	15	20	15	10	20	20	-5 or -10	100	
HW-ID	HW7Q1	HW7Q2	HW7Q3	HW7Q4	HW7Q5	HW7Q6	HW7 Late	HW7Grade	Comments on HW7 from TAs
1003	15	18	13	10	20	15		91	q1. Great plot, very long title. q2. Good essay. q3. The proportional hazards model assumes independent censoring. q6. Time to remission by treatment.
1017	13	16	15	10	20	15		89	q1. Good plot, it will be better to get rid of the different circle size, because they didn't add anything interesting. q2. Short essay, missing the question about what R tools didn you use. q6. Time to remission, faster remission is better.
1085	15	20	12	7	20	20		94	q1.Good plot. q2. Good essay. q3.Missing the explanation about the meaning of the HR on treatment. q4. Missing the explanation about the meaning of the HR on treatment.
1112	15	18	15	10	20	15		93	q1. Very interesting plot q2. Grammar. q6. Time to remission, faster remission is better.
1276	15	20	15	10	20	20		100	q1. Excellent plot. q2. Very interesting topic.
1280	14	20	15	10	20	15		94	q1. Good plot, adjust title and labels. q2. Good essay q6. Time to remission, faster remission is better.
1416	12	15	15	10	17	20		89	q1. Very food effort but there is so few data showing here, there are another more effective ways to show this data. q2. Grammar. q5. Missing validation
1452	12	18	15	10	20	20		95	q1. Interesting plot, if you had made the comparisons by group would have been better, or using a y-label indicating HIV+ vs HIV q2. Good essay, missing some the idea of communicating data efficiently.

	15	20	15	10	20	20	-5 or -10	100	
HW-ID	HW7Q1	HW7Q2	HW7Q3	HW7Q4	HW7Q5	HW7Q6	HW7 Late	HW7Grade	Comments on HW7 from TAs
1478	11	14	15	10	20	15		85	q1. You have a label on your right not represented in the plot, the size of the plot is very small. q2. Your explanation doesn't match your plot. q6. Time to remission, faster remission is better.
1514	11	17	15	10	20	10		83	q1. The plot is hard to differentiate, between the actual number of F vs M. q2. Your explanation doesn't match your plot. q6. Time to remission. View answer sketch.
1590	14	19	15	7	20	20	-10	85	q1. Interesting plot. q2. Good essay. Grammar. q4. Missing interpretation of treatment short.
1685	14	14	15	10	20	15		88	q1. Interesting plot. q2. Very short essay. q6. hemorrhages??????? is time to Remission.
1699	13	19	15	10	17	15		89	<ul> <li>q1. Caption is out of the margins, adjust labels.</li> <li>q2. Grammar.</li> <li>q5. Missing validation.</li> <li>q6. Time to remission, faster remission is better.</li> </ul>
1704	11	20	12	7	17	15		82	q1. Sometimes less is more, the three plots together overlap and it becomes very hard to read and understand your data, q2. Good essay. q3 and q4: Missing the explanation about the meaning of the HR on treatment. What does it mean for the patients in treat short? q5. Missing validation. q6. Time to remission, faster remission is better.
1713	14	15	12	10	20	15		86	q1. Good plot easy to read. q2. Short essay q3. Your treatShort HR is 1.25 with a 95% CI 1.04, 1.50 q6. Hemorrhage??????? q6 is time to remission, faster remission is better.

	15	20	15	10	20	20	-5 or -10	100	
HW-ID	HW7Q1	HW7Q2	HW7Q3	HW7Q4	HW7Q5	HW7Q6	HW7 Late	HW7Grade	Comments on HW7 from TAs
1716	15	20	12	10	20	15		92	q1. Great plot. Easy to read. q2. Good essay. q3. Hazard instead of Hazzard. Missing the explanation about the meaning of the HR on treatment. q6. Time to remission, faster remission is better.
1721	15	20	15	10	20	20		100	q1. Interesting plot. q2. Good essay.
1785	15	20	15	10	20	12		92	q1. Good plot easy to read. q2. Good essay. q6. Plot is missing
1825	15	20	15	10	20	15		95	<ul><li>q1. Very interesting plot.</li><li>q2. Good essay.</li><li>q6. Time to remission, faster remission is better.</li></ul>
1929	14	18	12	10	20	20		94	<ul><li>q1. Good plot, hard to differentiate Other from Midwest.</li><li>q2. Grammar.</li><li>q3. Missing interpretation of the hazard ratio for treat.</li></ul>
1991	15	18	15	10	20	15		93	q1. Interesting plot. q2. Needs a little bit more explanation of why and what packages did you use. q6. Time to remission, faster remission is better.
2039	10	15	13	7	15	15		75	q1. Good idea, but try using tools life facet_grid or facet_wrap, so your plot doesn't look so busy. q2. Grammar and very short essay (150 words minimum) q3. Add CI to your results when writing the explanation. Explanation is vague -> results are very close to 1? Hazard? q4.q4. Missing the explanation about the meaning of the HR on treatment. q5. Both are cox-regressions, assumptions are not violated. q6. This is time to remission.

