

Case 1 Pilgrim Bank

Jonathan Ratschat, Franziska Bülck

20.10.2019

Preparation of dataset

Importing dataset and formating variables

```
library(readxl)

#read xls file
Data <- read_xls("Data_Pilgrim_Case-Part-A.xls")

#change colnames
colnames(Data)[2:7] <-c("Profit","Online","Age","Inc","Tenure","District")

#change data types
Data$ID <- as.factor(Data$ID)
Data$Online <- as.factor(Data$Online)
Data$Age <- as.factor(Data$Age)
Data$Inc <- as.factor(Data$Inc)
Data$District <- as.factor(Data$District)
```

Exploring Data

```
str(Data)

## Classes 'tbl_df', 'tbl' and 'data.frame': 31634 obs. of 7 variables:
## $ ID : Factor w/ 31634 levels "1","2","3","4",...: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ...
## $ Profit : num 21 -6 -49 -4 -61 -38 -19 59 493 -158 ...
## $ Online : Factor w/ 2 levels "0","1": 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
## $ Age : Factor w/ 7 levels "1","2","3","4",...: NA 6 5 NA 2 NA 3 5 4 6 ...
## $ Inc : Factor w/ 9 levels "1","2","3","4",...: NA 3 5 NA 9 3 1 8 9 8 ...
## $ Tenure : num 6.33 29.5 26.41 2.25 9.91 ...
## $ District: Factor w/ 3 levels "1100","1200",...: 2 2 1 2 2 3 3 2 2 1 ...
```

```
summary(Data)
```

```
##      ID      Profit      Online      Age      Inc
## 1      : 1  Min.    :-221.0  0:27780  3      :5390  6      :5413
## 2      : 1  1st Qu.: -34.0  1: 3854  4      :5376  7      :3152
## 3      : 1  Median   :  9.0      2      :3650  9      :2960
## 4      : 1  Mean     : 111.5      5      :3236  3      :2571
## 5      : 1  3rd Qu.: 164.0      7      :2693  5      :2369
## 6      : 1  Max.     :2071.0      (Other):3000 (Other):6908
## (Other):31628      NA's   :8289  NA's    :8261
##      Tenure      District
## Min.    : 0.16  1100: 3142
## 1st Qu.: 3.75  1200:24342
## Median : 7.41  1300: 4150
## Mean    :10.16
```

```
## 3rd Qu.:14.75
## Max.    :41.16
##
```

Findings:

- 31,628 customers
- Profits ranging between -221.0 and 2071.0
- Median 9.0 and Mean 111.5 (right-skewed distribution)
- Data set contains only 12.18% online banking users
- Missing data (8,289 customers do not contain a factor for age and 8,261 customers do not contain a factor for Inc).

Analysis

Backward stepwise regression using Data

```
library(MASS)

full.model <- lm(Profit ~ Online + Age + Inc + Tenure + District, data = Data, na.action = na.omit)
step.model <- stepAIC(full.model, direction = "both", trace = FALSE)
summary(step.model)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Profit ~ Online + Age + Inc + Tenure + District,
##     data = Data, na.action = na.omit)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -522.74 -155.09  -70.72   66.44 1959.25
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  -56.3975    13.1960  -4.274 1.93e-05 ***
## Online1       17.0251     5.4976   3.097 0.00196 **
## Age2          29.9474    11.9322   2.510 0.01209 *
## Age3          69.8003    11.7093   5.961 2.54e-09 ***
## Age4          74.6121    11.7941   6.326 2.56e-10 ***
## Age5          79.4354    12.2504   6.484 9.10e-11 ***
## Age6         100.0856    12.7054   7.877 3.49e-15 ***
## Age7         135.7456    12.5281  10.835 < 2e-16 ***
## Inc2           0.9934    11.6513   0.085 0.93206
## Inc3          10.9358     8.3948   1.303 0.19270
## Inc4          10.8613     8.5525   1.270 0.20411
## Inc5          15.9018     8.5367   1.863 0.06251 .
## Inc6          39.6959     7.4708   5.313 1.09e-07 ***
## Inc7          60.7904     8.1594   7.450 9.64e-14 ***
## Inc8          78.5513     9.3164   8.432 < 2e-16 ***
## Inc9         146.8121     8.3667  17.547 < 2e-16 ***
## Tenure         4.0877     0.2354  17.363 < 2e-16 ***
## District1200  18.6401     6.3787   2.922 0.00348 **
```

```
## District1300    7.0957      7.7578    0.915  0.36038
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 273.6 on 22793 degrees of freedom
## (8822 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared:  0.06488,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.06414
## F-statistic: 87.85 on 18 and 22793 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Data\$Online1 is significant and increases profit by ~17 when all other independent variables do not change.

Data\$Age is significant. We see that higher age has a positive effect on profitability.

Data\$Inc is significant from Inc6 to Inc9 (\$50,000 to \$125,000 and more). We see that higher income has a positive effect on profitability.

Not best solution to delete 8822 observations.

Backward stepwise regression using imputed dataset (random forest imputation)

