not the best choice
- 15 for them and their family.
- JUSTICE KAVANAUGH: Thank you.
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 18 Barrett.
- 19 JUSTICE BARRETT: I have a follow-up
- 20 to Justice Kagan's question about reliance. I'm
- 21 just trying to nail down, and I -- and I asked
- 22 Ms. Rikelman this question too, but I'm not sure
- 23 that I fully understand the government's
- 24 position or Ms. Rikelman's position.
- So, on pages 18 and 19 of your brief,

- 1 you talk about reliance interests and you quote
- 2 some of the language from Casey about a woman's
- 3 ability to participate in the social and
- 4 economic life of the nation.
- 5 And I mentioned the safe haven laws to
- 6 Ms. Rikelman, and it -- it seems to me I fully
- 7 understand the reliance interests. There are
- 8 the airy ones Justice Kagan was referring to and
- 9 then there are the more specific ones about a
- woman's access to abortion as a backup form of
- 11 birth control in the event that contraception
- 12 fails so that she need not bear the burdens of
- 13 pregnancy.
- But what do you have to say to
- 15 Petitioners' argument that those reliance
- 16 interests do not include the reliance interests
- 17 of parenting and bringing a child into the world
- when maybe that's not the best thing for her
- 19 family or her career?
- 20 GENERAL PRELOGAR: I think the state
- 21 is wrong about that. And I -- I think where the
- 22 analysis goes wrong in reliance on those safe
- haven laws is overlooking the consequences of
- forcing a woman upon her the choice of having to
- decide whether to give a child up for adoption.

- 1 That itself is its own monumental decision for
- 2 her.
- And so I think that there's nothing
- 4 new about the safe haven laws, the -- or -- or
- 5 at least nothing new about the availability of
- 6 adoption as an alternative. Roe and Casey
- 7 already took account of that fact. And I think
- 8 that there are certainly, of course, all of
- 9 the -- the bodily integrity interests that we've
- 10 referred to, but, also, the autonomy interests
- 11 retain in force as well.
- 12 JUSTICE BARRETT: Okay. So it's
- 13 the -- the reliance interests and the right to
- 14 be able to choose to terminate the pregnancy
- 15 rather than having to terminate the parental
- 16 rights?
- 17 GENERAL PRELOGAR: I think that that
- is part of it, yes. And I think, for many
- 19 women, that is an incredibly difficult choice,
- 20 but it's one that this Court for 50 years has
- 21 recognized must be left up to them based on
- 22 their beliefs and their conscience and their
- 23 determination about what is best for the course
- 24 of their lives.
- JUSTICE BARRETT: Thank you, General.

Т	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
2	General.
3	Rebuttal, General Stewart.
4	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF SCOTT G. STEWART
5	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS
6	MR. STEWART: Thank you, Mr. Chief
7	Justice. I'd like to do my best to make three
8	points.
9	First, picking up where where you
10	just left off, Justice Barrett, on safe haven
11	laws, the Respondents in this case, I I
12	believe, as Your Honor pointed out, have
13	emphasized parenting burdens being a lead or the
14	lead reason that women seek abortions.
15	I would emphasize safe haven laws, as
16	best I've been able to find, first came into
17	existence in 1999 in Texas. They're now
18	ubiquitous, and you're correct, Justice Barrett,
19	that they relieve that huge burden.
20	I would also add that as to $$ as to
21	burdens during pregnancy, I would emphasize that
22	contraception is more accessible and affordable
23	and available than it was at the time of Roe or
24	Casey. It serves the same goal of allowing
25	women to decide if when and how many children

- 1 to have.
- 2 And I would also note, just frankly,
- 3 the lowest-cost abortion at Jackson Women's
- 4 Health is \$600 for the abortion. Additional
- 5 costs and further fees, according to -- to my
- 6 friends, the Respondents, and their amici, there
- 7 are also additional costs related to travel,
- 8 taking off time -- time off of work,
- 9 accommodations, all of those sorts of things.
- 10 Whether somebody is uninsured or not, the costs
- of contraception are consistently significantly
- 12 less than those.
- Number two, I -- I think you --
- Justice Kavanaugh, you had it exactly right when
- 15 you -- when you used the term scrupulously
- 16 neutral. I think that's a very good description
- of what we're asking for here. I think it's the
- 18 problem and the value that has evaded the Court
- 19 and will continue to evade this Court under Roe
- and Casey, but that is exact -- exactly right.
- 21 This is a hard issue. It involves --
- 22 and -- and I would emphasize, Your Honor, that,
- as you said, there are interests here on -- on
- 24 both sides. There are interests for everyone
- involved. This is unique for the woman. It's

- 1 unique for the unborn child too whose life is at
- 2 stake in all of these decisions. It's unique
- 3 for us as a society in how we decide if the
- 4 states get to -- get -- get to legislate on this
- 5 issue, how to decide and how to weigh these
- 6 tremendously momentous issues.
- 7 In closing, I would say that in his
- 8 dissent in Plessy versus Ferguson, Justice
- 9 Harlan emphasized that there is no caste system
- 10 here. The humblest in our country is the pure,
- 11 the most powerful. Our Constitution neither
- 12 knows nor tolerates distinctions on the basis of
- 13 race.
- 14 It took 58 years for this Court to
- 15 recognize the truth of those realities in a
- decision, and that was the greatest decision
- 17 that this Court ever reached. We're -- we're
- 18 running on 50 years of Roe. It is an
- 19 egregiously wrong decision that has inflicted
- 20 tremendous damage on our country and will
- 21 continue to do so and take innumerable human
- 22 lives unless and until this Court overrules it.
- We ask the Court to do so in this case
- and uphold the state's law.
- Thank you, Your Honor.

1		CHIEF JU	STICE F	ROBERTS	S: Tha	ank you,
2	General,	counsel.	The ca	ase is	submi	tted.
3		(Whereup	on, at	11:54	a.m.,	the case
4	was subm	itted.)				
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
LO						
L1						
L2						
L3						
L 4						
L5						
L 6						
L7						
L 8						
L9						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

