1 Elliptic Equation

The linearised elliptic equation is

$$G = Hu - \frac{H^3}{3}u_{xx}$$

Want to find out the FEM approximation factor \mathcal{G}_{FE_1} such that

$$G = \mathcal{G}_{FE_1} u$$

To do so we begin by first multiplying by an arbitrary test function v so that

$$Gv = Huv - \frac{H^3}{3}u_{xx}v$$

and then we integrate over the entire domain to get

$$\int_{\Omega} Gv dx = \int_{\Omega} Huv dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{H^3}{3} u_{xx} v dx$$

for all v

We then make use of integration by parts, with Dirchlet boundaries to get

$$\int_{\Omega} Gv dx = \int_{\Omega} Huv dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{H^3}{3} u_x v_x dx$$

We are going to use x_j as the nodes, which generate the basis functions ϕ_j , which for us will be the space of continuous linear elements. These are such that $\phi_j(x) \neq 0$ when $x_{j-1} < x < x_{j+1}$ and are the usual hat functions centered at x_j . So we can reformulate this as

$$\sum_{j} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j+1}} Gv dx = \sum_{j} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j+1}} Huv dx + \sum_{j} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j+1}} \frac{H^3}{3} u_x v_x dx$$

for all v

2 P1 FEM

We are going to coordinate tranform from x space the interval $[x_{j-1}, x_j, x_{j+1}]$ to the ξ space interval [-1, 0, 1]. To accomplish this we have the following relation

$$x = \xi \Delta x + x_i$$

Taking the derivatives we see $dx = d\xi \Delta x$, $\frac{dx}{d\xi} = \Delta x$, $\frac{d\xi}{dx} = \frac{1}{\Delta x}$.

Our ϕ_j can be described in ξ space as

$$\phi_j = \begin{cases} 1+\xi & \xi < 0\\ 1-\xi & \xi > 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

$$\phi_{j-1} = \begin{cases} -\xi & \xi < 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (2)

$$\phi_{j+1} = \begin{cases} \xi & \xi > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (3)

For FEM we replace the functions by their P1 approximations so

$$G \approx G' = \sum_{j} G_{j} \phi_{j}$$

$$u \approx u' = \sum_{j} u_{j} \phi_{j}$$

$$\sum_{j} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j+1}} G' \phi_j dx - H \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j+1}} u' \phi_j dx - \frac{H^3}{3} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j+1}} u'_x (\phi_x)_j dx = 0$$

For all ϕ_j . For this analysis we choose a particular basis function ϕ_j and we look at all the integrals. Beginning with the first one:

$$\int_{x_{j+1}}^{x_{j+1}} G'(x)v_j dx = \int_{-1}^{1} G'(\xi)v_j(\xi) \frac{dx}{d\xi} d\xi$$

$$= \Delta x \int_{-1}^{1} \left(G_{j-1} v_{j-1} + G_{j} v_{j} + G_{j+1} v_{j+1} \right) v_{j} d\xi$$

$$= \Delta x \left[G_{j-1} \int_{-1}^{1} v_{j-1} v_{j} d\xi + G_{j} \int_{-1}^{1} v_{j} v_{j} d\xi + G_{j+1} \int_{-1}^{1} v_{j+1} v_{j} d\xi \right]$$

We have that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} v_{j-1} v_j d\xi = \int_{-1}^{1} v_{j+1} v_j d\xi = \frac{1}{6}$$
$$\int_{-1}^{1} v_j v_j d\xi = \frac{2}{3}$$

So

$$= \Delta x \left[G_{j-1} \frac{1}{6} + G_j \frac{2}{3} + G_{j+1} \frac{1}{6} \right]$$
$$= \frac{\Delta x}{6} \left[G_{j-1} + 4G_j + G_{j+1} \right]$$

Similarly we have

$$-H \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j+1}} u' v_j dx = -\frac{H\Delta x}{6} \left[u_{j-1} + 4u_j + u_{j+1} \right]$$

$$-\frac{H^3}{3} \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j+1}} u'_x(v_j)_x dx = -\frac{H^3}{3} \int_{-1}^1 u'_\xi \frac{d\xi}{dx} (v_\xi)_j \frac{d\xi}{dx} \frac{dx}{d\xi} d\xi$$

$$= -\frac{H^3}{3\Delta x} \int_{-1}^1 u'_\xi (v_\xi)_j d\xi$$

We will now use ' to denote derivative

$$= -\frac{H^3}{3\Delta x} \int_{-1}^{1} \left(u'_{j-1} v'_{j-1} + u'_{j} v'_{j} + u'_{j+1} v'_{j+1} \right) v'_{j} d\xi$$

$$= -\frac{H^3}{3\Delta x} \left[u_{j-2} \int_{-1}^1 v'_{j-1} v'_j d\xi + u_j \int_{-1}^1 v'_j v'_j d\xi + u_{j+1} \int_{-1}^1 v'_{j+1} v'_j d\xi \right]$$

