

Open Quantum Systems Fall 2020 Answers to Exercise Set 5

Jake Muff

Student number: 015361763

5/11/2020

1 Random Phases

$$\psi = a\phi_1 + b\phi_2$$

a and b have the condition that they must $|a|^2 = |b|^2 = 1$.

$$\psi(t) = ae^{i\theta_1}\phi_1 + be^{i\theta_2}\phi_2$$

With probability

$$P(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\lambda_1 t}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\lambda_2 t}} e^{-\frac{\theta_1^2}{2\lambda_1 t}} e^{-\frac{\theta_2^2}{2\lambda_2 t}}$$

The density matrix can be written as an integral from a state vector $\psi(t)$ from the statistical description of the density matrix

$$\rho(t) = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|$$

$$\rho(t) = \sum_i p_i \psi(t) \psi^\dagger(t)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(\theta_1, \theta_2) \psi(t) \psi^\dagger(t)$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) \psi^\dagger(t) &= (ae^{i\theta_1}\phi_1 + be^{i\theta_2}\phi_2) \cdot (a^*e^{-i\theta_1}\phi_1^\dagger + b^*e^{-i\theta_2}\phi_2^\dagger) \\ &= |a|^2\phi_1\phi_1^\dagger + ab^*e^{i\theta_1-i\theta_2}\phi_1\phi_2^\dagger + ba^*e^{i\theta_2-i\theta_1}\phi_2\phi_1^\dagger + |b|^2\phi_2\phi_2^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

1. The density matrix at time t is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(\theta_1, \theta_2) \psi(t) \psi^\dagger(t) d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\lambda_1 t}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\lambda_2 t}} e^{-\frac{\theta_1^2}{2\lambda_1 t}} e^{-\frac{\theta_2^2}{2\lambda_2 t}} \dots \\ &\quad \cdot \left[|a|^2\phi_1\phi_1^\dagger + ab^*e^{i\theta_1-i\theta_2}\phi_1\phi_2^\dagger + ba^*e^{i\theta_2-i\theta_1}\phi_2\phi_1^\dagger + |b|^2\phi_2\phi_2^\dagger \right] d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \end{aligned}$$

To make this look easier I introduce the substitutions $c = 2\lambda_1 t$ and $d = 2\lambda_2 t$ so that the equation looks like

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi c}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi d}} e^{-\frac{\theta_1^2}{c}} e^{-\frac{\theta_2^2}{d}} \psi(t) \psi^\dagger(t) d\theta_1 d\theta_2$$

The gaussian part of the integral is easily seen now such that the first part of the integral evaluates at 1. Also notice that the parts of $\psi(t) \psi^\dagger(t)$ which contribute to θ_1, θ_2 can be split up as such

$$ab^*(e^{i\theta_1 - i\theta_2}) = ab^*(e^{i\theta_1} e^{-i\theta_2})$$

$$ba^*(e^{i\theta_2 - i\theta_1}) = ba^*(e^{i\theta_2} e^{-i\theta_1})$$

And that split up and evaluated through the integral also = 1. So the solution is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(\theta_1, \theta_2) \psi(t) \psi^\dagger(t) d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \\ &= |a|^2 \phi_1 \phi_1^\dagger + |b|^2 \phi_2 \phi_2^\dagger \dots \end{aligned}$$

N.B Not sure how the value of $e^{-\frac{1}{2}t(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}$ comes into the solution to the integral.

2. Show that $\rho(t)$ satisfies the master equation

$$\rho(t) = |a|^2 \phi_1 \phi_1^\dagger + |b|^2 \phi_2 \phi_2^\dagger + e^{-\frac{1}{2}t(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} (ab^* \phi_1 \phi_2^\dagger + ba^* \phi_2 \phi_1^\dagger)$$

Taking the derivative of this w.r.t t

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \rho(t) &= -\frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(ba^* \phi_2 \phi_1^\dagger + ab^* \phi_1 \phi_2^\dagger) e^{-\frac{1}{2}t(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}}{2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \left[\rho(t) - (\phi_1 \phi_1^\dagger \rho(t) \phi_1 \phi_1^\dagger + \phi_2 \phi_2^\dagger \rho(t) \phi_2 \phi_2^\dagger) \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \left[\rho(t) - \sum_i \phi_i \phi_i^\dagger \rho(t) \phi_i \phi_i^\dagger \right] \end{aligned}$$

Expanding and splitting $-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ so that

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \phi_i \phi_i^\dagger \cdot -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \phi_i \phi_i^\dagger \equiv -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$$

So the first term can be written as

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \phi_i \phi_i^\dagger \rho(t) (\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \phi_i \phi_i^\dagger)^\dagger$$

