ASREC Graduate Workshop

Note: The Replicator Dynamic

Jean-Paul Carvalho

Department of Economics University of Oxford

21 April 2022

Evolutionary Game Theory

- Game theory was initially developed by mathematicians and economists.
- ► Evolutionary biologists adapted these techniques/concepts in developing evolutionary game theory—see for e.g. the pioneering work of British biologist John Maynard Smith. EGT was later imported back into economics.
- Owing to this intellectual history, we shall derive the replicator dynamic from a basic biological approach to evolution in which payoffs represent reproduction rates.
- ▶ Note that the replicator dynamic can also be generated at the macro level by imitation of more successful agents.

The Biological Approach

Ingredients:

1. Inheritance:

► Players are *programmed* with a strategy. (Players are essentially strategies.)

2. Selection:

- Strategies that do better, given what everyone else is doing, proliferate.
- ► In particular, payoffs are interpreted as *reproduction rates* of strategies.
- ► Extends Darwin's notion of survival of the fittest from an exogenous environment to an interactive setting.

3. Mutation:

- ► Equilibrium states can be perturbed by random shocks.
- ► To be *stable*, an equilibrium must be resistant to invasion by "mutant strategies".

The Strategic Context

Players

► The population consists of a continuum of agents.

Strategies

- ► The set of (pure) strategies is $S = \{1, ..., n\}$, with typical members i, j and s.
- ▶ The mass of agents programmed with strategy i is m_i , where $\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i = m$.
- Let $x_i = \frac{m_i}{m}$ denote the proportion of players choosing strategy $i \in S$.

The Strategic Context

Population States

- ► The set of population states (or strategy distributions) is $X = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ : \sum_{i \in S} x_i = 1\}.$
- ightharpoonup X is the unit simplex in \mathbb{R}^n .
- ► The set of vertices of *X* are the pure population states—those in which all agents choose the same strategy.
- ▶ These are the standard basis vectors in \mathbb{R}^n :

$$e_1 = (1, 0, 0, \ldots), e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \ldots), e_3 = (0, 0, 1, \ldots), \ldots$$

Payoffs

- ▶ A *continuous* payoff function $F: X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ assigns to each population state a vector of payoffs, consisting of a real number for each strategy.
- ▶ $F_i : X \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes the payoff function for strategy i.

Some Useful Facts

Consider the expected payoff to strategy i if i is matched with another strategy drawn uniformly at random from the population to play the following two-player game:

The expected payoff to strategy i in state x is:

$$F_{i}(x) = x_{1}u(i, 1) + x_{2}u(i, 2) \dots + x_{n}u(i, n)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}u(i, j)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}F_{i}(e_{j}).$$

Some Useful Facts

The average payoff in the population is:

$$\overline{F}(x) = x_1 F_1(x) + x_2 F_2(x) \dots + x_n F_n(x)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i F_i(x).$$

Note: this is the same as the payoff from playing the mixed strategy *x* against itself.

The Replicator Dynamic

- ➤ Suppose that payoffs represent *fitness* (rates of reproduction) and reproduction takes place in continuous time.
- ► This yields a continuous-time evolutionary dynamic called the **replicator dynamic** (Taylor and Jonker 1978).
- ► The replicators here are pure strategies that are copied without error from parent to child.
 - ► As the population state *x* changes, so do the payoffs and thereby the fitness of each strategy.
- ► The replicator dynamic is formalized as a (deterministic) system of ordinary differential equations without mutation.
- ► We will (at first) analyze the role of mutations indirectly by examining the local stability of various limit states of the replicator dynamic.

The Replicator Dynamic

► Let the rate of growth of strategy *i* be:

$$\frac{\dot{m}_i}{m_i} = [\beta - \delta + F_i(x)],$$

where β and δ are "background" birth and death rates (which are independent of payoffs).

► This is the interpretation of payoffs as fitness (reproduction rates) in biological models of evolution.

Derivation

What is the rate of growth of x_i , the *population share* of strategy i?

By definition:

$$x_{i} = \frac{m_{i}}{m}$$

$$\ln(x_{i}) = \ln(m_{i}) - \ln(m)$$

$$\frac{\dot{x}_{i}}{x_{i}} = \frac{\dot{m}_{i}}{m_{i}} - \frac{\dot{m}}{m}$$

$$= [\beta - \delta + F_{i}(x)] - \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} [\beta - \delta + F_{j}(x)]$$

$$= F_{i}(x) - \overline{F}(x).$$

That is, the growth rate of a strategy equals the excess of its payoff over the average payoff.

Some Properties of the Replicator Dynamic

The following results are immediate:

- ► Those subpopulations that are associated with better than average payoffs grow and *vice versa*.
- ▶ The subpopulations associated with pure best replies to the current population state $x \in X$ have the highest growth rate.
- $\dot{x}_i = x_i [F_i(x) \overline{F}(x)]$, so that if $m_i = 0$ at T, then $m_i = 0$ for all t > T.

Example: Pure Coordination

Pure Coordination

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc}
 & 1 & 2 \\
 & 1 & 0 \\
 & 1 & 0 \\
 & 0 & 2 \\
 & 0 & 2 \\
\end{array}$$

$$\frac{\dot{x}_1}{x_1} = (1 - x_1)(3x_1 - 2).$$

Therefore, $\frac{\dot{x}_1}{x_1} > 0$ iff $3x_1 > 2$ or $x_1 > \frac{2}{3}$.

Example: Impure Coordination

Stag Hunt

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc}
 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\
 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\
 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\
 & 0 & 3 & 3
\end{array}$$

$$\frac{\dot{x}_1}{x_1} = (1 - x_1)(3x_1 - 1).$$

Therefore, $\frac{\dot{x}_1}{x_1} > 0$ iff $3x_1 > 1$ or $x_1 > \frac{1}{3}$.

Example: Anti-Coordination

Hawk Dove

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc}
 & 1 & 2 \\
 & -2 & 0 \\
 & -2 & 4 \\
 & & 4 & 0 \\
 & & 0 & 0
\end{array}$$

$$\frac{\dot{x}_1}{x_1} = (1 - x_1)(4 - 6x_1).$$

Therefore, $\frac{\dot{x}_1}{x_1} > 0$ iff $6x_1 < 4$ or $x_1 < \frac{2}{3}$.