

	<pre>'cropRepeatlcropDetailscropProp', 'cropRepeatlcropDetailscropUnits', 'cropRepeatlcropDetailsbananaUnits', 'cropRepeatlcropDetailsbananaUnits', 'cropRepeatlcropDetailscropSold', 'cropRepeatlcropSalecropIncome', 'cropRepeatlcropSalemarketType',     'dietaryDiversity1starch', 'dietaryDiversity1tubers', 'dietaryDiversity1vegetables', 'dietaryDiversity1fruits', 'dietaryDiversity1fruits', 'dietaryDiversity1eggs', 'dietaryDiversity1eggs', 'dietaryDiversity2fish', 'dietaryDiversity2fish', 'dietaryDiversity2fishes',</pre>	
Out[153]:	'dietaryDiversity2fat', 'dietaryDiversity2sugar', 'dietaryDiversity2other' ]  MO_data = full_data.loc[:, mo_cols].copy()  MO_data.head()  respondentNr district cropRepeat1cropPosition cropRepeat1cropLabel cropRepeat1cropDetailscropProp cropRepeat1cropDetailscropHa  1	<u>.</u>
In [154]: Out[154]:	Ruhango 80 Kamonyi 80 Name: district, dtype: int64	
	The above district will be our regional focus in this analysis. Also in this analysis the crops to be based used are in Crop Position 1 as show below.  MO_data.cropRepeat1cropPosition.value_counts()  beansBush	
In [178]:	Name: cropRepeatlcropPosition, dtype: int64  numerical_1 = ['cropRepeatlcropSalecropIncome'] remove_outliers(MO_data, numerical_1)  plt.figure(figsize = (10,5)) # plotting relationship of income and crops sold to diary diet ax = sns.lmplot(x = 'cropRepeatlcropDetailscropSold', y = 'cropRepeatlcropSalecropIncome', hue = 'dieta ryDiversity2fat', data = MO_data) ax.set(xlabel='% Crop Sold', ylabel='Sale Income', Title = 'Sale Income & Percentage Crops Sold') <seaborn.axisgrid.facetgrid 0x2830b740888="" at=""></seaborn.axisgrid.facetgrid>	
	Sale Income & Percentage Crops Sold  140000 - 120000 - 1000000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 1000000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 1000000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 1000000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 1000000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 1000000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 1000000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 1000000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 1000000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 1000000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 1000000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 1000000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 1000000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 1000000 - 100000 - 100000 - 100000 - 1000000 - 1000000 - 1000000 - 1000000 - 10000000 - 1000000 - 1000000 - 1000000 - 100000 - 100000	
	To understand how dietary is affected by the relationship between Crop sold and Income, we can use categorical scatter plots since dietary is a categorical variable.  sns.swarmplot(x=MO_data['district'], y = MO_data['cropRepeatlcropSalecropIncome']) <matplotlib.axessubplots.axessubplot 0x28311381788="" at=""></matplotlib.axessubplots.axessubplot>	
In [199]:	From the above we can see Nyanza has more sale income then other districts  Modelling  col = ['district',	
In [200]:	'cropRepeatlcropDetailscropProp', 'cropRepeatlcropDetailscropBarvest', 'cropRepeatlcropDetailscropSold', 'cropRepeatlcropSalecropIncome', 'dietaryDiversityIfruits'] data = MO_data.loc[:, col].copy()  We are going to build a model to predict dietary stability of fruits, if we have sold crops, income variables and other variables.  We start by separating data into features and target valiable. x is going to be our feature and y as our target valiable.  #dropping the fruits column  X = data.drop(['dietaryDiversityIfruits'], axis = 1) Y = data[['dietaryDiversityIfruits']]  #Selecting categorical columns using cardinality low_cardinality_cols = [colName for colName in X.columns if X[colName].nunique() < 6 or X[colName].dty	,