Where

$$(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}\phi_i\phi_i^\dagger)^\dagger = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}\phi_i^\dagger\phi_i$$

The second term can also be written but it has 4 terms in (from $i = 1$ to 2) so we have

$$\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\rho(t) + \rho(t)\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$$

Which is the anti commutation relation with an extra value of 2 included from which the prefactor $\frac{1}{2}$ outside of the commutation brackets to be able to use this simplification. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\rho(t) &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}\phi_i\phi_i^\dagger\rho(t) \cdot -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}\phi_i^\dagger\phi_i \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\rho(t) + \rho(t)\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)) \\ &= \sum_i \left[\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}\phi_i\phi_i^\dagger\rho(t) \cdot -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}\phi_i^\dagger\phi_i \right. \\ &\quad \left. -\frac{1}{2}\{-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}\phi_i^\dagger\phi_i\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}\phi_i\phi_i^\dagger, \rho(t)\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Substituting

$$L_i = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}\phi_i\phi_i^\dagger$$

So

$$L_i^\dagger = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}\phi_i^\dagger\phi_i$$

Gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho(t) = \sum_i \left[L_i\rho(t)L_i^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}\{L_i^\dagger L_i, \rho(t)\} \right]$$

Note. $\sum_i^2 \phi_i^\dagger\phi_i\phi_i\phi_i^\dagger = 1$

2 Unitary Jump

1. Density operator at $t + dt$. $\psi(t)$ has probability $P = \lambda dt$ to jump to $e^{-iG}\psi(t)$. So it has $1 - \lambda dt$ of staying $\psi(t)$. For normal time evolution we have

$$\rho(t) = P e^{-iHt}\rho(0)e^{iHt}$$

Where $\rho(0)$ is the initial density matrix. For this the initial density matrix is $\rho(t)$ and we need to add on the probability that the state is unchanged to satisfy the total probability.

$$\rho(t+dt) = \text{Probability to remain unchanged} \cdot \rho(t) + \text{Probability to change} \cdot e^{-iG} \rho(0=t) e^{iG}$$

$$\rho(t+dt) = (1 - \lambda dt) \rho(t) + \lambda dt e^{-iG} \rho(t) e^{iG}$$

2. Show that $\rho(t)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \rho(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \left[(1 - \lambda dt) \rho(t) + \lambda dt e^{-iG} \rho(t) e^{iG} \right] \\ &= -\lambda \rho(t) + \lambda e^{-iG} \rho(t) e^{iG} \\ &= -\lambda \left[\rho(t) - e^{-iG} \rho(t) e^{iG} \right] \end{aligned}$$

To solve the time evolution we use the equation for $\rho(t+dt)$ and set $dt = 0$.

3. Finding L in the master equation form given.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho(t) = L \rho(t) L^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{L^\dagger L, \rho(t)\}$$

Setting this equal to the equation in the previous equation

$$L \rho(t) L^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{L^\dagger L, \rho(t)\} = -\lambda \left[\rho(t) - e^{-iG} \rho(t) e^{iG} \right]$$

By directly comparing :

$$L \rho(t) L^\dagger \rightarrow \lambda e^{-iG} \rho(t) e^{iG}$$

So that

$$L = \sqrt{2\lambda} \cdot e^{-iG}$$

Because

$$L^\dagger = (\sqrt{2\lambda} \cdot e^{-iG})^\dagger = \sqrt{2\lambda} e^{iG}$$

With the 2 being there so that when expanded you get 2λ which multiplied by $\frac{1}{2}$ gives just λ which we were looking for.

4. Showing that the off diagonal elements satisfy the differential equation. We have

$$E_1 = g_1, \quad E_2 = g_2$$

Where E_i are eigenvalues so $|E_i\rangle$ are eigenvectors.

$$|E_1\rangle = |g_1\rangle, \quad |E_2\rangle = |g_2\rangle$$

If the diagonals are g_1 and g_2 so we have

$$\rho_{ii}(t) = |g_i\rangle^\dagger \rho(t) |g_i\rangle$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho_{ii}(t) = 0$$

This is because $|g_i\rangle^\dagger \rho(t) |g_i\rangle$ will always equal a constant and the differential of a constant is 0. For $\rho_{12}(t)$

$$\rho_{12}(t) = |g_1\rangle^\dagger \rho(t) |g_2\rangle$$

From part (b)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{12}(t) &= -\lambda[\rho_{12}(t) - e^{-ig_1} \rho_{12}(t) e^{ig_2}] \\ &= -\lambda \rho_{12}(t) + \lambda \rho_{12}(t) e^{i(g_2 - g_1)} \\ &= -\lambda \rho_{12}(t) [1 - e^{i(g_2 - g_1)}] \end{aligned}$$

