

MATH 311 - Abstract Vector Spaces

Jasraj Sandhu

May 2023

Examples and Basic Properties

Definition (Vector Space)

A vector space (over \mathbb{R}) is a non-empty set V with a rule "+" for addition and a rule "*" for scalar multiplication that satisfies the following:

For all $\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{w} \in V$ and for all $k, l \in \mathbb{R}$,

- (1) $\vec{u} + \vec{w} \in V$ (closure under addition)
- (2) $\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \vec{v} + \vec{u}$ (addition is commutative)
- (3) $\vec{u} + (\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = (\vec{u} + \vec{v}) + \vec{w}$ (addition is associative)
- (4) $\exists \vec{0} \in V$ such that $\vec{v} + \vec{0} = \vec{v} = \vec{0} + \vec{v}$ (additive identity)
- (5) $\exists -\vec{v} \in V$ such that $\vec{v} + (-\vec{v}) = \vec{0} = (-\vec{v}) + \vec{v}$ (additive inverse)
- (6) $k\vec{v} \in V$ (closure under scalar multiplication)
- (7) $(k + l)\vec{v} = k\vec{v} + l\vec{v}$ (* is distributive)
- (8) $k(\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = k\vec{v} + k\vec{w}$ (* is vector distributive)
- (9) $(kl)\vec{v} = k(l\vec{v})$ (* is associative)
- (10) $1\vec{v} = \vec{v}$ (* identity)

Note: The "*" for scalar multiplication has been omitted above for clarity purposes.

Elements of V are called vectors.

Remark: If the scalars are in another field, say \mathbb{F} , then we say the "vector space over \mathbb{F} ". (e.g. \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{C})

Observation: \mathbb{R}^n with usual addition and usual scalar multiplication is a vector space over \mathbb{R} .

1. $V = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > 0\}$. Define "addition" in V by $x \oplus y = xy$ and "scalar multiplication" by $c \odot x = x^c$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Prove that V is a vector space over \mathbb{R} under these operations.

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in V$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (1) We show that $x \oplus y \in V$. Since $x, y \in V$, this means that $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x > 0$ and $y > 0$. Then it follows that

$$x \oplus y = xy ,$$

where $xy \in \mathbb{R}$ (since \mathbb{R} is closed under multiplication). Now, since $x > 0$ and $y > 0$, we get that $xy > 0$. Hence, since $xy \in \mathbb{R}$ and $xy > 0$, $x \oplus y \in V$.

- (2) We show that $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$.

$$x \oplus y = xy = yx = y \oplus x .$$

- (3) We show that $x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z$.

$$\begin{aligned} x \oplus (y \oplus z) &= x \oplus (yz) \\ &= x(yz) \\ &= (xy)z \\ &= (x \oplus y)z \\ &= (x \oplus y) \oplus z . \end{aligned}$$

- (4) Claim: $\exists \vec{0} = 1 \in V$ such that $x \oplus 1 = 1 \oplus x$. Proof of Claim: First, $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 > 0$, so it holds that $1 \in V$. Then

$$x \oplus 1 = x1 = x = 1x = 1 \oplus x .$$

- (5) Claim: $\forall x \in V$, $\exists \frac{1}{x} \in V$ such that $x \oplus \frac{1}{x} = 1 = \frac{1}{x} \oplus x$. Proof of Claim: Since $x \in V$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x > 0$. Then it follows that $\frac{1}{x} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{1}{x} > 0$. So, we have that $\frac{1}{x} \in V$ because

$$\begin{aligned} x \oplus \frac{1}{x} &= x \frac{1}{x} \\ &= 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{x} \oplus x . \end{aligned}$$

(6) We prove that $k \odot x \in V$. Since $x \in V$, this means that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x > 0$. . Then $k \odot x = x^k$. Now, since $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$, it holds that $x^k \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, since $x > 0$, it holds that $x^k > 0$. Hence, since $x^k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x^k > 0$, $x^k \in V$. Therefore, $k \odot x \in V$.

