

1. Syntax analysis is a second part of compilation process which right after the lexical analysis. The purpose is to check whether the given input correct or not according to the rules of the particular programming language.
2. There is a special module implemented in ply which is called ply.yacc. The tokens map are taken from the lexer. Then we use functions with a reserved name `p_CASENAME`. Inside the function there is a docstring which contains some appropriate specification for this particular case (basically this syntactic rule)
  3. a) Variable definition. There are 4 different cases how variables could be defined according to their type. It could be simple variable (number or literal), constant (not variable, actually), tuple variable or pipe variable. Each of them has their own implementation.
    - b) Function call. Function call looks like: `funcIDENT(r'[A-Z]{1}([a-z][0-9])_+')` and then in squared parentheses (`[]`) arguments.
    - c) Tuple expression. It is a `tuple_atom`. Which could be implemented in 4 different ways (`tupleIDENT`, `[constant_expression ** constant_expression]`, `[constant_expression..constant_expression]`, `function_call`) + (possibly) `++` (double plus).  
`tupleIDENT` is `r'<[a-z]+>' constant_expression`  
 is literal or number
4. a) No, it's not possible. Function body (inside `BEGIN - END`) may contain only variable definitions and return. Hence, it's impossible to define a new function inside the other one.
  - b) Yes, it is possible. Because, to perform such operation we use `simple_expression` which can be defined as `STRING_LITERAL + STRING_LITERAL`.
  - c) Yes. It is possible. Initializing a variable we need to use `simple_expression` which uses atom inside it and which is allowed to be `constIDENT`.
  - d) No, it's not possible. Because when we initialize a constant, we need to have `constIDENT` or a number. None of them matches variable name.
  - e) No, it's not possible. In current syntax we have only double plus (`++`), not double minus (`--`).
  - f) It is done with help of recursion order. `PLUS` and `MINUS` are connected with `PLUS_MINUS` which is allowed to be each of them. On the same time `DIV` and `MULT` are also connected into `DIV_MULT` which is allowed to be each of them also. Then, all such operations are arranged into several steps. `simple_expression -> term -> factor -> atom`. On the `simple_expression` step only `PLUS` and `MINUS` are allowed. Then on the `term` level `MULT` and `DIV` appear. And on the `factor` level `MINUS` is allowed (as a prefix, e.g. `-5`). In this way it is guaranteed that `PLUS` and `MINUS` operations will be done in the end (as they are on the higher levels of recursion as `MULT` and `DIV`).
5. Functions are implemented.
6. I had problems with `yacc.parser` as it is working in different ways on the same examples (particularly on the last template testcase) and some times it fails but sometimes it's not. It was somehow related to my regex condition (as it is not ok with some expression OR `empty_string`). But finally I fixed it.