```
library(missForest)

dummy <- as.data.frame(Data)

Data.imp <- missForest(dummy[, -1], verbose = TRUE)

## missForest iteration 1 in progress...done!
## estimated error(s): 0 0.3928233
## difference(s): 0 0.1107116
## time: 9.25 seconds
##
## missForest iteration 2 in progress...done!
## estimated error(s): 0 0.3911548
## difference(s): 0 0.03150882
## time: 9.17 seconds
##
## missForest iteration 3 in progress...done!
## estimated error(s): 0 0.3920534
## difference(s): 0 0.0188721
## time: 9 seconds
##
## missForest iteration 4 in progress...done!
## estimated error(s): 0 0.3899024
## difference(s): 0 0.0155924
## time: 8.89 seconds
##
## missForest iteration 5 in progress...done!
## estimated error(s): 0 0.3926736
## difference(s): 0 0.0142173
## time: 9.25 seconds
##
## missForest iteration 6 in progress...done!
## estimated error(s): 0 0.3911017
## difference(s): 0 0.01278687
## time: 9.11 seconds
##
```

```
## missForest iteration 7 in progress...done!
## estimated error(s): 0 0.3921182
## difference(s): 0 0.01228109
## time: 9.02 seconds
##
## missForest iteration 8 in progress...done!
## estimated error(s): 0 0.3917436
## difference(s): 0 0.01208352
## time: 8.98 seconds
##
## missForest iteration 9 in progress...done!
## estimated error(s): 0 0.3917431
## difference(s): 0 0.0123206
## time: 9.28 seconds
```

```
Data.imp$OOBError
```

```
## NRMSE PFC
## 0.0000000 0.3917436
```

PFC (proportion of falsely classified) is relatively high.

```
full.model2 <- lm(Profit ~ Online + Age + Inc + Tenure + District, data = Data.imp$ximp)
step.model2 <- stepAIC(full.model2, direction = "both", trace = FALSE)
summary(step.model2)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Profit ~ Online + Age + Inc + Tenure + District,
## data = Data.imp$ximp)
##
## Residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
## -523.90 -141.56 -50.21 44.30 1947.87
##
## Coefficients:
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -36.291 6.162 -5.890 3.91e-09 ***
## Online1 14.749 4.536 3.251 0.00115 **
## Age2 27.990 6.556 4.269 1.96e-05 ***
## Age3 68.347 6.577 10.391 < 2e-16 ***
## Age4 76.367 6.795 11.238 < 2e-16 ***
## Age5 78.918 7.413 10.647 < 2e-16 ***
## Age6 111.823 7.892 14.170 < 2e-16 ***
## Age7 146.201 7.287 20.063 < 2e-16 ***
## Inc2 -18.071 8.158 -2.215 0.02675 *
## Inc3 -11.371 6.359 -1.788 0.07374 .
## Inc4 -6.396 6.884 -0.929 0.35288
## Inc5 8.647 7.084 1.221 0.22226
## Inc6 34.253 6.065 5.647 1.64e-08 ***
## Inc7 59.260 6.783 8.737 < 2e-16 ***
## Inc8 51.368 7.581 6.776 1.26e-11 ***
## Inc9 164.285 6.534 25.144 < 2e-16 ***
## Tenure 2.912 0.206 14.134 < 2e-16 ***
## District1200 11.154 5.148 2.167 0.03027 *
## District1300 10.990 6.166 1.782 0.07470 .
```

```
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 258.9 on 31615 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.1003, Adjusted R-squared:  0.09974
## F-statistic: 195.7 on 18 and 31615 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Data\$Online1 is significant and increases profit by ~14 when all other independent variables do not change.

