

FYMM/MMP IIb 2020 Solutions to Problem Set 4

Jake Muff

1. Compact manifold S^1 on the chart U_1 , this has coordinate presentation

$$(x, y) = (\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta))$$

Where

$$U_1 = \{e^{i\theta} | \theta \in I_i\}$$

$$I_1 = (-\pi, \pi)$$

$$I_2 = (0, 2\pi)$$

Like in the lecture notes we need to partition the unity, so for $x = \cos(\theta)$, $\theta = \cos^{-1}(x)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cos^{-1}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \end{aligned}$$

This comes from the trig identity $\sin^2 + \cos^2 = 1$ which gives

$$\cos(\theta) = \pm \sqrt{1 - \sin^2(\theta)}$$

$$x = \pm \sqrt{1 - y^2} \rightarrow (\sqrt{1 - y^2}, y)$$

And

$$\sin(\theta) = \pm \sqrt{1 - \cos^2(\theta)}$$

$$y = \pm \sqrt{1 - x^2} \rightarrow (x, \pm \sqrt{1 - x^2})$$

So for $y = \sin(\theta) \rightarrow \theta = \sin^{-1}(y)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \sin^{-1}(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{aligned}$$

For $X_p = \sin^2(\theta) \partial_\theta$

$$\begin{aligned} X_p &= \sin^2(\sin^{-1}(y)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ &= \frac{y^2}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{aligned}$$

For the missing point we take the right and left limits s.t $p = e^{i\theta} \rightarrow p(-\pi) = p(\pi) = (-1, 0)$

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} X_p = 0$$

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^-} X_p = 0$$

In order for X_p to be continuous $X_p(\pi)$ has to be equal to 0. So there is only 1 unique choice for X_p which makes it into a smooth vector field.

For Y we have the limits as $\theta \rightarrow \pi$ or $\theta \rightarrow -\pi$

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \pi} \theta \partial_\theta = \pi \partial_\theta$$

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow -\pi} \theta \partial_\theta = -\pi \partial_\theta$$

For both of these they correspond to the same point as $\cos(\pi), \sin(\pi) = \cos(-\pi), \sin(-\pi)$. For the vector field to be smooth on S^1 we need to have

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial \theta}|_{\theta=\pi} \neq 0$$

Where a is a smooth function. So we have

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \pi} Y_p a = \pi \partial_\theta a$$

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow -\pi} Y_p a = -\pi \partial_\theta a$$

These two are not equal to each other so there is no value of Y_p for which $\theta = \pi$, thus Y_p cannot be extended into a smooth vector field on S^1

2. From the lecture notes we have

$$dy^\nu = \frac{\partial y^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} dx^\mu = \partial_\mu y^\nu dx^\mu$$

So

$$dy^1 \wedge dy^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^n = \partial_{\mu_1} y^1 dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \partial_{\mu_2} y^2 dx^{\mu_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial_{\mu_n} y^n dx^{\mu_n}$$

The indicies of wedge products are totally anti-symmetric so

$$\varepsilon^{1\dots n} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge dx^{\mu_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_n} = \varepsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$$

Therefore the equation above simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{1\dots n} dy^1 \wedge dy^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^n &= \varepsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} \partial_{\mu_1} y^1 dx^1 \wedge \partial_{\mu_2} y^2 dx^2 \wedge \dots \wedge \partial_{\mu_n} y^n dx^n \\ &= \varepsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial x^{\mu_1}} dx^1 \wedge \frac{\partial y^2}{\partial x^{\mu_2}} dx^2 \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial y^n}{\partial x^{\mu_n}} dx^n \end{aligned}$$

Now using the definition of $J(\vec{y}, \vec{x})$ down using the determinant equation gives

$$\varepsilon^{1\dots n} dy^1 \wedge dy^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^n = J(\vec{y}, \vec{x}) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$$

Where $\varepsilon^{1\dots n} = 1$

3. Let ω_q be a q - form

$$\omega_q = \frac{1}{q!} \omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_q} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge dx^{\mu_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_q} ,$$

(a) Showing that $\omega_q \wedge \eta_r = (-1)^{qr} \eta_r \wedge \omega_q$. Suppose, for example we have

$$\omega_q = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_q$$

Where $\omega_n = dx^{\mu_n}$ for example. η_r will be an r -form version

$$\eta_r = \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_r$$

Where $\eta_n = dx^{\alpha_n}$ for example. This means that

$$\omega_q \wedge \eta_r = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_q \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_r$$

To now get $\eta_r \wedge \omega_q$ we swap each of the ω 's and η 's by moving each η_n over q times. By doing each swap each time we have a negative sign which totally gives $(-1)^{qr}$, the r part comes from us having r , η 's so that it takes qr swaps. Therefore we have

$$\omega_q \wedge \eta_r = (-1)^{qr} \eta_r \wedge \omega_q$$

(b) $\omega_q \wedge \eta_r = -\omega_q \wedge \eta_r$ implies that $\omega_q \wedge \omega_q = -\omega_q \wedge \omega_q$ meaning that

$$\omega_q \wedge \omega_q = 0$$

4. Following differential forms in \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$\alpha = xdx + ydy + zdz$$

$$\beta = zdx + xdy + ydz$$

$$\gamma = dydz$$

(a) α is closed because we can define a function which has gradient equal to α such that

$$\nabla \times \alpha = 0$$

For α as it is, this is very simple

$$\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)\nabla \times \alpha = 0$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(2xdx + 2ydy + 2zdz) \times \alpha = (xdx + ydy + zdz) \times \alpha = 0$$

For γ at $(1,1,1)$, $\nabla \times \vec{F} = \langle x, -y, 0 \rangle$ where $F_1 = 0, F_2 = 0, F_3 = xy \rightarrow \vec{F} = \langle F_1, F_2, F_3 \rangle$. So γ is not closed as

$$\nabla \times \vec{F} \neq 0$$

N.B To calculate curls and gradients I used an online calculator.

(b) $\alpha \wedge \beta$, so from question 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha \wedge \beta &= (xdx + ydy + zdz) \wedge (zdz + xdy + ydz) \\ &= (x^2 - yz)dx \wedge dy + (y^2 - zx)dy \wedge dz + (z^2 - xy)dz \wedge dx\end{aligned}$$

And for $(\alpha + \gamma) \wedge (\alpha + \gamma)$ this would simply be

$$(\alpha + \gamma) \wedge (\alpha + \gamma) = 0$$

5. $M = \mathbb{R}^3, \omega = \omega_x dx + \omega_y dy + \omega_z dz$

$$\int_S (\nabla \times \vec{\omega}) \cdot d\vec{S} = \oint_C \vec{\omega} \cdot d\vec{s}$$

Where $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z)$. Working from the LHS to the RHS by expanding out the curl on $\vec{\omega}$. As ω is a 2-form it can be written as

$$\omega = \omega_x dx \wedge dy + \omega_y dy \wedge dz + \omega_z dz \wedge dx$$

Such that $d\omega$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}d\omega &= \left(\frac{\partial \omega_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \omega_z}{\partial y} \right) dx \wedge dy \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\partial \omega_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \omega_x}{\partial z} \right) dy \wedge dz \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\partial \omega_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \omega_y}{\partial x} \right) dz \wedge dx\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the curl is

$$\nabla \times \vec{\omega} = \frac{\partial \omega_y}{\partial x} dx \wedge dy - \frac{\partial \omega_x}{\partial y} dy \wedge dx + \frac{\partial \omega_z}{\partial x} dx \wedge dz - \frac{\partial \omega_z}{\partial z} dz \wedge dx + \frac{\partial \omega_x}{\partial y} dy \wedge dz - \frac{\partial \omega_y}{\partial z} dz \wedge dy$$

To compute this is much easier if we have the same wedge products. Rewriting

$$\nabla \times \vec{\omega} = \frac{\partial \omega_y}{\partial x} dx \wedge dy + \frac{\partial \omega_z}{\partial y} dy \wedge dz + \frac{\partial \omega_x}{\partial z} dz \wedge dx$$

So that the LHS integral is

$$\int_S \nabla \times \vec{\omega} \cdot d\vec{S} = \int_S \left(\frac{\partial \omega_y}{\partial x} dx \wedge dy + \frac{\partial \omega_z}{\partial y} dy \wedge dz + \frac{\partial \omega_x}{\partial z} dz \wedge dx \right) \cdot d\vec{S}$$

This can now be put into the form needed on the RHS of eq (3) from PS by noting that

$$A = \omega_y ds$$

Such that

$$dA = \frac{\partial \omega_y}{\partial x} dx \wedge dy + \frac{\partial \omega_y}{\partial z} dz \wedge dy$$

So the above integral is

$$\int_S dA \cdot d\vec{s}$$

Which from the lecture notes and assuming that we have a single chart, this is equivalent to the closed curve integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S dA \cdot d\vec{s} &= \oint_C A \cdot d\vec{s} \\ &= \oint_C \omega_y dy \cdot d\vec{s} \\ &= \oint_C \vec{\omega} \cdot d\vec{s} \end{aligned}$$

Second part of this question not answered.