We have that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} v'_{j-1} v'_{j} d\xi = -1 = \int_{-1}^{1} v'_{j+1} v'_{j} d\xi$$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} v'_{j} v'_{j} d\xi = 2$$

Therefore

$$= -\frac{H^3}{3\Delta x} \left[-u_{j-1} + 2u_j - u_{j+1} \right]$$

Then our equation becomes

$$\frac{\Delta x}{6} \left[G_{j-1} + 4G_j + G_{j+1} \right] = \frac{H\Delta x}{6} \left[u_j + 4u_j + u_{j+1} \right] + \frac{H^3}{3\Delta x} \left[-u_{j-1} + 2u_j - u_{j+1} \right]$$
(4)

$$[G_{j-1} + 4G_j + G_{j+1}] = H[u_{j-1} + 4u_j + u_{j+1}] + \frac{2H^3}{\Delta x^2} [-u_{j-1} + 2u_j - u_{j+1}]$$
 (5)

This formula is correct for $u = 1, x, x^2$

We now assume the following form for u and G.

Let quantity q is given by so that $q(x,t) = q(0,0)e^{i(\omega t + kx)}$. The use of this comes when we use our uniform grid in space, so that $q(x_j,t) = q_j$ then $q_{j\pm l} = q_j e^{\pm ikl\Delta x}$

Then we have

$$\left[G_{j}e^{-ik\Delta x} + 4G_{j} + G_{j}e^{ik\Delta x}\right] = H\left[u_{j}e^{-ik\Delta x} + 4u_{j} + u_{j}e^{ik\Delta x}\right] + \frac{2H^{3}}{\Delta x^{2}}\left[-u_{j}e^{-ik\Delta x} + 2u_{j} - u_{j}e^{ik\Delta x}\right]$$
(6)

$$G_{j}\left[e^{-ik\Delta x} + 4 + e^{ik\Delta x}\right] = \left(H\left[e^{-ik\Delta x} + 4 + e^{ik\Delta x}\right] + \frac{2H^{3}}{\Delta x^{2}}\left[-e^{-ik\Delta x} + 2 - e^{ik\Delta x}\right]\right)u_{j} \quad (7)$$

$$G_i =$$

$$\left(H + \frac{2H^3}{\Delta x^2} \frac{\left[-e^{-ik\Delta x} + 2 - e^{ik\Delta x}\right]}{\left[e^{-ik\Delta x} + 4 + e^{ik\Delta x}\right]}\right) u_j \quad (8)$$

$$G_j = \left(H + \frac{2H^3}{\Delta x^2} \frac{2 - 2\cos(k\Delta x)}{4 + 2\cos(k\Delta x)}\right) u_j \quad (9)$$

$$G_{j} = \left(H + \frac{2H^{3}}{\Delta x^{2}} \frac{1 - \cos(k\Delta x)}{2 + \cos(k\Delta x)}\right) u_{j}$$

We want something like

$$\frac{k^2}{3} \approx \frac{2}{\Delta x^2} \frac{1 - \cos(k\Delta x)}{2 + \cos(k\Delta x)}$$

and we want to compare it to the FD approximation

$$\frac{k^2}{3} \approx \frac{2}{3\Delta x^2} \left(1 - \cos\left(k\Delta x\right)\right)$$

For the FEM we have the taylor series

$$\frac{2}{\Delta x^2} \frac{1 - \cos(k\Delta x)}{2 + \cos(k\Delta x)} = \frac{k^2}{3} + \frac{k^4 \Delta x^2}{36} + \frac{k^6 \Delta x^4}{1080} - \frac{17k^8 \Delta x^6}{181440} - \frac{11k^{10} \Delta x^8}{604800} + O(\Delta x^{10}) \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{2}{3\Delta x^2} \left(1 - \cos\left(k\Delta x\right) \right) = \frac{k^2}{3} - \frac{k^4 \Delta x^2}{36} + \frac{k^6 \Delta x^4}{1080} - \frac{k^8 \Delta x^6}{60480} - \frac{k^{10} \Delta x^8}{5443200} + O(\Delta x^{10}) \quad (11)$$

We can see that because the FD error alternates earlier its error is actualy slightly smaler than the FEM error, hence why it is worse. Although this is a better approximation than the discontinuous edges one.