(7) We show that $(k \oplus l) \odot x = (k \odot x) \oplus (l \odot x)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(k \oplus l) \odot x &= (kl) \odot x \\
&= x^{kl} \\
&= x^k x^l \\
&= x^k \oplus x^l \\
&= (k \odot x) \oplus (l \odot x)
\end{aligned}$$

(8) We show that $k \odot (x \oplus y) = (k \odot x) \oplus (k \odot y)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
k \odot (x \oplus y) &= k \odot (xy) \\
&= (xy)^k \\
&= x^k y^k \\
&= x^k \oplus y^k \\
&= (k \odot x) \oplus (k \odot y) .
\end{aligned}$$

(9) We show that $(kl) \odot x = k \odot (l \odot x)$. Note that kl is multiplication of real numbers, so we don't use the \odot rule there.

$$\begin{aligned}
(kl) \odot x &= x^{kl} \\
&= x^{lk} \\
&= (x^l)^k \\
&= k \odot (x^l) \\
&= k \odot (l \odot x) .
\end{aligned}$$

(10) We show that $1 \odot x = x$. Note here that 1 is just the number one. So,

$$1 \odot x = x^1 = x .$$

Now, since V satisfies all 10 vector space axioms, we can conclude that V is indeed a vector space (over \mathbb{R}) under the operations defined above. \square

2. Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ and define addition by

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - 2x_2 + 1 \\ 2y_1 + 3y_2 - 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

and define multiplication by

$$k * \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1/k \\ y_1 k^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

for all

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

and

$$0 \neq k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Is V a vector space (over \mathbb{R}) with respect to these operations?

Proof. To show V is a vector space, we show that all 10 axioms hold. To show that V is not a vector space, it suffices to show one axiom that

doesn't hold. We will try them all for fun. Suppose $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \in V$,

$\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \in V$, $\vec{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} \in V$, and $k, l \in \mathbb{R}$.

(1) V is closed under addition.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{u} + \vec{v} &= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 - 2v_1 + 1 \\ 2u_2 + 3v_2 - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\in \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since $V = \mathbb{R}^2$, $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in V$.

(2) Is it true that $\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \vec{v} + \vec{u}$ (addition is commutative)? We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{u} + \vec{v} &= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 - 2v_1 + 1 \\ 2u_2 + 3v_2 - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\neq \begin{bmatrix} v_1 - 2u_1 + 1 \\ 2v_2 + 3u_2 - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \vec{v} + \vec{u} \end{aligned}$$

We show a counterexample to prove that addition is not commutative in V .

Choose $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{u} + \vec{v} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2(0) + 1 \\ 2(0) + 3(1) - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\neq \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 - 2(1) + 1 \\ 2(1) + 3(0) - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \vec{v} + \vec{u} .\end{aligned}$$

Hence, addition is not commutative in V .

(3) Is it true that $\vec{u} + (\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = (\vec{u} + \vec{v}) + \vec{w}$ (addition is associative)?

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{u} + (\vec{v} + \vec{w}) &= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} + \left(\begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} v_1 - 2w_1 + 1 \\ 2v_1 + 3w_2 - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 - 2(v_1 - 2w_1 + 1) + 1 \\ 2u_2 + 3(2v_1 + 3w_2 - 4) - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 - 2v_1 + 4w_1 - 2 + 1 \\ 2u_2 + 6v_1 + 9w_2 - 12 - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 - 2v_1 + 4w_1 - 1 \\ 2u_2 + 6v_1 + 9w_2 - 16 \end{bmatrix} \\
&\neq \begin{bmatrix} u_1 - 2v_1 - 2w_1 + 2 \\ 4u_2 + 6v_2 + 3w_2 - 12 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 - 2v_1 + 1 - 2w_1 + 1 \\ 4u_2 + 6v_2 - 8 + 3w_2 - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} (u_1 - 2v_1 + 1) - 2(w_1) + 1 \\ 2(2u_2 + 3v_2 - 4) + 3(w_2) - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 - 2v_1 + 1 \\ 2u_2 + 3v_2 - 4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \left(\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) + \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= (\vec{u} + \vec{v}) + \vec{w}
\end{aligned}$$

We can see this better with a counterexample. Choose $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, and $\vec{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Then (see next page)

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{u} + (\vec{v} + \vec{w}) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 - 2(1) + 1 \\ 2(1) + 3(2) - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2(-1) + 1 \\ 2(0) + 3(4) - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} \\
&\neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 2 - 2(1) + 1 \\ 2(-1) + 3(2) - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2(0) + 1 \\ 2(0) + 3(1) - 4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= (\vec{u} + \vec{v}) + \vec{w}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, addition is not associative in V .