3 Random Unitary transformation

In a time dt

$$\psi(t + dt) = e^{-iG\theta} \psi(t)$$

With probability

$$P(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\lambda dt}} e^{-\frac{\theta^2}{2\lambda dt}}$$

1. Find density matrix at time $t + dt$. First need to show that order θ^3 and higher can be neglected. We can Taylor expand

$$e^{-iG\theta} \psi(t)$$

Around θ . So we get

$$e^{-iG\theta} = 1 - iG\theta + \frac{1}{2}G^2\theta^2 - \frac{1}{6}iG^3\theta^3 + \dots$$

Lets also expand $e^{iG\theta}$ around θ

$$e^{iG\theta} = 1 + iG\theta + \frac{1}{2}G^2\theta^2 + \frac{1}{6}iG^3\theta^3 + \dots$$

So $e^{-iG\theta} \rho(t) e^{iG\theta}$ expanded in θ will have terms of order θ^3 and higher cancel out as the signs will be different. Can also say that for t we have $e^{-iG\theta}$ and for dt we have $e^{-iG\theta} \rho(t) e^{iG\theta}$ so naturally dt will always have 1 order higher of θ past θ^3 .

For $\rho(t + dt)$ it is then a simpler version of Part 1.

$$\rho(t + dt) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(\theta) e^{-iG\theta} \rho(t) e^{iG\theta}$$

Using my expansions I used mathematica to evaluate $e^{-iG\theta}\rho(t)e^{-iG\theta}$

$$e^{-iG\theta}\rho(t)e^{-iG\theta} = \frac{4\rho(t) + G^4\theta^4\rho(t) - 8G^2\theta^2\rho(t)}{4} + iG^3\theta^3\rho(t) - 2iG\theta\rho(t)$$

Obviously this ignored the commutative effect so it needed to be split up as

$$G\rho(t)G \neq G^2\rho(t)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}G^2\theta^2\rho(t) \neq \rho(t)\frac{1}{2}G^2\theta^2$$

And ignoring terms θ^3 or higher

$$= \rho(t) - \frac{1}{2}G^2\theta^2\rho(t) - \frac{1}{2}G^2\theta^2 + G\rho(t)G\theta^2$$

So we have

$$\rho(t + dt) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(\theta) \left[\rho(t) - \frac{1}{2}G^2\theta^2\rho(t) - \rho(t)\frac{1}{2}G^2\theta^2 + G\rho(t)G\theta^2 \right]$$

Like in question 1 we have a gaussian integral from $P(\theta)$ so the solution is

$$\rho(t + dt) = \rho(t) - \frac{\theta^3}{2} \left[G^2\rho(t) + \rho(t)G^2 - 2G\rho(t)G \right]$$

And substituting $\theta^3 = \lambda dt$

$$\rho(t + dt) = \rho(t) - \frac{\lambda dt}{2} \left[G^2\rho(t) + \rho(t)G^2 - 2G\rho(t)G \right]$$

2. Finding L so that the derivative satisfies the master equation. Evaluating the derivative like in question 2 we can find the derivative from the equation above with $dt = t$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} &= \frac{d}{dt} \left[\rho(0) - \frac{\lambda t}{2} \left[G^2\rho(t) + \rho(t)G^2 - 2G\rho(t)G \right] \right] \\ &= 0 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \left[G^2\rho(t) + \rho(t)G^2 - 2G\rho(t)G \right] \end{aligned}$$

Which can be simplified to

$$= -\frac{\lambda}{2} G \{G, \rho(t)\} + \lambda G\rho(t)G$$

As we can take out a factor of G outside the brackets then inside the brackets is the commutation relation + an extra factor to bring it back to the original. Therefore

$$L = \sqrt{\lambda}G$$

3. Showing that the components of density operator in basis of eigenvectors of G satisfy the differential equation. Lie before in question 2d, for the off diagonal elements they have values of g_1 and g_2 (g_i, g_j). Substituting g_i and g_j into the differential equation above (g_i is left side multiplier of G and g_j is right side multiplier of G)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\rho_{ij}(t) &= -\frac{\lambda}{2}\left[g_i^2\rho_{ij}(t) + \rho_{ij}(t)g_j^2 - 2g_i\rho_{ij}(t)g_j\right] \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{2}\left[g_i^2 + g_j^2 - 2g_i g_j\right]\rho_{ij}(t) \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{2}(g_i - g_j)^2\rho_{ij}(t)\end{aligned}$$

