SE 3XA3: Test Plan DNA Says

Team #10, Team Name: DNA Kareem Abdel Mesih (abdelk2) John-Paul Dakran (dakranj) Shady Nessim (nessimss)

October 25, 2016

Contents

1	Ger	neral Information	1					
	1.1	Purpose	1					
	1.2	Scope						
	1.3	Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Symbols	1					
	1.4	Overview of Document	2					
2	Plan							
	2.1	Software Description	2					
	2.2	Test Team	2					
	2.3	Automated Testing Approach	2					
	2.4	Testing Tools	2					
	2.5	Testing Schedule	2					
3	Sys	tem Test Description	2					
	•	Tests for Functional Requirements	2					
		3.1.1 Area of Testing1						
		3.1.2 Area of Testing2	Ç					
	3.2	Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements	Ç					
		3.2.1 Area of Testing1	Ç					
		3.2.2 Area of Testing2	į					
4	Tests for Proof of Concept							
	4.1	Area of Testing1						
	4.2	Area of Testing2	4					
5	Cor	nparison to Existing Implementation	4					
6	Uni	it Testing Plan	4					
		Unit testing of internal functions	4					
		Unit testing of output files						
7	Apı	pendix	5					
		Symbolic Parameters						
		Heability Survey Questions?						

List of Tables

1	Revision History	i
2	Table of Abbreviations	1
3	Table of Definitions	1

List of Figures

Table 1: Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Date 1	1.0	Notes
Date 2	1.1	Notes

1 General Information

1.1 Purpose

In the engineering process, verification and validation of the requirements outlined in the Software Requirements Specification (SRS) document is essential. This process is executed through a series of tests executed on the requirements to prove that the functionality of the game is correct. This document serves the purpose of outlining how the requirements will be validated and verified.

The implementation of the game DNA Says consists of numerous functional capabilities. These functional capabilities range from detecting user input to outputting a correct sound at a precise given time. The complete set of requirements will be broken down into specific and simple tests to prove the functionality of each specific requirement.

1.2 Scope

1.3 Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Symbols

Table 2: Table of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Definition
SRS	Software Requirement Specification
Abbreviation2	Definition2

Table 3: Table of Definitions

Term	Definition
Term1	Definition1
Term2	Definition2

1.4 Overview of Document

- 2 Plan
- 2.1 Software Description
- 2.2 Test Team
- 2.3 Automated Testing Approach
- 2.4 Testing Tools
- 2.5 Testing Schedule

See Gantt Chart at the following url ...

3 System Test Description

3.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

3.1.1 Area of Testing1

Title for Test

1. test-id1

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

Initial State:

Input:

Output:

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

Initial State:

Input:

Output:

How test will be performed:

3.1.2 Area of Testing2

. . .

3.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

3.2.1 Area of Testing1

Title for Test

1. test-id1

Type:

Initial State:

Input/Condition:

Output/Result:

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

Initial State:

Input:

Output:

How test will be performed:

3.2.2 Area of Testing2

• • •

4 Tests for Proof of Concept

4.1 Area of Testing1

Title for Test

1. test-id1

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

Initial State:

Input:

Output:

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

Initial State:

Input:

Output:

How test will be performed:

4.2 Area of Testing2

...

- 5 Comparison to Existing Implementation
- 6 Unit Testing Plan
- 6.1 Unit testing of internal functions
- 6.2 Unit testing of output files

7 Appendix

This is where you can place additional information.

7.1 Symbolic Parameters

The definition of the test cases will call for SYMBOLIC_CONSTANTS. Their values are defined in this section for easy maintenance.

7.2 Usability Survey Questions?

This is a section that would be appropriate for some teams.