- (4) Is it true that $\exists \vec{0}$ such that $\vec{u} + \vec{0} = \vec{0} + \vec{u}$. Yes. This identity in V in this case would be $\vec{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \vec{u} + \vec{0} &= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 - 2(0) + 1 \\ 2u_2 + 3(0) - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 + 1 \\ 2u_2 - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\neq \begin{bmatrix} -2u_1 + 1 \\ 3u_2 - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 - 2(u_1) + 1 \\ 2(0) + 3(u_2) - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \vec{0} + \vec{u}
 \end{aligned}$$

(5) Is it true that $\exists(-\vec{u}) \in V$ such that $\vec{u} + (-\vec{u}) = \vec{0} = (-\vec{u}) + \vec{u}$?

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{u} + (-\vec{u}) &= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} + \left(- \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -u_1 \\ -u_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 - 2(-u_1) + 1 \\ 2u_2 + 3(-u_2) - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 + 2u_1 + 1 \\ 2u_2 - 3u_2 - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&\neq \begin{bmatrix} -u_1 - 2u_1 + 1 \\ -2u_2 + 3u_2 - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} -u_1 - 2u_1 + 1 \\ 2(-u_2) + 3u_2 - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} -u_1 \\ -u_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \left(- \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) + \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= (-\vec{u}) + \vec{u} .
\end{aligned}$$

(6) Is V closed under multiplication; that is, $k * \vec{u} \in V$?

$$k * \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1/k \\ u_2 k^2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 = V .$$

where $\frac{u_1}{k}, u_2 k^2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, V is closed under multiplication.

(7) Is it true that $(k + l) * \vec{u} = (k * \vec{u}) + (l * \vec{u})$ ($*$ is distributive)? Note here that the "+" in $k + l$ is the usual addition between real numbers.

$$\begin{aligned} (k + l) * \vec{u} &= (k + l) * \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} u_1/(k + l) \\ u_2(k + l)^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\neq \begin{bmatrix} u_1/k + u_1/l \\ u_2 k^2 + u_2 l^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} u_1/k \\ u_2 k^2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_1/l \\ u_2 l^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \left(k * \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) + \left(l * \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= (k * \vec{u}) + (l * \vec{u}) . \end{aligned}$$

For example, choose $k = 1$, $l = 2$, and $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (k + l) * \vec{u} &= (1 + 2) * \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 3 * \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 \\ 2(3)^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 \\ 18 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\neq \begin{bmatrix} 3/2 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1/1 \\ 2(1)^2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 2(2)^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \left(1 * \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right) + \left(2 * \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= (k * \vec{u}) + (l * \vec{u}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $*$ is not distributive.

(8) Is it true that $k * (\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = (k * \vec{u}) + (k * \vec{v})$ ($*$ is vector distributive)?

$$\begin{aligned}
k * (\vec{u} + \vec{v}) &= k * \left(\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= k * \begin{bmatrix} u_1 - 2v_1 + 1 \\ 2u_2 + 3v_2 - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} (u_1 - 2v_1 + 1)/k \\ (2u_2 + 3v_2 - 4)k^2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&\neq \begin{bmatrix} (u_1 + v_1)/k \\ (u_2 + v_2)k^2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} u_1/k \\ u_2k^2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} v_1/k \\ v_2k^2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \left(k * \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) + \left(k * \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= (k * \vec{u}) + (k * \vec{v})
\end{aligned}$$

For example, choose $k = 2$, $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, and $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
k * (\vec{u} + \vec{v}) &= 2 * \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= 2 * \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2(1) + 1 \\ 2(1) + 3(2) - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= 2 * \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0/2 \\ 4(2)^2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 16 \end{bmatrix} \\
&\neq \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 - 2(1/2) + 1 \\ 2(1) + 3(8) - 4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1(2)^2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 2(2)^2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \left(2 * \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) + \left(2 * \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= (k * \vec{u}) + (k * \vec{v}) .$$

Hence, $*$ is not vector distributive.