4 State Exchange

Two orthonormal vectors such that

$$\psi(t) = a(t)\phi_1 + b(t)\phi_2$$

In time dt with probability λdt , $\psi(t)$ undergoes

$$\psi(t) \rightarrow a(t)\phi_2 + b(t)\phi_1$$

1. Showing that the state operator satisfies the master equation in the canonical pauli x basis. To begin with (from Unitary Jumpy question) we have

$$\rho(t + dt) = (1 - \lambda dt)\rho(t) + \lambda dt \sigma_x \rho(t) \sigma_x$$

So the derivative of this is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\rho(t) &= -\lambda\left[\rho(t) - \sigma_x \rho(t) \sigma_x\right] \\ &= -\lambda\rho(t) + \lambda\sigma_x \rho(t) \sigma_x\end{aligned}$$

However, this implies that $L = \sqrt{2\lambda}\sigma_x$, so for $L = \sqrt{\lambda}\sigma_x$ the differential equation would be

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho(t) = -\frac{\lambda}{2}\left[\rho(t) - \sigma_x \rho(t) \sigma_x\right]$$

Which satisfies the master equation as the master equation expanded gives

$$\sqrt{\lambda}\sigma_x \rho(t) (\sqrt{\lambda}\sigma_x)^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{\lambda}\sigma_x (\sqrt{\lambda}\sigma_x)^\dagger \rho(t) + \rho(t) \sqrt{\lambda}\sigma_x (\sqrt{\lambda}\sigma_x)^\dagger)$$

And σ_x is in the basis $\phi_{1,2}$ such that it is in the same form as the previous lindblad equations.

2. The Pauli matrices have eigenvalues of $+1$ and -1 which implies for that opposite diagonals have different signs.

5 The Lindblad Equation

1. Showing that the Lindblad equation is trace preserving.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\text{Tr}\rho(t) &= -i\text{Tr}([H, \rho(t)]) + \sum_i \left[\text{Tr}(L_i \rho(t) L_i^\dagger) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\{L_i^\dagger L_i, \rho(t)\}) \right] \\ &= -i\text{Tr}([H, \rho(t)]) + \sum_i \left[\text{Tr}(L_i \rho(t) L_i^\dagger) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(L_i^\dagger L_i \rho(t)) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\rho(t) L_i^\dagger L_i) \right]\end{aligned}$$

Using the cyclic property of traces where

$$\text{Tr}(ABC) = \text{Tr}(ACB) = \text{Tr}(BAC)$$

The second part of the equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\dots + \sum_i \left[\text{Tr}(ABC) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(ACB) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(BAC) \right] \\ \Rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

And because $H = 0$

$$-i\text{Tr}([H, \rho(t)]) = -i\text{Tr}(H, \rho(t), \rho(t)H) = -i\text{Tr}(0) = 0$$

And we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\text{Tr}\rho(t) = 0$$

2. Show that the state operator is valid at all times.

$\rho(t)$ is hermitian (self adjoint) due to

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(t)^\dagger &= \left(\sum_i M_i(t) \rho_0 M_i^\dagger(t) \right)^\dagger \\ &= \sum_i M_i \rho_0^\dagger M_i^\dagger = \rho(t)\end{aligned}$$

For a longer (in my opinion more rigorous method) prove the hermicity from the full Lindblad equation

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(t+dt)^\dagger &= \rho(t)^\dagger + dt \left(\frac{d}{dt} \rho(t) \right) \\ &= \rho(t) + dt \left[-i[H, \rho(t)] + \sum_i \left[L_i \rho(t) L_i^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}\{L_i^\dagger L_i, \rho(t)\} \right] \right]^\dagger \\ &= \rho(t) + dt \left[-i[\rho(t), H] + \sum_i \left[(L_i \rho(t) L_i^\dagger)^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}(L_i^\dagger L_i \rho(t))^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}(\rho(t) L_i^\dagger L_i)^\dagger \right] \right]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \rho(t) + dt \left[-i[H, \rho(t)] + \sum_i \left[L_i \rho(t) L_i^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{L_i^\dagger L_i, \rho(t)\} \right] \right]^\dagger \\
&= \rho(t + dt)
\end{aligned}$$

For trace of 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr} \rho(t) &= \text{Tr} \left(\sum_i M_i \rho_0 M_i^\dagger \right) \\
&= \text{Tr} \left(\rho_0 \sum_i M_i M_i^\dagger \right) = \text{Tr}(\rho_0) = 1
\end{aligned}$$

For semi-positive definite

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(t) &= \sum_i M_i(t) \rho_0 M_i^\dagger(t) \\
&= \sum_i \rho_0 \langle \psi | M_i \rangle \langle M_i | \psi \rangle \\
&= \sum_i \rho_0 |\langle \psi | M_i \rangle|^2 \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

For an arbitrary vector $|\psi\rangle$ in the state space, using the fact that $\text{Tr}(\rho_0) = 1$