In [202]: Out[202]:	== 'object']  ==> Encoding Data: Let's Perform One Hot Encoding to feature data. Why? => most model don't accept "words" written variables they prefer numbers, and we are trying to transform districts into numbers.  X = pd.get_dummies(X, columns = low_cardinality_cols, drop_first=True)  X.head()  cropRepeat1cropDetailscropProp cropRepeat1cropDetailscropHarvest cropRepeat1cropDetailscropSold cropRepeat1cropSalecropIncome  1 0.15 20.0 0.00 NaN	
In [206]: Out[206]:	2 0.85 200.0 0.45 30000.0 3 0.55 400.0 0.45 60000.0 4 0.05 15.0 0.00 NaN 5 0.75 100.0 0.15 20000.0  # we will fill NaN values with 0 since no data is available X.fillna(0, inplace=True) X.head()  cropRepeat1cropDetailscropProp cropRepeat1cropDetailscropHarvest cropRepeat1cropDetailscropSold cropRepeat1cropSalecropIncome	
In [229]:	1       0.15       20.0       0.00       0.0         2       0.85       200.0       0.45       30000.0         3       0.55       400.0       0.45       60000.0         4       0.05       15.0       0.00       0.0         5       0.75       100.0       0.15       20000.0         Now with Features ready, we can convert The "Yes" and "No" in Target Valiable with "1s" and "0s" instead.         Y = Y . replace ({'dietaryDiversity1fruits': {'yes': 1, 'no': 0}})         Y . dietaryDiversity1fruits . value_counts()	
Out[229]: In [231]:	<pre>0    167 1    73 Name: dietaryDiversity1fruits, dtype: int64 ==&gt;Splitting data: To understand model performance, we divide our dataset into training and testing datasets. Let's split dataset by using function train_test_split.  # split X and y into training and testing sets from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split X_train,X_test,y_train,y_test=train_test_split(X,Y,test_size=0.25,random_state=0)</pre>	
In [244]:	Here, the Dataset is broken into two parts in a ratio of 75:25. It means 75% data will be used for model training and 25% for model testing.  ==>Model Development and Prediction: On this task we will use logistic regression model, then fit it with training dataset and test it with testing dataset using predict() methods.  # import the class from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression as LogReg  # instantiate the model (using the default parameters) & fit the model logisticReg = LogReg().fit(X_train, y_train.values.reshape(-1,))	
	<pre># testing the model y_pred=logisticReg.predict(X_test)  ==&gt;Model Evaluation using Confusion Matrix: We will use the confusion matrix to evaluate the performance of our classification model.  # import the metrics class from sklearn import metrics conf_matrix = metrics.confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred) conf_matrix array([[13, 21],</pre>	
In [247]:	<pre>[11, 15]], dtype=int64)  sns.heatmap(pd.DataFrame(conf_matrix), annot=True, cmap="YlGnBu",fmt='g')  <matplotlib.axessubplots.axessubplot 0x2831110a608="" at="">  - 20 - 13 21 - 18 - 16</matplotlib.axessubplots.axessubplot></pre>	
	Diagonal values represent accurate predictions, while non-diagonal elements are inaccurate predictions. In the output, 13 and 15 are actual predictions, and 21 and 11 are incorrect predictions.  ==>Confusion Matrix Evaluation Metrics	
In [248]:	==>Confusion Matrix Evaluation Metrics  print("Accuracy:", metrics.accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred)) print("Precision:", metrics.precision_score(y_test, y_pred)) print("Recall:", metrics.recall_score(y_test, y_pred))  Accuracy: 0.4666666666666667 Precision: 0.416666666666667 Recall: 0.5769230769230769  With the accuracy of 46% we can say the classification modal fails to classify, means there is still a big room to grow our model. we can also see that on Receiver Operating Characteristic(ROC) curve, that shows a tradeoff between sensitivity and specificity of our model.	