- (9) Is it true that $(kl) * \vec{u} = k * (l * \vec{u})$. Note that kl is just the usual multiplication of two real numbers.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (kl) * \vec{u} &= (kl) * \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 / (kl) \\ u_2 (kl)^2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 / lk \\ u_2 l^2 k^2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= k * \begin{bmatrix} u_1 / l \\ u_2 l^2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= k * \left(l * \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
 &= k * (l * \vec{u}) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $*$ is associative.

- (10) Is it true that $1 * \vec{u} = \vec{u}$ ($*$ identity)?

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 * \vec{u} &= 1 * \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 / 1 \\ u_2 (1)^2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \vec{u} .
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, V has a $*$ identity.

Thus, since we have shown that at least one of these 10 axioms does not hold, we can conclude that V is not a vector space. \square

Theorem 18

The following sets are vector spaces (over \mathbb{R}).

- (1) The set of all $m \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{R} , $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, with the usual addition and scalar multiplication of matrices.
- (2) The set $F(-\infty, \infty)$ of all real-valued functions on $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ with the usual addition and scalar multiplication of functions. Here $f + g$ and kf are defined by

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$$

and

$$(kf)(x) = kf(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $f, g \in F(-\infty, \infty)$, and $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark: The definitions of span, linear independence, subspace, basis, and dimension are all exactly the same if you replace \mathbb{R} with "a vector space V ".

Let V be a vector space (over \mathbb{R}).

Definition (Subspace)

A subset U of the vector space V is called a subspace of V if U itself is a vector space under the addition and scalar multiplication on V .

Theorem (Subspace Test)

A subset U of a vector space V is a subspace of V iff

- (1) U contains the zero vector.
- (2) U is closed under addition.
- (3) U is closed under scalar multiplication.

1. The set of $P_n(\mathbb{R})$ of polynomials in one variable with coefficients in \mathbb{R} and with degree at most n is a vector space under the usual addition and scalar multiplication of polynomials.

E.g. $P_n(\mathbb{R})$ is a subspace of $F(-\infty, \infty)$, the space of real valued functions on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

Show that $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ is a subspace of $F(-\infty, \infty)$ using the subspace test.

Solution: Note that $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of polynomials of degree at most 2 with coefficients in \mathbb{R} . That is, $P_2(\mathbb{R}) = \{a + bx + cx^2 \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$. We show that $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ contains the zero vector (in this case the zero polynomial $0 + 0x + 0x^2$), $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ is closed under addition, and $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ is closed under scalar multiplication.

- (1) $0 \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$, since there exist $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a + bx + cx^2 = 0$. In this case, $a = b = c = 0$, as $a + bx + cx^2 = 0 + 0x + 0x^2 = 0$.

- (2) Suppose $u, v \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then this means that $u = a + bx + cx^2$ and $v = r + sx + tx^2$, where $a, b, c, r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. We want to show that $u + v \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u + v &= (a + bx + cx^2) + (r + sx + tx^2) \\ &= a + r + bx + sx + cx^2 + tx^2 \\ &= (a + r) + (b + s)x + (c + t)x^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $a + r, b + s, c + t \in \mathbb{R}$. So, $u + v \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$, and hence $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ is closed under the usual addition.

- (3) Suppose $u \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$. We want to show that $ku \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$. Since $u \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$, this means that $u = a + bx + cx^2$ for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ku &= k(a + bx + cx^2) \\ &= ka + kbx + kcx^2 \\ &= (ka) + (kb)x + (kc)x^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $ka, kb, kc \in \mathbb{R}$. So, $ku \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$, and hence $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ is closed under scalar multiplication.

Therefore, $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ is a subspace of $F(-\infty, \infty)$. □

2. Which of the following is a subspace of $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$?

(a) The set of all $n \times n$ symmetric matrices.

Yes. Let $W = \{A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid A^T = A\}$ be the set of all $n \times n$ symmetric matrices. We prove that W is a subspace using the subspace test.

(1) $0 \in W$ because $0^T = 0$.

(2) Suppose $A, B \in W$. Then this means that A and B are $n \times n$ matrices where $A^T = A$ and $B^T = B$ (symmetric). We want to show that $(A+B) \in W$; that is, we show that $(A+B)^T = A+B$. So,

$$(A+B)^T = A^T + B^T = A+B.$$

Here we get that $A+B$ is symmetric, and so $A+B \in W$ (we also know that $A+B$ is $n \times n$ due to how the usual matrix addition works). Hence, W is closed under addition.