Official - Subject to Final Review								
<u> </u>	6 [1] 105 :18	41 :15 101 :3 112 :5	66: 8,10 73: 21,22 74: 7,15,	106:7				
\$600 [1] 112 :4	7	accords [1] 102:20	18 75 :4,17 76 :6,18 92 :5,14	approach [3] 11:4 46:7 78:				
	75 [2] 31 :7 58 :5	account [8] 12:13 17:2,6	93: 3,7,18,20 94: 1,3,11,15	22				
1		18 :15 32 :4 38 :5 45 :15 110 :		appropriate [6] 36:9 47:3				
1 [1] 1 :14	8	7 accurate [1] 43 :25	allow [3] 44:6 47:18 55:7 allowed [1] 74:5	66:13 75:15 84:8 100:4 approved [1] 105:17				
10 [4] 9 :24 98 :20,23 105 :18	8 [1] 105 :18	acknowledge [1] 107:11	Allowing [2] 74:19 111:24	approximately [1] 98:22				
10:00 [2] 1:18 4:2	84 [1] 3:11	acknowledged [1] 33:13	allows [2] 44:12 55:10	arbiter [1] 107:12				
11:54 [1] 114:3	854 [2] 11: 5 89: 23	acquires [1] 66:17	alluding [2] 8:7 42:10	arbitrary [4] 19:25 64:17,				
111 ៧ 3: 14 12 ៧ 41: 21 55: 16 105: 18	863 [1] 89:23 869 [2] 11:5 68:6	across [1] 41:22	alone 3 4:20 12:14 98:24	25 68 :8				
14 [1] 31 :12	871 [1] 68 :6	Act [3] 60:15 87:14 103:3	already [5] 57:19 81:9 85:4	area [3] 7:14 35:18 40:16				
15 [18] 4 :23 14 :19 41 :21 52 :	879 [1] 69: 10	acts [2] 15:6 21:6	89 :24 110 :7	areas [6] 7: 14,15,18 40: 16,				
1,7,17 53 :16,20 54 :1 55 :24	9	actually [13] 15:22 19:20	alternative [2] 105:15 110:	19,19				
57: 9 60: 16 91: 3 99: 7,17		39 :19 53 :5 58 :11 61 :12 80 :		aren't [3] 28:14 50:23 55:				
100 :8 102 :1 105 :16	9 [2] 41:21 55:24	22 83 :8 89 :5,12 91 :9 94 :6	alternatives [1] 63:20	20				
15-week [7] 51: 24 54: 13,	A	101:12 add 6 19:23 24:8 25:13 90:	altogether [1] 101:17 Amendment [16] 15:19 23:	argue [3] 28:6 59:14,16 argued [1] 18:25				
16 99 :12 100 :23 101 :4,13	a.m [3] 1:18 4:2 114:3	4 91:4 111:20	21 51 :7 72 :4 74 :2 75 :8 79 :	arguing 6 39:13 43:8,8,				
150 [1] 25 :25	ability [22] 10:23 22:22 30:	added [2] 16:15 38:4	21 84 :4 86 :6,17,19 87 :4,5,	11,18 45 :17				
16 [1] 57: 9	2 49 :12 52 :16,24 53 :9,10	addition [3] 38:8 57:22 73:	5 88: 8,12	argument [30] 1:17 3:2,5,8,				
18 [2] 55 :16 108 :25 1800s [1] 76 :4	57 :7 58 :2,3 66 :25 74 :13	11	Amendment's [1] 82:2	12 4 :4,7 38 :5,10,12 47 :10				
1868 [2] 74: 2.9	76 :5 78 :11 84 :7 85 :21 89 :	additional (3) 95:17 112:4,	amendments [1] 86:25	50 :16 60 :2 61 :3 62 :11 64 :				
1896 [1] 93: 8	13 92 :2 100 :18 102 :25	7	America (3) 89:12 96:25	13 66 :12,12 68 :8 70 :24 77 :				
1897 [2] 92 :15 93 :11	109:3 able [18] 15:15 18:16 36:17	address [4] 57:11 68:23,24		24 84 :14 92 :5,12 100 :15,				
19 [4] 63 :25 76 :2 98 :3 108 :	41:25 44:7 53:25 73:10 75:	98: 10	American 8 16:25 70:14	20 105 :10 106 :11 109 :15				
25	22 78 :15 81 :14 86 :10 96 :	addressed [2] 39:1 68:10 adds [1] 18:3	75 :5,20 76 :14 77 :4 97 :1	111:4				
19-1392 [1] 4 :4	13 100 :5,9 107 :14 108 :11	adds 11 18:3 adhere [5] 48:6 80:8,8,9,10	102:24 Americans [1] 85:20	arguments [15] 35:1 38:20 39:3,14 54:12 63:17,19 64:				
1954 [2] 90 :20 93 :9	110 :14 111 :16	adhered [1] 57:20	amici [2] 56:17 112:6	10 78 :4 80 :7 81 :9 95 :15				
1973 [1] 98:14	abortion [67] 5:1,5,18 6:5,	administer [1] 60:6	amicus [3] 2:8 3:10 84:15	102 :3,23 104 :9				
1992 [1] 98:14 1999 [1] 111:17	9,13,25 7: 23 12: 23 23: 23	administrable [1] 8:9	among [1] 68 :20	Arizona [1] 79:13				
	24 :5 31 :13 35 :18 43 :12,14,	adopt [2] 14:15 35:10	analysis [12] 6:22 7:7 39:	around [2] 39:24 56:2				
2	20,22 44:7 47:14 48:19 50:	adopted [4] 12:24 16:23	25 60 :7 67 :25 68 :2 73 :13	arrangements [1] 100:5				
20 [1] 9:24	12,17 51 :9 52 :7,21 53 :6 54 :1,9 55 :6,11,17 56 :3,11	74 :3 75 :8	75 :15 76 :8,13 81 :11 109 :	array [1] 32:14				
200 [1] 25 :25	57 :8 58 :8 60 :16 71 :23,25	adopting [1] 69:11	22	article [1] 76:9				
2021 [1] 1 :14 23 [2] 21 :16 57 :8	74 :1,9 75 :6,18 77 :6,8,14	adoption [4] 57:17 58:12	analyzed [1] 101:7	articulate [1] 87:1				
		100.25 110.6	analyzoe [1] 27·19	articulated [1] 97:0				
	82 :4 85 :5,10 86 :2,10,15	109:25 110:6 adopts [1] 82:10	analyzes [1] 27:18	articulated [1] 87:9				
24 [4] 17 :25 21 :16 82 :25		109:25 110:6 adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7	analyzing [1] 92:23					
24 [4] 17 :25 21 :16 82 :25 101 :3	82: 4 85: 5,10 86: 2,10,15	adopts [1] 82:10		aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60:				
24 [4] 17 :25 21 :16 82 :25	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112:	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8	aside [4] 30 :10,12 53: 12 60 :				
24 [4] 17 :25 21 :16 82 :25 101 :3 25 [1] 17 :25	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31:	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60: 3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31:	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4	analyzing (1) 92:23 anomalous (1) 87:8 another (5) 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer (16) 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60: 3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22,	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60: 3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 59:22				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14 above [1] 72:11	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60: 3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 59:22 Association [1] 75:5				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20 3-0 [1] 60:23 30 [10] 11:13 12:11 13:25	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21 affirm [1] 49:7	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22 answered [2] 26:19 42:25	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60: 3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 59:22 Association [1] 75:5 associations [1] 76:15				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20 3-0 [4] 60:23	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14 above [1] 72:11 above-entitled [1] 1:16	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21 affirm [1] 49:7 affirmed [1] 64:1	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22 answered [2] 26:19 42:25 answers [2] 107:15,16	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60:3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 59:22 Association [1] 75:5 associations [1] 76:15 assume [3] 8:22 49:9 80:				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20 3-0 [1] 60:23 30 [10] 11:13 12:11 13:25 14:8,17 17:2,7 35:13,15	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14 above [1] 72:11 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:15 absolute [1] 82:8 absolutely [1] 16:2	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21 affirm [1] 49:7	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22 answered [2] 26:19 42:25 answers [2] 107:15,16 anybody [1] 44:14	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60: 3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 59:22 Association [1] 75:5 associations [1] 76:15				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20 3 3-0 [1] 60:23 30 [10] 11:13 12:11 13:25 14:8,17 17:2,7 35:13,15 81:13 37 [1] 75:7	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14 above [1] 72:11 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:15 absolute [1] 82:8 absolutely [1] 16:2 abstract [1] 7:1	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21 affirm [1] 49:7 affirmed [1] 64:1 afford [2] 98:2 108:12	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22 answered [2] 26:19 42:25 answers [2] 107:15,16	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60:3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 59:22 Association [1] 75:5 associations [1] 76:15 assume [3] 8:22 49:9 80:13				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20 3 3-0 [1] 60:23 30 [10] 11:13 12:11 13:25 14:8,17 17:2,7 35:13,15 81:13 37 [1] 75:7	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14 above [1] 72:11 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:15 absolute [1] 82:8 absolutely [1] 16:2 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:5,9 45:16 62:	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21 affirm [1] 49:7 affirmed [1] 64:1 afford [2] 98:2 108:12 affordable [1] 111:22	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22 answered [2] 26:19 42:25 answers [2] 107:15,16 anybody [1] 44:14 anyway [1] 43:3 apart [1] 99:25 apologize [2] 37:1 76:10	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60: 3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 59:22 Association [1] 75:5 associations [1] 76:15 assume [3] 8:22 49:9 80: 13 assumed [1] 79:4 assumes [1] 30:7 Atkins [1] 80:9				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20 3 3-0 [1] 60:23 30 [10] 11:13 12:11 13:25 14:8,17 17:2,7 35:13,15 81:13 37 [1] 75:7	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14 above [1] 72:11 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:15 absolute [1] 82:8 absolutely [1] 16:2 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:5,9 45:16 62: 18	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21 affirm [1] 49:7 affirmed [1] 64:1 afford [2] 98:2 108:12 affordable [1] 111:22 ago [6] 34:25 35:13,14 64:1 81:14,14 agree [6] 26:25 59:18 61:	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22 answered [2] 26:19 42:25 answers [2] 107:15,16 anybody [1] 44:14 anyway [1] 43:3 apart [1] 99:25 apologize [2] 37:1 76:10 appeals [2] 38:7 69:22	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60:3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 59:22 Association [1] 75:5 associations [1] 76:15 assume [3] 8:22 49:9 80: 13 assumed [1] 79:4 assumes [1] 30:7 Atkins [1] 80:9 attempt [1] 108:12				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20 3 3-0 [1] 60:23 30 [10] 11:13 12:11 13:25 14:8,17 17:2,7 35:13,15 81:13 37 [1] 75:7 4 4 4 [1] 3:4	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14 above [1] 72:11 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:15 absolute [1] 82:8 absolutely [1] 16:2 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:5,9 45:16 62: 18 acceptable [1] 82:12	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21 affirm [1] 49:7 affirmed [1] 64:1 afford [2] 98:2 108:12 affordable [1] 111:22 ago [6] 34:25 35:13,14 64:1 81:14,14 agree [6] 26:25 59:18 61: 18 65:15 80:13 91:7	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22 answered [2] 26:19 42:25 answers [2] 107:15,16 anybody [1] 44:14 anyway [1] 43:3 apart [1] 99:25 apologize [2] 37:1 76:10 appeals [2] 38:7 69:22 APPEARANCES [1] 2:1	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60: 3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 59:22 Association [1] 75:5 associations [1] 76:15 assume [3] 8:22 49:9 80: 13 assumed [1] 79:4 assumes [1] 30:7 Atkins [1] 80:9 attempt [1] 108:12 attend [1] 61:10				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20 3 3-0 [1] 60:23 30 [10] 11:13 12:11 13:25 14:8,17 17:2,7 35:13,15 81:13 37 [1] 75:7 4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:4	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14 above [1] 72:11 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:15 absolute [1] 82:8 absolutely [1] 16:2 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:5,9 45:16 62: 18 acceptable [1] 82:12 access [11] 52:15 55:6,7,	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21 affirm [1] 49:7 affirmed [1] 64:1 afford [2] 98:2 108:12 affordable [1] 111:22 ago [6] 34:25 35:13,14 64:1 81:14,14 agree [6] 26:25 59:18 61: 18 65:15 80:13 91:7 agrees [1] 97:12	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22 answered [2] 26:19 42:25 answers [2] 107:15,16 anybody [1] 44:14 anyway [1] 43:3 apart [1] 99:25 apologize [2] 37:1 76:10 appeals [2] 38:7 69:22 APPEARANCES [1] 2:1 appearing [1] 16:1	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60:3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 59:22 Association [1] 75:5 associations [1] 76:15 assume [3] 8:22 49:9 80: 13 assumed [1] 79:4 assumes [1] 30:7 Atkins [1] 80:9 attempt [1] 108:12 attend [1] 61:10 attorney [1] 79:15				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20 3 3-0 [1] 60:23 30 [10] 11:13 12:11 13:25 14:8,17 17:2,7 35:13,15 81:13 37 [1] 75:7 4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:4 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:12	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14 above [1] 72:11 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:15 absolute [1] 82:8 absolutely [1] 16:2 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:5,9 45:16 62: 18 acceptable [1] 82:12	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21 affirm [1] 49:7 affirmed [1] 64:1 afford [2] 98:2 108:12 affordable [1] 111:22 ago [6] 34:25 35:13,14 64:1 81:14,14 agree [6] 26:25 59:18 61: 18 65:15 80:13 91:7 agrees [1] 97:12 ahead [4] 11:21 24:17,17,	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22 answered [2] 26:19 42:25 answers [2] 107:15,16 anybody [1] 44:14 anyway [1] 43:3 apart [1] 99:25 apologize [2] 37:1 76:10 appeals [2] 38:7 69:22 APPEARANCES [1] 2:1 appearing [1] 16:1 applicability [1] 68:16	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60: 3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 59:22 Association [1] 75:5 associations [1] 76:15 assume [3] 8:22 49:9 80: 13 assumed [1] 79:4 assumes [1] 30:7 Atkins [1] 80:9 attempt [1] 108:12 attend [1] 61:10 attorney [1] 79:15 author [2] 76:8,11				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20 3 3-0 [1] 60:23 30 [10] 11:13 12:11 13:25 14:8,17 17:2,7 35:13,15 81:13 37 [1] 75:7 4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:4 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:12 5	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14 above [1] 72:11 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:15 absolute [1] 82:8 absolutely [1] 16:2 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:5,9 45:16 62: 18 acceptable [1] 82:12 access [11] 52:15 55:6,7, 10,17 56:19 85:9 99:17	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21 affirm [1] 49:7 affirmed [1] 64:1 afford [2] 98:2 108:12 affordable [1] 111:22 ago [6] 34:25 35:13,14 64:1 81:14,14 agree [6] 26:25 59:18 61: 18 65:15 80:13 91:7 agrees [1] 97:12 ahead [4] 11:21 24:17,17, 18	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22 answered [2] 26:19 42:25 answers [2] 107:15,16 anybody [1] 44:14 anyway [1] 43:3 apart [1] 99:25 apologize [2] 37:1 76:10 appeals [2] 38:7 69:22 APPEARANCES [1] 2:1 appearing [1] 16:1 applicability [1] 68:16 applicable [1] 45:22	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60:3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 59:22 Association [1] 75:5 associations [1] 76:15 assume [3] 8:22 49:9 80:13 assumed [1] 79:4 assumes [1] 30:7 Atkins [1] 80:9 attempt [1] 108:12 attend [1] 61:10 attorney [1] 79:15 author [2] 76:8,11 authority [5] 10:11 43:12,				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20 3 3-0 [1] 60:23 30 [10] 11:13 12:11 13:25 14:8,17 17:2,7 35:13,15 81:13 37 [1] 75:7 4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:4 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:12 5 50 [16] 4:17,19 14:16 34:19,	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14 above [1] 72:11 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:15 absolute [1] 82:8 absolutely [1] 16:2 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:5,9 45:16 62: 18 acceptable [1] 82:12 access [11] 52:15 55:6,7, 10,17 56:19 85:9 99:17 100:7 102:25 109:10 accessible [1] 111:22 accessing [1] 49:2	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21 affirm [1] 49:7 affirmed [1] 64:1 afford [2] 98:2 108:12 affordable [1] 111:22 ago [6] 34:25 35:13,14 64:1 81:14,14 agree [6] 26:25 59:18 61: 18 65:15 80:13 91:7 agrees [1] 97:12 ahead [4] 11:21 24:17,17,	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22 answered [2] 26:19 42:25 answers [2] 107:15,16 anybody [1] 44:14 anyway [1] 43:3 apart [1] 99:25 apologize [2] 37:1 76:10 appeals [2] 38:7 69:22 APPEARANCES [1] 2:1 appearing [1] 16:1 applicability [1] 68:16	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60:3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 59:22 Association [1] 75:5 associations [1] 76:15 assume [3] 8:22 49:9 80:13 assumed [1] 79:4 assumes [1] 30:7 Atkins [1] 80:9 attempt [1] 108:12 attend [1] 61:10 attorney [1] 79:15 author [2] 76:8,11				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20 3 3-0 [1] 60:23 30 [10] 11:13 12:11 13:25 14:8,17 17:2,7 35:13,15 81:13 37 [1] 75:7 4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:4 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:12 5	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14 above [1] 72:11 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:15 absolute [1] 82:8 absolutely [1] 16:2 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:5,9 45:16 62: 18 acceptable [1] 82:12 access [11] 52:15 55:6,7, 10,17 56:19 85:9 99:17 100:7 102:25 109:10 accessible [1] 111:22 accessing [1] 49:2 accommodate [1] 106:23	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21 affirm [1] 49:7 affirmed [1] 64:1 afford [2] 98:2 108:12 affordable [1] 111:22 ago [6] 34:25 35:13,14 64:1 81:14,14 agree [6] 26:25 59:18 61: 18 65:15 80:13 91:7 agrees [1] 97:12 ahead [4] 11:21 24:17,17, 18 air [1] 101:17	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22 answered [2] 26:19 42:25 answers [2] 107:15,16 anybody [1] 44:14 anyway [1] 43:3 apart [1] 99:25 apologize [2] 37:1 76:10 appeals [2] 38:7 69:22 APPEARANCES [1] 2:1 appearing [1] 16:1 applicability [1] 68:16 applicable [1] 45:22 applied [6] 60:20 62:15 68:	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60:3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 59:22 Association [1] 75:5 associations [1] 76:15 assume [3] 8:22 49:9 80:13 assumed [1] 79:4 assumes [1] 30:7 Atkins [1] 80:9 attempt [1] 108:12 attend [1] 61:10 attorney [1] 79:15 author [2] 76:8,11 authority [5] 10:11 43:12, 13 77:16 79:12				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20 3 3-0 [1] 60:23 30 [10] 11:13 12:11 13:25 14:8,17 17:2,7 35:13,15 81:13 37 [1] 75:7 4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:4 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:12 5 50 [16] 4:17,19 14:16 34:19, 20,25 35:14 51:11 52:21	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14 above [1] 72:11 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:15 absolute [1] 82:8 absolutely [1] 16:2 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:5,9 45:16 62: 18 acceptable [1] 82:12 access [11] 52:15 55:6,7, 10,17 56:19 85:9 99:17 100:7 102:25 109:10 accessible [1] 111:22 accessing [1] 49:2 accommodates [1] 106:23 accommodates [1] 106:	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21 affirm [1] 49:7 affirmed [1] 64:1 afford [2] 98:2 108:12 affordable [1] 111:22 ago [6] 34:25 35:13,14 64:1 81:14,14 agree [6] 26:25 59:18 61: 18 65:15 80:13 91:7 agrees [1] 97:12 ahead [4] 11:21 24:17,17, 18 air [1] 101:17 airy [2] 96:6 109:8 AL [2] 1:5,9 Alabama [1] 107:16	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22 answered [2] 26:19 42:25 answers [2] 107:15,16 anybody [1] 44:14 anyway [1] 43:3 apart [1] 99:25 apologize [2] 37:1 76:10 appeals [2] 38:7 69:22 APPEARANCES [1] 2:1 appearing [1] 16:1 applicability [1] 68:16 applicable [1] 45:22 applied [6] 60:20 62:15 68: 17 79:2 95:9,12 applies [5] 5:3 8:8 60:12 73:8 79:19	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60:3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 59:22 Association [1] 75:5 associations [1] 76:15 assume [3] 8:22 49:9 80:13 assumed [1] 79:4 assumes [1] 30:7 Atkins [1] 80:9 attempt [1] 108:12 attend [1] 61:10 attorney [1] 79:15 author [2] 76:8,11 authority [5] 10:11 43:12, 13 77:16 79:12 autonomy [18] 6:7,9,17,19 7:16 23:22 34:2 49:10 50: 18,19 57:2 59:3 72:1,8 73:				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20 3 3-0 [1] 60:23 30 [10] 11:13 12:11 13:25 14:8,17 17:2,7 35:13,15 81:13 37 [1] 75:7 4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:4 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:12 5 50 [16] 4:17,19 14:16 34:19, 20,25 35:14 51:11 52:21 56:9 60:21 81:5,14 106:5 110:20 113:18 505 [1] 11:5	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14 above [1] 72:11 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:15 absolute [1] 82:8 absolutely [1] 16:2 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:5,9 45:16 62: 18 acceptable [1] 82:12 access [11] 52:15 55:6,7, 10,17 56:19 85:9 99:17 100:7 102:25 109:10 accessible [1] 111:22 accessing [1] 49:2 accommodates [1] 106:23 accommodates [1] 106:	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21 affirm [1] 49:7 affirmed [1] 64:1 afford [2] 98:2 108:12 affordable [1] 111:22 ago [6] 34:25 35:13,14 64:1 81:14,14 agree [6] 26:25 59:18 61: 18 65:15 80:13 91:7 agrees [1] 97:12 ahead [4] 11:21 24:17,17, 18 air [1] 101:17 airy [2] 96:6 109:8 AL [2] 1:5,9 Alabama [1] 107:16 alarmingly [1] 58:5	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22 answered [2] 26:19 42:25 answers [2] 107:15,16 anybody [1] 44:14 anyway [1] 43:3 apart [1] 99:25 apologize [2] 37:1 76:10 appeals [2] 38:7 69:22 APPEARANCES [1] 2:1 appearing [1] 16:1 applicability [1] 68:16 applicable [1] 45:22 applied [6] 60:20 62:15 68: 17 79:2 95:9,12 applies [5] 5:3 8:8 60:12 73:8 79:19 apply [5] 5:2 26:3 42:13 61:	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60: 3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 75:22 Associations [1] 76:15 assume [3] 8:22 49:9 80: 13 assumed [1] 79:4 assumes [1] 30:7 Atkins [1] 80:9 attempt [1] 108:12 attend [1] 61:10 attorney [1] 79:15 author [2] 76:8,11 authority [5] 10:11 43:12, 13 77:16 79:12 autonomy [18] 6:7,9,17,19 7:16 23:22 34:2 49:10 50: 18,19 57:2 59:3 72:1,8 73: 4 86:3,7 110:10				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20 3 3-0 [1] 60:23 30 [10] 11:13 12:11 13:25 14:8,17 17:2,7 35:13,15 81:13 37 [1] 75:7 4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:4 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:12 5 50 [16] 4:17,19 14:16 34:19, 20,25 35:14 51:11 52:21 56:9 60:21 81:5,14 106:5 10:20 113:18	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14 above [1] 72:11 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:15 absolute [1] 82:8 absolutely [1] 16:2 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:5,9 45:16 62: 18 acceptable [1] 82:12 access [11] 52:15 55:6,7, 10,17 56:19 85:9 99:17 100:7 102:25 109:10 accessible [1] 111:22 accemmodate [1] 106:23 accommodates [1] 106: 18 accommodations [1] 112:	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21 affirm [1] 49:7 affirmed [1] 64:1 afford [2] 98:2 108:12 affordable [1] 111:22 ago [6] 34:25 35:13,14 64:1 81:14,14 agree [6] 26:25 59:18 61: 18 65:15 80:13 91:7 agrees [1] 97:12 ahead [4] 11:21 24:17,17, 18 air [1] 101:17 airy [2] 96:6 109:8 AL [2] 1:5,9 Alabama [1] 107:16 alarmingly [1] 58:5 aligns [1] 103:5	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22 answered [2] 26:19 42:25 answers [2] 107:15,16 anybody [1] 44:14 anyway [1] 43:3 apart [1] 99:25 apologize [2] 37:1 76:10 appeals [2] 38:7 69:22 APPEARANCES [1] 2:1 appearing [1] 16:1 applicability [1] 68:16 applicable [1] 45:22 applied [6] 60:20 62:15 68: 17 79:2 95:9,12 apply [5] 5:3 8:8 60:12 73:8 79:19 apply [5] 5:2 26:3 42:13 61: 17 62:6	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60: 3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 75:5 associations [1] 76:15 assume [3] 8:22 49:9 80: 13 assumed [1] 79:4 assumes [1] 30:7 Atkins [1] 80:9 attempt [1] 108:12 attend [1] 61:10 attorney [1] 79:15 author [2] 76:8,11 authority [5] 10:11 43:12, 13 77:16 79:12 autonomy [18] 6:7,9,17,19 7:16 23:22 34:2 49:10 50: 18,19 57:2 59:3 72:1,8 73: 4 86:3,7 110:10 availability [1] 110:5				
24 [4] 17:25 21:16 82:25 101:3 25 [1] 17:25 26 [1] 75:6 27 [5] 82:5,9,23,25 83:20 3 3-0 [1] 60:23 30 [10] 11:13 12:11 13:25 14:8,17 17:2,7 35:13,15 81:13 37 [1] 75:7 4 4 [1] 3:4 40 [1] 21:4 47 [1] 3:7 48 [1] 56:12 5 50 [16] 4:17,19 14:16 34:19, 20,25 35:14 51:11 52:21 56:9 60:21 81:5,14 106:5 110:20 113:18 505 [1] 11:5	82:4 85:5,10 86:2,10,15 87:15,19 97:2 99:3,17,19 100:8,20 101:4 102:22,25 104:4 108:12 109:10 112: 3,4 abortions [6] 4:23 5:7 31: 9 37:10 44:13 111:14 above [1] 72:11 above-entitled [1] 1:16 absence [1] 10:15 absolute [1] 82:8 absolutely [1] 16:2 abstract [1] 7:1 accept [4] 13:5,9 45:16 62: 18 acceptable [1] 82:12 access [11] 52:15 55:6,7, 10,17 56:19 85:9 99:17 100:7 102:25 109:10 accessible [1] 111:22 accessing [1] 49:2 accommodates [1] 106:23 accommodates [1] 106:	adopts [1] 82:10 adults [1] 25:7 advancement [1] 17:14 advancements [2] 17:7, 11 advantage [1] 106:4 adversary's [1] 98:3 affects [1] 5:21 affirm [1] 49:7 affirmed [1] 64:1 afford [2] 98:2 108:12 affordable [1] 111:22 ago [6] 34:25 35:13,14 64:1 81:14,14 agree [6] 26:25 59:18 61: 18 65:15 80:13 91:7 agrees [1] 97:12 ahead [4] 11:21 24:17,17, 18 air [1] 101:17 airy [2] 96:6 109:8 AL [2] 1:5,9 Alabama [1] 107:16 alarmingly [1] 58:5	analyzing [1] 92:23 anomalous [1] 87:8 another [5] 27:2 42:20 61: 11 91:9 105:14 answer [16] 13:5 27:10 31: 21 35:25 42:6 58:15 64:23 68:3 80:22 93:7,10 94:22, 24 95:5 107:20,22 answered [2] 26:19 42:25 answers [2] 107:15,16 anybody [1] 44:14 anyway [1] 43:3 apart [1] 99:25 appologize [2] 37:1 76:10 appeals [2] 38:7 69:22 APPEARANCES [1] 2:1 appearing [1] 16:1 applicability [1] 68:16 applicable [1] 45:22 applied [6] 60:20 62:15 68: 17 79:2 95:9,12 apply [5] 5:3 8:8 60:12 73:8 79:19 apply [5] 5:2 26:3 42:13 61: 17 62:6 applying [1] 62:11	aside [4] 30:10,12 53:12 60:3 asks [1] 47:17 aspects [1] 6:16 assessing [1] 69:4 assisted [1] 7:14 associated [1] 59:22 Association [1] 75:5 associations [1] 76:15 assume [3] 8:22 49:9 80:13 assumed [1] 79:4 assumes [1] 30:7 Atkins [1] 80:9 attempt [1] 108:12 attend [1] 61:10 attorney [1] 79:15 author [2] 76:8,11 authority [5] 10:11 43:12, 13 77:16 79:12 autonomy [18] 6:7,9,17,19 7:16 23:22 34:2 49:10 50: 18,19 57:2 59:3 72:1,8 73: 4 86:3,7 110:10				

