1. **Fisher discriminant for event classification.** In a physics analysis, the measured events have to be classify into two different classes, class a and b. Each event is described by two variables x_1 and x_2 . Events from these two classes can be classified with a Fisher discriminant. This method provides weighting factors c_1 and c_2 , so that a test statistic x_{new} built as a linear sum of the weighting factors and the variable values, i.e. $x_{new} = c_1 * x_1 + c_2 * x_2$, allows best separation between the two classes. The weighting factors can be defined as a vector $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_2)$:

$$\mathbf{c} \propto (\mathbf{V_a} + \mathbf{V_b})^{-1} (\mu_a - \mu_b)$$

where V_a and V_b are the 2 × 2 covariance matrices for class a and b and μ_a and μ_b are the 2 × 1 expectation value vectors for class a and b. To build the new variable, you can use two training data samples, train_sample20_class_a.txt and train_sample20_class_b.txt that contain the x_1 (1. column) and x_2 (2. column) values of events only from class a and only from class b, respectively. The data sample files can be found in the moodle course area with the exercise paper.

- (i) Draw the data points from the two training samples as different coloured dots in the x_1x_2 -plane (x_1 first variable, x_2 second variable).
- (ii) Calculate the vector \mathbf{c} . Use the mean values and (co)variances of the training data as estimates for the expectation values and covariances. Plot the x_{new} value for the events of class a and b in a histogram.
- (iii) What is the requirement on x_{new} to have a 96 % rejection of events from class b? What is the corresponding acceptance for class a events? Plot the data points of the two test samples in the x_1x_2 -plane using different colours. Add the x_{new} requirement giving 96 % rejection of class b into the same plot. Exercise gives max 9 points instead of usual 6.
- 2. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. During the occurrance of the 1987 Supernova (SN1987A), interactions from cosmic neutrinos were seen in two large underground experiments: IMB in USA and Kamiokande (KAM) in Japan. Most of the cosmic neutrino events are expected to produce recoil particles with an angular distribution:

$$dN/d\cos\theta \propto 1 + \gamma\cos\theta$$
,

where $\gamma \approx 0.1$, w.r.t. direction towards the source, here assumed to be SN1987A. The recoil angles θ of the 8 IMB events were 80, 44, 56, 65, 33, 52, 42 and 104 degree (= "data1") and of the 12 KAM events 18,

- 40, 108, 70, 135, 68, 32, 30, 38, 122, 49 and 91 degree (="data2"). The uncertainty in the θ determination can be neglected.
- (i) Test using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test whether the two results are compatible (i.e. they could orignate from the same distribution). Calculate first the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance (KS-distance) for data1 vs. data2. The KS-distance is given by maximum difference

$$diff_{max} = max|F_{data1}(x) - F_{data2}(x)|,$$

where $F_{\text{data1}}(x)$ and $F_{\text{data2}}(x)$ are the values of the normalized cumulative distribution function for data1 and data2 at a specific value of x.

(ii) What is the corresponding P-value, P_{KS} Hint: use e.g.

http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/4369-kolmogorov-distributionfunctions to calculate P_{KS} . The P-value is then estimated as:

$$P_{KS} = 1 - F_{K}(\text{diff}_{max}\sqrt{\frac{N_{\text{event,data1}} \cdot N_{\text{event,data2}}}{N_{\text{event,data1}} + N_{\text{event,data2}}}}),$$

where $F_{\rm K}(x)$ is the cumulative distribution function for the Kolmogorov distribution. Are the two angle distribution compatible to originate from same distribution i.e. to originate from the same source?

- (iii) Test using the KS test whether the experimental data is compatible with the expected angular distribution (when all data is treated as one sample). Calculate KS-distance for data1+data2 vs. expectation.
- (iv) What is the corresponding P-value for the comparison data1+data2 vs expectation? NB! $\sqrt{N_{\rm event,data1} \cdot N_{\rm event,data2}/(N_{\rm event,data1} + N_{\rm event,data2})}$ should here be replaced by $\sqrt{N_{\rm event,data1} + N_{\rm event,data2}}$. Is the combuned experimental distribution compatible with the expected distribution?