

# Quantum Information A Fall 2020

## Solutions to Problem Set 3

Jake Muff

1. What is the Polar Decomposition of a positive matrix P? Of a Unitary Matrix U? Of a Hermitian Matrix H?

- (a) A positive matrix, P, is diagonalizable, meaning

$$P = \sum_i \lambda_i |i\rangle\langle i|$$

Where  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ . A matrix J can be viewed as

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \sqrt{P^\dagger P} = \sqrt{PP} = \sqrt{P^2} \\ &= \sum_i \sqrt{\lambda_i^2} |i\rangle\langle i| = \sum_i \lambda_i |i\rangle\langle i| = P \end{aligned}$$

So the polar decomposition is therefore

$$P = UP; \forall P$$

Since U is a unitary matrix i.e  $U^\dagger U = I$  and  $U = I$  then  $P = P$

- (b) For a unitary matrix U

Lets say that U is decomposed such that  $U = KJ$  where K is unitary and J is a positive operator such that  $J = \sqrt{U^\dagger U} = \sqrt{I} = I$ .

Unitary matrices/operators are invertible such that  $K = UJ^{-1} = UI^{-1} = UI = U$ .

The polar decomposition of U is then  $U = U$

- (c) For a Hermitian matrix H such that  $H = UJ$  where  $J = \sqrt{H^\dagger H} = \sqrt{HH} = \sqrt{H^2}$ , which can be re-written as

$$H = U\sqrt{H^2}$$

From spectral decomposition we know that  $H \neq \sqrt{H^2}$ , the proof is as follows

$$H = \sum_i \lambda_i |i\rangle\langle i|$$

Where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  :

$$\sqrt{H^2} = \sqrt{\sum_i \lambda_i^2 |i\rangle\langle i|} = \sum_i \sqrt{\lambda_i^2} |i\rangle\langle i| = \sum_i |\lambda_i| |i\rangle\langle i| \neq H$$

2. Find the left and right Polar Decompositions of the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^\dagger A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The left polar decomposition is

$$A = UJ$$

And the right polar decomposition

$$A = KU$$

Where  $J$  and  $K$  are positive operators.

To find the matrices for  $K$  and  $J$  we use spectral decomposition and notice that  $U = AJ^{-1}$

Lets find the eigenvalues for  $A^\dagger A$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A^\dagger A - \lambda I) &= \begin{vmatrix} 2 - \lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0 \\ &= (2 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda) - 1 \\ &= 2 - 2\lambda - \lambda + \lambda^2 - 1 \\ &= 1 - 3\lambda + \lambda^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{3 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

With associated eigenvectors

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_+\rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ |\lambda_-\rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Now the spectral decomposition of  $A^\dagger A$  is

$$A^\dagger A = \lambda_+ |\lambda_+\rangle \langle \lambda_+| + \lambda_- |\lambda_-\rangle \langle \lambda_-|$$

So when  $J = \sqrt{A^\dagger A}$  the spectral decomposition is

$$\sqrt{A^\dagger A} = \sqrt{\lambda_+} |\lambda_+\rangle \langle \lambda_+| + \sqrt{\lambda_-} |\lambda_-\rangle \langle \lambda_-|$$

Which works out to be

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 5.854 & 1.618 \\ 1.618 & 2.236 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now  $U = AJ^{-1}$ , where

$$J^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.214 & -0.155 \\ -0.155 & 0.559 \end{pmatrix}$$

So that

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 0.214 & -0.155 \\ 0.059 & 0.405 \end{pmatrix}$$

$K$  is calculated from the spectral decomposition of  $\sqrt{AA^\dagger}$  such that

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 4.227 & 1.618 \\ 3.611 & 3.851 \end{pmatrix}$$

The left polar decomposition is then

$$A = UJ = \begin{pmatrix} 0.214 & -0.155 \\ 0.059 & 0.405 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 5.854 & 1.618 \\ 1.618 & 2.236 \end{pmatrix}$$

And the right polar decomposition is

$$A = KU = \begin{pmatrix} 4.227 & 1.618 \\ 3.611 & 3.851 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.214 & -0.155 \\ 0.059 & 0.405 \end{pmatrix}$$

These values may be a little off as the calculator had some rounding error when it came to the surds and couldn't represent some decimals as fractions or surds. To keep things consistent I kept to 3 decimal places.

3. Show that  $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$  has eigenvalues  $\pm 1$  and that the projectors onto the corresponding eigenspaces are given by  $P_{\pm} = \frac{I \pm \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{2}$

This question is similar to exercise 2.35 from the book.