away [4] 30:2 51:13 82:9 89:6 В baby [1] 86:12 back [11] 8:11,20 21:11 25: 25,25 33:3,6 37:6 42:5 71: 21 72:15 backdrop [1] 96:15 backgrounds [1] 14:20 backup [1] 109:10 backwards [3] 48:19 55: 24 56:1 bad [3] 11:16 26:9 35:13 badge [2] 90:17 93:1 Baker [1] 79:9 balance [5] 34:13.16.17 36: 21 87:13 balanced [1] 65:20 balances [1] 75:2 balancing [1] 48:16 ban [14] 14:23,25 25:5,5,7 47:14 48:23 51:9 52:17 54: 9,11,13,16 61:8 bans [5] 54:14 85:5 101:19. bar [5] 47:24 54:1 63:2 99: 12 **103**:3 barely [1] 5:12 BARRETT [22] 24:16,19,20 **26**:19 **40**:14 **44**:20,21 **46**: 21 56:7 58:10 69:15 81:19, 20 82:19 83:9,16 108:18, 19 110:12,25 111:10,18 Barrett's [1] 78:24 barriers [3] 55:12,21 56:4 base [2] 67:10.12 based [11] 33:22 39:5.21 53:5 76:1 87:15 91:24 94: 17 **95**:7 **102**:3 **110**:21 basic [1] 14:18 basically [3] 41:13 82:20 basis [6] 4:13 8:8 27:5 35: 9 71:17 113:12 battle [1] 4:18 bear [1] 109:12 bearing [1] 58:17 became [1] 41:14 become [3] 6:14 92:24 94: becomes [1] 107:4 beain [2] 30:9 32:11 beginning [2] 29:24 90:9 begins [2] 29:23 84:10 behalf [9] 2:3,4 3:4,7,14 4: 8 **47**:11 **76**:14 **111**:5 behind [1] 34:1 belief [1] 16:12 beliefs [1] 110:22 believe [15] 15:16,20,22 17: 25 **18**:6 **31**:19 **32**:13 **37**:23 49:16 52:6 58:22 61:24 62: 2.3 111:12

believes [1] 105:20 believing [1] 70:3 belong [2] 22:18 88:21 belongs [2] 5:22 13:19 below [3] 5:1 21:15 60:22 benefits [6] 28:24 45:1 53: 7 8 59:22 25 best [11] 5:19 12:5 30:16 32:5 39:14 74:15 108:14 **109**:18 **110**:23 **111**:7.16 better [3] 9:11 64:11 70:18 between [6] 18:7 25:6 52:1 **57**:7 **66**:23 **95**:1 beyond [4] 10:18 23:12,16 33:18 **big-picture** [1] **77:**23 bill [4] 14:21,22 88:11,15 bioethicists [1] 32:9 biological [1] 102:11 birth [7] 31:12 47:19 58:6 66:24 84:25 85:11 109:11 bit [7] 26:8 44:22 55:25 67: 5 **72**:23 **95**:23 **96**:5 bite [1] 69:16 Blackmun [4] 19:19.23 64: 16 68:20 Board [3] 15:11 79:9 80:8 bodies [6] 78:16 81:16 85: 12 89:13 97:4 108:9 bodily [9] 6:20 34:4 49:11 **50**:18,19 **57**:1 **58**:25 **86**:8 body [5] 14:12 48:9 74:20 84:1 88:4 boil [1] 61:3 born [1] 103:20 both [19] 21:14 36:18 40:9 **49**:11 **50**:5.7.25 **54**:4 **56**: 15 **58**:22 **59**:7,22 **64**:2 **70**: 9 102:10 106:19,23 107:10 112:24 bounds [1] 87:2 Bowers [1] 45:7 boxes [1] 106:4 brain [3] 21:1.3.7 branch [1] 46:8 branches [1] 88:5 break [2] 7:23 46:19 breaks [1] 99:25 BREYER [27] 8:19.22.25 **11:**8,15,20 **12:**19 **13:**4,11, 13,25 **25**:19,24 **26**:11,15, 18,22 27:8 32:24 41:6 44: 23 45:12 69:13 73:20 89: 21 91:7 104:15 Breyer's [1] 67:6 bridge [1] 34:20 brief [13] 11:12 17:4 37:12 **49**:9 **53**:3 **63**:10.15 **75**:4 **76**:13 **98**:3.7 **106**:17 **108**:

briefed [1] 18:25

briefs [2] 59:20 63:10

bright-line [1] 8:17

bring [2] 89:15 108:10 bringing [1] 109:17 brings [1] 48:12 Britain [1] 55:10 broad [1] 55:18 broader [1] 38:20 brought [1] 71:8 Brown [9] 15:11 36:11 45: 7.19 79:8 80:8 90:2 92:23 93:17 brutal [1] 5:5 built [1] 94:16 bulk [1] 12:17 burden [29] 8:4,16 12:14 **14**:11 **16**:24 **29**:14,19 **41**: 14 42:12,17,19,23 56:25 **57**:5 **59**:13,14,16 **60**:11 **61**: 17,17 62:3,11,13,20 63:21 69:2.11 82:10 111:19 burdens [9] 56:15 57:23 58:20 59:22 60:1 64:19 109:12 111:13 21 business [1] 79:12

calculus [1] 31:6 California [1] 107:16 call [4] 9:9 24:21 26:2 88:2 called [3] 11:25 76:2 95:3 calling [1] 90:11 calm [1] 14:6 calming [1] 14:1 came [2] 1:16 111:16 Canada [1] 55:9 cannot [7] 21:14 24:4 51: 12 67:9 82:9 84:23 99:19 capable [1] 102:13 care [6] 49:2 50:6 52:16 56: 24 58:2 91:3 career [1] 109:19 careers [1] 96:21 carefully [3] 16:5 47:25 91: Carey [1] 72:15 Carolina [1] 49:16 Carr [1] 79:10 carry [2] 84:24 86:12 carrying [2] 42:24 58:17 Case [51] 4:4,22 9:5,13,20, 21.22 10:3 13:8 18:24 32: 25 33:8.17.19.20 35:17 42: 14 **45**:10 **49**:15.19.20 **50**: 19.23 **51**:5.8.9 **53**:3 **60**:4. 12.18 61:16 70:6.7.8.8.17 74:15 82:15 89:24 91:19, 25 92:6,13 96:24 97:12 99: 13 103:17 111:11 113:23 114:2,3 cases [40] 7:24 17:21 24:1,

1 **89**:5 **90**:4,24 **91**:10 **105**: 17 Casey [104] 4:12 5:14,24 6: 1,7 **8**:1,15,20,23 **11**:10,13, 14 **12**:8,16,17,19 **13**:15 **14**: 9,13,17 15:9 16:7,10,12,19, 19,22 17:4 18:12,14 20:5,5, 10 **22**:15 **23**:8,8,23 **25**:22 **26**:16 **32**:3 **33**:1.13.21 **35**: 16,17 **37**:14 **39**:4 **40**:23 **42**: 15.22 **43**:2 **45**:12.19 **46**:4 **47:**24 **48:**6.8 **49:**15 **56:**15 **57**:16,24 **58**:11,23 **59**:12 **62**:14 **63**:12,22,24 **64**:2,5 67:8,11,24 68:5,10,15,25 69:17,19,25 71:6 75:25 78: 4 **81**:11 **85**:3,16 **87**:10 **89**: 23 **91**:12,23 **92**:23 **95**:11 96:4,11,11 97:3,7 98:13 102:9 108:5 109:2 110:6 **111:**24 **112:**20 Casey's [2] 5:9 35:8 cash [1] 96:8 caste [1] 113:9 category [6] 61:4,6 63:2,4 67:21 100:7 causal [1] 53:5 caused [1] 11:15 causing [1] 50:20 center [1] 4:18 central [9] 20:6.15 33:14 48:7 63:23 64:2 68:12 85: 21.23 centuries [1] 73:9 century [1] 84:19 cert [3] 37:7.21 38:16 certain [9] 6:16.16 22:17 42:18.18 44:13 63:2 66:17 certainly [13] 19:2 20:19 **50**:2,24 **51**:23 **63**:17 **64**:6 **67**:9 **81**:4 **92**:20 **96**:24 **97**: 22 110:8 cetera [2] 98:8,9 challenge [1] 28:15 challenged [1] 14:13 challenging [2] 52:8 107:2 chance [4] 5:25 12:6 37:16 change [7] 44:15 48:24 52: 10 66:2,20 83:4 99:23 changed [9] 17:5 21:12 33: 21 35:8,12 66:19 92:10,16 changes [4] 17:3 33:7 53: 7 99:7 channel [1] 28:15 characteristics [1] 66:17 characterization [1] 27:1 checks [1] 106:3 CHIEF [53] 4:3.9 18:22 19: 7.11.16 **20:**2.4.20 **37:**1.3 38:19 39:15,16 40:8 41:2, 5 **43**:4 **44**:19 **47**:5,7,9,12

51:20 52:25 53:11 54:15 55:13 56:6 61:1 62:8 67:4 68:9 71:19 73:19 76:19 81: 18,21 **84**:11,17 **99**:11 **100**: 14 **101**:1,24 **102**:14 **103**:7 **104**:14,19 **106**:8 **108**:17 111:1 6 114:1 child [21] 5:3 17:16 31:13 **49**:17.23 **50**:15 **51**:18 **56**: 11 57:17 58:17 78:12 89: 15 96:17 103:11.20 104:8 **108**:10,14 **109**:17,25 **113**:1 childbearing [4] 49:13 59: 5 **73**:13 **78**:12 childbirth [3] 48:11 78:18 **104**:8 childcare [1] 100:6 children [3] 22:21 58:3 111:25 China [1] 54:22 choice [16] 8:16 9:14 31:18 **53:**13.13.16.16.19.20 **57:**6 78:7 97:25 102:7 108:14 109:24 110:19 choices [1] 22:24 choked [1] 4:16 choose [6] 14:11 22:22 23: 1 31:16 104:23 110:14 Circuit [1] 60:22 circumstance 3 31:3 92: 11 98:13 circumstances [4] 45:5 52:8 93:20 99:23 cited [1] 59:1 claim [1] 63:2 clarification [1] 63:8 clarified [2] 8:4 93:17 clarify [1] 62:3 clause [3] 72:14 73:1 82:2 clear [14] 24:12 25:4,8 40: 20 43:7,10 50:11 51:11 52: 21 53:8 92:24 101:13 105: 11 106:1 clearer [1] 71:9 clearly [5] 14:12 30:19 68: 5 **94**:24 **101**:10 closely [2] 11:9 23:13 closina [1] 113:7 co-counsel [1] 99:6 Coast [4] 45:20 79:11 80:9 cocaine [2] 49:18,21 colleague [1] 67:6 colleagues [2] 59:11 68: colloquy [3] 40:13,13 44: color [1] 58:9 come [8] 33:9 34:25 63:1 72:18 81:7 89:17 92:9 93: comes [4] 69:24 70:2 73: 11 83:24 comfortable [1] 27:17

22 25:2,4 26:1 27:11 28:7,

15 **34**:1,7 **36**:12 **39**:24 **40**:

63:24 72:14 77:2 79:6.6.8.

23 80:1,6,7,11,14,21,25 88:

5 **57:**20 **58:**24,25 **59:**1,3

Official - Subject to Final Review correctly [5] 19:17 56:13 | courts

84:20 96:11 107:23

cortex [1] 18:7

cost [1] 89:17

coming [1] 41:24 command [1] 45:5 comments [1] 67:6 common [7] 5:5 73:9,23 **74:**5 **75:**11,23 **98:**21 comparable [1] 55:20 compelling [2] 10:16 67:7 compiles [1] 53:4 complete [1] 102:15 completely [5] 21:3 51:13 **54:**3 **64:**25 **91:**7 complex [1] 90:6 complicate [1] 31:17 complicated [1] 39:6 complications [2] 31:11 100:1 component [3] 48:3 85:23 86:5 components [1] 106:2 comprehensively [1] 24:4 compromise [2] 4:16 36:6 concede [1] 100:21 conceded [2] 53:24 60:14 concedes [1] 51:10 conceive [1] 58:16 concept [2] 97:15,20 conception [3] 32:11 45: 14 66:24 concepts [2] 6:19 7:1 concern [3] 16:1 17:14 90: concerned [3] 18:17 31:25 **54**:25 conclusion [6] 13:21 57: 11 **67**:15 **76**:3 **91**:25 **95**:8 conclusions [2] 13:24 76: condemnation [1] 10:22 conduct [1] 79:18 confess [1] 103:16 confidence [1] 10:23 confines [1] 108:13 confirms [1] 6:23 conflict [2] 27:7 46:6 confusing [1] 86:16 Congress [3] 43:24 77:10 **107**:12 conscience [2] 7:17 110: 22 consciousness [1] 21:10 consenting [1] 25:7 consequences [10] 25:10 46:16 48:12 49:23 51:17 **52**:18 **56**:21 **58**:19 **74**:22 109:23 consequential [3] 79:6 80: 3 96:16 Consider [3] 4:22 59:21 95:3 consideration [3] 57:18 **64:**7 **95:**15 considerations [6] 25:12 40:18,21 45:22 46:1 70:19 considered [3] 60:1 66:15

81:10 considering [1] 64:8 consistent [3] 8:10 44:10 **105**:25 consistently [2] 60:21 112: 11 **Constitution** [37] **4**:13 **5**: 15.16.21 **6:**15.15 **15:**5 **16:**4 **21:**23 **22:**6.9.11.13 **23:**4.6. 13.17.20 27:14 35:9.24 39: 5 **46**:8 **73**:15 **75**:14 **77**:5. 12.16 78:9.14.19 82:1 83: 14 **84**:20 **88**:3 **107**:5 **113**: 11 Constitution's [3] 43:19, 21 77:7 constitutional [18] 7:5 9: 13 **27**:6 **38**:12 **47**:1 **51**:4 **54**:24 **61**:5,7 **63**:6 **71**:24 **73**:25 **79**:24 **82**:4,13 **84**:3 **87:2 100:9** constitutionally [1] 83:17 consults [1] 91:21 contentious [2] 77:3.21 context [3] 82:18 92:4 101: 12 contexts [4] 7:2 57:3 84:4 86:25 continue [15] 36:24 44:6 **65**:8 **66**:20 **71**:12,17 **77**:11 85:10 86:12 87:22 100:12 **104**:6 **108**:8 **112**:19 **113**: 21 continued [3] 36:23 101: 15 21 continues [1] 73:6 continuina [1] 77:21 contraception [7] 22:25 25:5 49:3 52:12 109:11 111:22 112:11 Contraceptive [1] 98:19 contraceptives [7] 98:2,5, 7,14,22 99:1,2 contract [1] 96:2 contraction [1] 85:16 contrary [3] 98:2 99:4 102: 22 control [16] 14:12 48:9 74: 20 78:16 84:1 86:11 87:21 88:20 89:13 96:15.19 97:4. 5.16 **100**:19 **109**:11 controversial [4] 14:3 40: 18,19 **97:**10 controversy [1] 12:1 convicted [2] 49:17 103: 11 convincing [1] 10:5 core [6] 5:9 20:5,9,9 45:10 76:25 cornerstone [1] 92:3 correct [15] 38:18 43:15 16 **44**:9 **48**:8 **55**:4 **62**:10 **63**:

15 **75**:8,9 **88**:16,23 **94**:1,3

111:18

costs [3] 112:5,7,10 couldn't [3] 13:22 42:17 Counsel [14] 14:5 22:5 42: 1 47:6 49:8 59:10 61:15 **62**:18 **79**:23 **84**:12 **103**:10 105:7 106:7 114:2 counseled [1] 42:7 counsels [1] 91:22 counter [3] 92:2 94:7 97: counterproductive [1] 50: countries [3] 54:19 55:6, country [13] 4:12 5:18 9:9, 11 34:23 80:12 90:25 97: 21 98:20 102:21 108:4 113:10 20 couple [6] 11:8 24:25 35:6 **37**:18 **57**:14 **73**:24 course [17] 10:1 17:6 20: 23 34:6 35:4 37:19 45:2 **48**:25 **50**:22 **57**:17 **78**:3 **85**: 13 **90**:14 **97**:18 **98**:11 **110**: 8.23 COURT [182] 1:1,17 4:10, 17,20 **6**:1,18,21 **7**:2,19 **8**: 14 9:3,3,12,18 10:10,14,24 25 **11**:2,10 **12**:1 **13**:21 **14**: 19 15:2,14,20,24 16:2 19:4 20:13.18 22:9.10.12.16 23: 13.24 24:2 25:18 28:2.3.15 **30**:18 **31**:2 **33**:2,6 **35**:16, 24 36:9,22,24 37:20,22,24 **38**:1,7,9,16 **39**:8 **40**:14,23 41:16,24 42:2,14,15,22 43: 11,13 45:22 46:3,11,19,20, 22 47:13,17,21,24 49:7 50: 11 **51**:3,11 **52**:19 **53**:2 **55**: 22 57:19 59:18,20,21,24 **61**:15,22 **62**:7,14,19 **63**:25 **64:**4 **65:**20 **66:**5.23 **67:**2. 17 68:1.6 69:4.9.10.21.22 **71:**5.11.16 **72:**6.12.13.25 **73**:8,13 **74**:12,24 **75**:24 **76**: 7,12 **77**:1,13 **78**:4,10 **80**:4, 5,10,15 **81:**3,6,9 **82:**3,6 **83:** 7 84:1,18,19 85:14,19,22 **86**:24 **87**:9 **89**:5,10 **90**:8 **91**:12,14,21 **92**:22 **93**:11, 16 95:3,6 97:14,15,18 98: 15 **99**:13 **101**:7,13,16 **102**: 10 104:10,20,22 105:1,24 107:11,22 108:4 110:20 112:18.19 113:14.17.22.23 Court's [16] 6:3 7:23 10:17. 22 **12**:22 **13**:2 **14**:3 **32**:20 33:7 41:1 46:7 71:13 79:1. 7 80:4.20

21:15 **35**:19 **38**:6 **54**:4 **60**: 20 107:13 create [1] 93:1 creates [1] 15:4 criminal [6] 49:17 50:15 **79**:16,20,23 **103**:11 criteria [2] 90:5.6 critical [3] 52:22,23 97:3 critically [3] 91:16 104:25 crossed [2] 64:21 65:18 curiae [3] 2:8 3:11 84:15 Curzan [1] 59:1 custody [1] 79:16 cutting [1] 56:2 D.C [2] 1:13 2:7 damage [5] 35:15 36:8,24 49:5 113:20 damaged [1] 4:14 damn [1] 70:18 dangerous [1] 58:6 data [5] 52:20 53:1,4,12 56: Daubert [2] 17:22.23 day [3] 46:14 92:18.21 davs [1] 72:24 dead [3] 21:3.4.6 deal [4] 12:5 36:5 42:1,2 dealing [2] 27:5 68:14 death [2] 21:1,1 debate [3] 29:5 31:2 77:3 debated [3] 29:23,25 30:24 decades [2] 35:14 47:16 December [1] 1:14 decide [13] 12:4.4 17:21 45: 23 75:25 82:3 83:19 84:21 **108**:1 **109**:25 **111**:25 **113**: decided [14] 9:11 15:14 39: 19,20,22 40:6 46:14 49:15 57:20 90:15 92:1 93:10,16, deciding [1] 13:7 decision [28] 10:6,17 16:3 **24**:20 **39**:18 **48**:14,22 **51**: 13,15 **52**:17 **74**:8 **76**:1 **78**: 15 **81**:15 **89**:14 **91**:23 **92**: 17.25 **93:**21 **94:**24 **95:**2.8 96:16 97:11 110:1 113:16. decisional [1] 59:3 decisions [28] 12:23 14:4 **15**:10 **22**:17 **24**:9 **29**:7 **34**: 5,15,20 40:24 45:20 49:12 **59**:4,6 **67**:10,12,16,20 **71**: 15 72:7 73:12 74:12 78:12 **88:**17 **96:**14,17,19 **113:**2 decisis [48] 9:4 11:3,11,12 12:10 13:3.7 16:6 24:13 25:11.16 32:24 39:11.17

40:17 44:24 45:1,4,9,14,21

courts [10] 5:1.6.10 17:21

47:2.23 59:10 60:7 67:5. 18,19,22,25 68:1 70:19 71: 6 **78**:21,25 **79**:2 **80**:16 **81**: 10,25 82:18 83:10 85:18 **91:**13 **92:**2 **94:**4 **95:**9,12, decisively [1] 24:3 dedicated [1] 11:1 deduce [1] 23:19 deemed [1] 103:2 deeply [3] 75:19 98:16 105: defend [1] 54:13 defending [1] 54:11 defense [1] 64:12 defined [1] 97:15 defines [1] 21:1 defining [1] 87:11 definition [1] 102:16 delayed [2] 49:1 52:13 delve [1] 84:9 demand [1] 48:10 demands [4] 5:19 51:16 57:25 74:21 demarcated [1] 101:10 democratic [2] 4:15 43:24 denied [1] 52:16 denying [2] 103:22,24 departing [1] 67:17 **DEPARTMENT** [2] 1:5 2:7 departure [2] 54:17 85:17 dependent [1] 66:18 depending [3] 33:4,7 68: Depression [1] 90:23 deprivation [2] 48:13 74: deprive [1] 72:4 derives [1] 46:23 described [1] 64:17 describing [1] 12:17 description [1] 112:16 deserves [1] 74:25 detail [1] 76:16 deter [1] 50:5 determination [1] 110:23 determine [1] 91:21 developments [4] 12:12, 12 40:11 91:16 devote [1] 39:9 devoted [1] 11:12 dicta [2] 19:6.20 difference [6] 6:8 7:6 14: 10 **18**:11 **26**:8 **52**:1 differences [3] 7:10 9:12 66:6 different [18] 8:20 14:2,15 32:15 34:24 36:6 41:19 45: 13,18 68:11 79:3 80:12 81: 13 **87**:3 **107**:15,16,17 **108**: differently [1] 107:19 difficult [6] 13:18 34:12 59:

18 60:4.5 110:19

difficulty [1] 60:22 dig [1] 79:2 dignity [2] 7:16 34:3 dimension [2] 97:7,8 dimensions [1] 41:23 diminish [1] 100:10 direction [1] 44:16 directly [1] 6:18 disagree [4] 16:19 69:1 71: 17 80:17 disagreement [1] 78:6 disappear [1] **64:**20 discard [5] 33:10,12 71:18 **75**:10 **95**:16 discarded [1] 41:13 discerned [1] 23:5 discipline [1] 27:20 discounts [2] 29:17 65:1 discrimination [1] 73:16 discriminatory [1] 75:12 discussed [2] 68:6 75:25 discussina [1] 68:20 discussion [3] 16:18 18:3 100:17 dismantle [1] 47:17 disproportionately [1] 58: dispute [1] 45:3 disputes [1] 45:2 disregards [1] 29:18 dissent [2] 36:13 113:8 dissimilating [1] 28:7 dissipate [1] 99:3 distinct [2] 45:21.25 distinction [3] 45:23 89:1 91:9 distinctions [2] 89:4 113: 12 district [1] 69:22 disturbing [1] 69:12 diversity [2] 28:17 29:4 divided [1] 9:10 divides [1] 35:17 DOBBS [2] 1:3 4:4 doctors [2] 17:24 18:6 doctrinal [1] 91:15 doctrine [2] 39:21 45:4 doing [9] 14:22,25 17:16 29:10.14.15 54:2 76:12 89: done [8] 11:14.14 39:15 68: 14 **73**:13 **80**:10 **87**:3 **92**:12 double [1] 70:20 doubt [1] 106:13 down [11] 5:2 9:13 40:23 **42**:2 **60**:23 **61**:3 **63**:1 **91**: 18 92:18.22 108:21 dramatic [1] 54:17 draw [9] 22:2.4 24:10.10.12 **25**:4 **75**:1 **81**:24 **84**:2 drawing [1] 30:8 drawn [2] 36:21 83:8 drew [3] 13:22 14:9 64:4 dropped [1] 72:20

due (9) 6:22 27:12,13,18 72: 21 5 73:1,1,2 82:2 equivalent (1) 48:25 49:18 111: 21 equivalent (2)

Ε each [5] 6:13 42:14 70:10 80:1 91:13 earlier [7] 5:13 55:8,14 68: 3 99:19 100:3 103:10 early [4] 17:17 68:22 102: 24.25 economic [2] 58:20 109:4 economists [1] 53:3 educate [2] 22:22.23 Education [2] 15:11 53:9 effect [2] 28:9 99:18 effects [2] 85:2,12 efforts [1] 9:25 egregiously [8] 48:6 68:2 92:7,17,21 93:23 94:20 eight-week [1] 101:20 either [1] 103:25 elect [1] 31:9 elective [1] 37:10 eliminating [1] 48:18 ELIZABETH [3] 2:6 3:9 84: elsewhere [1] 22:4 Ely [1] 102:15 emphasize [16] 8:6 11:23 12:9,18 13:14 23:11 27:4 **35**:5 **38**:14 **39**:2 **46**:2 **56**: 15 **64**:4 **111**:15,21 **112**:22 emphasized [10] 20:14 29: 20 35:22 37:20.23 59:12 102:9 105:16 111:13 113: enact [2] 71:13 85:5 end [16] 4:21 12:1 34:17 42: 5 48:2.22 72:8 73:10 74: 13,16 75:23 76:5 82:22 83: 20 84:22 105:10 ending [1] 31:11 ends [1] 82:5 endure [2] 78:17 104:7 enduringly [1] 60:19 enforce [2] 96:2 101:21 enforcina [2] 49:24 99:12 engendered [2] 25:9 84: enough [7] 13:23 36:10.12 **53:**21 **71:**14 **81:**3.4 ensuring [2] 89:20 97:4 enter [3] 31:5,25 96:20 entire [3] 32:1,2 33:11 entirely [3] 34:24 93:2 104:

entirety [1] 63:13

episodes [1] 21:2

entrenched [1] 15:12

equal [8] 36:15 52:22 56:

19 78:13,18 79:9 90:16 94:

equality [4] 49:6 86:8 89: 20 97:21 equally [1] 85:22 equivalent [1] 63:22 erred [1] 15:20 erroneous [2] 40:2 67:20 erroneously [1] 94:25 errors [1] 16:18 especially [3] 33:1 47:24 99:21 **ESQ** [4] **3:**3.6.9.13 **ESQUIRE** [1] 2:4 essential [1] 106:2 ET [4] 1:5,9 98:8,9 Europe [1] 55:10 evade [1] 112:19 evaded [1] 112:18 evaluate [1] 39:20 even [13] 33:11 35:18 44:8 46:19 55:7 14 57:15 76:16 95:1 97:10 98:18 104:25 108:14 evenina [1] 61:9 event [1] 109:11 everybody [2] 11:4 98:1 everyone [4] 5:21 13:19 97:12 112:24 everything [1] 15:16 evidence [1] 79:20 evolved [1] 59:17 exact [3] 34:18 81:9 112:20 Exactly [7] 29:6 40:1 58:22 86:17 99:8 112:14.20 examined [1] 47:25 example [7] 12:20 13:23 **18**:14 **50**:15 **55**:9 **59**:19 **61**: exceedingly [1] 60:24 except [3] 34:18 50:12 88: exceptions [2] 4:24 85:6 exclude [1] 79:20 exclusionary [1] 79:19 exercise [4] 10:24 32:22 96:25 100:9 exercising [2] 61:4 63:3 exist [1] 104:1 existed [1] 98:14 existence [3] 31:17 34:22 111:17 existing [1] 106:18 exists [3] 17:25 73:7 82:7 expanded [1] 31:23 expectations [1] 15:13 expected [1] 85:4 experience [2] 93:8 98:23 experienced [2] 18:7 99: expert [1] 21:15 explain [1] 37:16 explained [1] 102:15

eview
extends [1] 103:14
extensive [1] 95:14
extent [2] 11:24 19:4
eyes [3] 11:16,17 90:19

F
fabric [1] 34:22
face [3] 20:19 46:25 75:17

face [3] 20:19 46:25 75:17 facing [1] 28:4 fact [18] 18:17 19:16 22:7 33:18 35:6 52:20 55:5 58: 1 60:15 69:10 71:12,16 75: 24 81:12 83:19 98:3 100:8 110:7 factor [5] 45:16,17 91:13,

factor 151 **45:**16,17 **91:**13, 20 **94:**5 factors 191 11:10 13:3 16:6

24:14 41:1 47:2 95:9,12 105:23 facts 3 49:20 94:14 98:18

factual [6] 12:12 17:4 91: 16 92:24 93:15 99:4 failed [2] 5:24 13:2

fails [2] **12**:18 **109**:12 failure [2] **98**:8,19 fair [3] **37**:11 **53**:15 **61**:21

faire [1] 90:25 fairly [2] 38:17,20

faith [1] 32:16 family [8] 49:13 52:10 58:3 72:7 73:12 78:13 108:15

109:19 far [4] 9:20 31:25 102:5 103:

fared [1] 38:6 fault [1] 89:22

favor [4] 24:21 35:9 54:23 91:22

fear [1] **23:**3

features [2] **25**:15 **42**:24 federal [3] **60**:20 **88**:6,9 feelings [2] **9**:10 **10**:1

fees [1] 112:5 feet [1] 21:5

Ferguson 3 51:5 92:15 113:8

fetal [7] 17:15,25 50:3 51:1 87:16 102:19 106:16 fetus [8] 21:8,13 50:21 66:

1,16 **102**:12 **103**:2 **106**:20 **fetus's** [3] **30**:7 **66**:25 **84**:7 **few** [5] **5**:20 **37**:4 **53**:22 **64**:

fewer [1] 63:24 Fifteen [1] 14:16 Fifth [2] 60:22 84:4 fight [1] 70:10 fighting [2] 12:6 62:17 filled [1] 21:2

23 78:1

find [1] 111:16 finish [1] 20:21 fire [1] 10:15

firm [1] 46:24 firmer [1] 67:16 First [25] 25:1 37:5,8 38:9 39:17 47:23 52:13 53:24 55:4 57:15 60:10 64:9,24 78:3 82:11 83:10,12,18 87: 4 89:4 92:1 98:12,24 111: 9 16

fit [2] **49**:20 **90**:5 fits [1] **17**:22

five [1] 37:23 flatly [1] 47:15 flow [1] 56:22

focus [8] 6:5,6,8 54:16 56: 17 57:22 67:5 72:21 focused [3] 56:20 57:7

102:18

focuses [3] **56**:25 **84**:6 **91**: 14

focusing [3] 6:11,12 39:12 follow [4] 15:15 47:1 57:4 63:9

follow-up [3] 44:22 81:21 108:19 following [1] 100:15

follows [2] 9:17,18 foot [1] 21:5

force 6 47:18 74:20 78:17 84:23 101:9 110:11 forced 5 56:17,18 77:1

104:6,7 forceful [1] 106:11

forcing 5 31:6 86:11 100: 11 108:8 109:24 form 2 95:18 109:10

formed [1] 18:7 formula [1] 20:8 forth [2] 33:4,6

forward [6] 41:17 42:24 58: 18 81:8 92:9 101:23 found [5] 59:18 67:6 72:25

91:18 95:10 foundation [1] 95:18 founded [1] 18:1

founding [3] 75:22 76:4 102:23 Four [3] 14:18 48:21 97:1

Fourteenth ® 23:21 72:4 74:2 75:7 82:1 86:6 88:8,

Fourth 5 51:6 79:21 84:4 86:19 87:5

framework [4] 12:24 59:13 82:20 106:18 frankly [1] 112:2

free [2] 64:19 65:7 freedom [3] 7:16 34:2 88:

freedoms [1] 94:10 freely [1] 44:6 friend [1] 90:19 friends [1] 112:6 fringe [1] 18:6 full [3] 31:13 42:8 44:12 full-blown [1] 38:12

fully [5] 17:16 85:21 105:10

explicitly [1] 45:15

extend [1] 62:20

108:23 **109**:6 function [2] 10:25 92:3 fundamental [14] 21:20 34: 5 **35**:21 **38**:13 **48**:13 **74**:23 81:15 84:21 85:20 94:10 **105**:2 **106**:25 **107**:23,24 further [4] 71:20 89:20 104: 17 **112:**5

G gain [1] 85:9 gave [3] 16:22,25 17:4 gay [1] 90:4 gears [1] 37:13 GEN [2] 2:6 3:9 General [53] 2:2.6 4:6 6:4. 19 **18:**22 **24:**15.16 **32:**7.8. 23 41:10 44:21 84:13,14, 17 85:25 86:4,23 87:20,24 **88:**24 **91:**6 **92:**8,20 **93:**5, 13,19,25 94:2,4,13 95:6,20 96:9 98:11 99:8 100:14,25 101:6 102:8,18 103:15 **104**:24 **105**:5,21 **107**:21 **109:**20 **110:**17.25 **111:**2.3 114:2 generality [4] 72:22 73:8, 14 75:16 generations [1] 48:20 gets [1] 37:6 qetting [2] 66:11 87:15 Giddeon [1] 79:22 girl's [1] 5:11 give [14] 9:24 31:12 35:25 37:15 47:19 58:6 60:8 77: 16,24 **79**:13 **84**:24 **85**:11 95:4 109:25 given [6] 5:25 12:7 51:15 83:23 100:21 107:1 giving [1] 88:13 Glucksberg [3] 6:18 27:18 75:24 goal [1] 111:24 Gonzales [1] 30:18 Gorsuch [14] 35:22 43:5 **59**:9 **61**:12,25 **62**:5,16 **63**: 7 76:22 104:17,18 105:4,7 **106**:6 qot [1] 37:12 government [7] 68:7 88:5, 6.9.14 **105**:15.19 government's [1] 108:23 granted [3] 37:9 38:9,16 great [5] 12:20 24:9 36:11 **41**:19 **55**:9 greater [4] 31:11,12 69:18, greatest [2] 46:12 113:16 Griswold [4] 25:3 27:11 59: 6 **72:**15 gross [1] 17:24 ground [1] 96:8 groundable [1] 40:25 grounded [7] 6:25 7:1 10:

3 16:3 58:16 86:5 96:3 guarantee [2] 78:10 84:23 guaranteed [2] 79:22 97: 21 guarantees [1] 87:2 guess [2] 33:19 35:2 guidance [1] 101:21

Н

half [5] 11:11 56:4 84:19 85: half-measures [1] 63:14 hallmarks [1] 13:1 Hamilton's [1] 69:22 hand [1] 38:22 handed [2] 92:18.22 happen [1] 101:18 hard [9] 5:17,17,19 20:13 30:23 31:2 42:12 106:23 112:21 harder [1] 39:7 Hardwick [1] 45:7 Harlan [2] 36:13 113:9 harm [1] 50:20 Hart [1] 102:15 haunt [1] 4:12 haven [8] 56:8.23 57:12 109:5.23 110:4 111:10.15 head [1] 70:25 **HEALTH** [15] **1:**3,5,8 **4:**5, 25 48:24 50:4,7 51:2 52: 10,23 55:19 81:16 85:12 hear [3] 4:3 35:2 58:14 heard [2] 70:6 103:9 heart [1] 33:14 heightened [2] 8:12 74:25 held [3] 5:6 79:19 97:14 Hellerstedt [1] 59:19 help [1] 5:13 helpful [2] 61:13 106:7 helps [1] 78:25 high [5] 9:10 10:1 47:24 58: 5 **74:**11 higher [3] 31:8 73:14 75:16 highlight [1] 16:21 himself [1] 64:16 hinder [1] 56:18 historian [1] 76:14 historical [5] 73:16 75:5. 15 **76:**8.13 history [24] 4:14 16:4 21: 23 24:2.6.11 27:19.20.25 40:25 73:24 75:19 76:1.15 **77**:6 **78**:25 **79**:1,3,7 **80**:4, 20 102:21,24 103:5 hits [1] 27:3 hold [1] 66:16 holding [6] 5:9 20:16 48:1, 7 **63**:23 **64**:2 home [2] 4:13 22:22 honestly [1] 33:9 Honor [92] 6:11.24 7:8 8:3.

6.21.24 **11**:19.23.23 **12**:10

13:17 16:9,16 18:14 19:3, 12,23 20:12 23:18 24:1,7,9, 24 **25**:12,17,23 **26**:7,21 **27**: 1,16 28:17 29:12 30:10,22 31:20 32:17 35:6 37:17 38: 15 **39**:1 **41**:1 **43**:3,9,16 **44**: 2,11,18 46:1 47:4 49:13 50:2.10.22 52:5 53:4.23 55:3.16.25 57:14 58:21 60: 9 61:23 62:25 63:16 64:22 65:10.19 66:5.21 67:24 68: 5 **69**:2 **71**:10 **72**:3 **74**:4.12. 17 **75**:22 **76**:11 **78**:2,14 **81**: 2 82:14 83:2,22 108:1,7 **111**:12 **112**:22 **113**:25 Honor's [1] 42:10 hope [3] 11:4 37:5 42:25 host [1] 7:15 hot [1] 13:18 Hotel [4] 45:20 79:11 80:9 90.3 hotly [1] 29:23 hours [1] 56:12 House [1] 14:22 however [4] 32:4 38:4 51: 3 57:3 huge [2] 18:1 111:19 human [8] 4:21 5:4 17:17 **25**:14,16 **30**:20 **35**:12 **113**: humblest [1] 113:10 hundred [1] 72:14 hundreds [1] 85:9 hurt [1] 48:24 husband [1] 98:1

hypothetically [1] 62:19 idea [5] 57:16 92:25 94:17 99:2 102:19 identical [1] 82:1 identified [2] 37:19 45:19 identify [2] 24:14 106:11 identifying [2] 24:23 37:19 ignore [1] 104:11 illegal [1] 50:20 illness [1] 52:10 imagine [1] 81:25 immediately [2] 74:8 101: immunity [2] 74:2.10 impact [4] 51:21 58:1 99: 12 100:10 impacts [1] 52:2 imperative [1] 16:2 implement [1] 87:4 implemented [1] 87:10 implicate [1] 98:16 implicates [1] 7:21 important [19] 7:15,16,17,

hypothesize [1] 101:2

hypothetical [2] 62:17,19

6 80:3 89:14 96:14 104:25 106:12 107:10 imposed [1] 69:5 imposes [1] 57:25 improper [1] 94:19 improperly [2] 18:15 32:3 inappropriate [1] 53:17 incest [1] 85:7 inclined [1] 8:15 include [5] 72:6 78:11 90:1 2 109:16 included [1] 38:22 includes [4] 4:24 38:17,20 **62**:15 including [7] 15:17,18 22: 7 **23**:25 **63**:20 **72**:8 **88**:12 incorporates [1] 69:3 incorporation [1] 88:7 increase [1] 82:24 incredibly [3] 96:16 104: 11 **110**:19 independent [2] 46:8 66: indicated [1] 40:14 individual [7] 84:25 85:17 **88:**9.13.22 **96:**12.22 individuals [3] 22:18 88: 10.21 inevitable [1] 9:25 inexorable [1] 45:4 inference [1] 53:5 inferiority [2] 90:17 93:2 inflicted [1] 113:19 information [1] 76:16 infringement [1] 57:1 ingested [2] 49:18,21 ingesting [1] 50:20 inherent [1] 22:16 injured [1] 103:21 innumerable [1] 113:21 inquiry [2] 20:22 27:21 inserted [1] 19:14 inside [1] 103:21 insight [1] 19:21 insofar [2] 45:14 56:16 instance [3] 51:5 92:1 95: Instead [2] 57:24 81:5 institution [4] 15:3 33:3 69:21 70:14 integrity [6] 6:20 34:4 49: 11 **59**:1 **86**:8 **110**:9 intelligible [1] 104:22 intended [1] 22:14 interest [28] 29:22 31:24 **49**:24 **64**:20 **65**:17 **66**:1 **71**: 22 74:25 83:25 84:2 85:15 **86**:7 **87**:11,16 **94**:6 **97**:11 **98:**17 **100:**11 **101:**11.16.22 103:13,23,24 105:3 106:3, 16.25 interested [1] 87:25 interesting [1] 69:14

interests [48] 25:9 29:18

32:2,4 34:9 44:12 48:16 **50:**3,5 **65:**1,6,11,20,23,25 66:22 75:2 82:24 87:13 89: 9 **91**:17 **95**:21,25 **96**:5,7,10 **100**:18 **104**:1,5,10,12 **106**: 12,15,19,20,24 **107:**9,17, 19 109:1,7,16,16 110:9,10, 13 112:23 24 intermediate [1] 41:12 international [2] 54:24 55: interpreted [3] 72:6.13 78: interrupt [1] 25:20 interrupting [1] 105:8 intimate [1] 25:6 intrude [1] 22:19 intruding [1] 88:15 investments [1] 96:20 invite [1] 88:18 involve [1] 25:14 involved [3] 49:16 88:19 112:25 involves [2] 7:5 112:21 irrelevant [1] 99:15 isn't [9] 28:22.23 29:1 30:4 **64**:21 **69**:8 **70**:24 **90**:18 102.4 issue [43] 5:17,17,19,21 7: 19 **13**:18 **14**:8 **18**:24 **19**:2, 2,3,8 20:10,12 29:23 31:24 32:21 36:3,25 37:6 38:8 39:10,17,18 43:23 50:17 **53**:12.20 **60**:11.17 **68**:5.11 69:9 77:21.22 89:6 98:10 99:13 102:1 106:13.22 **112**:21 **113**:5 issued [1] 71:16 issues [6] 31:2 50:23 51:4 **67:**3 **88:**21 **113**:6 itself [9] 11:10 12:24 42:16 43:12 54:11 57:24 60:5 95: 16 **110**:1

JACKSON [4] 1:8 2:2 4:5 jettisoned [1] 12:23 iob [3] 52:11 70:3 99:25 iobs [1] 96:21 John [1] 102:15 judges [2] 35:24 36:1 judgment [2] 5:20 83:17 iudicial [2] 10:24 74:7 judiciary [1] 10:23 JULIE [3] 2:4 3:6 47:10 June [3] 35:23 59:23 63:25 jurisprudence [5] 6:6 7: 24 8:10 32:20,22 Justice [265] 2:7 4:3,9 6:4 7:4,22,25 8:18,19,22,25 11: 8.15.20 **12:**19 **13:**4.11.13. 25 14:5,7 15:8,25 16:7,10, 14,17,20 17:9,10,11,18,20

19 10:2 12:23 30:25 32:24

18:5,10,20,22 **19:**7,11,16, 18,23 20:2,4,20,21,23,25 21:19 22:5 23:10,15 24:15, 16,18,18,20,22 **25:**19,24 **26**:11,15,18,19,22 **27**:8,9, 22,24 28:5,12,19,22 29:1,6, 9,13,21 30:6,12,16 31:4 32: 7,8,23,23 35:4,21 36:13,23 **37**:1.3 **38**:19 **39**:15.16 **40**: 8,13 **41:**2,3,4,5,5,7,8,9,10 42:6 43:4.4.6.7.10.17 44:3. 17.19.19.21.23 **45:**12 **46:** 21 47:5,8,9,12 49:8,14 50: 8,14 **51**:19,20 **52**:25 **53**:11 **54**:15 **55**:13 **56**:6,7 **58**:10 **59**:9 **61**:1,12,25 **62**:5,9,16 **63:**7,9 **64:**3,16 **65:**3,12,15, 21,24 66:8,10 67:4,6 68:9, 19 69:13,14 71:19,19,21 **72**:10,17 **73**:18,19,19,21, 22 74:7,15,18 75:4,17 76:6, 18,19,19,21,22,23,24 78: 20.24 81:17.18.18.20 82: 19 **83**:9.16 **84**:11.17 **85**:25 86:6,14,23 87:18,23,24,25 **88**:17,25 **89**:2,21 **90**:1 **91**: 6,10 92:5,14 93:3,7,18,20 94:1,3,11,15 95:20 97:22 **99:**5,9,11,24 **100:**13,14 101:1,24 102:14 103:7,7,9, 15 104:13,14,14,16,17,18, 20 105:4,7 106:6,8,8,10 107:21 108:16.17.17.19.20 **109:**8 **110:**12.25 **111:**1.7. 10.18 112:14 113:8 114:1 justices [5] 14:16,19,23 15: 1 91:25 iustification [6] 10:6 33: 17.17 **81**:7.8 **102**:11 justified [2] 94:5 102:10

Κ

KAGAN [12] 24:15,18 32:7, 23 35:5 36:23 41:9,10 42: 7 76:21 95:20 109:8 Kagan's [1] 108:20 Kavanaugh [19] 43:6,7,10, 17 44:3,17 76:23,24 78:20 **81**:17 **88**:18 **89**:2 **90**:1 **91**: 10 106:9.10 107:21 108:16 112:14 Kavanaugh's [1] 87:25 kept [1] 4:17 kev [4] 76:14 89:1.3 91:9 kills [1] 70:13 kind [16] 20:17 27:3 31:3 32:15 35:20 37:13 38:15 **46**:3,6 **53**:1 **92**:10,11 **95**: 14 96:3,18 101:8 knowing [1] 35:19 knowledge [2] 17:14 40: known [1] 59:15

knows [2] 97:14 113:12

Korea [1] 54:22 laid [2] 16:6 62:14 laissez [1] 90:25 language [1] 109:2 last [9] 10:19 12:11 13:25 14:8 17:2,7 22:7,10 52:21 later [1] 10:6 law [42] 4:15,23,24 5:1,2,3, 6 **6:2 11:1 12:12,25 16:25** 19:13 20:19 34:21 36:1 42: 22 48:3 49:6.24 54:1.24 55:5 59:15 60:15.23 69:7 72:5 73:9.23 74:5 75:11. 23 82:4.13 90:8 92:3 95: 19.25 **99**:14 **106**:5 **113**:24 Lawrence [5] 25:3 27:11 45:8 72:16 79:16 laws [13] 8:8 44:15 50:4 56: 8,23 **57**:12,15 **71**:13 **109**:5, 23 110:4 111:11,15 layer [1] 95:17 laying [1] 9:12 lead [4] 10:22 35:17 111:13. leads [1] 35:11 least [8] 12:6 37:24 38:2 47:22 65:16 72:23 92:9 leave [3] 41:16 77:17 101: leaves [2] 4:25 43:23 led [4] 23:23 24:13 31:22 left [7] 35:19 44:2 68:13 77: 9 107:25 110:21 111:10 legal [9] 39:21 55:6,17 67:1 **75**:6 **76**:17 **84**:8 **85**:9 **91**: legalization [1] 53:6 legislate [2] 24:4 113:4 legislative [1] 21:24 legislature [2] 22:1,4 legislatures [2] 107:13 108:1 legitimacy [4] 10:17 46:3, 21,23 lengthy [1] 39:6 less [5] 54:5.5 81:13 82:25 112:12 letting [1] 12:20 level [8] 26:20.23 72:22 73: 7.14 75:16 77:24 96:23

liberties [1] 88:15

liberty [37] 48:4,13,15 49:6

72:2,5,6,13 **73**:7 **74**:1,10,

23,24 78:10,11,14 83:24,

25 85:14,24 86:3,5,8 87:11

89:16 97:11,16 98:17 100:

11 101:11,16,22 103:12,13

life [34] 4:21.25 5:11 7:6.21

18:18 25:15,16 29:23 30:3,

104:5 **105**:3 **106**:2

7,9,20 **31**:5,12 **34**:10,11 **48**: 24 **50**:3,13 **51**:1 **52**:10 **58**: 20 66:1 77:4 84:10 87:16 **96**:14 **99**:7,23 **102**:13 **106**: 16 **109**:4 **113**:1 life-altering [3] 48:12 51: 17 74:22 light [1] 56:14 likely [1] 49:1 likewise [2] 94:5 95:10 limit [2] 101:4.4 limits [1] 88:13 line [66] 14:8.14.18 19:19 21:13,13,25 22:3,4 29:15, 17 **33**:12 **38**:2,3 **41**:13 **53**: 17 **55**:16,23 **57**:21 **60**:18, 19 62:15 63:23 64:1,4,10, 12,15,21 **65**:10,13,18 **66**: 13,23 67:1 69:3,12 75:1,2 81:22,23 82:12,16,22 83:3, 4,5,7,13,23 84:3,5,8 91:18 100:23 101:9.14 102:10.17. 20 103:4 104:21 105:1,15, 19 22 line-drawing [3] 20:1 68: 23.25 lines [3] 24:12 55:8,14 link [1] 90:7 list [4] 40:5 80:2 89:1 90:1 listed [2] 80:14 88:18 listened [1] 80:6 litany [1] 87:25 literature [1] 21:2 little [9] 5:13 20:13 37:4.5 44:22 67:5 72:23 95:22 96: live [1] 96:19 lives [14] 34:3.6 35:12 52: 24 **58:**2.9 **81:**16 **85:**13 **96:** 14 **97**:5 **100**:19 **101**:3 **110**: 24 113:22 locally [1] 12:4 Lochner [3] 72:19 80:10 90:23 logical [1] 102:11 logically [3] 48:16 66:24 75:2 logistical [1] 100:4 long [2] 40:5 87:25 longer [2] 49:3 65:7 look [19] 6:24 13:23 28:3 33:20 36:2 39:17 40:2,3,8, 9 41:23 46:20,22 50:17 65: 24 70:4 90:19 96:1 104:10 looked [7] 13:15,16 23:13 **66**:24 **79**:1 **91**:14 **99**:13 looking [11] 40:15 46:3,8,9, 13 54:23 58:18 70:15,16 94:14 99:14 looks [5] 17:16 24:2 27:19 19 **42:**14

lot [10] 9:23 37:14 39:9.23 **40**:18,24 **44**:24 **94**:11,15, lots [1] 78:23 loud [1] 89:25 loudest [1] 33:5 Loving [3] 28:4 59:6 72:15 lower [6] 5:6 35:19,24 38:6 60:20 72:22 lowest-cost [1] 112:3 M made [14] 16:19 34:13.15. 17.25 **51**:21.23 **52**:9 **64**:13 **68**:7 **70**:24 **71**:8 **78**:4 **90**: Madison [2] 22:8 23:5 magnitude [1] 39:25

major [2] 48:24 52:10 majority [4] 44:4 54:18 55: 5 89:5 many [24] 7:14 12:2,2,15