In [250]:	<pre>y_pred_proba = logisticReg.predict_proba(X_test)[::,1] fpr, tpr, _ = metrics.roc_curve(y_test, y_pred_proba) auc = metrics.roc_auc_score(y_test, y_pred_proba) plt.plot(fpr,tpr,label="data 1, auc="+str(auc)) plt.legend(loc=4) plt.show()</pre>	
	With AUC score less than 0.5, means this classifier is not a good classifier.	
	<ol> <li>==&gt;There are ways to Improve our Model:</li> <li>Feature Scaling and Normalization: where we normalize all features to the same scale.</li> <li>Class Imbalance: we can look for number of yes and no, if one is higher than other.</li> <li>Optimizing Log loss &amp; F1 scores.</li> <li>Tuning Hyperparameter: To improve our accuracy, we can perform Grid-search to tune hyperparameter of our model.</li> <li>Explore other classifiers: such as Support vector machines and Tree-based classifiers.</li> <li>Increase number of features in our model.</li> </ol> Results and Discussion	
	Through this analysis, we found that there are entries of 240 households, across three districts of Rwanda, <i>Nyanza, Ruhango and Kamonyi</i> and There are 160 men and 80 women participated in the survey.  While some of entries were left with no data, We did fill them with 0 for the purpose of analysis. From the provided data, we found that Banana (Igitoki) crop has a big number of Harvest and It is also the crop that generate High Sale Income to farmers.  Data had Outliers in Sale Income, in this case it is a good idea to investigate the outliers in Income data rather than ignoring them, since this might show different prices on market on a specific crop.  Regarding Market Orientation, there is a linear relationship between Crop sold and Income per households. The more Market	
	orientation the more Income to farmers. This blings to another subject of Dietary diversity, which also seems to be impacted by the Sale income.  For Example: The Households with more income seems to have essential and healthy meal like Diary, Eggs, Fruits and Starch, and even expensive foods like Meat and Fish. From This we can say that, having a Good Market Orientation affects positively farmers and improves their diatary since they have more Sales income.  The regionality factor shows that Farmers in Nyanza earns more than their colleagues in Ruhango and Kamonyi.  The houshold that have more than 0.5 percentage of sold crops, that farms Banana(Ibitoki) and Lives in Nyanza will have a good dietary and this is the characteristics of households that explains market orientation.	
	Conclusion  The project's purpose was to analyse the effects of Market orientation on household dynamics.  By conducting rough and quick analysis, we found the effect of market orientation to farmers which is positive. However, we can say a hundred percent that we reached the goal of eradicating hunger. There are couple steps One Acre Fund will take to investigate effects of Market orientation as highlighted below and How One Acre fund(OAF) will use these information.  • Conducting customer orientation analysis, from this OAF will understand how farmers could statisfy their clients or market, and create farmers value in a continuous basis for their sustainable growth.  • Conducting competitor orientation analysis, where OAF will understand competitors strengths and weaknesses and make strategies to produce competitive advantage to organization itself and its clients (Farmers).  • Regarding Nutritient security, OAF would collect that on How often farmers get access to essential and healthy dietary in a month and/or weekly, where this information will show if there is a huge positive impact on farmers nutrient security and stability in their dietary adverstity.	
	<ul> <li>Farmers face overproduction and marketing among other risks that impact their income, helping them to overcome this by Learning and conducting market analysis for them could impact them positively and hence improve their income.</li> <li>OAF has done a tremendous work for farmers in past years and it is a good time to be with farmers especially in these where there is new challenges that are being surfaced in different sectors including technology, health and economy. OAF will have to make a data-driven decision to farmers without bias and clear vision and goal to sustain farmers growth.</li> <li>The link is for Repository of The assessment here.</li> </ul>	
	Date (YYYY-MM-DD)  Change Description  2022-03-30 Understanding Business Problem  2022-03-30 Methodology & Conducting Analysis  2022-03-31 Modelling  2022-03-31 Results and Conclusion	