(3) Suppose $A \in W$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$. We show that $kA \in W$; that is, we show that $(kA)^T = kA$. Since $A \in W$, this means that A is an $n \times n$ matrix where $A^T = A$. Then

$$(kA)^T = k(A^T) = k(A) = kA.$$

So, we get that $kA \in W$. Hence, W is closed under scalar multiplication.

Therefore, the set of all $n \times n$ symmetric matrices W is a subspace. \square

(b) The set of all $n \times n$ invertible matrices.

No, this set is not a subspace. Denote

$$V = \{A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \exists B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ s.t. } AB = BA = I_n\}$$

as the set of all $n \times n$ invertible matrices. To show that V is not a subspace, it suffices to show one axiom of the subspace test does not hold. However, we will try all axioms and see which ones hold and don't hold.

(1) $0 \notin V$ because 0 is not invertible. Note that we know 0 is the "zero vector" (in this case the zero matrix) because $0 + A = A$ for any $A \in V$.

(2) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ be 2×2 matrices. Then $A, B \in V$ since A and B are invertible (we can check this with either the definition specified in our set V or by checking that their determinants are non-zero). However,

$$A + B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here we see that $A + B$ is not invertible (again, we can check this by using the definition as specified in V or by taking its determinant). Hence, $A + B$ is not closed under addition.

(3) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $k = 0$. Then $A \in V$ since A is invertible. However

$$kA = 0 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Axiom 1, $0 \notin V$, and so $kA \notin V$. Hence, V is not closed under scalar multiplication.

So, we see that our set of $n \times n$ invertible matrices V doesn't satisfy any of the axioms needed to be a subspace. Therefore, V is not a subspace.

3. Determine if $W = \{r(1 + x^2) \mid r \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a subspace of $P_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Note here that $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all polynomials of degree at most 2 with coefficients in \mathbb{R} . That is, $P_2(\mathbb{R}) = \{a + bx + cx^2 \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$. We show that W is a subspace $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ using the subspace test.

- (1) $0 \in W$ because $0(1 + x^2) = 0 \in W$, where $r = 0$.
- (2) Suppose $u, v \in W$. We prove that $u + v \in W$. Since $u, v \in W$, this means that $u = r(1 + x^2)$ and $v = s(1 + x^2)$, where $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u + v &= r(1 + x^2) + s(1 + x^2) \\ &= r + rx^2 + s + sx^2 \\ &= r + s + rx^2 + sx^2 \\ &= (r + s) + (r + s)x^2 \\ &= (r + s)(1 + x^2) , \end{aligned}$$

where $r + s \in \mathbb{R}$. So, $u + v \in W$. Hence W is closed under addition.

- (3) Suppose $u \in W$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$. We show that $ku \in W$. Since $u \in W$, this means that $u = r(1 + x^2)$ where $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ku &= k(r(1 + x^2)) \\ &= k(r + rx^2) \\ &= kr + krx^2 \\ &= kr(1 + x^2) , \end{aligned}$$

where $kr \in \mathbb{R}$. So, we get that $ku \in W$. Hence, W is closed under scalar multiplication.

Therefore, W is a subspace of $P_2(\mathbb{R})$. □

Definition (Span)

Let V be a vector space. The span of a set of vectors $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is the set of all linear combinations of $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$. That is,

$$\text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\} = \{a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k \mid a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

For example, $\text{span}\{1, x, x^2\} = \{a + bx + cx^2\} = P_2(\mathbb{R})$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$.

1. Let $T = \{x^2 + x + 1, x^2 + x, x + 1\}$. What is $\text{span } T$?

Solution: Let $u \in \text{span } T$. Then u can be expressed as a linear combination of the elements in T . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} u &= a(x^2 + x + 1) + b(x^2 + x) + c(x + 1) \\ &= ax^2 + ax + a + bx^2 + bx + cx + c \\ &= (a + b)x^2 + (a + b + c)x + (a + c) \cdot 1 \\ &= (a + c) \cdot 1 + (a + b + c)x + (a + b)x^2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice how similar this is to $\text{span}\{1, x, x^2\}$ from the above example.

