# 24-Hour Low-Code Challenge Brief — Article Drafting MVP

#### **Objective**

Build a small, lovable MVP that turns an **interview transcript** + **supporting sources** into a **story-driven draft article** with a **human-in-the-loop (HITL)** review. You have **24 hours** to design, implement, and demo.

#### Who this is for

Graduates applying for **Software Engineering Intern – AI-Assisted Development** (Sri Lanka, hybrid). We're testing product thinking, AI-assisted dev skills, and execution under time constraints.

# Scope (What to Build — minimally)

Deliver an end-to-end path that lets an editor: 1. **Create a project** and **paste/upload a transcript** (any AI-related product interview you choose, public sources only).

- 2. Attach at least one supporting source (e.q., a brochure PDF or a reputable web article/YouTube link).
- 3. Extract key points automatically  $\rightarrow$  show a review list the editor can approve/edit/reorder.
- 4. Set a story direction (tone/angle/length) via a simple control or prompt field.
- 5. **Generate an outline or full draft** that references the approved points.
- 6. Provide basic source mapping (hover/click to see which source supports a paragraph/claim).
- 7. Run a **minimal Quote Checker**: for any quoted text in the draft, show the matching source snippet (exact or clearly indicated with ellipses if non-contiguous).
- 8. **Export** the draft as **Markdown** (bonus: include a small provenance JSON).

Keep it focused. A polished Happy Path beats a sprawling, half-working app.

### **Constraints & Levers**

- **Platform**: Build primarily with **Lovable.dev** (low-code). You may also use Cursor/Replit/bolt.new locally as you wish.
- Data: Use publicly accessible transcripts and sources. Do not upload private data.
- **AI usage**: Any LLM/service is allowed if you supply your own keys. If unavailable, you may simulate parts but clearly note it in the README.
- **Design**: Clean, minimal editorial UX. Prioritize clarity over flash.
- Timebox: 24 hours from brief receipt to submission (Sri Lanka time, UTC+5:30).

#### **Creative freedom**

You own the micro-interactions, data model, and prompt design. We intentionally leave details open—surprise us with thoughtful choices.

## **Deliverables (What to Submit)**

- 1. Lovable link to your live app (viewer access).
- 2. **GitHub repo** with source, minimal instructions, and a short **README** covering:
- 3. Problem framing & assumptions
- 4. Architecture diagram or description
- 5. How you handle key-point approval, source mapping, and quote checks
- 6. Trade-offs & what you'd do next with another day
- 7. 3-minute demo video (unlisted link OK) or a concise walkthrough GIF/GIFV.
- 8. **Sample inputs** you used (links to your interview + sources).

Optional extras (nice to have):

- Light tests for your quote-matching logic.
- A provenance JSON in the export (mapping paragraph/quote  $\rightarrow$  source).

## **Evaluation Rubric (100 pts)**

• Core Flow Works (Happy Path) — 30 pts

Ingest  $\rightarrow$  Approve points  $\rightarrow$  Direction  $\rightarrow$  Outline/Draft  $\rightarrow$  Export.

• Quote Integrity & Source Mapping — 20 pts

Quotes trace back to sources (exact or ellipses); claims have visible provenance.

• UX Clarity & HITL Controls — 15 pts

Can an editor understand and steer the story without reading code?

• Product Thinking & Creativity — 10 pts

Sensible defaults, tidy scope, thoughtful prompts, small delights.

• Code Quality & Repo Hygiene — 15 pts

Clear structure, readable code, brief comments, a helpful README.

• Stability & Deployment — 5 pts

Works reliably in the browser; error states don't trap the user.

• Presentation & Communication — 5 pts

Crisp demo; decisions and trade-offs explained.

**Tiebreakers**: Handles a longer transcript (≥10k chars) without choking; sensible performance.

#### **Ground Rules**

- Respect copyright: quote sparingly and attribute.
- Keep PII out. Use public materials only.
- No security theatre: if you use API keys, do not commit them.
- Be honest about mocks/simulations.

#### **Timeline & Process**

- Kickoff: You receive this brief.
- Build window: 24 hours.
- **Submission**: Post your Lovable link + GitHub repo + demo video + input links.
- **Shortlist Interviews**: 10–15 minute follow-up where we'll give you a fresh, short transcript snippet to run through your app live.

# FAQ (short)

- Do I need a backend? Not required—client-only is fine if you can demonstrate the flow.
- How fancy must the Quote Checker be? Minimal viable: detect quotes in the draft and show the matching source snippet (case/whitespace normalized). Handle multi-span quotes with ellipses.
- **Do I need full YouTube transcription?** Not necessary—pick interviews with transcripts available or use articles as your main sources.
- Can I pre-seed prompts or templates? Yes—document them in your README.

## Stretch Ideas (choose zero or one)

- A tiny Direction Preset system (e.g., Founder story vs. Launch deep-dive).
- A basic **versioning** dropdown (Latest + 1 checkpoint).
- A hover "Sources (n)" popover per paragraph.

Good luck—build something small, clear, and lovable. We value judgment and finish over buzzword bingo.