

# FYMM/MMP IIIb      Solutions to Exam Homework Dec 15 2020

Jake Muff Student number: 015361763

## Exercise 1

1.  $M_1 = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ .

For this  $S^1$  is a retract of  $M_1$ . A topological group is simply connected if and only if  $X$  is path connected and the fundamental group is trivial,  $\pi_1(X) = \{e\}$

For  $M_1$  we are on a 2D space.  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is contractible and its fundamental group is  $\{e\}$ , i.e. trivial. But for  $M_1$  we have  $\mathbb{R}^2$  minus a point at  $(0, 0)$ . The  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  deformation retracts on  $S^1$  via the homotopy  $H : (\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . As a result

$$\Pi_1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}) = \Pi_1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}$$

2.  $M_2 = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)\}$

If we consider some standard coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  i.e.  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and that  $(0, 0) \in \{x_1 > 0\}$  and  $(1, 0) \in \{x_1 < 0\}$ . And we have a variable  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$H = \{(x_1, \dots, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x_1| < \varepsilon\}$$

Which is disjoint from the points. Consider the open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)\}$

$$A = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 > -\varepsilon\} \setminus \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)\}$$

$$B = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 < \varepsilon\} \setminus \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)\}$$

Clearly the union of these two subsets is  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ . If we denote the points in  $A$  and  $B$  as  $k_A, k_B$  since none of the points is contained in  $A \cap B$  so  $k_A + k_B = k$ . We can now use Van Kampen's theorem for fundamental groups to say that

$$\Pi_1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)\}) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$$

3.  $M_3 = S^2$ . On a sphere we can continuously deform a loop around it so

$$\Pi_1(S^2) = \{e\}$$

4.  $M_4 = S^2 \setminus \{(1, 0, 0)\}$ . This is a sphere with a point removed at the equator. A sphere and a point are simply connected. Removing a point doesn't change the fact that you can go around the hole as there are two directions for the band to move across so it can be made into a single point thus

$$\Pi_1(S^2 \setminus \{(1, 0, 0)\}) = \{e\}$$

5.  $M_5 = S^2 \setminus \{(0, 0, -1), (0, 0, 1)\}$

$$\Pi_1(M_5) = \mathbb{Z}$$

This manifold is simply connected.

## Exercise 2

Assuming  $f$  is a smooth function. A tensor of type (0,1) is a vector field. Applying  $\nabla_X$  to a tensor yields a tensor of the same type. The exterior derivative is a map  $\Omega^r(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{r+1}(M)$  and has the property that  $d^2 = 0 \rightarrow d_{r+1}d_r = 0$

$$T = \nabla_X(df) \rightarrow (1,1)q = 1, r = 1$$

$$U = d(\nabla_X f) \rightarrow (1,1)q = 1, r = 1$$

$$V = d(L_X(df)) = L_X(d^2 f) = L_X 0 = 0 \rightarrow (0,0), q = 0, r = 0$$

$$W = L_X(d(df)) = L_X(0f) = 0 \rightarrow (0,0), q = 0, r = 0$$

## Exercise 3

1.  $X$  is a smooth vector field on  $M$  because we can assign a vector  $v(p)$  to every point on the manifold i.e  $X$  is a linear map

$$X : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

However, by adding the (removal of) North and South pole points to the manifold, we cannot have a smooth vector field as we no longer have continuous derivatives i.e it is not differentiable everywhere now.  $M$  is of course a smooth manifold.

2. To compute the flow generated by the vector field we need to solve an ODE in coordinates. So, suppose we have some points  $p = (\theta\sigma, \phi\sigma)$  and we want the integral curve  $y$  with  $y(\sigma) = p$ , i.e  $y(t) = (\theta(t), \phi(t))$ . The condition that  $y$  be an integral curve gives us

$$y'(t) = X_{y(t)}$$

Therefore

$$\theta'(t) = 0$$

And

$$\phi'(t) = \frac{1}{\sin(\theta(t))}$$

Now  $\theta$  must be constant  $\theta\sigma$  so

$$\phi'(t) = \frac{1}{\sin(\theta\sigma)}$$

is constant. And

$$\phi(t) = \frac{t}{\sin(\theta\sigma) + \phi\sigma}$$

This means that the integral curve at  $p = (\theta\sigma, \phi\sigma)$  is

$$y(t) = (\theta\sigma, \frac{t}{\sin(\theta\sigma)} + \phi\sigma)$$

The flow is then

$$F(p, t) = (\theta, \frac{t}{\sin(\theta)} + \phi)$$

I believe this is the maximal flow if  $y(t, p) = \phi_p(t)$  for  $(t, p)$  in an open subset of  $\mathbb{R} \times M$ , which we have. The vector field is well defined for  $\sin(\theta\sigma) = \sigma$ , therefore the maximal flow is not complete.

## Exercise 4

1.

$$M = \{(u, v) | uv < 1\}$$

$$ds^2 = -\frac{1}{1-uv}^2 (du \otimes dv + dv \otimes du)$$

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold has a pseudo-Riemannian metric which is a metric which is symmetric and non-degenerate. As we can see this is a manifold with signature 0 and therefore is pseudo-riemannian. I think it may actually be Lorentzian as it is 2 dimensional.

2. The Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  associated with the metric has the property that  $\nabla_g g = 0$  and the non-zero Christoffel symbols are

$$\Gamma_{uu}^u = -\frac{v}{1-uv}$$

$$\Gamma_{uv}^u = -\frac{u}{1-uv}$$

$$\Gamma_{vv}^u = \frac{v}{1-uv}$$

$$\Gamma_{uu}^v = \frac{u}{1-uv}$$

$$\Gamma_{uv}^v = -\frac{v}{1-uv}$$

$$\Gamma_{vv}^v = -\frac{u}{1-uv}$$

I have skipped those that can be deduced by symmetry.

3. Which of the following curves are geodesics

$$c(t) = (0, t), c(t) = (t, -t), c(t) = (e^t, -e^{-t})$$

For this I calculated the associated tangent vector fields for each curve w.r.t the manifold and checked to see if

$$\nabla_V V = 0$$

$$\frac{d\nu^i}{dt} + \Gamma_{jk}^i \nu^j \nu^k = 0$$

The curve is a geodesic if  $\gamma(t) = 0$ .

$$c(t) = (0, t) \Rightarrow \gamma'_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$$

$$c(t) = (t, -t) \Rightarrow \gamma'_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$$

$$c(t) = (e^t, -e^{-t}) \Rightarrow \gamma'_3 = e^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + e^{-t} \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$$

So we have

$$\gamma_1(t) = -t \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$$

$$\gamma_2(t) = \left(-\frac{2t}{t^2 + 1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \left(\frac{2t}{t^2 + 1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$$

$$\gamma_3(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2}e^{-3t} + \frac{3}{2}e^t\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \left(-\frac{1}{2}e^{3t} - \frac{3}{2}e^{-t}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$$

From my calculations, none of these are geodesics. For this question I heavily used Mathematica referencing the Problem Set 5 solutions to calculate the coefficients and various derivatives.

## Exercise 5

Describe the classifications of all irreducible unitary finite-dimensional representations of the simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  (or  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ ) of the simple connected compact simple Lie group  $SU(3)$  or  $SU(2)$ . I am not entirely sure what the classifications are, however, I will attempt to answer as best I can, after reading appendix A.4 in the lecture notes. I am not sure but I believe the theorem of the highest weight plays a substantial part, however this may be for semi-simple Lie groups.

To describe the classifications of all the irreducible representations we first need the Cartan matrix. As the defining representation of  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  consists of 3x3 trace 0 unitary

matrices we can easily find the cartan matrix. The basis of generators  $T^a$  is normalized such that  $Tr(T^a T^b) = \frac{1}{2}\delta^{ab}$  for  $a = 1 \dots 8$ . The Cartan generators  $H_m$  are easily found

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3$$

Where  $\lambda_a$  are the Gell-Mann matrices.

$$H_2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8$$

In the defining representation we have basis vectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

To find the weights  $\mu$  we find the eigenvalues of the Cartan generators in the basis of the defining representation, giving

$$\mu^1 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\right)$$

$$\mu^2 = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\right)$$

$$\mu^3 = \left(0, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$$

The raising and lowering operators of SU(3)

$$E_\alpha^1 = i(\lambda_1 + i\lambda_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_\alpha^2 = i(\lambda_4 + i\lambda_5) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_\alpha^3 = i(\lambda_6 + i\lambda_7) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_{-\alpha}^1 = i(\lambda_1 - i\lambda_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_{-\alpha}^2 = i(\lambda_4 - i\lambda_5) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_{-\alpha}^3 = i(\lambda_6 - i\lambda_7) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

To find the roots (denoted  $\alpha$ ) of SU(3) we find the weight states of the adjoint representation

$$[H_1, E_\alpha^1] = E_\alpha^1$$

$$[H_2, E_\alpha^1] = 0$$

Therefore the roots for  $\alpha_+^1 = (1, 0)$  and  $\alpha_-^1 = (-1, 0)$ . For the second generator

$$[H_1, E_\alpha^2] = \frac{1}{2}E_\alpha^2$$

$$[H_2, E_\alpha^2] = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}E_\alpha^2$$

Therefore the roots are  $\pm\alpha^2 = \pm(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$  and  $\pm\alpha^3 = \pm(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ . From these we can find the simple roots (denoted  $\vec{\alpha}$ )

$$\vec{\alpha}^1 = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$$

$$\vec{\alpha}^2 = (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$$

The fundamental weights (denoted  $\vec{q}$ ) are found from

$$2\frac{\alpha^i \vec{q}^j}{|\alpha|^2} = \delta^{ij}$$

On SU(3) these represent the 3 and  $\bar{3}$  irreducible representations so we have

$$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}), (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}})$$

From these the cartan matrix can be found

$$2\vec{\alpha}_1 \vec{\alpha}_1 = -2$$

$$2\vec{\alpha}_1 \vec{\alpha}_2 = -1$$

$$2\vec{\alpha}_2 \vec{\alpha}_2 = -2$$

The cartan matrix is then

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can build another unitary representation from this by choosing another  $H_m$  and  $E_{\pm\alpha}$  such that

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & - & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_{\alpha_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, E_{\alpha_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, E_{\alpha_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

With weights

$$\mu^1 = (\frac{1}{2}, 0), \mu^2 = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), \mu^3 = (0, -\frac{1}{2})$$

And roots

$$\alpha_1 = (1, -\frac{1}{2}), \alpha_2 = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), \alpha_3 = (-\frac{1}{2}, 1)$$

The simple roots are

$$\alpha^{12} = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = (\frac{1}{2}, 1), \alpha^{23} = \alpha_2 - (-\alpha_3) = (0, -\frac{3}{2})$$

The fundamental highest weights  $q^j$  are given by

$$q^1 = (\frac{1}{2}, 0), q^2 = (0, -\frac{1}{2})$$

In terms of the classification theorem (Appendix A.4) I believe these are represented by the  $A_n$  and this corresponds to the same Dynkin diagram given in the notes.