	15	20	15	10	20	20	-5 or -10	100	
HW-ID	HW7Q1	HW7Q2	HW7Q3	HW7Q4	HW7Q5	HW7Q6	HW7 Late	HW7Grade	Comments on HW7 from TAs
2064	11	15	15	10	13	16		80	q1. A violin plot or a boxplot would have explained your data better. (Only the first plot was used for grading purposes) q2. Short essay, doesn't answer the questions asked. q5: did not validate and used only one way to compare the models. q6: the work was ok but you misunderstand the event in the question.
2137	14	19	12	7	20	15		87	q1. Try to avoid double labels. q2. Grammar. q3, q4. 20% improvement of or 20% increase survival. q6. Missing interpretation.
2171	12	18	12	10	20	20		92	<ul><li>q1. Title is out of margins, grammar errors.</li><li>q2. Grammar.</li><li>q3. Missing interpretation of the hazard ratio for treat.</li></ul>
2230	11	14	15	10	13	10		73	q1. Good plot, you have a lot of missing data. q2. Very short essay q4. Everything is missing. q6. Time to remission View answer sketch.
2277	11	14	15	10	20	15		85	q1. It's important to change your axis titles. (Only the first plot was used for grading purposes) q2. Short essay, doesn't answer the questions asked. q6. Hemorrhage??? Is time to remission.
2369	11	19	12	7	20	10		79	q1. Your title don't explain what is shown in the graph. There is enough space on the x axis to have a horizontal label q2. Grammar. q3. Decreased the hazard by xxx, instead of is protective. q4. Wrong interpretation q6. Time to remission. View answer sketch.
2384	11	0	12	5	20	8		56	q1. The plot is not communicating the data effectively. q2. This was an essay question. q3. No interpretation of HR q4. No interpretation, no hazard ratios shown. q5. q6. Only the plot was included

	15	20	15	10	20	20	-5 or -10	100	
HW-ID	HW7Q1	HW7Q2	HW7Q3	HW7Q4	HW7Q5	HW7Q6	HW7 Late	HW7Grade	Comments on HW7 from TAs
2389	13	20	15	10	20	10		88	q1. Excellent plot, make the font uniform, adjust the colors and the size. q2. Very interesting topic. q6. Time to remission View answer sketch.
2426	11	18	15	10	15	15		84	q1. Adjust labels q2. Grammar. q5. Missing explanation about the quality of fit and which parameters where choose to pick the model you did. q6. Is time to remission.
2462	12	18	12	10	17	15		84	q1. Add the caption using R tools. q2. facet_grid instead of facet grip . q3. Missing interpretation of the hazard ratio for treat. q5. Missing validation. q6. Time to remission, faster remission is better.
2512	13	19	15	10	20	15	-10	82	q1. Suggestion for the y-axis title: Time to Intervention. q2. Grammar. q6. Time to remission, faster remission is better.
2569	14	20	12	10	20	20	-5	91	q1. Interesting plot. q2. Good essay. q3. Treatment short doesn't increased the odds of surviving. Increases hazard of returning to drug use
2688	12	15	12	7	17	15		78	q1. Coloring the loess lines by group will make the graph easier to un q2. Very short essay q3 and 4. Treat Long had a lower hazard of returning to drug use, no q5. Missing the validation. q6. Time to remission, faster remission is better.
2719	12	18	15	10	20	10		85	q1. Title and y label have a small error. Since both the labels are in different order gets a little bit confusing. q2. It's a little vague related to the data shown on the plot. q6. Time to remission. View answer sketch.
2741	14	17	15	10	20	20		96	q1. Interesting plot q2. Grammar.

	15	20	15	10	20	20	-5 or -10	100	
HW-ID	HW7Q1	HW7Q2	HW7Q3	HW7Q4	HW7Q5	HW7Q6	HW7 Late	HW7Grade	Comments on HW7 from TAs
2875	13	19	15	10	20	10		87	q1. Specify the unit and period of time. q2. Good essay. Grammar. q6. Time to remission. View answer sketch.
2915								0	
2916	14	20	15	10	20	15		94	q1. Good plot, you could add in the title the word -perception q2. Good essay. q6. Time to remission, faster remission is better.
2989	15	20	12	7	20	15		89	q1. Interesting plot. q2. Good essay. q3. Decreases the hazard <- the hazard is not less than 76%, when HR = 0.76 q4. Wrong model. q6. Time to remission, faster remission is better.