

MSE160S Microstructure-Property Relationship Final Report

Designing a Polymer for "Hinged" Compliant Mechanisms

J. Lefebvre

jayden.lefebvre@mail.utoronto.ca

Student Number: 1006861344

Engineering Science

Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering

University of Toronto

Tuesday April 27th, 2021

1 Introduction

Compliant mechanisms are a category of simple mechanical devices used to transform force and motion via **elastic deformation and deflection**. They have become increasingly popular as an alternative to more traditional rigid and jointed systems for a variety of reasons, dependent on the use case [1]. Their potential use cases are immensely broad, ranging from sensitive surgical instrumentation (that must respond and react to subtle muscle movements and deflect so as to not cause tissue damage) to emergency safety mechanisms designed to prevent the accidental firing of nuclear weapons [2]. Although typically made from **plastics** and other polymers, they may also be made from ductile metals (or even paper in the case of "action origami", which I think is pretty neat) [2]. For the purposes of this report, only plastics will be considered.




Figure 1: Two equivalent mechanisms: a typical rigid, jointed mechanism (left) and a compliant, bending mechanism (right) [3].

One of the simplest and most common compliant implementations is in "hinge" components (those transferring work solely rotationally). Bending about a main axis is central to the operation of these mechanisms. Where traditional hardware mechanisms have pin joints (pins fastening two or more bodies about which they freely rotate), compliant mechanisms **bend** at specific locations with thinner cross-sectional area relative to the bending moment, known as "compliant joints" [1].

2 Hinge Fatigue via Repetitive Stress

Just as any typical hardware mechanism must be designed to withstand many, *many* uses (often on the order of hundreds of thousands, or more), compliant mechanisms aiming to solve similar problems must be designed to "comply" just as many times [4]. However, where traditional hardware mechanisms experience very gradual (often unnoticeable) surface wear as a result of *sliding friction* within the joints, compliant mechanisms experience permanent deformation over time as a result of **repeated elastic stress** within the bending compliant joint.




Figure 2: A variety of compliant joint topologies [5].

The flexural stress σ experienced by the joint (and hence, the deflection) is proportionate to the bending moment at that location, and inversely proportionate to the second moment of area I of the joint at that location, and thus the cross-sectional area.

$$\sigma(x) \propto \frac{M(x)}{I(x)} \quad (2.1)$$

Although specific topologies and designs of hinges is outside of the realm of microstructure-property relationships, it is important to consider the role of cross-sectional area to the **origin and propagation** of elastic flexural- stress-induced fatigue: where the material is thin (i.e. where it is intended to bend, at the compliant joint), it experiences a greater stress than other locations.

3 Microstructure: Fatigue Origins and Parameters

In order to speak properly about the specifics of fatigue at the microstructure level, we must first accurately define it. Under repeated/cyclic elastic loading, "faults are introduced at the molecular level ... After many deformations, cracks will begin to appear, followed soon after by a fracture, with no apparent plastic deformation in between" [6]. The fatigue process begins with dislocation movements, which eventually form **persistent slip bands** (PSBs) that ultimately become the origin of larger-order failure.




Figure 3: Behaviour of a network polymer under A) no load, and B) elastic stress [7]. Note the increased length and chain alignment under loading (B). When loading is removed, the polymer will return to state A.

Despite remaining within the reversible linear elastic region of stresses on the material level, at the microstructure, permanent polymer strand dislocation (which can be imagined as a sort of "slippage" of strands past each other) causes the overlapping networks to unravel over time.

Fatigue life (number of cycles before fatigue) is also influenced by a variety of non-material factors, including environment (temperature, humidity, etc.), residual stresses, and (most importantly) the magnitude of the repetitively applied stress (proximity to plastic deformation). Unfortunately, the fatigue process is also (to a degree) random, often displaying considerable scatter even in seemingly identical samples in well controlled environments [6].

There are, however, a number of polymer parameters that factor in to how a material responds to cyclical elastic loading. If the number of overlapping strands (or the degree of "networking") is increased, the secondary bonding (IMFs) between polymers is strengthened, and the sample can resist slippage at higher stresses for a higher number of cycles. In addition, a lower Young's modulus of elasticity would result in a greater tendency for the material to comply to the imparted load, reducing the stress on the microstructure itself. This tendency to compliance is also parameterized by viscosity, a property of so-called "elastomers", which display both "springy" elasticity ($B \rightarrow A$) and "flowing" viscosity ($A \rightarrow B$).

4 Conclusion

In order for critical compliant mechanisms to be reliable over long lifetimes, they must be made of materials resilient to high-cycle fatigue. Polymers intended for these applications must be resistant to inter-polymer surface wear due to friction, while maintaining suitable flexibility AND stiffness for the design application (with a specific focus on "hinges").

Network polymers or elastomers are best suited for this application, as they have low Young's modulus of elasticity, and thus deflect easily under minimal effort. This decreased stiffness does not detract from the design potential, as the cross-section can be simply made thicker for a greater resistance to bending (to a point). In addition, elastomers and other highly-networked polymers are more able to distribute the load under bending, resulting in fewer instances of fatigue and a longer lifecycle for future compliant products.

References

- [1] “About compliant mechanisms.” [Online]. Available: <https://www.compliantmechanisms.byu.edu/about-compliant-mechanisms>
- [2] L. L. Howell, “Anatomy of invention,” BYU Studies Quarterly, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 83–99, 2016. [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/43957291>
- [3] C. Conlan-Smith, A. Bhattacharyya, and K. A. James, “Optimal design of compliant mechanisms using functionally graded materials,” Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization, vol. 57, no. 1, p. 197–212, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00158-017-1744-y>
- [4] D. Kern, M. Rösner, E. Bauma, W. Seemann, R. Lammering, and T. Schuster, “Key features of flexure hinges used as rotational joints: Transition from concentrated to distributed compliances,” Forschung im Ingenieurwesen, vol. 77, 12 2013. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263225114_Key_features_of_flexure_hinges_used_as_rotational_joints_Transition_from_concentrated_to_distributed_compliances
- [5] S. Linß, S. Henning, and L. Zentner, “Modeling and design of flexure hinge-based compliant mechanisms,” Kinematics - Analysis and Applications, Apr 2019.
- [6] “Fatigue (material),” Mar 2021. [Online]. Available: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fatigue_\(material\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fatigue_(material))
- [7] “Elastomer,” Mar 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elastomer>