

HW 2

Exercise 2

	Y	X1
Y	1.0000	
X1	0.0227	1.0000

The correlation is limited from -1 to 1, so only thing we can tell is that there is a strong positive correlation between these two variables, which match the fact that the coef on X1 is positive.

OLS and bootstrap

Variable	X_Y	boot49	boot499
X1	.43835034 .54743723	.43835034 .58697341	.43835034 .56666169
X2	-1.0103868 .08909115	-1.0103868 .10057932	-1.0103868 .09150773
X3	.37314107 .67303555	.37314107 .74072512	.37314107 .62863732
_cons	22.541928 1.2817391	22.541928 1.4386829	22.541928 1.3610506

legend: b/se

Exercise 3&4&5

Linear, Probit, Logit

Variable	linear_	probit_	probit_m	probit_m~a	logit_	logit_md~a
—						
X1	.01874188 .02669155					
X2	-.03834067 .00434384					
X3	.04659131 .03281539					
_cons	.67081067 .06249412					
Y_dum						
X1		.04497207 .07120618	.04497207 .07120618	.04497207 .07120618	.07525617 .11528701	.07525617 .11528701
X2		-.10744515 .01280879	-.10744515 .01280879	-.10744515 .01280879	-.17363591 .02137843	-.17363591 .02137843
X3		.12439279 .08770008	.12439279 .08770008	.12439279 .08770008	.20079145 .14189878	.20079145 .14189878
_cons		.48680797 .1690792	.48680797 .1690792	.48680797 .1690792	.77878889 .27359913	.77878889 .27359913

legend: b/se

The coefficient varies largely across these three methods, but the sign on the coefficients are the same, and all X3 coefs are not significant. For Probit and logit, without calculating the marginal effect, we can only interpret the sign. Higher X2 can decrease the probability of ydum = 1, which means higher X2 is

likely to generate Y below mean. Higher X1 and X3 can increase the probability of ydum = 1, which means that higher X1 and X3 is likely to generate Y above mean. This match the sign in the data generating process. For linear probability model, X1: one unit increase in X1 likely to increase the likelihood of ydum = 1 by 1.9%; X2: one unit increase in X2 likely to decrease the likelihood of ydum = 1 by 3%; X3: one unit increase in X3 likely to increase the likelihood of ydum = 1 by 4.6%.

HW3

Exercise 1

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
ppk_stk	4,470	.5184362	.1505174	.19	.67
pbb_stk	4,470	.5432103	.1203319	.19	1.01
pfl_stk	4,470	1.01502	.0428952	.95	1.16
phse_stk	4,470	.4371476	.1188312	.19	.64
pgen_stk	4,470	.3452819	.0351661	.25	.55
pimp_stk	4,470	.7807785	.1146461	.33	2.3
pss_tub	4,470	.8250895	.0612116	.5	.98
ppk_tub	4,470	1.077409	.0297261	.98	1.24
pfl_tub	4,470	1.189376	.0140545	.69	1.47
phse_tub	4,470	.5686734	.072455	.33	1.27
choice	Freq.	Percent	Cum.		
1	1,766	39.51	39.51		
2	699	15.64	55.15		
3	243	5.44	60.58		
4	593	13.27	73.85		
5	315	7.05	80.89		
6	74	1.66	82.55		
7	319	7.14	89.69		
8	203	4.54	94.23		
9	225	5.03	99.26		
10	33	0.74	100.00		
Total	4,470	100.00			
choice_type	Freq.	Percent	Cum.		
stk	3,690	82.55	82.55		
tub	780	17.45	100.00		
Total	4,470	100.00			

choice_brand	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
pbb	699	15.64	15.64
pfl	468	10.47	26.11
pgen	315	7.05	33.15
phse	626	14.00	47.16
pimp	74	1.66	48.81
ppk	1,969	44.05	92.86
pss	319	7.14	100.00
Total	4,470	100.00	

