

3

1

2

4 5

6 7

9

8

11

12

10

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

26 27

28

or his attorney has filed in this lawsuit has shaken this belief.

5. I disclaimed the '329 patent based on the advice of my attorney. My attorney advised me that patent litigation is extremely expensive and time-consuming, especially given the aggressive litigation tactics of Plaintiff and his attorney to date.

JMRI's product infringed the '329 patent prior to the disclaimer. Nothing that Jacobsen

4. I believe that KAM's '329 patent was valid prior to the disclaimer and that

- 6. Based on this advice, I chose to disclaim the '329 patent to avoid the cost of patent litigation. This was based upon purely economic considerations.
- 7. On October 7, 2005, I authorized my attorney to send a FOIA request to the United States Department of Energy. This document became the basis for Jacobsen's claim against me for alleged defamation. This request was to gather information in support of a possible lawsuit against JMRI for patent infringement. Since a Department of Energy email account was being used by Jacobsen in his capacity as a developer of JMRI software, I believed that a FOIA request to the Department of Energy would produce relevant information relating to JMRI's infringement of the '329 patent.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 7, 2008.

Matthew Katzer

Page 2 of 2 DECLARATION BY DEFENDANT MATTHEW KATZER SUPPORTING REPLY OF KEVIN RUSSELL TO

PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION BRIEFI

Law Offices of David M. Zeff 1388 Sutter St., Suite 820 San Francisco, CA 94109 Tel: 415 923 1380