

Open Quantum Systems: Exercise session 7

Paolo Muratore-Ginanneschi and Brecht Donvil

November 27, 2020

Intro: a qubit interacting with a bath

In this exercise session we will derive the Lindblad equation for a concrete example. We put $\hbar = 1$.

We suppose that the bath is described by a free electromagnetic field. The Hamiltonian for the bath is therefore a series ranging over the field modes

$$H_E = \sum_k \omega_k b_k^\dagger b_k \quad (1)$$

The integer k codes all of the information specifying each mode: its frequency, direction, transverse structure and polarization. The annihilation and creation operators for each mode are independent and they obey the Bosonic commutation relations

$$[b_k, b_l^\dagger] = \delta_{kl} \quad (2)$$

The Hamiltonian of the qubit (two-level “atom”) is

$$H_S = \frac{\omega_a}{2} \sigma_z \quad (3)$$

Here ω_a is the energy difference between the ground $|g\rangle$ and excited $|e\rangle$ states, and

$$\sigma_z = |e\rangle\langle e| - |g\rangle\langle g| = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

is the “inversion operator” for the qubit. Recall that $\hat{\sigma}_z$ together with

$$\sigma_x \equiv \sigma_1 = |e\rangle\langle g| + |g\rangle\langle e| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \& \quad \sigma_y \equiv \hat{\sigma}_2 = -i|e\rangle\langle g| + i|g\rangle\langle e| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

are Pauli matrices satisfying

$$\sigma_j \hat{\sigma}_k = \delta_{jk} \mathbb{I} + i \epsilon_{jkl} \sigma_l \quad (6)$$

for \mathbb{I} the identity matrix.

The coupling of the electromagnetic field to a qubit can be described by the so-called dipole-coupling Hamiltonian

$$H_I = \sigma_x B = \sigma_x \sum_k g_k (b_k + b_k^\dagger) \quad (7)$$

The *real* coefficient g_k is proportional to the dipole matrix element for the transition.

The total Hamiltonian operator is therefore

$$\hat{H} = H_E + H_S + H_I \quad (8)$$

Question 1

1. Prove that in the interaction picture

$$\begin{aligned} H_I(t) &= \sigma_x(t)B(t) \\ &= \sum_k g_k \left(\frac{\sigma_x - i\sigma_y}{2} e^{-i\omega_a t} + \frac{\sigma_x + i\sigma_y}{2} e^{i\omega_a t} \right) \left(b_k e^{-i\omega_k t} + b_k^\dagger e^{i\omega_k t} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here $\sigma_x(t)$ and $B(t)$ are the interaction picture representations of σ_x and B :

$$\sigma_x(t) = e^{iH_S t} \sigma_x e^{-iH_S t} \quad (10)$$

$$B(t) = e^{iH_E t} B e^{-iH_E t} \quad (11)$$

2. Show that for $\sigma_+ = \frac{\sigma_x + i\sigma_y}{2}$, $\sigma_- = \frac{\sigma_x - i\sigma_y}{2}$

$$[H_S, \sigma_\pm] = \pm \omega_a \sigma_\pm \quad (12)$$

3. What is the evolution equation for the state operator in the interaction picture?

Question 2

We assume that at time $t = 0$

$$\rho(0) = \rho_S(0) \otimes \rho_B. \quad (13)$$

- a. By integrating and iterating the evolution equation for the interaction picture density matrix $\rho(t)$, show that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho(t) = -i[H_I, \rho(t)] - \int_0^t ds [H_I(t), [H_I(s), \rho(s)]]. \quad (14)$$

- b. Now take the partial trace of the bath degrees of freedom under the assumption that

$$\text{Tr}_B[H_I(t), \rho(0)] = 0. \quad (15)$$

and that the Born approximation holds. This approximation assumes that since the coupling between system and bath is weak, the system will barely affect the environment. Therefore

$$\rho(t) \approx \rho_S(t) \otimes \rho_B. \quad (16)$$

The final result should be

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho_S(t) = - \int_0^t ds \text{Tr}_B[H_I(t), [H_I(s), \rho_S(s) \otimes \rho_B]]. \quad (17)$$

- c. Now we perform the Markov approximation: replace $\rho_S(s)$ by $\rho_S(t)$ in the integral. Additionally, make the a change of variables in the integral $s \rightarrow t - s$. We introduce two time scales: τ_R is the timescale over which the qubit varies due to the interaction with the environment. τ_B is the timescale over which bath correlation functions decay (Note that the integral contains bath correlation functions: $\text{Tr}(\dots \rho_E)$). Assume that $\tau_R \gg \tau_B$, which means that you can let the upper limit of the integral go to infinity.

Question 3

- a. Show that the integral you obtained in Exercise 2 c. can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\rho}_S(t) = & -\{\Gamma(\omega_a) [\sigma_+ \sigma_- \rho_S(t) - \sigma_- \rho_S(t) \sigma_+] + \text{Hermitian conjugate}\} \\ & -\{\Gamma(-\omega_a) [\sigma_- \sigma_+ \rho_S(t) - \sigma_+ \rho_S(t) \sigma_-] + \text{Hermitian conjugate}\}\end{aligned}\quad (18)$$

where

$$\Gamma(\omega) = \int_0^{+\infty} ds e^{i\omega s} \text{Tr}_B(B^\dagger(t)B(t-s)\rho_B) \quad (19)$$

and you perform the secular approximation, which means that terms proportional to $e^{\pm 2\omega_a}$ can be neglected.

- b. Assuming that $[H_E, \rho_B] = 0$, show that

$$\Gamma(\omega) = \int_0^{+\infty} ds e^{i\omega s} \text{Tr}_B(B^\dagger(s)B\rho_B). \quad (20)$$

Write

$$\Gamma(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}\gamma(\omega) + iS(\omega) \quad (21)$$

Where γ and S are real-valued function, and show that

$$\gamma(\omega) = \Gamma(\omega) + \Gamma^*(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds e^{i\omega s} \text{Tr}_B(B^\dagger(s)B\rho_B). \quad (22)$$

- c. Show with (??) that equation (??) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\rho}_S(t) = & -i[S(\omega_a)\sigma_+\sigma_- + S(-\omega_a)\sigma_-\sigma_+, \rho_S(t)] \\ & + \gamma(\omega_a)(\sigma_- \rho_S(t) \sigma_+ - \frac{1}{2}\{\sigma_+ \sigma_-, \rho_S(t)\}) \\ & + \gamma(-\omega_a)(\sigma_+ \rho_S(t) \sigma_- - \frac{1}{2}\{\sigma_- \sigma_+, \rho_S(t)\})\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

- d. Let us now assume that we have a continuous spectrum in the bath:

$$H_E = \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega b^\dagger(\omega)b(\omega) \quad (24)$$

and

$$B = \int_0^\infty d\omega g(\omega)(b^\dagger(\omega) + b(\omega)) \quad (25)$$

Using that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds e^{i\omega s} = 2\pi\delta(\omega) \quad (26)$$

show that

$$\gamma(-\omega_a) = 2\pi g^2(\omega_a) \text{Tr}_B(b^\dagger(\omega_a) \int d\omega g(\omega)(b^\dagger(\omega) + b(\omega))\rho_B) \quad (27)$$

and

$$\gamma(\omega_a) = 2\pi g^2(\omega_a) \text{Tr}_B(b(\omega_a) \int d\omega g(\omega)(b^\dagger(\omega) + b(\omega))\rho_B) \quad (28)$$