Exercise 2&3&4

Conditional Logit

	Variable	c_logit	m_logit	mix_logit
alt	Price	-6.6565793 .17427926		-6.6596692 .17476978
2	income	-.00308874	-.00425993	
	_cons	-.95430683 .05004624	-.84532415 .09313545	-.84067337 .10384458
3	income		.01458623	.01434397
	_cons	1.2969683 1.0865147	-2.398576 .15945855	.88860693 .15945855
4	income		.00405043	.00409985
	_cons	-1.7173324 .05415816	-1.2013265 .0971021	-1.8284916 .10321797
5	income	-.00125359	-.00118286	
	_cons	-2.9040048 .07146052	-1.6905817 .12699518	-2.8734104 .13475726
6	income		.03061202	.02980895
	_cons	-1.5153112 .12623034	-4.1397672 .21098899	-2.4571185 .21542599
7		-.00693258	-.00924559	
	_cons	.0044161 .25176838	-.00459348 -1.5310415	.00459348 .49686917
		.07916402	.12804344	.14248236
8	income		.02288616	.02199646
	_cons	1.4648684 .1180467	-.28483523 -1.3938482	.80306 .17091994
9	income		.01774297	.01699109
	_cons	2.3575051 .13377396	-2.5755973 .13614002	1.8641255 .1799469
10	income		.01079092	.00875958
	_cons	-3.8965935 .17741899	-4.2822699 .34579197	-4.1423856 .35065634