Data\$Age is significant. We see that higher age has a positive effect on profitability.

Data\$Inc is significant from Inc6 to Inc9 (\$50,000 to \$125,000 and more). We see that higher income has a positive effect on profitability. Inc2 is now significant as well having a negative impact on profitability.

Regression (interaction effects) using imputed dataset (random forest imputation)

```
interaction.model <- lm(Profit ~ Online*Age + Inc + Tenure + District,data = Data.imp$ximp)
summary(interaction.model)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Profit ~ Online * Age + Inc + Tenure + District,
##     data = Data.imp$ximp)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -528.15 -141.38  -50.00   44.93 1949.08
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  -33.5514     6.2912  -5.333 9.72e-08 ***
## Online1      -13.8657    13.7061  -1.012 0.311713
## Age2         24.1733     7.1491   3.381 0.000722 ***
## Age3         63.5898     7.0315   9.044 < 2e-16 ***
## Age4         70.6649     7.2198   9.788 < 2e-16 ***
## Age5         71.2396     7.8167   9.114 < 2e-16 ***
## Age6        107.8733     8.2388  13.093 < 2e-16 ***
## Age7        143.0949     7.5814  18.875 < 2e-16 ***
## Inc2         -16.4661     8.1961  -2.009 0.044543 *
## Inc3          -8.7110     6.4781  -1.345 0.178736
## Inc4          -4.5393     6.9332  -0.655 0.512650
## Inc5          10.8380     7.1288   1.520 0.128441
## Inc6          36.2331     6.1153   5.925 3.16e-09 ***
## Inc7          61.1447     6.8257   8.958 < 2e-16 ***
## Inc8          53.2140     7.6186   6.985 2.91e-12 ***
## Inc9         166.4053     6.5777  25.298 < 2e-16 ***
## Tenure         2.9077     0.2062  14.099 < 2e-16 ***
## District1200   11.0299     5.1490   2.142 0.032191 *
## District1300   11.0022     6.1662   1.784 0.074389 .
## Online1:Age2   24.7718    16.2878   1.521 0.128300
## Online1:Age3   30.1154    16.4200   1.834 0.066653 .
## Online1:Age4   37.5888    16.7881   2.239 0.025162 *
## Online1:Age5   64.1462    20.3048   3.159 0.001584 **
## Online1:Age6   17.7212    27.8242   0.637 0.524196
```

```
## Online1:Age7 -6.5844 29.5149 -0.223 0.823471
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 258.8 on 31609 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.1006, Adjusted R-squared:  0.09994
## F-statistic: 147.4 on 24 and 31609 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Here we see that the interaction effect between Online1 and Age5 (middle-aged) is significant. Lower significance level are present for younger customers while there is no significance level for older customers.

Analysis using only top ten percent of most profitable customers

Reasoning for looking at top ten percent of most profitable customers

10% of the customers generated 70% of the profits. Therefore, these customers deserve special attention since a decision in the strategy has the highest impact on the overall profitability.

#Subset data into 10% most profitable and 90% least profitable customers

```
DataProfit <- Data.imp$ximp[order(Data.imp$ximp$Profit),]
0.1*31634
```

```
## [1] 3163.4
```

```
31634-3163
```

```
## [1] 28471
```

```
VectorProfit <- c(28471:31634)
DataProfit <- DataProfit[VectorProfit, ]
```

Exploring Data