Claim: $\text{span } T = \text{span}\{1, x, x^2\}$.

Proof of Claim: (\subseteq) We already showed that for any $u \in \text{span } T$, $u \in \text{span}\{1, x, x^2\}$ above.

(\supseteq) It is enough to prove that $1, x, x^2 \in \text{span } T$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= 0 + 0 + 1 \\ &= x^2 = x^2 + x - x + 1 \\ &= x^2 = x^2 + x - x + 1 \\ &= x^2 + x + 1 - x^2 - x \\ &= (x^2 + x + 1) - (x^2 + x) + 0 \\ &= (x^2 + x + 1) - (x^2 + x) + 0(x + 1) \\ &= 1(x^2 + x + 1) - 1(x^2 + x) + 0(x + 1) \\ &\in \text{span } T, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 0 + x + 0 \\ &= -x^2 + x^2 - x + x + x - 1 + 1 \\ &= -x^2 - x - 1 + x^2 + x + x + 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -(x^2 + x + 1) + (x^2 + x) + (x + 1) \\
&= -1(x^2 + x + 1) + 1(x^2 + x) + 1(x + 1) \\
&\in \text{span } T,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
x^2 &= x^2 + 0 + 0 \\
&= x^2 + x - x + 1 - 1 \\
&= x^2 + x + 1 - x - 1 \\
&= (x^2 + x + 1) + 0 - (x + 1) \\
&= 1(x^2 + x + 1) + 0(x^2 + x) - 1(x + 1) \\
&\in \text{span } T.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\text{span } T = \text{span}\{1, x, x^2\} = P_2(\mathbb{R})$.

2. Find a set that spans the subspace $W = \{A \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}) \mid A^T = A\}$ of $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Note that W is the set of all 2×2 symmetric matrices. Suppose $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in W$. Then it holds that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{bmatrix} = A^T.$$

From this, we see that $b = c$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & d \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \\ &= a \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + d \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the set $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ spans W .

Theorem 1*

Let V be a vector space. If $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k \in V$, then $W = \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is a subspace of V .

Proof. Suppose V is a vector space and suppose $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k \in V$. We want to show that $W = \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\} = \{a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k \mid a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a subspace of V . We prove this with the subspace test.

- (1) $\vec{0} \in W$ because $a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k = 0 \cdot \vec{v}_1 + \dots + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_k = \vec{0}$, where $a_1 = \dots = a_k = 0$.
- (2) Suppose $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in W$. We show that $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in W$. Since $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in W$, this means that \vec{u} and \vec{v} can be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$. That is, $\vec{u} = a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k$ and $\vec{v} = b_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + b_k\vec{v}_k$, where $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{u} + \vec{v} &= (a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k) + (b_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + b_k\vec{v}_k) \\ &= (a_1 + b_1)\vec{v}_1 + \dots + (a_k + b_k)\vec{v}_k,\end{aligned}$$

where $a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_k + b_k \in \mathbb{R}$. So, we get that $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in W$. Hence, W is closed under addition.

- (3) Suppose $\vec{u} \in W$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We show that $t\vec{u} \in W$. Since $\vec{u} \in W$, this means that \vec{u} can be expressed as linear combination of the vectors $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$. That is, $\vec{u} = a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k$, where $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}t\vec{u} &= t(a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k) \\ &= ta_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + ta_k\vec{v}_k \\ &= (ta_1)\vec{v}_1 + \dots + (ta_k)\vec{v}_k,\end{aligned}$$

where $ta_1, \dots, ta_k \in \mathbb{R}$. So, we get that $t\vec{u} \in W$. Hence, W is closed under scalar multiplication.

Therefore, W is a subspace of the vector space V . □

Linear Independence

Definition (Linear Independence)

A set of vectors $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$ in a vector space V is linearly independent if

$$a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k \vec{v}_k = \vec{0},$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$, has only the trivial solution $a_1 = \dots = a_k = 0$.

1. Which of the following sets are linearly independent?

(a) $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1}, x^n\} \subseteq P_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Yes, this set is linearly independent. In fact, this is a basis for $P_n(\mathbb{R})$ (more on that later).

(b) $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subseteq M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$.

No, this set is not linearly independent (it is linearly dependent). From observation, we can see that the first vector can be expressed as a linear combination of the second and third vector.