We know  $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$  is hermitian and that  $(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^2 = I$ . So we can say

$$(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^2 |\lambda\rangle = I |\lambda\rangle = \lambda |\lambda\rangle$$

Where  $|\lambda\rangle$  is the eigenvector with  $\lambda$  eigenvalue. Therefore we have

$$\lambda^2 |\lambda\rangle = |\lambda\rangle$$

Thus  $\lambda^2 = 1$ ;  $\lambda = \pm 1$  Or using the determinant.

$$\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma} = \sum_i^3 v_i \sigma_i$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} v_3 & v_1 - iv_2 \\ v_1 + iv_2 & -v_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Computing the determinant

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \begin{pmatrix} v_3 - \lambda & v_1 - iv_2 \\ v_1 + iv_2 & -v_3 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &= \lambda^2 - 1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $|\vec{v}| = 1$ .

So  $\lambda = \pm 1$ .

Now we know from eq 2.35 in the book that the projector is equal to  $P = \sum_i |i\rangle\langle i|$ . To answer the second part of the question we can show that the outer products of the eigenvectors will be what we're looking for. However, I will also provide a proof the projector is related to this outer product, thus tying them together to show that  $P_{\pm} = \frac{I \pm \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{2}$ .

For  $\lambda = 1$  the outer product is

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_1\rangle\langle\lambda_1| &= \frac{1+v_3}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ \frac{1-v_3}{v_1-iv_2} & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1-v_3}{v_1-iv_2} \\ & \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( I + \begin{pmatrix} v_3 & v_1 - iv_2 \\ v_1 + iv_2 & -v_3 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \frac{I + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

For  $\lambda = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{-1}\rangle\langle\lambda_{-1}| &= \frac{1-v_3}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ -\frac{1+v_3}{v_1-iv_2} & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1+v_3}{v_1-iv_2} \\ & \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( I - \begin{pmatrix} v_3 & v_1 - iv_2 \\ v_1 + iv_2 & -v_3 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \frac{I - \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to prove that

$$P_{\pm} = |\lambda_{\pm 1}\rangle\langle\lambda_{\pm 1}|$$

And thus

$$P_{\pm} = \frac{I \pm \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{2}$$

Suppose that  $|\phi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$  such that

$$\langle\phi|(P_{\pm} - |\lambda_{\pm 1}\rangle\langle\lambda_{\pm 1}|)|\phi\rangle = 0$$

As  $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$  is hermitian (proven previously) thus the eigenvectors are orthonormal,  $|\phi\rangle$  can be written as a linear combination

$$|\phi\rangle = A|\lambda_{\pm 1}\rangle + B|\lambda_{\pm 1}\rangle$$

Where  $|A|^2 + |B|^2 = 1$  and they're both in  $\mathbb{C}$  (Seen this before) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle\phi|(P_{\pm} - |\lambda_{\pm}\rangle\langle\lambda_{\pm}|)|\phi\rangle \\ &= \langle\phi|P_{\pm}|\phi\rangle - \langle\phi|\lambda_{\pm}\rangle\langle\lambda_{\pm}|\phi\rangle \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\phi|P_{\pm}|\phi\rangle &= \langle\phi|\frac{1}{2}(I \pm \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma})|\phi\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}\langle\phi|\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma}|\phi\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}(|A|^2 - |B|^2) = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}(2|A|^2 - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Where substituting the previous values  $\langle\phi|\lambda_1\rangle\langle\lambda_1|\phi\rangle = |A|^2$  and  $\langle\phi|\lambda_{-1}\rangle\langle\lambda_{-1}|\phi\rangle = |B|^2$

So we have that

$$\langle\phi|P_{\pm} - |\lambda_{\pm 1}\rangle\langle\lambda_{\pm 1}||\phi\rangle = 0$$

And

$$P_{\pm} = |\lambda_{\pm 1}\rangle\langle\lambda_{\pm 1}|.$$

So that the projector is equal to the outer product of the eigenvectors.

4. Calculate the probability of obtaining the result +1 for a measurement of  $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ , given that the state prior to measurement is  $|0\rangle$ . What is the state of the system after measurement if +1 is obtained?

Probability of +1 state after measurement

$$P(+1||0\rangle)$$

+1 state corresponds to  $\lambda_1$  therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\langle\lambda_1|0\rangle) &= \langle\lambda_1|0\rangle\langle 0|\lambda_1\rangle \\ &= \langle 0|\lambda_1\rangle\langle\lambda_1|0\rangle \\ &= \langle 0|\frac{1}{2}(I + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\sigma})|0\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + v_3) \end{aligned}$$

Given that outcome  $m$  occurred, the state after measurement is

$$\frac{P_m|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{P(m)}}$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\lambda_1\rangle\langle\lambda_1|0\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle 0|\lambda_1\rangle\langle\lambda_1|0\rangle}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(1+v_3)}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1+v_3 \\ v_1+iv_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1+v_3}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1-v_3}{v_1-iv_2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= |\lambda_1\rangle \end{aligned}$$

From the previous exercise.