```
str(DataProfit)
```

```
## 'data.frame': 3164 obs. of 6 variables:
## $ Profit : num 424 424 425 425 425 425 425 425 425 425 ...
## $ Online : Factor w/ 2 levels "0","1": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
## $ Age : Factor w/ 7 levels "1","2","3","4",...: 4 2 5 3 6 7 7 2 3 5 ...
## $ Inc : Factor w/ 9 levels "1","2","3","4",...: 1 4 5 7 1 8 4 9 3 6 ...
## $ Tenure : num 2.08 11.41 30 9.5 28 ...
## $ District: Factor w/ 3 levels "1100","1200",...: 1 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 ...
```

```
summary(DataProfit)
```

```
##      Profit      Online      Age      Inc      Tenure
## Min.   : 424.0    0:2737    1: 4    9      :1012    Min.   : 0.16
## 1st Qu.: 519.0    1: 427    2:219    6      : 569    1st Qu.: 6.66
## Median : 658.0                3:664    7      : 379    Median :12.66
## Mean   : 771.2                4:807    8      : 250    Mean   :14.35
## 3rd Qu.: 920.0                5:500    4      : 212    3rd Qu.:21.16
## Max.   :2071.0        6:386    5      : 209    Max.   :41.16
##                7:584    (Other): 533
## District
## 1100: 261
## 1200:2553
```

```
## 1300: 350
##
##
##
##
```

Findings:

- 3,164 customers
- Profits ranging from 424.0 to 2071.0
- Median 658.0 and Mean 771.2 (still right-skewed distribution, but not as severe as in Data)
- Share of online users is 13.5%

Regression model

```
full.model3 <- lm(Profit ~ Online + Age + Inc + Tenure + District, data = DataProfit)
summary(full.model3)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Profit ~ Online + Age + Inc + Tenure + District,
##     data = DataProfit)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -445.8 -243.9 -108.5  145.1 1393.3
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)   660.2850    171.9775   3.839 0.000126 ***
## Online1         4.8697     18.0538   0.270 0.787383
## Age2          -8.1483    170.6622  -0.048 0.961922
## Age3         110.6654    169.6731   0.652 0.514302
## Age4         127.5639    169.6881   0.752 0.452255
## Age5          92.6121    169.9494   0.545 0.585834
## Age6         156.8982    170.2836   0.921 0.356916
## Age7         109.2224    170.1760   0.642 0.521037
## Inc2           25.8347     39.4631   0.655 0.512737
## Inc3          -18.5512     34.1420  -0.543 0.586924
## Inc4          -26.1174     33.8708  -0.771 0.440712
## Inc5          -38.9558     34.4110  -1.132 0.257690
## Inc6           3.1531     29.6028   0.107 0.915183
## Inc7           9.4508     31.8982   0.296 0.767035
## Inc8          13.4034     34.2122   0.392 0.695254
## Inc9           64.0008     29.7368   2.152 0.031454 *
## Tenure          1.1302      0.7243   1.560 0.118746
## District1200  -40.4636     23.6454  -1.711 0.087130 .
## District1300  -9.9034     28.0245  -0.353 0.723824
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 337.5 on 3145 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.0231, Adjusted R-squared:  0.01751
```

F-statistic: 4.131 on 18 and 3145 DF, p-value: 1.066e-08

The dependent variable DataProfit\$Online1 is not significant. Null-Hypothesis can not be rejected. Therefore, our analysis concerning the top 10% brings no further insights.

Overall conclusion

From our analyses we can derive that it is beneficial for Pilgrim Bank to promote online banking younger and most importantly to middle aged customers. The older aged customers may not buy online products with high margins.

Brief description of the shortcomings of our analyses

- Data is not actual (from end of 1999 - one year old). Dataset was constructed under customer self-selection since customers could decide on their own if they want to use online banking or not.
- Data size is small (31,634 out of 5,000,000 observations).
- Data set contains only 12% online banking users
- Missing data (8,289 customers do not contain a factor for age and 8,261 customers do not contain a factor for Inc). Our missingForest model seems to have a relatively high PFC (proportion of falsely classified).
- Data consists of only a few independent variables. More variables could be of help.
- Complications because column "Online" does not describe how the new channel is actively used. Customers being registered as online banking users can still go most of the times to a branch instead of using the online service.