(c) $\{1 - x, 5 + 3x - 2x^2, 1 + 3x - x^2\} \subseteq P_2(\mathbb{R})$.

No, this set is not linearly independent (it is linearly dependent). Indeed, after solving the linear system of equations, you'll get a non-trivial solution.

(d) $\{\sin x, \cos x\} \subseteq F(-\infty, \infty)$.

Yes, this set is linearly independent.

Basis and Dimension

Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{R} .

Definition (Basis)

A set $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\} \in V$ is a basis for V if

- (1) $V = \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$
- (2) $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is linearly independent.

Definition (Dimension)

The dimension of a vector space V , denoted $\dim V$, is the number of elements in a basis for V .

1. Find a basis for the following vector spaces and specify their dimension.

(a) $P_n(\mathbb{R})$.

A basis for $P_n(\mathbb{R})$ would be $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1}, x^n\}$, where $\dim P_n(\mathbb{R}) = n + 1$.

(b) $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$.

Note that this is just the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over the real numbers. A basis for this set would be

$$\{E_{ij} \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\},$$

with $\dim M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}) = mn$.

(c) 2×2 symmetric matrices.

A basis for this subspace would be

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

with dimension 3.

Compare this to $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$, which has a basis

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

with $\dim M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}) = 4 = 2 \cdot 2 = mn$.

Remarks:

- 1) All bases of a vector space V have the same number of elements. So, $\dim V$ is well-defined.
- 2) Let $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ be a basis for a vector space V . Suppose $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\} \subseteq V$.
 - (i) If $k > n$, then S is linearly dependent.
 - (ii) If $k < n$, then S cannot span V .

So, a basis is a minimal spanning set and a maximal linearly independent set.

1. Suppose V is a vector space with $\dim V = k$. Determine which of the following are true or false.

(a) If $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\} \subseteq V$, then S is a basis for V .

True. Suppose $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ and suppose $S \subseteq V$. Here we see that S consists of exactly $\dim V = k$ vectors.

(b) If $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\} \subseteq V$ spans V , then S is a basis for V .

True.

Theorem (Independence Lemma)

Let $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\} \subseteq V$ be a linearly independent set. If $\vec{w} \in V$ but $\vec{w} \notin \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ (that is, \vec{w} cannot be expressed as a linear combination of $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$), then $\{\vec{w}, \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is also linearly independent.

Proof. Suppose $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is a linearly independent set. Suppose $\vec{w} \in V$ and $\vec{w} \notin \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$. We want to prove that $\{\vec{w}, \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is linearly independent; that is, $c_0\vec{w} + c_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_k\vec{v}_k = \vec{0}$, where $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c_0 = c_1 = \dots = c_k = 0$. We prove by contradiction. Suppose $\{\vec{w}, \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is linearly dependent. Then this means that

$$a_0\vec{w} + a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k = \vec{0} ,$$

where $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and at least one of a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k are nonzero. We consider two cases.

Case 1: If $a_0 \neq 0$, then rearranging $a_0\vec{w} + a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k = \vec{0}$ for \vec{w} gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{w} &= \frac{-a_1\vec{v}_1 - \dots - a_k\vec{v}_k}{a_0} \\ &= -\frac{a_1}{a_0}\vec{v}_1 - \dots - \frac{a_k}{a_0}\vec{v}_k , \end{aligned}$$

and so \vec{w} is indeed a linear combination of $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$. So, $\vec{w} \in \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$, which contradicts our assumption that $\vec{w} \notin \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$. Hence, $\{\vec{w}, \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is linearly independent.

Case 2: If $a_0 = 0$, then we get that

$$0 \cdot \vec{w} + a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k = \vec{0} .$$

Now, since $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is linearly independent, we get that $a_1 = \dots = a_k = 0$, and so

$$0 \cdot \vec{w} + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_1 + \dots + 0 \cdot \vec{v}_k = \vec{0} .$$

This implies that $\{\vec{w}, \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is linearly independent. □

Theorem 19

Let V be a vector space with $\dim(V) < \infty$ (finite dimension) and let $U \subseteq V$ be a subspace of V . Then

- (i) $\dim(U) \leq \dim(V)$
- (ii) If $U \neq V$, then $\dim(U) < \dim(V)$

Proof. (i) Suppose $\dim(U) = m$. Then a spanning set for U has at least (\geq) m elements. Therefore a spanning set for V also has at least (\geq) m elements. Hence, $m \leq \dim(V)$.