5. If you have an orthonormal basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of a vector space  $V$  chosen so that the first  $1 \leq k < n$  vectors are a basis of a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $W$ , a projection operator  $P$  that projects to  $W$  is simply

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^k e_i e_i^\dagger.$$

(In ket notation with  $e_i = |i\rangle$ ,  $P = \sum_{i=1}^k |i\rangle\langle i|$ .) What if you have a basis which is not even orthogonal? Consider the vectors

$$u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} ; u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

spanning a two-dimensional subspace  $W$  (the  $xy$ -plane) of  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . Note that  $u_1, u_2$  are not orthogonal. In this case one can construct a projection operator  $P$  which projects to  $W$  as follows. Construct a  $3 \times 2$  matrix

$$A = [u_1 u_2],$$

the notation means that the two vectors  $u_1, u_2$  are the columns of  $A$ . Then

$$P = A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T$$

is a projection operator to  $W$ . Verify this: show that in general the above  $P^2 = P$ , and by using the given  $u_1, u_2$  calculate the matrix  $P$  explicitly and verify that it projects to  $W$  by showing that the vector  $Pv$  with an arbitrary

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} v_x \\ v_y \\ v_z \end{pmatrix}$$

is in  $W$ . Next, show that the matrix  $G \equiv A^T A$  is in fact a Gram matrix with  $G_{ij} = u_i \cdot u_j$ .

**Answer:**

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P = A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T$$

In general (for any  $P$ ),  $P$  satisfies

$$P^2 = P$$

Because

$$P = \sum_i |i\rangle\langle i|$$

$$P^2 = \left(\sum_i |i\rangle\langle i|\right) \left(\sum_j |j\rangle\langle j|\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} |i\rangle\langle i||j\rangle\langle j|$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} |i\rangle\langle j|\delta_{i,j}$$

$$= \sum_i |i\rangle\langle i| = P$$

Calculating the matrix  $P$  explicitly

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Vector  $Pv$  with

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} v_x \\ v_y \\ v_z \end{pmatrix}$$

Such that

$$Pv = \begin{pmatrix} v_x \\ v_y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$v_2$  spans the 2D subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  so  $Pv$  is in  $W$

$$G = A^T A$$

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ G_{ij} &= u_i \cdot u_j \\ G_{ij} &= u_i^\dagger u_j \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

With inner product  $\langle u_i, u_j \rangle$  so that  $G_{12} = 1$  verifies it.

6. Suppose Bob is given a quantum state chosen from a set  $|\psi_1\rangle \dots |\psi_m\rangle$  of linearly independent states. Construct a POVM  $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{m+1}\}$  such that if outcome  $E_i$  occurs,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , then Bob knows with certainty that he was given the state  $|\psi_i\rangle$ . The POVM must be such that  $\langle \psi_i | E_i | \psi_i \rangle > 0$  for each  $i$ .

To construct the POVM we want that  $\langle \psi_i | E_j | \psi_i \rangle = 0$  for every  $i \neq j$  and we have a condition that  $\langle \psi_i | E_i | \psi_i \rangle > 0$ . We're looking to find  $\langle u_j | \phi_i \rangle = 0$   $i \neq j$  for such  $E_j = a|u_j\rangle\langle u_j|$ , which as we know can be rewritten in the form of a projector as  $E_j = aP_j$  where  $P_j$  is the projector onto an orthogonal complement  $U_j$  of the set of linearly independent states. For all  $j$  from  $j = 1, \dots, m$  we know that the sum  $\sum_j E_j = I$ , which is called the completeness condition. Pulling these two facts together we can construct  $E_{m+1}$

$$E_{m+1} = I - a \sum_{j=1}^m P_j = I - a \sum_{j=1}^m |j\rangle\langle j| = I - \sum_{j=1}^m E_j$$



As the states are linearly independent the trace must be greater than 0,  $Tr(P_j\psi_j) > 0$  and that  $E_{m+1}$  is positive.

Our POVM elements are then

$$E_j = aP_j = a|j\rangle\langle j| = \frac{1}{m}P_j$$

$$E_{m+1} = I - a \sum_{j=1}^m |j\rangle\langle j| = I - \sum_{j=1}^m E_j$$

If we take  $a = 1/m$  we can prove that this satisfies the condition that  $\langle\psi_i|E_i|\psi_i\rangle > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\psi_i|E_{m+1}|\psi_i\rangle &= \langle\psi_i|(I - a \sum_{j=1}^m |u_j\rangle\langle u_j|)|\psi_i\rangle \\ &= \langle\psi_i|\psi_i\rangle - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \langle\psi_i|u_j\rangle\langle u_j|\psi_i\rangle \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m 1 \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{m}(m \times 1) = 0\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $E_{m+1} \geq 0$