15:19 **20**:8 **24**:10,13 **25**:11 40:15 44:7,13 56:16 64:14 67:20 74:5 76:12 84:3 85: 6.20 **91**:8 **100**:1 **110**:18 111:25

Mapp [1] 79:18

Marbury [2] 22:8 23:5 mark [1] 7:12 marks [3] 7:18,21 102:12 marriage [7] 25:7 28:1 49: 13 59:5 73:12 78:13 79:25 marry [1] 23:2 materially [2] 92:10,11

matter [16] 1:16 5:2,8 12:3

36:4 39:4 57:12.14 78:7 82:3.11.12 83:10.12.16.18 matters [3] 7:17 8:11 89:8 mean [19] 10:13 18:10 25: 21 27:22 28:16 32:14.14 34:14 39:23 42:15 45:11 50:14 55:14 58:11 72:18 82:19 95:13,14 108:6

Meaning [1] 31:6 meaningful [1] 102:13 means [6] 22:11 35:18 58: 13 87:1 94:21 98:21

meant [2] 89:20 90:11 measure [1] 101:14 medical [9] 31:11.17 35:23 **59:**23 **63:**25 **66:**18.19 **100:** 1 108:13

medicine [2] 17:8.12 members [3] 10:9 14:19 **58:**3

membership [1] 33:7 memory [1] 17:1 men [1] 100:18 mention [3] 7:10 90:14 91:

mentioned [14] 15:12 25:2 26:13 30:22 33:1 35:22 52: 8 63:24 70:7 73:23 84:6

99:6 107:7 109:5 merely [1] 61:5 merits [4] 37:13 38:12,16 39.2 met [1] 13:9 methods [1] 98:21 metrics [1] 12:16 Meyer [1] 72:15 middle [1] 90:22 might [5] 25:20 30:15 45: 23 56:12 107:18 miles [1] 85:9 militia [1] 15:22 millions [1] 35:11 minimize [1] 45:17 minority [3] 17:23,24 18:1 minute [2] 90:14,15 Miranda [2] 79:12 88:22 MISSISSIPPI [13] 1:4 2:3 4:22 14:22,24 31:9 47:17 **54**:10 **58**:4.6 **92**:12 **98**:4 107:15 Mississippi's [2] 47:14 48: mistake [1] 46:5 mistaken [4] 13:25 62:9

93:2 98:19 moment [4] 64:20 87:13 93:15 23

momentous [2] 39:9 113:

money [2] 98:5 100:4 month [1] 99:1 months [3] 4:25 47:15 78:

monumental [1] 110:1 morning [1] 4:4 most [19] 10:5,15 12:22 16: 24 34:5 52:7 55:10 61:10 **67:**20 **77:**3 **79:**5,6 **80:**3,13 **89**:14 **98**:21 **102**:24 **105**: 25 **113**:11

mother [1] 75:13 motherhood [2] 56:18,22 move [1] 55:23 moving [1] 44:15 Ms [50] 47:9.12 49:11 50:1.

10.22 52:5 53:2.22 55:2.15 **56**:7 **57**:13 **58**:21 **60**:9 **61**: 23 62:2,13,24 63:16 64:22 65:9,14,19,22 66:4,9,21 67: 23 69:1 71:10 72:2,12 73: 6 **74**:4.11.16.19 **75**:9.21 **76**: 10 78:1 81:1 82:14 83:1, 12,22 108:22,24 109:6 much [19] 7:23 14:6 16:17

:11 **21**:22 **22**:6 **27**:6 **31**: **33**:20 **35**:7 **40**:15 **52**:15 :4.25 **58**:12.18 **68**:13 **80**: 12 89:24

multiple [1] 96:10 must [3] 23:9 93:22 110:21

lose [1] 99:24

lost [1] 38:7

loss [2] 10:23 52:11

72:18 **75**:7 **76**:2 **80**:5 **81**:

24 89:25 96:8 104:8 111:

outside [2] 5:12 108:12

outward [2] 13:15 46:4

outweighs [2] 36:19.20

overlooking [1] 109:23

overrule [19] 6:1 7:25 8:15

42:8 43:2 47:2 63:13 71:4.

6.14 **81**:3 **85**:23 **91**:24 **108**:

overruled [17] 12:22 37:15

79:8 **80**:4 15 **88**:1 **92**:6 19

93:12.14.17.21.22.22 94:

overrules [2] 25:18 113:22

overruling [12] 10:7,21 26:

3 **40**:22 **45**:6 **48**:1 **63**:22

85:2 **90**:10,11 **94**:5 **95**:10

overrulings [3] 36:11 46:

overturn [3] 9:25 13:8 28:6

overturning [2] 10:3 88:20

overturned [2] 23:9 48:5

overwhelming [1] 91:19

25 95:4.7

12 70:19

9:5.20 10:15 26:10 39:8

over [10] 14:16 19:4 35:17

37:2 **52**:21 **59**:17 **72**:14 **88**:

outlawed [1] 79:9

outsider [1] 97:25

20 96:15 107:1

overall [1] 41:14

outlier [1] 94:9

outset [1] 104:1

nail [1] 108:21 name [1] 15:18 narrowly [1] 56:25 nation [2] 10:25 109:4 nature [2] 19:25 107:24 navigating [1] 52:15 nearly [2] 35:15 85:3 necessarily [3] 21:8 26:25 need [11] 37:24 25 52:6 56: 1 75:1 81:13 84:2 99:3.17 100:7 109:12 needed [2] 93:8 96:25 needs [2] 5:13 95:17 negative [4] 24:13 25:10, 11 49:22 neglect [3] 49:18 50:15 103:11 neither [6] 29:16 43:21 57: 23 77:7.14 113:11 neutral [8] 36:1 43:19 77:5. 12.13.18 78:9 112:16 neutrality [2] 77:20 80:23 Nevada [1] 59:2 never [12] 4:19 14:13 26:9 **36**:3 **39**:19 **61**:8 **71**:14 **78**: 18 81:3 85:19 92:6 95:7 nevertheless [1] 91:24 New [16] 2:4,4 10:8 12:25 14:23 15:1,17 40:10,11 57: 16 **83**:5 **92**:11 **98**:12 **107**: 15 110:4.5 newest [1] 14:23 night [1] 61:11 nominal [3] 55:8.14.16 none [2] 23:3 25:13 nor [4] 43:21 77:7.14 113: 12 normal [2] 67:22 70:18 North [1] 54:22 Note [2] 76:2 112:2 noted [1] 13:18 nothing [9] 35:7,12 69:8 81:12 92:16 95:1 100:10 110:3.5 notion [1] 94:7 novel [1] 22:11 Nowhere [1] 4:20 number [9] 7:9 42:9.18 61: 6 **63**:4 **71**:15 **95**:21 **99**:18 **112**:13 0

O'Connor [1] 69:25 Obergefell [4] 25:3 27:11, 24 79:23 objective [1] 42:13 objectively [2] 67:1 84:9 obligations [2] 56:21 58: 14 obstacle [2] 69:5,8 obtain [3] 4:25 53:25 56:3 obtained [1] 79:21 Obviously [6] 17:22 45:10

60:4 82:16.17 83:6 occurred [3] 17:3 71:4 99: **OFFICER** [1] 1:4 often [3] 24:12 52:7,14 Ohio [1] 79:18 Okay [8] 13:4 25:19 26:20 42:20 65:21 70:22 73:2 110:12 old [1] 72:24 once [4] 38:9 40:19 74:24 84:1 one [48] 6:10.24 7:10.12.18 9:1 15:12 16:11,22 18:1, 19 **28**:21 **29**:16 **30**:15 **34**: 11 36:19,19 37:8 38:17,24 **41**:16,21,21,21 **42**:19 **43**:1 44:11,23 45:3 46:10 48:21 49:9 51:12 53:13 58:25 69: 17,18,18 70:25 71:1 79:10 88:19 90:2.3 97:1 98:22 106:25 110:20 ones [2] 109:8 9 only [15] 7:5 10:5 26:1 30:1 31:16 37:8.22 38:9 42:8 60:1.17 63:11 67:12 103:1 **104**:10 open [1] 90:18 opinion [7] 9:2 11:2 14:10 **26**:18,23 **35**:23 **76**:12 opportunities [2] 56:20 **58:**19 opportunity [2] 53:16 60:8 opposed [2] 15:21 70:9 opposite [1] 89:11 options [1] 63:11 oral [7] 1:17 3:2.5.8 4:7 47: 10 84:14 order [1] 43:13 ordering [3] 65:11,22 66: ordinary [1] 9:22 organism [1] 30:21

ORGANIZATION [2] 1:9 4:

organize [1] 96:13

original [2] 39:4 71:21

other [51] 7:2.14.24 8:2.7

12:25 14:3 15:18 16:19 19:

6 **25**:11 **27**:10 **32**:12 **34**:9,

11 **36**:11 **38**:22 **40**:15,16,

18 41:23 43:20 48:16 51:4

53:6 **54**:19 **57**:2 **58**:2,3 **59**:

11 60:3 61:19 65:25 70:10

76:24 80:24 84:3 86:24,25

90:24 94:2 100:8,16 104:5,

12,21 106:14,22 107:1,6

otherwise [3] 28:13 32:20

out [27] 7:12.18 16:6 18:13

19:24 **20:**7 **25:**12.17 **28:**3

45:12 48:21 49:15 56:9 58:

others [2] 14:3 78:24

108:11

73:15

own [3] 14:12 98:1 110:1

PAGE [2] 3:2 69:10 pages [7] 68:6 76:12 89:23. 25 91:3.8 108:25 pain [4] 17:15.25 18:6 21:9 papers [4] 16:18 19:18,24 68:22 paradox [2] 70:5,23 paradoxical [1] 67:15 paragraph [1] 16:22 paragraphs [1] 17:1 parental [3] 56:10 57:10 110:15 Parenthood [3] 4:11 57:5 58:14 parenting [6] 56:16,18,21 **57**:23 **109**:17 **111**:13 parents [1] 22:20 Parrish [1] 90:3 parsing [1] 101:8 part [13] 9:4 13:13 20:15 25: 21 33:4 34:21,22 42:7 45: 3 57:5 72:20 89:16 110:18 participate [2] 85:21 109:3 participation [1] 52:22 particular [5] 7:11 50:4 55: 1 58:4 99:11

particularly [4] 6:12 10:2 13:15 48:23 partners [1] 96:13 parts [2] 9:1 12:25 pass [1] 41:20 passages [1] 91:12 passed [1] 35:7 passing [1] 58:13 past [2] 52:19 61:16 path [1] 69:6 pay [2] 98:5 100:2 people [64] 5:10,17,18,23, 25 7:20 8:11 9:3 11:17,18, 25 **12**:1,2 **13**:20 **15**:16,20, 22 17:24 20:8 21:2,5,7 25: 7 28:14 29:4 31:1,1 32:15 **33:**2,5,21 **34:**11,15,15,16 **36**:5,5 **43**:23 **44**:2 **49**:2 **52**: 6,9,11,11 **61**:6,10 **63**:3,4 **64**:14 **67**:11 **70**:2,9 **71**:16 **75**:20 **77**:10 **89**:6 **91**:2 **96**: 17 97:24 24 99:14 101:2 **107**:13 18 people's [3] 22:24 54:22 66:4 perceived [1] 91:23 percent [4] 21:4 31:7 98:3, percentage [1] 31:8 perception [1] 15:5 perhaps [6] 16:24 43:24 52:9 69:21 89:13 91:24 period [5] 26:10 55:1 56:3. 12 68:18 permit [2] 55:6.17 persist [1] 98:16 person [2] 72:5 97:14 person/one [1] 79:10 personal [2] 15:21 22:17 personhood [2] 32:11 66: perspective [1] 40:4 petition [2] 37:7.18 Petitioner [1] 56:9 Petitioners [6] 1:6 2:3 3:4. 14 **4**:8 **111**:5 Petitioners' [1] 109:15 philosophers [2] 29:24 32: philosophical [8] 30:21 **66**:6.11.12 **67**:3 **69**:16 **78**: 5 84:10 physical [5] 48:11 51:16 **57**:25 **72**:8 **74**:21 physically [1] 31:16 pick [4] 77:2,22 82:22 106: picking [2] 78:23 111:9 place [10] 14:24 22:2 34:18 **42**:19 20 **51**:22 **55**:12 **57**: 17 **80**:12 **81**:23 placed [1] 89:18 places [2] 5:16 34:23

Planned [2] 4:11 101:2

planning [1] 97:23 play [1] 67:8 plea [1] 91:5 please [5] 4:10 47:13 62:9 84:18 91:5 Plessy [11] 36:14 45:7 80:9 90:14,16 92:15,22,23 93:9 94:16 113:8 plunge [1] 36:24 plus [1] 69:19 point [32] 10:20 28:19 29:2 **32**:1.11 **35**:8 **36**:9 **51**:14. 21 53:15 54:8.10 55:18 59: 20 60:10 65:4,16 66:2,3,16 **69**:20,23 **71**:11 **75**:11 **87**: 17 89:21,22 90:21 98:6 102:12 105:10 107:1 pointed [8] 18:13 19:24 23: 20 25:12 28:3 45:12 96:11 111.12 points [6] 37:18 39:12 56:9 **64**:23 **78**:1 **111**:8 poisoned [1] 4:15 poked [1] 18:18 police [1] 79:13 political [11] 4:18 10:4,8 **14:**20 **15:**6,20,23 **16:**1 **33:** 3 36:25 70:12 politicians [1] 70:12 poor [3] 31:7 48:25 52:14 poorer [1] 31:18 popular [3] 67:10,14 70:4 population [2] 28:14 31:8 posed [1] 50:23 posing [1] 103:18 position [9] 32:10 77:1.20 **78**:9 **80**:22 **90**:7 **105**:12 108:24.24 positions [1] 41:12 possibility [2] **54:**3 **61:**1 possible [3] 15:9 45:6 47: post-viability [2] 49:19 50: potential [1] 34:10 Potter [1] 10:20 power [1] 10:24 powerful [1] 113:11 powerfully [1] 46:22 practicable [1] 8:10 practice [2] 66:19 80:20 pre-viability [6] 37:9 49:22 **50**:8,21 **58**:7 **72**:9 precedent [19] 13:2 47:16, 18 **67:**18,23,24 **71:**13,18 **79:**8 **80:**5,8,15 **81:**2,5 **88:**1 91:22 95:16,17 105:25 precedents [5] 12:21 38:1 80:18 24 89:1 preclude [1] 18:15 pregnancies [4] 73:10 85: 11 98:16.25 pregnancy [44] 48:2,10,22,

25 49:4,19 50:25 51:16,17

Q

R

52:14 **53**:14 **54**:10 **56**:22 **57**:4,24 **58**:18 **64**:19 **65**:7, 17 72:9 74:14,17,22 75:23 **76**:5 **78**:17 **84**:22,24 **85**:6 86:12 87:22 97:17,24 98: 23 **99**:22 **100**:12 **102**:12 **103**:1 **104**:2,7 **108**:9 **109**: 13 **110**:14 **111**:21 pregnant [4] 47:19 52:12 99:20 106:19 PRELOGAR [34] 2:6 3:9 84:13.14.17 86:4.23 87:20 88:24 91:6 92:8,20 93:5, 13,19,25 94:2,4,13 95:6 96: 9 98:11 99:8 100:25 101:6 102:8,18 103:15 104:24 **105**:5,21 **107**:21 **109**:20 110:17 premise [2] 92:25 93:15 prenatal [1] 50:6 present [2] 38:11 79:15 presented [4] 38:10 39:13 63:18 80:7 presenting [1] 39:13 presents [1] 47:23 preserve [4] 48:15 51:1,2 Preserving [1] 48:14 pressure [5] 10:4,4,22 13: 16 17 pressures [1] 10:8 presumably [3] 19:20 38: pretty [3] 8:23 30:19 68:13 prevail [5] 12:7 44:4,8 107: 1 108:4 prevent [2] 32:3 33:2 preventing [1] 33:5 previous [1] 81:2 primarily [3] 58:16 69:24 **70**:2 principal [1] 76:7 principle [12] 9:13 10:3 13: 24 20:6,6,9 32:25 33:14 **47**:1 **68**:12 **83**:13 **104**:22 principled [9] 54:5 65:10, 13 **66**:22 **82**:16 **83**:4,6 **105**: 20.22 principles [8] 9:5 10:10 13: 7 **39:**21 **82:**11 **83:**11,18 **85:** prior [8] 9:20 61:18 62:12, 21 **67**:17 **80**:18 **87**:21 **107**: privacy [10] 6:7,8,17,19 22: 24 **23**:22 **71**:25 **72**:25 **73**:4 pro-choice [4] 43:22 64: 14 77:8 14 pro-life [4] 43:21 64:15 77: 7 15 probably [1] 64:11 problem [11] 21:20 27:4 **35**:21,23 **56**:24 **70**:6,7 **76**:

25 106:21.25 112:18 problematic [3] 7:22 8:13 40:17 problems [4] 12:15 20:1 29:20 42:9 procedure [1] 5:5 process [11] 4:15 6:22 27: 12,13,19 43:25 72:5 73:1,1 282.2 procreation [1] 59:5 produced [1] 25:10 profession [1] 53:10 professional [1] 58:19 profound [6] 7:13 49:5 85: 11 **96:**23 **104:**4 **105:**3 progress [1] 25:21 prohibit [7] 43:12,14 50:12 60:16 61:4 79:18 87:14 prohibition [5] 60:14 69:7 100:23 102:4.5 prohibitions [2] 37:10 60: 13 prohibits [2] 4:23 5:7 promises [1] 5:16 promote [1] 8:9 promotes [1] 86:7 propel [1] 48:19 proper [1] 75:12 properly [3] 91:23 93:21 97.7 propose [2] 8:2 105:16 proposed [1] 59:23 proposition [1] 24:3 prosecutions [1] 79:20 protect [4] 5:11 6:16 30:3 34:4 protected [2] 89:16 101:11 protecting [3] 34:10,10 87: protection [5] 72:3 74:25 83:24 94:21 101:15 protective [1] 83:20 protects 3 48:15 71:25 84.21 proved [2] 60:3,5 proves [1] 21:8 provide [3] 6:16 13:22 101: 14 provided [1] 6:14 provides [1] 78:9 providing [1] 87:12 provision [1] **73**:25 prudential [1] 83:17 public [4] 15:4 33:4 45:15 69:24 pure [3] 90:24,24 113:10 purposeful [1] 25:14 purposefully [1] 35:10 purse [1] 69:23 pursue [3] 34:3 52:24 53:9 push [1] 42:5 put [5] 8:10 9:1 14:24 31:

10.17

puts [2] 8:11 25:17

11 **60:**3 quadruple [1] 70:21 quality [1] 96:3 84:10 99:10 107:8 quick [1] 41:11 quote [2] 10:20 109:1 race [1] 113:13 radar [1] 27:3 raise [1] 100:3 ramifications [1] 7:13 ran [1] 24:1 range [1] 68:18 rape [1] 85:6 raped [1] 97:24 rate [2] 98:8,20 12 110:15 rational [1] 8:8 rationale [1] 34:1 re-argued [1] 92:15 re-evaluate [1] 37:25 re-read [1] 91:8 reached [1] 113:17 reaching [1] 65:4 react [1] 35:3 reaction [4] 9:17 45:15 103:12 107:6

reaffirmed [3] 14:17 64:5 Putting [4] 30:12 31:5 53: **85**:15 reaffirming [3] 20:17 91: 19 **102**:9 real [4] 21:13 36:17 63:11 **98:**9 question [53] 10:18 19:21 real-world [1] 85:2 21:11 24:23 26:9 30:16 31: realities [1] 113:15 22 34:12 37:8 38:10,13,17, reality [2] 38:5 99:4 17,23 39:13 42:6,25 43:20, realize [2] 93:9 99:20 22 44:22 48:4 49:9 56:8 realized [1] 93:16 **57:**1 **59:**10,25 **60:**25 **64:**9, really [20] 7:12 10:13 12:2 23 67:25 68:4 69:14 71:22 **18:**2 **21:**21 **25:**20 **40:**22 **46: 77:**6.8.14.18 **78:**21 **80:**15 23 53:20 55:20 59:7 64:15 81:21.24 82:15 93:10 99: **70**:9,10,20,25 **79**:2 **89**:3 16 **102**:7 **103**:9.18 **105**:8. 92:14 93:4 13.18 107:4 108:20.22 reason [12] 10:16 22:13 46: questions [15] 6:3 24:21 10 **48:**1 **68:**21 **70:**3,16,17 30:22 37:5,20 39:7 41:4 **71**:14 **102**:17 **106**:22 **111**: **55**:3 **60**:4 **73**:24 **78**:23,24 reasonable [4] 22:3 54:2 61:1 94:7 quickening [2] 74:14 75:6 reasonably [1] 32:17 quintessentially [1] 21:24 reasoned [1] 23:21 quite [9] 22:3 26:8 27:17 reasoning [1] 12:22 39:19 40:20.24 55:25 67:7. reasons [12] 24:25 30:23, 25 33:1 43:1 47:22 53:23 **54**:6 **55**:18,19,19 **57**:14 **REBUTTAL** [3] **3**:12 **111**:3, recast [1] 12:21 recently [1] 59:24 recognize [7] 4:20 36:17 raised [3] 38:8.21 69:15 **49**:3 **74**:1,9 **89**:7 **113**:15 recognized [16] 22:19,21, 23 23:1 30:19 36:14 79:11. 24 84:20 85:15 96:11 97:8 **98**:15 **103**:25 **107**:23 **110**: rare [4] 9:8,15 13:6 70:8 recognizes [2] 74:24 84:2 recognizing [2] 52:13 88: rather [4] 46:9 77:21 107: recoil [2] 18:19 21:6 recoiling [1] 18:18 reconsider [2] 37:25 56:1 record [2] 42:14 16 recourse [1] 108:11 reducing [1] 48:18 reach [3] 16:3 25:17 36:6 reexamine [1] 10:16 refer [1] 53:2 reference [2] 58:13 87:12 referred [2] 99:24 110:10 referring [3] 91:11 103:17 109:8 read [13] 8:22 10:13 19:5 refuse [1] 47:21 25:22 58:11 63:10 69:17 refusing [1] 108:7 **89:**25 **90:**9 **91:**3,5,7 **103:** regard [1] 46:5 regarded [1] 67:19 regimes [1] 55:20

regular [1] 17:21

103:20

regulate [4] 50:2,25 79:12

regulation [1] 102:22

60:13 **61**:18 **62**:4.21 **68**:15 69:4 reinforce [2] 73:16 105:2 reject [4] 14:14 24:3 104: 20 105:1 rejected [5] 7:2 47:25 59: 12 **78**:5 **81**:10 rejects [1] 75:14 related [5] 49:12 59:4 73: 12 78:12 112:7 relationship [4] 88:4.6.10 99:25 relationships [2] 25:6 96: relevant [1] 60:6 reliance [35] 17:2 25:9 85: 1 89:9,18,19,21 91:17 94:7, 12,16,18,19,20 95:21,24 96:5,7,10,12,18,23 97:20 **98**:9 **100**:17,19 **101**:7 **102**: 2 108:20 109:1,7,15,16,22 110:13 relied [4] 48:20 76:2 7 95: 18 relieve [1] 111:19 religion [2] 22:20 30:13 religions [1] 29:25 religious [6] 29:22 30:3 32: 19,22 61:9,11 relinquishing [1] 56:10 rely [2] 27:12 94:19 relying [4] 49:10 59:8 73:4 89.2 remain [2] 47:19 79:15 remember [3] 19:17 76:11 90:16 remembering [1] 56:13 removed [1] 6:14 renounce [1] 48:4 renounces [1] 85:14 replace [1] 101:13 replaced [1] 59:13 reproductive [1] 96:18 Republic [1] 54:22 repudiation [1] 10:10 require [1] 67:2 required [4] 79:13 81:6 83: 18 85:10 requiring [1] 57:9 resisting [1] 83:25 resolve [6] 4:19 9:12 37:22 43:24 67:2 107:14 resolved [2] 66:7 78:6 resources [1] 100:2 respect [7] 13:24 14:10 39: 11 **80**:21 **86**:25 **103**:6 **104**: respectfully [4] 16:9 28:10, 11 30:5 respects [1] 45:13 respond [2] 60:8 77:25 Respondents [9] 1:10 2:5, 9 3:7.11 47:11 84:16 111: regulations [8] 41:20 56:2 11 112:6

reaffirm [4] 9:1 19:5 63:12

readily [1] 40:25

reading [1] 15:5

reads [1] 11:4

ready [1] 70:11

105:2

24.25 63:12

Official - Subject to Final Review

responding [2] 21:3 63:18 response [3] 21:7 62:8 **104**:19 responsive [1] 99:10 rest [1] 68:14 restriction [2] 59:23 99:15 restrictive [1] 44:16 rests [1] 21:21 result [1] 12:8 retain [3] 91:21 95:16 110: retaining [1] 91:22 retrospect [1] 39:22 return [4] 30:25 60:25 77: 20 80:22 returned [2] 12:3 36:4 reverse [2] 97:18 101:16 review [2] 8:8 37:9 revisit [1] 37:25 revoked [1] 85:19 rid [3] 38:2 42:9 46:17 **Riggins** [1] **59**:2 rights [18] 15:18 32:10 51: 7 **56**:10 **57**:10 **61**:7 **66**:15 **85**:17 **87**:4,5,6 **88**:11,16 90:4 100:10 107:25 108:7 110:16 RIKELMAN [52] 2:4 3:6 47: 9,10,12 49:11 50:1,10,22 **52:**5 **53:**2,22 **55:**2,15 **56:**7 57:13 58:21 60:9 61:23 62: 2,13,24 63:16 64:22 65:9, 14,19,22 66:4,9,21 67:23 69:1 71:10 72:2.12 73:6 **74**:4.11.16.19 **75**:9.21 **76**: 10 78:1 81:1 82:14 83:1. 12.22 108:22 109:6 Rikelman's [1] 108:24 rise [1] 26:23 rising [1] 26:20 risk [3] 31:5,11,18 risks [6] 5:4 48:11 57:25 58:5 8 74:21 road [2] 40:24 42:3 ROBERTS [39] 4:3 18:22 **19**:7,11,16 **20**:2,4 **37**:3 **38**: 19 **39**:16 **41**:2.5 **43**:4 **44**: 19 **47**:5.9 **51**:20 **52**:25 **53**: 11 **54**:15 **55**:13 **56**:6 **67**:4 68:9 71:19 73:19 76:19 81: 18 **84**:11 **100**:14 **101**:1.24 102:14 103:7 104:14 106: 8 108:17 111:1 114:1 robust [1] 4:24 Roe [70] 4:11 5:9,14,24 6:1, 7 8:1 9:1,6,7 12:7,24 13:8 **16:**23 **17:**5 **18:**14,24 **19:**5, 9,10 20:6,15 22:15 23:7,8, 22 26:16 32:2 33:15,21 35: 8 **37**:14 **39**:3,23,24 **40**:22 **43:**2 **48:**1.5.8 **51:**23 **52:**4 **56**:15 **57**:18.23 **58**:11 **63**: 12,22 64:2,5 67:25 68:12, 24 **69**:19 **76**:7 **82**:20 **85**:3.

15 87:9 91:19 97:3.13 98: 13 103:25 108:5.5 110:6 111:23 112:19 113:18 Roe's [6] 12:21 20:16 48:7 59:12 76:3 17 role [1] 75:12 romantic [1] 25:6 rooted [1] 75:19 roughly [1] 56:3 rule [17] 8:17 11:1 13:6.6 36:1 39:5 48:3 49:6 79:19 90:8 91:15 92:3 94:8 95: 19.25 96:2 106:5 rules [7] 25:4,8 26:3 40:20 **45**:18 **56**:1 **108**:3 ruling [1] 28:8 run [9] 9:10 10:1 24:1 25:1 32:21 90:25 92:2 94:6 97: running [1] 113:18 rush [1] 54:9

S safe [8] 56:8,23 57:12 109:

5.22 110:4 111:10.15 safely [1] 25:17 same [20] 10:20 25:8 31:24 34:18.25 39:25 49:22 52:2 55:12.21 57:5 59:25 65:5. 17 **78**:3 **80**:24 **81**:9 **83**:15 95:15 111:24 same-sex [3] 27:25 79:18, save [1] 50:13 saw [2] 13:16 34:11 saying [19] 20:16 23:16 27: 13 31:15 32:6 33:10 34:21 44:2 46:13 58:10.15 62:8 66:13 68:11 70:15 72:21 77:19 78:5 89:6 savs [6] 22:9 33:13 69:25 **75**:5 **82**:6 **106**:14 scale [2] 42:8 104:6 science [5] 17:8,21 18:2 21:12,21 scope [4] 82:4 87:11 101: 10,22 SCOTT [5] 2:2 3:3,13 4:7 111:4 scrupulously [3] 77:13,18 112:15 second [13] 9:2.4 15:19 48: 8 59:2 78:8 82:5.22 83:20 86:17 87:5 90:3 97:6 section [1] 71:6 secular [2] 32:9 66:12 secured [1] 97:3 see [13] 11:13 13:16 15:9 18:2 26:13 44:13 65:13 71: 7 88:3,11 90:20 102:6 104: seeing [2] 15:21 70:5 seek [2] 101:21 111:14 seeking [2] 50:6 103:19

seem [4] 6:6 57:4 67:14 **104**:9 seemed [3] 59:21 67:11.14 seems [6] 6:6 53:18 56:14, 24 57:6 109:6 seen [3] 14:1,4 56:14 segregation [1] 93:1 Senate [1] 14:25 sensation [1] 21:9 sense [12] 22:2 24 27:10 41:11 64:16 73:15 82:8 83: 13.23 84:6 90:11 96:6 sentence [2] 10:19 38:24 sentences [1] 10:12 separate [3] 79:9 90:16 103:2 separately [2] 66:25 84:7 separateness [1] 102:19 separation [1] 52:11 serious [1] 10:18 seriously [2] 64:7 80:19 serve [1] 50:3 serves [2] 17:1 111:24 services [2] 61:9.11 set [10] 14:13 15:13.18 40: 17 **45**:18,21,25 **54**:24 **66**: 23 79:10 setting [1] 88:13 settled [1] 40:20 setup [1] 33:11 several [1] 76:14 severe [1] 85:3 sex [1] 25:8 share [2] 54:21 55:1 she's [1] 90:7 shift [1] 38:15 shifted [1] 37:13 shortest [1] 56:11 Shortly [3] 49:14 74:8,12 shouldn't [2] 67:12 81:4 show [4] 10:2,6 21:12 70: 21 showing [2] 53:4,5 side [10] 9:2 34:9 59:11 61: 19 **65**:25 **76**:25 **104**:5.12 106:14 22 side's [1] 107:7 sides [7] 5:22 13:17 36:18 **70**:9 **77**:3.22 **112**:24 signaled [1] 38:11 significantly [1] 112:11 signs [1] 52:14 silent [2] 43:19 79:15 similar [3] 23:22 54:12 90: similarly [1] 36:16 simply [6] 12:20 61:10 63: 3 92:6 93:22 94:25 since [10] 14:13.17 17:3.5 18:12 29:24 33:21 57:16. 18 98:13 Sir [1] 18:20 sit [1] 90:22 situation [6] 34:24 35:15

77:4 91:20 95:7 97:17 six-week [3] 14:24 54:11 101:19 slightly [2] 36:19,20 small [2] 18:5 98:8 social [5] 10:4 55:18 77:3. 21 109:3 societal [4] 85:1 89:19 97: 8 19 society [9] 15:13 28:25 51: 22 52:23 53:8 85:22 94:17 **97:9 113:**3 socioeconomic [1] 55:19 Solicitor [2] 2:2.6 solutions [1] 36:7 solve [1] 36:3 somebody [2] 96:1 112:10 somehow [2] 23:7 43:11 sometimes [2] 79:4 95:24 somewhat [2] 79:3 107:19 sorry [9] 11:22 16:16 17:19 19:7,12 24:22 25:20 52:25 105:7 sort [5] 36:8 41:18 52:2 67: 18 **72**:18 sorts [2] 40:1 112:9 **SOTOMAYOR** [49] **14**:5,7 **15**:8,25 **16**:7,10,14,17,20 **17**:9,11,18,20 **18**:5,10,20 **20**:21,24,25 **21**:19 **22**:5 **23**: 11,15 24:22 27:9,22,24 28: 5,12,19,22 29:1,6,9,13,21 30:6.12.17 31:4 41:8 76: 20 87:24 88:25 97:22 99:5 9 24 100:13 sounded [1] 102:3 sounds [1] 103:19 source [2] 19:18 76:7 South [3] 49:16 90:19 94: space [1] 39:10 spades [1] **70**:20 special [7] 9:7,8 13:6 26:3 **81**:6.8 **90**:12 specific [4] 72:23 103:17 104:3 109:9 specifically [10] 6:9 59:4 75:25 76:2 86:1.1.2.9.21 **87**:19 spent [1] 76:12 splintered [1] 59:24 sponsors [2] 14:21,25 spontaneous [1] 21:6 squarely [4] 20:12 68:4,6 **69**:9 stable [1] 95:18 stage [4] 37:18,21 38:16 79:10 stages [2] 85:5 103:1 stake [13] 48:17 51:5 65:23 **66**:23 **67**:3 **75**:3 **105**:5 **106**: 12.15.16 107:10.17 113:2 stakes [1] 10:11 stand [6] 4:19 12:21 46:24.