(ii) Suppose $U \neq V$. Then there exists $\vec{v} \in V$ such that $\vec{v} \notin U$ (this comes from the definition of set minus). Let $B = \{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_m\}$ be a basis for U . Then since $\vec{v} \notin U$, $\vec{v} \notin \text{span}\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_m\} = U$. So because $\vec{v} \in V$ but $\vec{v} \notin U$, by the Independence Lemma, the set $\{\vec{v}, \vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_m\}$ is linearly independent in V . Hence, $\dim(U) = m < m + 1 \leq \dim(V)$. \square

1. Let $V = P_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $U = \{p(x) \in V \mid p(1) = 0\}$.

(a) Show that U is a subspace of V .

Proof. To show that U is a subspace of V , we use the subspace test. That is, we show U contains 0, and U is closed under both addition and scalar multiplication.

(1) $0 \in U$ because $p(1) = 0 \in U$.

(2) Suppose $f, g \in U$. We show that $f + g \in U$. Since $f, g \in U$, this implies that $f(1) = 0$ and $g(1) = 0$. Then

$$(f + g)(1) = f(1) + g(1) = 0 + 0 = 0 \in U .$$

Hence, U is closed under addition.

(3) Suppose $f \in U$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$. We show that $kf \in U$. Since $f \in U$, this implies that $f(1) = 0$. So,

$$(kf)(1) = kf(1) = k \cdot 0 = 0 \in U .$$

Hence, U is closed under scalar multiplication.

Thus, by the subspace test, U is a subspace of V . □

(b) $\text{span}\{x-1, x(x-1)\}$ is a two-dimensional subspace of U , which means that $2 \leq \dim(U)$.

(c) $U \neq V$ since $x-2 \in V$ but $x-2 \notin U$, because $1-2 = -1 \neq 0$. So, $\dim(U) < \dim(V) = 3$. Therefore, $2 \leq \dim(U) < 3$, and we get $\dim(U) = 2$.

So, because $|\{x-1, x(x-1)\}| = 2 = \dim(U)$ and because $\{x-1, x(x-1)\}$ is linearly independent, $\{x-1, x(x-1)\}$ is a basis for U and $U = \text{span}\{x-1, x(x-1)\}$.

2. Let $U = \{x^2 - 3x + 2, 1 - 2x, 2x^2 + 1, 2x^2 - x - 3\}$.

(a) Prove that U spans $P_2(\mathbb{R})$.

To show that U spans \mathbb{R}^2 , we must show that $\forall p(x) \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$, $p(x)$ is a linear combination of elements in U .

Proof. Let $p(x) = ax^2 + bx + c \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$ where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. We want to show that $\exists t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= ax^2 + bx + c \\ &= t_1(x^2 - 3x + 2) + t_2(1 - 2x) + t_3(2x^2 + 1) + t_4(2x^2 - x - 3) . \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= (2t_1 + t_2 + t_3 - 3t_4) + (-3t_1 - 2t_2 - t_4)x + (t_1 + 2t_3 + 2t_4)x^2 \\ &\iff \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & -3 \\ -3 & -2 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ t_3 \\ t_4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= A \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ t_3 \\ t_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{*}$$

From this we get that

$$\text{REF}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & -7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

has 3 leading ones. So, $\text{rank}(A) = 3$. Hence, the system has a solution

for all $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Thus, U spans $P_2(\mathbb{R})$. \square

(b) Find a basis for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of elements in U .

Notice that U is linearly dependent. We remove the vector corresponding to the third column of A . So, we remove $2x^2 + 1$ from the set. Then $\{x^2 - 3x + 2, 1 - 2x, 2x^2 - x - 3\}$ is a basis for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Observation: The polynomial $1 + 2x^2$ is a vector in U . This vector, with respect to the standard basis of $P_2(\mathbb{R})$, has coordinates

$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$. The standard basis for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ is $\{1, x, x^2\}$. In general, we
 regard $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ as the vector of coefficients for the polynomial
 $a + bx + cx^2 \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$.