

10/9/2020

CS682 Computer Vision

Mithilaesh Jayakumar (G01206238)

Q4 Write Up

1) Prove that there exist a homography H that satisfies

$$x_1 \equiv H \cdot x_2$$

Solution:- 3D notations can be defined as

$$R \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$

Think of as change of basis where $r_i = r(i, :)$ are orthogonal basis vectors.

Lots of parameters try to capture 3DOFs. Some of the helpful ones are orthogonal matrix, axis angle, exponential maps.

Perspective projection can be given by

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } (x, y) \text{ and } \lambda$$

can be computed by,

$$\lambda x = f x$$

$$\lambda = z$$

$$x = \frac{\lambda x}{\lambda} = \frac{fX}{Z}$$

We can get 3D affine transformation by,

3D translations

$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} + T = \begin{bmatrix} X + t_x \\ Y + t_y \\ Z + t_z \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

3D rotation or any invertible matrix R

$$R \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

From (1) & (2) we get,

$$R \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} + T = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now to get camera projection,

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & t_x \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & t_y \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & t_z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

↓

camera intrinsic matrix K which can include skew and non-square pixel size

↓

camera extrinsics which is the rotation and translation

↓

3D point in world coordinates.

We will now place world coordinate frame on object plane.

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & t_x \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & t_y \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & t_z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_{11} & n_{12} & n_{13} & t_x \\ n_{21} & n_{22} & n_{23} & t_y \\ n_{31} & n_{32} & n_{33} & t_z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_{11} & n_{12} & t_x \\ n_{21} & n_{22} & t_y \\ n_{31} & n_{32} & t_z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} fn_{11} & fn_{12} & ft_x \\ fn_{21} & fn_{22} & ft_y \\ n_{31} & n_{32} & t_z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{--- (3)}
 \end{aligned}$$

This holds true for any intrinsic matrix K and

$$\begin{bmatrix} fn_{11} & fn_{12} & ft_x \\ fn_{21} & fn_{22} & ft_y \\ n_{31} & n_{32} & t_z \end{bmatrix}$$

is a 3×3 H matrix.

Now let us consider two points x_1 and x_2 in the images of a plane Π , then using (3) we get

for x_1 ,

$$\lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = H_1 \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

$$\lambda_2 \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = H_2 \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

We know that multiplication of a matrix A and its inverse A^{-1} results in a Identity matrix I .

consider ④,

Multiply with inverse H_1^{-1} on both the sides,

$$H_1^{-1} \lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{H_1^{-1} H_1}_{\underline{I}} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_1^{-1} \lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{--- ⑥}$$

Substitute ⑥ in ⑤,

$$\lambda_2 \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = H_2 H_1^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Generalizing the above equation,

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = H_2 H_1^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Both the LHS and RHS are related by a scale factor

\therefore If the scene is planar, then images from any two cameras are related by a homography.

Estimating homographies for above scenario.

If (x_1, y_1) and H are given then (x_2, y_2) can be found by

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{\lambda x_2}{\lambda} = \frac{ax_1 + by_1 + c}{gx_1 + hy_1 + i}$$

We can also estimate H , if the two points are given,

$$x_2 (gx_1 + hy_1 + i) = ax_1 + by_1 + c$$

\vdots

$$AH(:) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \text{homogeneous linear system}$$

$$\min_{\|HC(:)\|^2=1} \|AHC(:)\|^2$$

Minimum right singular A vector
(eigenvector of $A^T A$)

2.) Now we need to show that there exists a Homography H that satisfies the proof explained in Qn 1 given two cameras are separated by a pure rotation.

Solution: Given $x_1 = K_1 [I 0] X$

$$x_2 = K_2 [R 0] X \quad \text{where } I \text{ is } 3 \times 3 \text{ Identity}$$

matrix and R is 3×3 Rotation matrix.

The K_1 and K_2 are the intrinsic matrices described in Q1.

Rewriting the given equations like in Q1.

$$\lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = K_1 [I 0] \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_2 \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = K_2 [R 0] \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{where } K_1 = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K_2 = \begin{bmatrix} f_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

K_1 and K_2 are distinct in our case.

$$\lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = K_1 \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{--- (1) as } [I_0] \text{ is Identity matrix.}$$

$$\lambda_2 \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = K_2 R \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Taking K_1^{-1} on both sides of (1)

$$K_1^{-1} \lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = K_1^{-1} K_1 \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We know $A^{-1}A = I$

$$K_1^{-1} \lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

using (3) in (2)

$$\lambda_2 \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = K_2 R K_1^{-1} \lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Generalizing,

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = K_2 R K_1^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

\therefore If camera rotates about the center, then the images are related by a homography irrespective of scene depth.

3.) Show that H^2 is the homography corresponding to a rotation of 2θ .

We already know that there exist a homography between two points x_1 and x_2 given the cameras are separated by pure rotation.

From Q2,

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = K_2 R K_1^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{--- ①}$$

It is given that the intrinsic parameters K are constant. Therefore ① becomes

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = R \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } K_2 = K_1 \text{ and } K_2 K_1^{-1} = I$$

R is the 3×3 rotation matrix which is the Homography matrix H for our scenario. Let the angle of rotation be θ .

Now if we multiply H matrix with itself then

$$H^2 = H \times H \Rightarrow R^2$$

Taking the square inside the matrix R results in the conversion of θ in \sin and \cos elements to 2θ as $\cos^2 \theta = \frac{1 + \cos 2\theta}{2}$; $\sin^2 \theta = \frac{1 - \cos 2\theta}{2}$