legend: b/se

Pr(choice = 1 1 selected) = .41862952									
variable	dp/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C.I.]	X			
Price									
1	-.162007	.045076	-35.94	0.000	-1.70841	-1.53172	.51844		
2	.38092	.016377	23.26	0.000	.348821	.413019	.54321		
3	.156526	.037078	14.62	0.000	.135537	.177515	1.015		
4	.359811	.018943	21.24	0.000	.326602	.393019	.47315		
5	.202435	.012377	16.32	0.000	.178748	.226491	.34528		
6	.04471	.005301	8.43	0.000	.034319	.0551	.78078		
7	.194866	.011804	16.51	0.000	.171731	.218001	.82509		
8	.12222	.008972	13.62	0.000	.104652	.139804	1.0774		
9	.14162	.009996	14.17	0.000	.122027	.161213	1.1894		
10	.018599	.002973	5.71	0.000	.011133	.022785	.56867		
Pr(choice = 2 1 selected) = .13669617									
variable	dp/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C.I.]	X			
Price									
1	.38092	.016377	23.26	0.000	.348821	.413019	.51844		
2	-.785545	.030158	-26.05	0.000	-.844554	-.724436	.54321		
3	.051111	.003765	13.57	0.000	.043731	.058492	1.015		
4	.117491	.004648	18.22	0.000	.104853	.130219	.47315		
5	.046102	.004433	14.91	0.000	.037414	.07479	.34528		
6	.014599	.001779	8.20	0.000	.011112	.018087	.78078		
7	.063831	.004253	14.96	0.000	.055295	.071966	.82509		
8	.039909	.003145	12.69	0.000	.033744	.046074	1.0774		
9	.046244	.003507	13.18	0.000	.03937	.053118	1.1894		
10	.005538	.000986	5.62	0.000	.003605	.007471	.56867		
Pr(choice = 3 1 selected) = .05617075									
variable	dp/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C.I.]	X			
Price									
1	.156526	.037078	14.62	0.000	.135537	.177515	.51844		
2	.051111	.003765	13.57	0.000	.043731	.058492	.54321		
3	-.352903	.02284	-15.45	0.000	-.397668	-.308137	1.015		
4	.048279	.003651	13.22	0.000	.041214	.055434	.47315		
5	.027142	.002319	11.71	0.000	.022618	.031707	.34528		
6	.005999	.000796	7.53	0.000	.003992	.009384	.78078		
7	.024147	.002223	11.76	0.000	.02119	.027188	.82509		
8	.016399	.001554	10.56	0.000	.013354	.019444	1.0774		
9	.019002	.001757	10.82	0.000	.015559	.022445	1.1894		
10	.002276	.000422	5.40	0.000	.001449	.003102	.56867		
Pr(choice = 4 1 selected) = .12912093									
variable	dp/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C.I.]	X			
Price									
1	.359811	.018943	21.24	0.000	.326602	.393019	.51844		
2	.117491	.004648	18.22	0.000	.104853	.130219	.47315		
3	.048279	.003651	13.22	0.000	.041214	.055434	.54321		
4	-.748524	.031316	-23.90	0.000	-.819051	-.681444	.47315		
5	.062439	.00431	14.49	0.000	.053992	.070886	.34528		
6	.01379	.001698	8.12	0.000	.010462	.017118	.78078		
7	.060104	.004135	14.54	0.000	.052851	.069478	.82509		
8	.037698	.003025	12.46	0.000	.031768	.043627	1.0774		
9	.043681	.003386	12.90	0.000	.037046	.050317	1.1894		
10	.005231	.000933	5.60	0.000	.003402	.007056	.56867		
Pr(choice = 5 1 selected) = .07264529									
variable	dp/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C.I.]	X			
Price									
1	.202435	.012377	16.36	0.000	.178718	.226491	.51844		
2	.064102	.004433	14.91	0.000	.057414	.07479	.54321		
3	.027142	.002319	11.71	0.000	.022618	.031707	1.015		
4	.006249	.000796	7.82	0.000	.003992	.009384	.47315		
5	-.44844	.025561	-17.54	0.000	-.498539	-.398431	.34528		
6	.007759	.001001	7.75	0.000	.005796	.009721	.78078		
7	.033814	.002581	12.91	0.000	.028951	.039071	.82509		
8	.021209	.00191	11.16	0.000	.017485	.024933	1.0774		
9	.024576	.002144	11.46	0.000	.020374	.028778	1.1894		
10	.002943	.000538	5.47	0.000	.001888	.003998	.56867		
Pr(choice = 6 1 selected) = .01604447									
variable	dp/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C.I.]	X			
Price									
1	.04471	.005301	8.43	0.000	.034319	.0551	.51844		
2	.014599	.001779	8.20	0.000	.011112	.018087	.54321		
3	.005999	.000796	7.53	0.000	.004438	.00756	1.015		
4	.01379	.001698	8.12	0.000	.010462	.017118	.47315		
5	.007759	.001001	7.75	0.000	.005796	.009721	.34528		
6	.004844	.000634	7.59	0.000	.003441	.005927	.78078		
7	.007469	.000963	7.76	0.000	.005582	.009355	.82509		
8	.004684	.000634	7.39	0.000	.003441	.005927	1.0774		
9	.005428	.000726	7.47	0.000	.004005	.006851	1.1894		
10	.00065	.000136	4.78	0.000	.000384	.000916	.56867		
Pr(choice = 7 1 selected) = .06992927									
variable	dp/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C.I.]	X			
Price									
1	.194866	.011804	16.51	0.000	.171731	.218001	.51844		
2	.063831	.004253	14.96	0.000	.055295	.071966	.54321		
3	.026147	.002223	11.76	0.000	.02119	.027188	1.015		
4	.060104	.004135	14.54	0.000	.052851	.069478	.47315		
5	.033814	.002581	12.91	0.000	.028951	.039071	.34528		
6	.007469	.000963	7.76	0.000	.005582	.009355	.78078		
7	.024576	.002149	11.66	0.000	.020993	.028882	.82509		
8	.023657	.002057	11.50	0.000	.019625	.027689	1.0774		
9	.022833	.002018	5.47	0.000	.001817	.003849	.56867		
Pr(choice = 8 1 selected) = .04385975									
variable	dp/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C.I.]	X			
Price									
1	.12222	.008997	13.62	0.000	.104636	.139804	.51844		
2	.039909	.003145	12.69	0.000	.033744	.046074	.54321		
3	.016399	.001554	10.56	0.000	.013354	.019444	1.015		
4	.037698	.003025	12.46	0.000	.031768	.043627	.47315		
5	.021209	.00191	11.16	0.000	.017485	.024933	.34528		
6	.004844	.000634	7.39	0.000	.003441	.005927	.78078		
7	.024016	.001828	11.17	0.000	.016833	.032999	.82509		
8	.023657	.002057	11.50	0.000	.019625	.027689	1.1894		
9	.014838	.001434	10.35	0.000	.012027	.017649	1.1894		
10	.007777	.000394	5.33	0.000	.003123	.004831	.56867		
Pr(choice = 9 1 selected) = .05082152									
variable	dp/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C.I.]	X			
Price									
1	.14162	.009996	14.17	0.000	.122027	.161213	.51844		
2	.046244	.003507	13.18	0.000	.03937	.053118	.54321		
3	.019002	.001757	10.82	0.000	.015559	.022445	1.015		
4	.043681	.003386	12.90	0.000	.037046	.050317	.47315		
5	.024576	.002144	11.46	0.000	.020374	.028778	.34528		
6	.005428	.000726	7.47	0.000	.004005	.006851	.78078		
7	.023657	.002057	11.50	0.000	.019625	.027689	.82509		
8	.014838	.001434	10.35	0.000	.012027	.017649	1.1894		
9	.321105	.021545	-14.90	0.000	.363332	-.278878	.56867		
10	.002059	.000384	5.36	0.000	.001306	.002818	.56867		
Pr(choice = 10 1 selected) = .00680931									
variable	dp/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C.I.]	X			
Price									
1	.019599	.002973	5.71	0.000	.011133	.022785	.51844		
2	.005538	.000986	5.62	0.000	.003405	.007471	.54321		
3	.002276	.000422	5.40	0.000	.001449	.003102	.51844		
4	.005231	.000933	5.60	0.000	.003402	.007471	.54321		
5	.002943	.000538	5.47	0.000	.001888	.003998	.54321		
6	.001777	.000394	5.33	0.000	.001133	.002445	.51844		
7	.002933	.000518	5.47	0.000	.001817	.003849	.82509		
8	.001777	.000394	5.33	0.000	.001133	.002445	1.1894		
9	.002029	.000384	5.36	0.000	.001306	.002818	1.1894		
10	.006265	.000701	-5.74	0.000	-.054008	-.025253	.56867		