standard [36] 8:1,2,5,7,16 **12**:14,25 **16**:24,25 **17**:22, 23 29:19 38:18 41:14,15 42:12,13,23 51:24 54:3,18, 21,21 **59**:14,15,17 **60**:5,24 61:2,20 62:12,14,20 63:5,6 82:10 standards [1] 54:25 stands [1] 20:18 stare [51] 9:4 11:3.11.12 12: 10 **13**:3.7 **16**:6 **24**:13 **25**: 11,15 26:10 32:24 39:11, 16 40:17 44:24 45:1,3,9,14, 21 47:2,23 59:10 60:7 67: 5,18,19,22,25 **68:1 70:**19, 19 **71**:5,6 **78**:21,25 **79**:2 80:16 81:10,25 82:18 83:9 85:18 91:13 92:2 94:4 95: 9 12 13 stark [1] 85:17 started [2] 32:24 75:10 starts [1] 6:13 STATE [51] 1:3 21:1 28:13 29:18 30:2 31:15 36:16 38: 16 **48**:9 **49**:24 **50**:1,2 **51**: 10 53:24 57:8 60:13 61:8 63:17 64:24 72:4 73:25 74: 11,19 79:17,20 81:7,25 82: 2,3,6,8 83:14,25 84:23 86: 1,11 87:14 88:7,8,20 92:9 93:6 98:19 101:12 103:19, 23.24 107:12,13,25 109:20 state's [6] 6:2 63:19 82:24 102:22 104:9 113:24 **STATES** [42] 1:1.18 2:8 3: 10 12:13 18:15 22:18 24:4 32:3 41:20 43:14.23 44:4. 5,5,7,7,14 47:18 50:12,24 **51**:6,12 **54**:9,13 **56**:9 **61**:3 63:2 71:12 75:7,10 77:10, 17 **78**:16 **84**:15 **85**:4 **101**: 19 **103**:3,25 **107**:18 **108**:7 113.4 states' [2] 79:12 88:10 status [1] 78:19 stench [1] 15:4 step [3] 6:14 39:9 61:16 STEWART [78] 2:2 3:3.13 **4**:6,7,9 **6**:4,10 **7**:8 **8**:3,21, 24 10:20 11:7,19,22 13:10, 12 14:6 15:7,25 16:8,13,16, 20 17:13,19 18:4,9,13 19:1 10,12,22 **20:**3,11,23 **21:**18 23:10,18 24:24 25:23 26:7, 14,17,21,24 27:16,23 28:2, 10,16,20,24 29:3,7,11,16 30:5,10,14 31:20 32:13 35: 4 37:17 38:25 40:7 42:4 43:9.16 44:1.10.18 45:24 **47:**7 **111:**3.4.6 stick [1] 80:23 still [10] 29:10,25 31:23 44: 5 46:20 51:6 65:16 94:23,

23 98:15 stimuli [1] 21:4 stopping [2] 54:7 88:14 story [1] 79:4 straight [1] 89:9 strand [2] 58:25 59:3 strands [2] 58:24 59:7 strength [1] 102:2 strikes [3] 33:8,19 34:14 striking [1] 60:23 string [1] 79:7 strong [5] 25:9 33:17 43:1 46:24 100:21 struck [1] 5:1 structure [7] 16:4 22:13,16 23:6,19 46:7 88:3 studies [1] 53:4 submitted [2] 114:2.4 substance [1] 50:20 substantial [4] 55:23 69:5. 8 84:25 substantially [1] 55:23 substantive [6] 7:3 27:12. 13.18 73:1.2 subvert [1] 10:17 succeed [1] 5:25 suffer [1] 52:18 sufficient [1] 92:16 sufficiently [1] 103:2 suggest [4] 30:8 59:21 83: 2.3 suggesting [2] 38:21 51: suggestion [1] 38:3 suicide [1] 7:15 Sullivan [1] 15:17 super [4] 67:18.19 70:6.7 **supplying** [1] **7:**3 support [1] 69:24 supported [1] 58:24 supporting [5] 2:8 3:11 58: 25 59:3 84:16 supports [1] 76:17 suppose [2] 64:8 92:14 supposes [1] 53:14 supremacy [1] 94:18 **SUPREME** [8] 1:1.17 10: 25 **15**:1 **22**:10 **82**:3.6 **107**: 13 surely [1] 26:10 surge [1] 5:4 surrender [1] 10:8 survive [8] 5:12 15:3,16,23, 24 21:14 66:25 84:7 suspects [1] 79:16 sustain [1] 108:9 swift [1] 85:3 sword [1] 69:23 syllogism [1] 102:16 system [2] 108:13 113:9 tag [1] 19:14

23 **52**:19 **58**:23,23 **95**:20 96:4 teach [1] 22:20 technology [1] 66:18 teeth [1] 71:13 tells [1] 79:3 ten-week [1] 101:20 tend [1] 50:4 term [4] 31:13 84:24 86:13 112:15 terminate [5] 53:14 56:10 **57:**10 **110:**14.15 terminating [1] 65:17 termination [3] 25:14,16 35:11 terms [3] 22:19 26:12 100: 16 terrible [1] 46:16 test [6] 60:11,12 61:17 62:3 69:2.11 tests [1] 87:4 tethered [1] 21:22 Texas [2] 79:17 111:17 text [8] 6:25 16:4 24:11.11 27:6.19 77:6 87:1 textual [1] 72:3 theme [1] 107:7 themselves [3] 29:8 36:2 81:15 theory [2] 27:15 49:10 there's [23] 18:11 21:4,8,9 **22**:5 **26**:8 **27**:13 **29**:4 **32**: 14 **34**:19 **35**:25 **36**:20 **52**: 17 **55**:2 **76**:13.16 **79**:7 **81**: 5 **95**:25 **97**:6 **105**:22 **106**: 15 **110**:3 therefore [2] 43:19 77:9 They've [6] 4:14,15,16,17 30:23 53:15 thinking [6] 33:2,6 41:18 **45**:9,11 **70**:25 third [5] 26:4,16 48:18 82: 23 90:5 THOMAS [28] 1:3 6:4 7:4. 22.25 8:18 41:3.4 49:8.14 **50**:8.14 **51**:19 **71**:20.21 **72**: 10.17 73:18 85:25 86:6.14. 24 87:18.23 103:8.9.16 104:13 thoroughly [1] 8:23 though [7] 27:2 46:21 51: 24 97:10 103:19 106:14 108:14 threatening [1] 58:9 three [8] 9:3 10:14 17:1 37: 20 47:22 70:7 88:4 111:7 throughout [4] 24:6 50:25 68:17 108:4 tied [1] 32:18 tips [1] 87:13 title [1] 60:15 today [6] 33:13 40:2,4 46:

15 **81**:13 **102**:1

today's [2] 40:3,4

tolerates [1] 113:12 took [3] 45:15 110:7 113: topic [1] 8:20 tossing [1] 20:7 total [2] 102:4,5 totally [1] 22:11 touch [1] 21:5 tough [1] 26:3 tradition [11] 16:5 21:24 **24**:2.7.11 **27**:20 **40**:25 **73**: 9 76:1 102:21 103:5 traditional [2] 41:1 47:2 traditionally [4] 6:21,21 23:25 46:11 traditions [2] 4:14 75:19 travel [4] 85:8 100:6 108: 11 112:7 tremendous [2] 89:17 113: tremendously [2] 31:10 113:6 tried [1] 71:9 trimester [8] 12:24 20:8 59: 12 82:5,21,23,23 83:21 triple [1] 70:20 trouble [1] 52:15 true [8] 45:19 57:18 67:9 **71**:15 **97**:23 **99**:21 **100**:16 108:3 trust [1] 5:16 truth [1] 113:15 trv [1] 30:14 trying [8] 28:6 50:17 65:12 **72**:21 **73**:3 **83**:1.2 **108**:21 turns [1] 80:5 twice [1] 49:1 two [23] 7:10 8:25 10:12 12: 22 **14**:18 **16**:21 **23**:11 **25**: 15,15 26:1,13 47:14 48:20 **55**:2 **58**:24 **69**:18 **89**:3 **90**: 2,14 106:15 107:9,17 112: type [2] 39:25 55:21 types [1] 55:21

U.S [1] 11:5 ubiquitous [1] 111:18 ultimately [2] 61:3 63:1 unable [1] 85:8 unanimously [1] 60:23 unbelievably [1] 7:13 unborn [5] 5:3.11 18:18 30: 20 113:1 unclear [1] 41:15 unconstitutional [3] 5:8 37:11 47:16 undeniably [1] 5:4 under [21] 5:14 10:15,21 12:7,8 13:2 17:23 19:6 29: 14 34:20 42:16.22.23 44:8 47:16 73:9 74:5 75:23 78: 14.19 112:19

undergo [1] 74:21 underlay [1] 92:25 undermine [1] 50:4 underpinnings [1] 91:15 understand [19] 43:14,18 **50**:16 **61**:14 **62**:6 **71**:23 **72**: 20 **82**:24 **86**:14 **91**:11 **94**: 23 103:18 104:19 105:9.9. 9.11 108:23 109:7 understanding [7] 19:13 **34**:8 **61**:21 **63**:15 **94**:9,20 understandings [1] 40:11 understood [1] 39:19 undue [26] 8:4,16 12:14 14: 10 **16:**23 **29:**14,18 **41:**14 42:12,17,19,23 59:13,14, 16 **60**:11 **61**:17,17 **62**:3,11, 13,20 63:20 69:2,11 82:10 unfortunate [2] 19:17 46: unfortunately [2] 35:16 36: uniformly [1] 60:21 uninsured [2] 98:4 112:10 unique [7] 7:18 51:15 57: 24,25 112:25 113:1,2 uniquely [1] 40:17 UNITED [5] 1:1,18 2:8 3:10 84:15 uniustified [1] 10:9 unknown [1] 12:25 unless [1] 113:22 unmasked [1] 40:10 unnecessarily [1] 10:21 unplanned [4] 97:17 98:15, 23.25 unpopular [1] 67:16 unprecedented [3] 85:16 87:8 88:2 untethered [1] 8:17 until [6] 48:15 51:14,14 55: 7,11 113:22 unusual [3] 7:22 23:8 46:4 unwilling [2] 9:19,20 unworkable [2] 16:25 54:4 up [15] 55:7.11 57:17 63:9 71:8 78:23 82:9 89:24 94: 16 100:15 101:17 107:25 109:25 110:21 111:9 uphold [2] 6:1 113:24 upholds [1] 42:22 using [3] 54:12 98:21,22 utterly [1] 21:3

vaccines [1] 57:3 validity [1] 39:3 value [2] 107:18 112:18 values [3] 23:22 27:7 36: variation [1] 41:22

variety [3] 41:19,22 87:3 varying [1] 14:20

vast [5] 12:17 23:25 25:1 **54**:18 **89**:4 verifiable [2] 67:1 84:9 version [1] 8:4 versus [20] 4:5,11,12 15:11, 17 22:8 23:5,8 27:17 28:4 **45**:7 **59**:2 **79**:9,13,18,22 90:3 92:15 97:13 113:8 viability [103] 5:7 8:2,17 14: 9,15,18 18:23,24 19:14,19 **20:**7.9.14.15 **21:**13.16.20. 22 22:2 24:5 29:15.17 30: 19 **31**:10.14 **32**:12 **33**:12 **37**:6 **38**:2,3,22 **39**:5 **41**:13 47:15 48:3,15 50:12 51:1, 11,14 52:1 53:18 54:6,7,17, 20 55:7,11,25 57:20 60:18, 19 **61:**16,18 **62:**12,15,21, 24 63:21,23 64:1,5,10,21, 25 **65**:2,5,6,9,18 **66**:3,3,18 **68**:4,7,8,17,19 **69**:3,6,12 75:1 81:22 82:17 83:3.13. 23 84:5.22 87:12.21 91:15 **100:**16 **101:**9 **102:**5.9.16. 17 **103**:4 **104**:21 **105**:1,23 106:3 view [13] 12:6 17:5 29:22 **30:**3 **32:**17,19 **44:**8,11 **62:** 23 66:5 75:12,13 81:1 views [5] 28:17 29:4 32:16, 16 **64**:24 vigorously [1] 29:5 violate [1] 51:6 violation [1] 79:21 Virginia [1] 28:4 Virtually [3] 20:25 54:10 88:19 vis-à-vis [1] 88:8

vocally [1] 12:2

voice [1] 44:12

vote [1] 79:11

Wade [3] 4:11 97:13 108:5 Wainwright [1] 79:22 wait [1] 90:14 wake [1] 11:13 walked [2] 39:11 91:12 wanted [8] 12:3 35:2 37:15 41:11 60:7 61:13 96:6 97: wants [2] 64:18 65:7 warned [1] 88:22 warnings [1] 79:14 warrant [1] 95:10 Washington [3] 1:13 2:7 water [1] 34:20 watershed [9] 9:9 10:16 13:6 15:10 26:2 27:1 45: 20,23 70:8 way [15] 12:5 13:5 15:19 18: 19 **19:**2.5 **34:**11.12 **42:**8

43:2 66:9 78:6 80:24 87:

talked [9] 15:9,10 37:13 38:

10 **91**:1 ways [2] 56:17 59:17 Wednesday [2] 1:14 61:9 week [2] 61:11 101:3 weeks [31] 4:23 17:25 21: 17 **41**:21,21,22 **42**:19 **51**: 10 52:1,7,18 53:17,21 54:1 **55**:16,17,24,24 **57**:8,9 **60**: 16 **82**:6,9,23,25 **83**:20 **99**:7, 17 **100**:8 **102**:1 **105**:17 weigh [1] 113:5 weighing [1] 32:4 weighty [1] 104:11 welcome [1] 6:3 West [4] 45:20 79:11 80:9 90:3 whatever [3] 46:25 73:7 101:3 Whereupon [1] 114:3 whether [38] 9:21 13:8 17: 21 19:8 22:25 34:11 37:9, 14 **39**:18 **41**:20 **48**:5.6 **50**: 18 **59:**25 **65:**13 **67:**10.13. 13 **68**:1.4 **69**:5 **82**:16 **84**: 22 86:12 87:21 89:15 90: 10,20 91:21 95:3,16 96:16 **97**:25 **103**:13 **105**:14 **108**: 1 109:25 112:10 whichever [2] 6:10,23 white [1] 94:17 whole [3] 7:15 68:18 94:17 whom [2] 99:14,15 wide [2] 32:14 41:22 wife [1] 75:13 will [35] 4:3 5:25 8:9 9:25 **10**:1 **15**:3,14,23,24 **21**:6 **22**:20 **33**:3,6 **36**:24 **42**:6.6 47:20 48:19 52:18 53:25 **54**:1,7,9 **65**:15 **78**:18 **85**: 10 90:20 96:1 97:16 98:23 **104**:20 **107**:14 **108**:10 **112**: 19 **113**:20 willingness [1] 46:24 wish [1] 34:4 within [1] 36:2 without [9] 46:9.13 54:7 **57:1 62:24 63:21 72:5 85:** 6 86:11 woman [22] 14:11 31:5 49: 17 **51**:14 **57**:9,16 **64**:18 **65**: 4,5,16 69:6 78:7 84:24 86: 10 **87**:14,21 **96**:24 **103**:10 **104**:5 **106**:19 **109**:24 **112**: 25 woman's [16] 4:24 31:24 **48**:2,9,14 **50**:13 **51**:2 **64**: 18 65:1 74:20 75:12 83:25 84:21 101:11 109:2,10 womb [2] 5:12 103:21

14 85:8 89:11,18 96:12 97: 1,2,12,16,21 **98:**4,17,22,24 **99**:7,16,19,21 **100**:2,7,9,18 102:24 104:12 107:24 108: 6 110:19 111:14,25 WOMEN'S [12] 1:8 4:5 9: 14 **34**:22 **49**:5 **50**:3 **51**:6 **52**:22 **56**:19 **78**:16 **85**:23 112:3 wonder [2] 69:25 102:2 word [4] 20:14 22:7,10 106: 19 words [3] 9:24 43:20 100: 17 work [8] 5:18 36:6 41:12 **58**:4,20 **61**:7 **100**:5 **112**:8 workability [4] 12:11,14 **16**:23 **91**:14 workable [21] 8:9 24:12 54: 5 **60**:19,24 **61**:2,20 **62**:1,4, 22,22,25 63:5 75:1 82:17, 21,25 83:4,6 94:8 106:1 worked [1] 29:17 workplace [1] 56:19 world [7] 5:14,15 42:21 89: 15 **104:**8 **108:**10 **109:**17 worse [1] 9:11 written [2] 23:4 86:20 wrongly [5] 39:18,20 40:6 92:1 93:9 wrote [1] 70:1 year [2] 64:1 98:24

year [2] 64:1 98:24 years [29] 4:17,19 11:13 12: 11 14:1,8,16 17:3,7 25:25 34:19,20,25 35:13,14,16 49:14 51:12 52:21 60:21 72:14 74:6 81:5,14,14 106: 5 110:20 113:14,18 yells [1] 33:4 York [4] 2:4,4 15:17 107:15 young [3] 49:2 52:11 99:21

women [54] 5:4 31:6,9,16 34:3 47:18 48:19,21,24,25 50:5 51:21 52:14 53:7,13, 25 58:1,9 61:4 73:10,17 74:13 75:22 76:4 78:13 81: