Return your solutions by 12.00 Finnish time on Thursday 3.12.2020 to Moodle course page: https://moodle.helsinki.fi/course/view.php?id=30207

## 1. Acceptance-rejection/"Hit-or-miss" method and MC estimate.

- (i) Estimate the volume of a sphere with radius R=1 (arbitrary units) using Monte Carlo (MC) methods without using formulas for the volume, any explicit integration or anything requiring information about the value of  $\pi$ . Describe the method in detail in your answer and add any computer code used. Hint: generate 10000 random space points in a cube allowing the x-, y- and z to vary within the range [-1,1] and then use the acceptance-rejection method on the generated space points.
- (ii) The volume of a sphere is  $4\pi R^3/3$ . Use the result of (i) to estimate the value of  $\pi$ . Estimate also the uncertainty on the  $\pi$  determination.
- 2. Significance of a signal & confidence intervals from likelihood ratio. The number of observed events in a new physics search is n. This number can be treated as a Poisson variable with a mean of s+b, where s is the expected number of events for the signal process (= the new physics phenomenon) and b is the number of expected background events (= known physics). The likelihood function is therefore

$$L(s,b) = (s+b)^n e^{-(s+b)}/n!$$

Suppose that b=2.8 (known exactly !) and we observe n=15 events.

(i) Compute the P-value for the hypothesis that s=0, i.e. there is no new physics. To sum the Poisson probabilities, you can use the relation

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m} P(n; \nu) = 1 - F_{\chi^2}(2\nu; n_{dof}) ,$$

where  $P(n; \nu)$  is the Poisson probability for n given a mean value  $\nu$ , and  $F_{\chi^2}(2\nu; n_{dof})$  is the cumulative  $\chi^2$  distribution for  $n_{dof} = 2(m+1)$  degrees of freedom. Can be computed using mathematical packages or by web-based applets (google for "probability distribution applet"). NB! make sure the tool used calculates the P-value with sufficient precision.

- (ii) Compute the corresponding significance i.e. how many standard deviations of a standard Gaussian does the *P*-value correspond to? Is it a discovery i.e. the significance larger than 5 standard deviations?
- (iii) Assume we have seen signal of a new physics phenomenon, what is the confidence interval for the number of signal events at 68.3% confidence level (one Gaussian standard deviation)? One way of estimating

the confidence interval is using the likelihood ratio <sup>1</sup>:

$$\lambda(\hat{b}; n) = L(s, b_{exact}; n)/L(\hat{s}, b_{exact}; n)$$

where  $L(\hat{s}, b_{exact}; n)$  is likelihood value when b is assumed exactly known and  $\hat{s}$  estimated by maximizing L(s,b) i.e.  $\partial L/db = 0$ , and  $L(s,b_{exact};n)$  likelihood value when b is assumed exactly known and s allowed to vary freely (irrespective of n). The 68.3 % CL interval are the s values satisfying  $-2 \ln \lambda(\hat{b};n) \leq -2 \ln \lambda|_{min} + 1$ . Hint: plot  $-2 \ln \lambda(\hat{b};n)$  vs s.

iv) In reality b is affected by systematic uncertainties. Systematic uncertainties usually don't follow a Gaussian distribution. However, a uniform probability distribution at e.g. 95 % confidence level can often be defined for them. Assume b to be uniformly distributed in the range  $[b-\sigma_b, b+\sigma_b]$ , where  $\sigma_b=0.5$ . Calculate now the confidence interval for the number of signal events at 68.3 % CL. The likelihood function stays the same but now the new b is uniformly distributed variable in the range defined above. Find s values satisfying  $\lambda(\hat{b};n) \leq \langle -2ln\lambda|_{min,\sigma_b} \rangle + 1$ . NB! remember to avoid "undercoverage".

Hint: Test whether a certain s satisfies the condition by making sure that at least 68.3 % of the allowed b-values satisfies the condition. Simplest done by making pseudoexperiments for the new b (for example drawn 10000 b-values from a uniform probability density function in the defined range) and see whether sufficient fraction satisfy condition. Any change of the confidence interval? Exercise gives max 12 points.

## THAT'S ALL EXERCISES FOR THIS COURSE, FOLKS!!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>e.g. W.A. Rolke, A.M. Lopez and J. Conrad: Limits and Confidence Intervals in the Presence of Nuisance Parameters, *Nucl. Instr. & Meth.*, **A 511** (2005) 493-503; arXiv:physics/0403059.