Conditional logit Interpretation: The signs on the intercepts indicating that, holding other variables constant, people's general preference (+:prefer; -: not prefer) over that choice compared to the reference choice, which is PPK_Stk. The negative sign on the price coefficient indicating that as the price of one choice increases, the individual is less likely to buy that choice (Holding other variables constant).

Multinomial Interpretation: (Holding other variables constant) The signs on the income indicating that, holding other variables constant, people's preference when they have more income (+:more prefer; -: less prefer) over that choice compared to the reference group.

Clogit margin: Each unit increase in price of an alternative decrease the probability of selecting that alternative and increases the probability of the other alternatives, by certain percent.

Mlogit margin: Each unit increase in the income increases/decreases (as the sign) the probability of selecting alternative j by certain percent.

Exercise 5

```
. hausman mix_logit mix_logit_alt
```

we reject
the null

	Coefficients		(b-B) Difference	sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B)) S.E.
	(b) mix_logit	(B) mix_logit~t		
Price	-6.659669	-6.662263	.0025942	.

```
b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from asclogit
B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from asclogit
```

```
Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic
```

```
chi2(1) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^(-1)](b-B)
= -0.01 chi2<0 ==> model fitted on these
data fails to meet the asymptotic
assumptions of the Hausman test;
see suest for a generalized test
```

hypotheses fail to reject and state that IIA is hold.

HW 4

Exercise 1

```

personid: 1, 2, ..., 2178          n =      2178
timetrnd: 0, 1, ..., 14           T =      15
Delta(timetrnd) = 1 unit
Span(timetrnd)  = 15 periods
(personid*timetrnd uniquely identifies each observation)

```

```

Distribution of T_i:  min      5%    25%    50%    75%    95%    max
                    1        2      5      8     11     14     15

```

Freq.	Percent	Cum.	Pattern
93	4.27	4.27	11111111111111
46	2.11	6.381111111
45	2.07	8.4511111111
44	2.02	10.4711111111
43	1.97	12.44	.11111111111111
42	1.93	14.37	..111111111111
36	1.65	16.02	...1111111111
35	1.61	17.63	...1111111111
30	1.38	19.0111111
1764	80.99	100.00	(other patterns)
2178	100.00		XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Unbalanced panel

Exercise 2&3

Panel Methods

Variable	re	be	fe	fd
educ	.10793802	.09309987	.12366202	
	.00338321	.00466849	.00576187	
potexper	.03876449	.02599874	.03856107	
	.00071784	.00360489	.00075848	
educ_D				.04310838
				.01517918
potexper_D				.05353695
				.00292212
_cons	.56352057	.84556882	.40680158	
	.0438846	.07701791	.0717348	

legend: b/se

Coefficients are close for with-in and random effect, not so close for others

Exercise 4

Individual Fixed effect w/ robust se

Variable	idfix	idfix_ti~v
educ	.21221346	
	.02754153	
potexper	.03111244	
	.00366892	
...		
ability		-.08042965
		.04629738
mothered		.01284107
		.01966345
fathered		.01322399
		.01818227
brknhome		.13128376
		.11424438
siblings		.04448888
		.02111306
_cons	-.5690275	-.55284123
	.31318466	.24296302

legend: b/se